EXHIBIT P1

```
1
    ______
2
    JANE DOE, et al.,
                              : UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              Plaintiff
                              : MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
3
           v.
    SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
                               : CIVIL DOCKET NO:
4
    COURTHOUSE, et al.,
                              : 3:21-CV-00477
              Defendants
5
6
7
8
9
                             * * *
10
               TRANSCRIPT MARKED CONFIDENTIAL
                             * * *
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
              ORAL DEPOSITION OF DEBRA TWIGG taken at
19
    the U.S. Attorney's Office, Middle District of
20
    Pennsylvania, 228 Walnut Street, Harrisburg,
21
    Pennsylvania 17108 on Friday, September 30, 2022 at
22
    9:25 a.m. before Coleen Trifun, RPR and Notary
23
    Public.
24
```

1	APPEAF	RANCES:	
2		DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC BY: CATHERINE SMITH, ESQUIRE	
3	1	1835 Market Street Suite 2950	
4	I	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 catherine@dereksmith.com	
5	(Counsel for the Plaintiff	
6			
7		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION	
8	F	BY: AMBER FOX, ESQUIRE ALLAN TOWNSEND, ESQUIRE	
9		L50 M St. NE Room 9.932 Washington, District of Columbia	20002
10	á	amber.fox@usdoj.gov allan.townsendz@usdoj.gov	
11		Counsel for the Plaintiffs	
12		NEWMAN WILLIAM, P.C. BY: GERARD J. GEIGER, ESQUIRE	
13	I	P.O. BOX 511 712 Monroe Street	
14		Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18360 ggeiger@newmanwilliams.com	
15	(Counsel for George Halcovage	
16		MCNERNEY PAGE VANDERLIN & HALL BY: NICOLE IPPOLITO, ESQUIRE	
17		133 Market Street Villiamsport, Pennsylvania 17701	
18		nippolito@mpvhlaw.com Counsel for Glenn Roth	
19			
20		JONES PASSODELIS MICHAEL R. LETTRICH, ESQUIRE	
21	(Gulf Tower, Suite 3410	
22	I	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 mlettrich@jonespassodelis.com	
23		Counsel for Gary Bender and Heidi	. Zula
24			

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC Docton Principal Filed 09/20/23 Page 4 of 342 Deposition of Debra Twigg - Revised Filed 09/20/23 Page 4 of 342 Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

1	DICKIE MCCAMEY
2	BY: PAUL G. LEES, ESQUIRE 190 Brodhead Road, Suite 310
3	Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18017 plees@dmclaw.com
	Counsel for additional parties
4	
5	ALSO PRESENT:
6	ALYSSA DEBISE, PARALEGAL JANE DOE 1
7	JANE DOE 4 GEORGE HALCOVAGE
8	GLENN ROTH
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1			
2		INDEX	
3			
4	WITNESS	INTERROGATION BY	PAGE
5	DEBRA TWIGG		
6		By Ms. Smith	7, 325
7		By Ms. Fox	273
8			
9		EXHIBITS	
11	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
12	Exhibit-76	Bates Stamped 1197	13
13	Exhibit-77	Bates Stamped 1200	14
14	Exhibit-78	Bates Stamped 1185	25
15	Exhibit-79	Bates Stamped 1187	43
16	Exhibit-80	Bates Stamped 1198-	99 44
17	Exhibit-81	Bates Stamped 1138-	1140 50
18	Exhibit-82	Bates Stamped 1077	74
19	Exhibit-83	Bates Stamped 1082	93
20	Exhibit-84	Supplement 2230-225	4 217
21	Exhibit-85	SC000436-438	243
22			
23	PREVIOUSLY	MARKED EXHIBITS MENT	IONED
24	Exhibit-43 Page	90 Exhibit-71	Page 97

1		DIRECTION '	TO WIT	NESS NO	т то	ANSWER	
2	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE		PAGE	LINE
3			(N	one)			
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11		REQUEST FOR	PRODU	CTION O	F DOC	UMENTS	
12	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE		PAGE	LINE
13			(N	one)			
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
	1						

²⁴ anyone else?

Page 8 1 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like A. Sure. Yes. a copy of the transcript? Q. You agree to keep it confidential? 3 MR. GEIGER: Condensed. A. MR. LETTRICH: Yes. MR. LETTRICH: Apparently you MS. IPPOLITO: Yes. mentioned something about privilege, relative to attorney-client privilege. To the extent that it's to county's privilege to waive, I wouldn't MS. SMITH: First let me put on the record who is in the room. So Catherine necessarily agree that it gets waived. But we can Commonwealth on behalf of the plaintiff. I am deal with that on a case by case basis as we move here with my paralegal, Alyssa DeBise, and we have forward. 11 11 plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 present in MS. SMITH: Understood. 12 12 the room. BY MS. SMITH: 13 13 MS. FOX: Amber Fox, Department of 0. Ms. Twigg, do you understand that you 14 Justice. have been placed under oath and have the obligation to testify truthfully? 15 MR. TOWNSEND: Allen Townsend, also 16 16 with the Department of Justice. A. Yes. 17 17 MR. LETTRICH: Michael Lettrich on O. You understand that even though we're in behalf of the county, defendants Bender and Zula. 18 an informal conference room, that your testimony MS. IPPOLITO: Nicole Ippolito on 19 has the same force and effect as if you were testifying in a court of law? 20 behalf of Glenn Roth. 21 21 MR. GEIGER: Gerry Geiger for A. Yes. 22 You understand that the court reporter 22 Defendant Halcovage. Q. 23 MS. SMITH: And Defendant Halcovage is going to take down everything that is said and Defendant Roth are also present in the room. during the deposition? Page Page 9 The two other plaintiffs may join us at some point 1 A. Yes. during the Zoom. I will notify counsel and put it 0. You understand that the court reporter on the record if and when they do. cannot transcribe inaudible responses such as nod Counsel stipulates that -of the head, as you just mentioned? MR. LEES: Paul Lees on behalf of 5 Α. Yes. defendant Kutzler. O. So just make sure that you give an MS. SMITH: All counsel stipulate audible response. 8 that this transcript will be marked confidential? A. Okay. (All parties stipulated.) Q. Do you understand that you should wait MS. IPPOLITO: Normal stipulations. 10 for a complete question to be asked before you MS. SMITH: Objection to form and respond. And likewise, I will wait for you to privilege. If there is no privileges, Ms. Twigg finish your answer before I ask my next question. 12 defer to an attorney. A. Okay. Yeah. 14 14 O. If you do not understand a question or 15 DEBORAH TWIGG, having been first duly if you think that it was ambiguous, please let me sworn, was examined and testified as follows: know and I will rephrase the question. 17 17 Do you understand? 18 18 Examination A. Yes. 19 19 If at any point you realize an answer 20 BY MS. SMITH: given earlier in your deposition is inaccurate, Ms. Twigg, do you understand that the 21 incomplete, just let me know that you wish to O. testimony that you'll be giving today is correct or supplement it and you will be permitted confidential and you should not discuss it with 23 to do so.

Do you understand?

```
Page 10
                                                                                                         Page 12
 1
   A.
                                                                    MR. LETTRICH: So at this point, I
          Yes.
  Q.
          Have you recently consumed any
                                                           wouldn't say I represent you, but what I mentioned
  medication, alcohol, or any other substance which
                                                          the issue about the attorney-client privilege, if
  impairs your ability to testify truthfully here
                                                           that comes up, I'll place an objection on, but it
  today?
                                                           may not. So we can just kind of cross that bridge
  A.
          To impair my ability, no. I took my
                                                           when we get to it.
  blood pressure medicine, my cholesterol medicine.
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Okay.
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
   Q.
          Okay.
                                                         9
                                                           Q.
                                                                  So do you feel that you would like an
         But it doesn't impact your ability to
10
                                                           attorney?
   understand --
                                                        11
11
          No.
                                                           A.
                                                                  Not at this point.
   A.
                                                        12
12
   Q.
          -- or testify?
                                                           O.
                                                                  Periodically throughout the deposition
13
          No.
                                                          I'm going to show you documents that have either
  A.
14
  Q.
          If at any point you need a break, let me
                                                          been marked as exhibits or will be marked as
15
  know. The only request I have is if there's a
                                                           exhibits and you'll be provided a copy to look
                                                        16
   question posed, that you just answer it and we
                                                           over.
                                                        17
   will take any breaks that you need.
                                                           A.
                                                                  Can I get my glasses?
                                                        18
18
  A.
          Okay.
                                                           O.
                                                                  Sure. Of course.
                                                        19
19
  Q.
          Have you understood the instructions
                                                                    MS. IPPOLITO: Katherine, would you
                                                        20
  about your deposition?
                                                           be able to ask her for her address so that we can
20
21
          Yes.
                                                           subpoena her later?
  A.
                                                        22
22
   Q.
          Do you have any questions?
                                                                    MS. SMITH: The county should have
23
                                                        23
                                                           it.
          Not at this time, no.
   A.
<sup>24</sup> O.
                                                        24
          Ms. Twigg, you are here pursuant to
                                                                    MR. LETTRICH: I am not so sure
                                                                                                        Page 13
                                                Page 11
   subpoena that I sent you?
                                                           that we do, though.
                                                         2
                                                                    MS. SMITH: We can figure that out.
          Yes.
   A.
                                                           I'm not going to ask her for that on the record
   Q.
          You understand that you do have the
                                                           with individuals in the room.
  right to have an attorney present here with you?
                                                         <sup>5</sup>BY MS. SMITH:
          Yes.
   A.
  O.
          But you do not. Is that of your own
                                                           Q.
                                                                  I'm going to provide you a copy of the
                                                           exhibit. You are going to hear me refer to Bates
   choice?
                                                           numbers. Those are just the numbers at the bottom
          Yes.
   A.
          Periodic --
                                                           of the document, sometimes at the top.
   Q.
10
                                                        10
                                                           A.
          Can I ask a question?
                                                                  Okay.
   A.
11
                                                        11
                                                           O.
                                                                  You will see them.
          Sure.
   Q.
                                                        12
12
          Do I need one? And my question is, that
                                                                    MS. SMITH: So the first exhibit I
  I am here because of everything that occurred
                                                           am going to mark for today's purposes is 76. It's
                                                        14
  while I worked for to county. So should not the
                                                           going to be Bates No. 1197.
                                                        15
15
                                                                    Actually, now that I am looking at
  county attorney also be representing me?
16
            MR. LETTRICH: Well, I think -- I
                                                          it, everyone is not on Zoom and I only have paper
  represent the county and you're not a named
                                                           copies for Nicole, as she requested.
                                                        18
  defendant in the matter. There may be some
                                                        19
  instances where there may be -- have been some
                                                                 (Bates No. 1197 marked as Exhibit 76 for
                                                        20
  attorney-client communications with you and
                                                           identification.)
                                                        21
  perhaps one of the county solicitors. We'll cross
  that bridge when we get to it, but they're not
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
                                                        |Q|
23
                                                                  Ms. Twigg, do you recognize this
  suing you.
```

THE WITNESS: Right.

²⁴ document?

- 1 A. I do.
- Is this an offer letter that you Q.
- received from Schuylkill County?
- It was. A.
- Q. It's signed by defendant George Bender?
- A. Uh-huh.
- And it's dated January 2, 2018, correct? Q.
- A.
- Did you apply for this position of human Q.
- resources director?
- 11 Yes. A.
- 12 Q. How did you come to learn of the
- position? 13
- 14 I was told about it by Darlene Robins,
- who was the president of the Manufacturers 15
- Association of Schuylkill County. I think it's 16
- Schuylkill and Luzerne, but I am not sure.
- 18 Q. Did you interview for the position?
- 19 A. I did.
- 20 With whom? Q.
- 21 Initially I had a lunch meeting with A.
- George and Darlene at Roma Pizza. And then I went
- and met with all three commissioners. Commissioner
- ²⁴ Staudenmeier, Commissioner Hess, Commissioner

Page 15

18

19

- Halcovage. I met with Glenn Roth and Gary Bender.
- ² I think Lisa Mahall was also involved in that
- interview. I can't remember, though.
- So when you say you met with George and
- Darlene at Roma Pizza, are you referring to
- Defendant George Halcovage?
- Yes. Sorry. A.
- 8 Q. It's okay.
- And then you said you met with all three
- commissioners? 10
- Uh-huh. A.
- 12 Glenn Roth, you think Lisa Mahall was Q.
- involved?
- 14 I think. A.
- 15 Was that all in one meeting or were they Q.
- separate? 16
- No. It was two different meetings. The 17
- three commissioners was the first meeting. Then I 18
- went and met with Mr. Bender and Ms. Roth and I
- ²⁰ believe Ms. Mahall. But they also brought in the
- three different people who worked in human
- resources one by one after that. But when I was
- with Bender and Roth, they brought in this three
- other individuals, the three people listed here.

- Page 16 1 Q. When you say listed here, you mean on the top?
- 3 A. Oh, sorry. Yes. Heather Garrity, Angel Burnham Mitchell, and Paula Mushron, yes.
- Just because we're going to end up driving the court reporter crazy, just wait until my full question is asked.
- Oh. sorry.
- 9 I know it will seem conversational, but Q. we have to be very rigid. It's a little bit uncomfortable, but just for the court reporter's 12 sanity.

13 When you met with the three commissioners first, was it an interview where there was questions about your capabilities and your experience or was it something different?

No, it was an interview. They asked about my -- I think, I mean, it's been how many vears.

20 They asked about my abilities, my experience in human resources.

- 22 O. When you met with Defendant Halcovage and Darlene Robinson at Roma, what was the conversation like there, if you remember?
- 1 Again, it was questioning my knowledge, A. skills, and abilities about human resources, but it was also conversational. I mean, he went first through ninth grade with my sister, that came out in the conversation. That had nothing to do with my knowledge, skills, and abilities, but it was not -- it would have been a topic of conversation in an interview with someone who might be familiar.
- 10 O. Okay.

11

13

So that was perfect example, leads to my 12 next question.

Did you know Defendant Halcovage before you met with him at Roma Delight?

- 15 A. No. I had seen him at chamber functions, but I had never been introduced to him. I did think, and I did not know him.
- 18 O. Did you know Defendant Gary Bender 19 before you interviewed?
- A.
- 21 Q. Did you defendant Glenn Roth before you interviewed?
- 23 A. No.
 - O After you interviewed, were those the --

Page 18 Page 20 1 strike that. A. Yes, I do. 2 Were those interviews before this Q. This is your resume, correct? January 2, 2018 --3 A. It's an old resume, but yes. Yes. A. Q. Is this the resume that you provided to -- offer letter? Q. Schuylkill County --A. The initial interview with Mr. Halcovage Α. Yes. and Darlene Robbins was October time frame of -- in connection with your application Q. 2017. I then ran into Commissioner Halcovage at a for employment? 9 chamber Christmas party at the country club, which A. Uh-huh. was mid December maybe. We chatted about the job. 10 Q. Is that a yes? ¹¹ Then in, had to be, early January, might of even 11 Well, actually I think Darlene provided A. been on the same day, I don't remember, I was -- I it because the offer letter was done at this received a call to come in and meet with the address and it had already been a year since I commissioners, Mr. Bender, Mr. Roth. lived there. I believe initially my resume was 15 Q. Okay. provided to them by -- to Mr. Halcovage by 16 16 At any point during any of the Darlene. interviews or conversations you had with Defendant 17 Okay. 0. 18 Halcovage before receiving this offer letter, This resume, if we look to Page 1204 at 19 19 other than what you mentioned about him having the bottom. Yup. Perfect. 20 20 gone to school with your sister, was it? A. Uh-huh. 21 21 A. Uh-huh. Q. Under education it indicates that you 22 Q. Did who you know in the county come up? received your bachelor's in psychology from the 23 I don't think so, because I had just University of Alaska; is that correct? 24 moved home after almost 40 years, so I didn't Correct. Page 21 Page 19 Q. Did you specialize or focus in any area really know many people at that point. of psychology? Is Schuylkill County where you were Q. raised? I did. I focused on the treatment of A. Yes. sex offenders, rehabilitation and treatment of sex offenders. And you just indicated you had left the Q. county for a significant period of time? O. It also indicates that you received your master's from Georgia State University; is that A. When did you leave the county? correct? Q. I graduated in June of 1979 and I left A. A. 10 O. 10 by that September. It says you majored in community counseling? 11 That's high school you graduated? Q. 12 12 Sorry. Yeah. A. That was the degree, quote. But for A. MS. SMITH: We are going to put the -- a year and half and then after, six months this one over here and I am going to mark as after I worked with an individual by the name of Exhibit 77, for the record it's Bates stamped 1200 Jeane Able who is -- again, focusing on the Schuylkill County to 1205. treatment of sex offenders. 17 17 Q. In connection with your master's, did 18 you write a thesis or anything? (Bates 1200 marked as Exhibit-77 for 19 identification.) No. We didn't have to do that. I did 20 help while working with Mr. Able to write a 21 chapter in a book, which focused on the treatment BY MS. SMITH: 22 You now have before you what's been of adolescent sex offenders. O. 23 23 What's the name of that book? marked for today's purposes as Exhibit-77. Q. 24

Do you recognize this document?

I have it with me. Can I look?

Q. Sure.

- A. It's called the Juvenile Sex Offender.
- Q. This indicated edited by Howard E.

Barbari, William Marshall, and Steven M. Hudson.

In this book you said you assisted in writing a chapter?

- Yes. A.
- Q. What is the title of that chapter?
- It is sexual assault through the A.
- lifespan, adult offenders with juvenile histories.
- 11 There is -- I am beginning on Page 1, 12 back to the exhibit. Beginning on Page 1 of this 13 exhibit there is a professional experience, your work history listed.

Do you see that?

16 Yes. A.

15

10

14

18

- 17 Your work history on this application is Q. a true and accurate representation of where you 19 have worked, it looks like, since 1992?
- 20 Yes. A.
- 21 Q. Can you tell us what is your work
- history do you believe made you qualified to apply
- for the position of human resources director for
- ²⁴ Schuylkill County?

Page 23

24

- 1 I want to say everything that's listed A. here. I mean, I have had experience in pretty much all parts of human resources. I have been -well, right now in 2022, I have been working in human resources for 30 years. I have developed leadership programs, developed performance management programs, developed compensation structures.
 - I have dealt with employee relations issues. I had not dealt with labor relations issues until I got to the county, but I think even if you ask them, they will say I did a very good iob at that.

I did coaching. I did succession planning. Sorry, I'm looking at it to go through everything. I mean, I certainly had the knowledge, skills, and abilities for that position.

- 19 O. Can you tell us, do you hold any 20 specialized license certificates related to human resources?
- 22 Yes. I --A.
- 23 Go ahead. If you can tell us which Q.

Page 22 1 A. I have my senior professions -- SPHR,

- senior professional in human resources
- certificate, which is given -- you sit for a test, it's a standardized four-hour test that you have
- to take. You have to take it every three years.
- ⁶ You have to renew it. You have to have 60
- continuing credit hours, education credit hours.

I have held that since November or

- December of 1997. This was the certifying body
- for the Society of Human Resource Management.
- Somewhere around 2014, 2015, 2014 or so, the site
- ¹² of Human Resources Management sort of ended their
- relationship with that certifying body. They came
- out with their own certification. And I got that
- in 2015, January of 2015, which was when it first
- ¹⁶ came into existence from SHRM, Site of Human
- ¹⁷ Resource Management. I hold a senior professional
- certification, I guess it's call.
- 19 Q. So those two certification licenses that 20 you hold, you held them at all times while you
- were employed by Schuylkill County?
- 22 A. Yes. And still hold them.
- 23 O. Okav.
 - Put that document aside?

Page 25

Page 24

MS. SMITH: Mark for today's purposes as 78, it's Bates stamped Schuylkill County 1185.

(Bates Stamped 1185 marked as Exhibit-78 for identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

- Ms. Twigg, are you familiar with this 10 type of document?
- 11 I am.
- 12 This is -- it's titled personal action Q.
- request, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Commonly referred to in the county as a 16 PAR?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Can you tell us what PARs are used for in Schuylkill County?
- They are used to -- sort of the official 21 document to hire, to terminate, to any kind of pay increase, any kind of -- well, technically any kind of title change, I guess, should also be on

24 this

²² Q.

23

Understood.

This PAR for you indicates that you were

being recommended for appointment to the position

Page 26 Page 28 The top box, for lack of a better word, 1 O. of human resources director, correct? 2 there's employment, termination, retirement, A. Correct. promotion, resignation, and transfer? 3 O. It indicates an effective date of Uh-huh. January 8, 2018? A. Q. This form would be used for all those A. Correct. things, correct? Q. It also indicates that you were to begin Yes. Yes. A. in a pay range of nine and a step of two. Who can complete a PAR? Q. Do you see that? 9 Literally fill it out, a department head A. A. Yes. can. HR can. County administrator can. Anyone 10 Q. Your starting salary was to be \$64,040? who -- any supervisor, I would assume can, but 11 A. 12 they still have to get it approved by the proper O. Who determines your pay range, step, and 13 13 chain of command. starting salary? 14 I would believe that would be George 14 Q. This one here is for you, it's your new A. 15 employee PAR, correct? Bender and/or the commissioners. 15 16 16 Uh-huh, yes. O. Did you negotiate or attempt to A. 17 Let's say the person who is the subject negotiate that? Q. 18 of the PAR, would someone who is not that person, A. Yes. 19 supervisor or potential new supervisor, be able to O. Who did you attempt to negotiate that 20 complete a PAR for them? 20 with? 21 21 A. I'm not sure what you're asking. A. Mr. Bender and Mr. Roth. 22 22 MR. LETTRICH: Objection to the Q. Tell us about those conversations or 23 23 negotiations. form. 24 But if you understand, you can Mr. Bender was quite stern and said it Page 27 Page 29 1 is what it was, I could take it or not. I asked answer. BY MS. SMITH: ² if there was anyone else I could speak to about it, he said I could. I could speak to the So if for instance, the person who is the subject of the PAR was someone in the commissioners, but I knew that if I did that, it treasurer's office? would not be a positive start to a new job, so I A. Uh-huh. accepted the salary. I was also told that you cannot -- you Q. And they were transferring to, let's say, the district attorney's office, would someone have to start at the bottom of a pay range, which who was neither supervisor a supervisor in the clearly is not the case because people that were treasurer's or a supervisor in the district hired after me, were hired at a much higher level. attorney's office be able to complete a PAR for 11 O. When you say the bottom of a pay range, 12 that transfer or should it be the current or what are you --13 future supervisor? A. Step 1. Actually this is Step 2, I am 14 14 When you say complete, could they fill not sure why. 15 it out, yes. But it still should be signed off by Q. Okay. 16 the person's supervisor. So they told you that you could not start at anything but a low level step or --17 Q. Okay. 18 18 I would think -- I would also think if A. Yes. it were not -- determination, it's going to be 19 O. -- the bottom step? done by -- it can be done by human resources. I 20 A. 21 mean, human resources can do it as well. O. What about the pay range, where does 21

23

A.

that come from? Did Glenn indicate that?

salary steps -- the range and the steps.

There's a list that lists the different

Page 32

- It's like a matrix, right? Q.
- Yes, exactly. A.
- Q. You go down -- one column would be range, one column would be step and you find a box?
- Exactly. And it goes from like 1 to A. like 16 or 18. I mean, it's been a couple years, so I don't remember.
- But the range, do you know how that's Q. determined? Is it based off years of experience or where does that --
- 12 A. It was there. I asked those questions because they were clearly not current and it doesn't change. It's done and it stays there.
- 15 Where is your opinion of that statement, 16 the information for that coming from? Was that something someone said to you or ..?
- 18 A. I had a conversation with Mr. Bender at one point, stating that I felt the ranges were not current and he made it very clear we would not be reviewing it.
- 22 Q. The very bottom of this form there's a 23 human resources recommendation section.

Do you see that?

Page 31

- 1 A. Yeah.
- It's circled and it looks like there's Q. the initials GB.
 - Do you see that?
- Yes. A.
- Q. Do you know whose initials those are?
- Yeah. They are Gary Bender's. A.
- And he circled approved, correct? Q.
- A. Correct.
- Do you know what happens if that -- so 10 Q. let me ask this: After a PAR is completed by -the from, so in this case it was Gary Bender at the top, does it go to HR; is that the next step 14 for a PAR? 15
- Are you asking after it's been approved? A.
- 16 No. So if for instance in this case, at O. 17 the top it says Gary Bender is the from, so he's 18 the one completing --
- 19 Right. A.
- 20 Q. -- the PAR, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Once he completes the PAR, what 22
- should -- like fills it out, what should he do

- 1 A. It would then go to human resources to
- be put on the agenda, the PAR list for the commissioner meeting on Wednesday.
- And in order -- before putting it on the PAR list of the commission's agenda, does HR
- review it and make a decision whether it's approved, disapproved, or informational?
- Could you repeat that?
- 9 O. So before -- so you said the person completing the PAR would take it down to human
- resources. And at some point then it would be placed on the agenda for the commissioners
- meeting? $^{14}|A.$

Yes.

- 15 O. There's this human resources
- 16 recommendation section with three options, does
- ¹⁷ human resources review the PAR to decide approve, disapprove, or informational?
- 19 Yes. Yes. Can I expound? A.
- ²⁰ Q.
- 21 What I would I do. I would sit with --A.
- in this -- when I was there, it was Heather
- Garrity who was the human resources specialist, I
- think was her title. We would review all of the
 - Page 33 PARs. We would review the agenda, the list. And typically then I would indicate approved or
- informational, whichever was the case.
- So what's the difference between approved and informational?
- A. Informational is something that the
- commissioners do not have to vote on. When I started at the county, things that included
- informational, someone who was hired in an elected
- officials office because technically the elected
- official under 1620, has the right to hire, fire,
- ¹² and discipline. So the commissioners did not
- ¹³ necessarily vote on that person being hired or terminated, I guess.
- O. Anything else that would have been
- 16 informational? 17
- At some point in time, it was -- the process was changed and someone who resigned also
- became informational because there's no point in
- voting if someone resigns. There is no point in voting, they are resigning. They can vote no, but
- that person's resigning.
- 23 Q. Understood.
 - What happens if -- when would an HR

individual circle disapproved?

- $^{2}|A.$ I don't know that I ever did.
- Q. Do you know for what reason it would be used?
- A. I mean, I could guess, but no.
- Q. Okay.
- I mean, because here's -- if it's Α.
- disapproved, you're not -- it's not going to get
- to the point of HR to be on the agenda, I don't 10 think.
- 11 Q. So for instance, if a potential employee
- was being recommended by someone, let's say, a
- supervisor of a division wants to hire someone,
- they recommend them and they send the PAR, would
- HR interview that person?
- Not necessarily. 16 A.
- 17 Q. Okay.

18 If they did -- there was instances where 19 they did interview people, though?

- 20 Not usually. I mean, if it was a
- management level, I would get involved. If it was
- someone -- no. If someone was coming into HR, we
- would interview them. But if someone was coming
- ²⁴ into tax claim, tax assessment, no. I mean, we
 - Page 35
 - would collect resumes. We would forward them. I
- don't recall that we -- we might give a typing test if somebody asked us to.
- Q. Okay.

When you say forward them, you forward them to --

- A. The department head, yeah.
- Q. -- the appropriate -- of where the
- person is applying or potentially suited for?
- 10 Uh-huh. A.
- 11 Is that a yes? Q.
- 12 A. Yes.
- You were talking about how if it was O.
- approved, that it would be placed on the agenda
- for a vote. Did the actual act of placing an
- individual on the agenda for a vote require
- 17 approval of any or all of the commissioners?
- 18 It required the approval of Gary Bender. A.
- However, if something was initially put on the 19
- agenda, it certainly was not unheard of for word to come back that that person needs to be taken
- off the agenda.
- 23 Do you know where that word came back Q.
- 24 from?

- Page 34 1 The person who has the ultimate A.
 - authority for what's on the agenda and not on the
 - agenda is the commissioner chair, is my understanding.
 - Q. Did Defendant Halcovage serve as
 - commissioner chair at any time during your employment?
 - Yes. A.
 - 9 Was it the entirety of your employment? Q.
 - 10 A. No. He stepped down as chair, I guess
 - 11 it had to be late June, early July of 2020, after
 - this whole lawsuit started. 13
 - From the time you were hired until June Q. 14 or July of 2020, you believe he was --
 - 15 I know he was chair. A.
 - 16 Q. Until he stepped down, he was the chair?
 - 17 A.
 - 18 O. Was there any time that you can recall
 - that Defendant Halcovage sent word back that 20 something should be removed from the agenda?
 - 21 A. Yes.

24

- 22 Q. Do you recall any specific instances?
- 23 A. I knew you were going to ask that.
 - I know there were times in the clerk of

Page 37

Page 36

- courts office where things had been taken off the agenda.
- 3 Q. Do you know why those were taken off the agenda?
- 5 A. Officially, no. I mean, can I -- if I
- thought of a particular person, could I give my
- perspective of it? Yes. But was I officially in
- a -- told why it was coming off the agenda, not
- necessarily. Not that I can recall.
- 10 This form indicates that Gary Bender,
- county administrator, is the point of contact.
- During your employment with Schuylkill County, was
- Gary Bender your supervisor?
- 14 A. Yes, he was.
- 15 O. At the top of this document there are
- 16 two stamps of approval.
 - Do you see those?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 One stamp of approval is of Schuylkill
- County's salary board and one is of Schuylkill
- County's commissioners, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Do you know, did Defendant Halcovage
- vote on your appointment to the position of human

24 session?

Page 38 Page 40 1 resources director? A. Yes. Yes. 2 I'm assuming, but I wasn't there, so I Q. So then it's fair to say that A. don't know. non-commissioners can be present at executive session? Q. Okav. But you were appointed and did start, so A. Yes. you can at least confirm that there was a majority Q. At any time when you were present during vote in your favor? an executive session, do you believe that Yes. Yes. something in violation of the Sunshine Act A. The stamps indicate work session. occurred? Q. 10 Do you see that? MR. LETTRICH: Object to the form. 11 You can answer if you know. 11 Uh-huh. A. 12 12 I understand that there are two MS. SMITH: Let me strike that. O. 13 different types of public commissioner's meeting, BY MS. SMITH: 14 a commissioner's meeting and a work session; is Q. Do you know what the Sunshine Act is? 15 15 that right? I'm aware of it. Do I specifically --A. 16 16 Yes. have I read it myself, can I tell you -- can I A. 17 site what it says? No, I can't. Can you tell us what your understanding Q. 18 of the difference between the two is? Q. Do you generally understand that the 19 19 A. No, I can't. One is sort of -- the Sunshine Act is why an executive session --20 20 commissioner meeting I believe is like an A. official -- where the official vote is taken. But 21 Q. -- what prohibits votes from 22 if I remember right, votes were also taken in a occurring --23 work session. A. Yes. ²⁴ Q. 24 -- in executive session? Although, I want to say -- yeah, I want Page 41 Page 39 1 to -- I don't... A. Yes. That's okay. Q. Have you ever been present at an Q. executive session of the Schuylkill County It's been two and a half years, I don't A. remember that. commissioners where you believe essentially a vote or a vote was take in violation of the Sunshine Q. Understood. There is also meetings that can -- of Act? the commissioners that can be held outside the MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. 8 presence of the public, correct? You can answer. A. Correct. THE WITNESS: No. 10 BY MS. SMITH: 10 I understand there's two, there's Q. executive sessions and informational sessions? O. Okay. 12 12 I'm aware of executive session. Were you ever told of an act occurring A. during an executive session that could possibly O. What's your understanding of what can occur during an executive session versus what must constitute a violation of the Sunshine Act? 15 be held in the presence of the public? MR. LETTRICH: Same objection. 16 16 My understanding is if there is an issue You can answer. 17 that is not public knowledge, like a personnel THE WITNESS: Can I ask what you're issue, you could -- you would meet in an executive 18 objecting to? session to discuss the specifics of a situation. 19 MR. LETTRICH: It's because of the However, you cannot take a vote in an executive form of the question. It's something that session. A vote has to be held in public. necessarily relate to you, it's to the nature of 22 the question. 22 At executive sessions, do you know --23 have you ever been present at an executive THE WITNESS: Okay.

24

MR. LETTRICH: And I can't explain

```
Page 42
                                                                                                           Page 44
   that for reasons related to the --
                                                            check, including but not limited to a criminal
            THE WITNESS: All right. Okay.
                                                            background check, correct?
            I'm sorry, can you --
                                                          3
                                                            A.
                                                                    Yes.
   BY MS. SMITH:
                                                            O.
                                                                    Do you know, are all county employees or
 5
   Q.
          Did anyone ever tell you or did you ever
                                                            potential employees to submit to this background
  hear that something occurred at executive session
                                                            check?
   that may be a violation of Sunshine Act?
                                                            A.
                                                                    Yes, I believe so.
   A.
          Technically, no. Can I expound on that?
                                                            Q.
                                                                   Does that include elected officials?
   Q.
          Sure.
                                                                   I don't believe so. I mean, they're
                                                            A.
10
          Technically, no, I don't believe a vote
  A.
                                                            elected. So if you run a background check,
11
   was ever taken, which my understand is a
                                                            whatever you find -- in order to get someone
  violation. Does that mean discussions didn't
                                                            removed, you've got to find them -- well, a
13 happen and folks pretty much understood where they
                                                            criminal act or impeachment.
                                                         14
  stood on a vote that would be taken, yeah. In
                                                            Q.
                                                                    Okay.
                                                         15
  particular, the more I'm thinking of sitting in a
                                                                      MS. SMITH: 80 is going to be
                                                         16
16
  prison board meeting where there were a number of
                                                            Schuylkill County 1198 and 1199.
  people, including the commissioners, the
                                                         18
  president, judge, the district attorney, the
                                                                  (Bates Stamped 1198 and 1199 marked as
19
  sheriff, the deputy, chief, probably, myself, one
                                                            Exhibit-80 for identification.)
                                                         20
  of the attorneys, you know, you would have a
                                                         21
  conversation about an incident that happened and
                                                            BY MS. SMITH:
                                                         22
  you would talk about the employee relations issue.
                                                            Q.
                                                                   Do you recognize this document?
                                                         23
  You would leave. You would go take a vote, but
                                                            A.
                                                         ^{24}Q.
<sup>24</sup> it -- I mean, I left the room thinking, okay, this
                                                                   Is this your application for employment
                                                 Page 43
                                                                                                           Page 45
  is -- I have an idea where everybody's going to
                                                          1
                                                            to --
                                                          2
   vote.
                                                            A.
                                                                    Yes.
                                                          3
            MS. SMITH: This is 79 for today's
                                                            Q.
                                                                    -- the County of Schuylkill?
   purposes. It's Bates stamped SC-1187.
                                                            A.
                                                          5
 5
                                                            Q.
                                                                    It's dated January 3, 2018.
                                                          6
         (Bates Stamped 1187 marked as Exhibit-79
                                                                  Do you see that on the front page?
   for identification.)
                                                            A.
                                                          8
 8
                                                            O.
                                                                    Do you know why your application for
   BY MS. SMITH:
                                                            employment was completed after the offer letter,
10
   Q.
          Ms. Twigg, have you seen this document
                                                            which you received from Mr. Bender?
   before?
                                                         11
                                                                    Yeah, because I filled it out after I
                                                         12
12
          Apparently because I signed it and
   A.
                                                            was hired.
  that's my handwriting, but I don't recall seeing
                                                            O.
                                                                    As I kind of understand from your
14
                                                            testimony, correct me if I'm wrong, your resume
  it.
15
   Q.
          This is an authorization to obtain
                                                            was given to Defendant Halcovage by Darlene
                                                         16
16
  information.
                                                            Robinson?
                                                         17
17
         Would you agree?
                                                            A.
                                                                   Robins.
                                                         18
18
          Uh-huh.
                                                            Q.
   A.
                                                                    Robins. I'm sorry.
                                                         19
19
   Q.
          Is that a --
                                                                  And then you went through some interview
20
          Yes. Sorry.
   A.
                                                            process, you were offered a position. That's then
21
   Q.
          It's okay.
                                                            when you filled out the employment for
                                                            application?
2.2
         Essentially it's an authorization for
  Schuylkill County in connection with your
                                                         23
                                                            A.
23
                                                                    Yes.
<sup>24</sup> application for employment to conduct a background
                                                         24 O.
                                                                   The only way that they knew -- the
```

Page 46 County of Schuylkill knew that potentially wanted employment was because Darlene Robins had given your application to Defendant Halcovage?

A.

- Q. You hadn't filled out another application for employment and actually submitted it to HR?
- A. No.

Would you like me to explain how that happened?

11 Q. Sure.

20

12

- 12 As I said, I left the county, moved back A. 13 home July of 2016. I was introduced to Darlene Robins. She runs the manufacturing association.
- I put together some trainings for her, so I started a working relationship with her that summer. I taught some trainings for her. I did some contract work for her. 19

At the time, I was -- still had my consulting business. I did HR consulting for 11 years in Texas or ten years in Texas. Was continuing to do consulting work, but I needed to start working for -- living in Pennsylvania and working for companies in Texas, I knew that was Page 47

not going to last long. So I needed to start building a business. And one of the things that I was going to do was to start offering trainings that I did, independently through either the chamber or through my own efforts.

I contacted Darlene to let her know that I was going to be doing that, because I knew that she would not be happy because she would see it as computation of for people taking her trainings. And we had an agreement that I would not work with anyone who was direct competition for her with the trainings that I developed for her.

I told her through the process that I was -- you know, I needed to increase business or get a regular full-time job. And she said, didn't know you were looking for a full-time job. I said I'm not looking, but not, not looking if something 18 came along. She said I think I know someone who might need your services, let me call you right ²⁰ back. She called half an hour later and basically said, what are you doing next Tuesday, I think it was. I said I don't know, what am I doing next ²³ Tuesday. She said you're going to have lunch with ²⁴ myself and Commissioner Halcovage.

Page 48 1 Q. Do you know, was Darlene friends with Commissioner Halcovage?

A. I think there certainly is a working relationship with all three commissioners, no matter who they are. And the manufacturing association simply because it's the manufacturing association in the county, it's business.

Personally, I have no idea.

- 9 Q. It seems a little backwards to have an offer of employment letter and an application for employment. Yours is not, I will represent to you, the only person on file where that is the 13 case.
- 14 A. I know.
- 15 O. Can you tell us, do you have any 16 understanding of why individuals would apply for employment, fill out the application for employment after being offered the position?
- 19 A. What was the -- do I have an 20 understanding as to why?
- O. Yes.
- 22 A. Yeah. Actually it's not so uncommon in business. It's not the standard way to do it, but it happens where, you know, you hear of -- of a Page 49

job. It's, hey, speak -- just like I said, she called, she knew of a job. She called, she said, hey, I have a lead for you.

I mean, the most recent job I had the same thing happen. I got a phone call from them because they found my resume online and said, hey, I went in, I had the interviews, and literally didn't fill out the application for employment until they had to do the backgrounds check.

- 10 O. At any point during your employment with 11 the county, did you hear or come to learn that Defendant Halcovage hand selected anyone for a specific position at the county?
- 14 A. I have heard that statement before, yes.
- 15 Q. Who told you that?
- 16 It was -- I can't remember a specific person. It wasn't like I heard it one time.
- 18 O. So it's something you heard more than 19 one time?
 - A. Oh, yeah.
- 21 O. Would you say it was, I guess, a common -- commonly talked about by county 23 employees?
 - Yes, I would say that. But in all

²⁴ to the county administrator?

Page 50 Page 52 fairness I have to say, if there was an opening, I 1 A. Yes. 2 would have -- I met with Gary Bender every day Q. And at all times during your employment mostly. If there was an open position, he would that was Defendant Bender? regularly say he would check with the A. commissioners to see if anyone of them had a Q. I want you to take a look over the essential duties and responsibilities, which particular person in mind for that position. Okay. begins on Page 1. Q. It wasn't just Commissioner Halcovage. Let me know when you're finished. A. 9 I think it happened with all three commissioners. A. Okay. 10 Do you agree Schuylkill County -- well, 10 O. Q. At any time during your employment, were 11 geographically it might be large. It's a small 11 there any -- are any of the essential duties and responsibilities that are listed there, were you county in population? unable to perform them? 13 Absolutely. A. 14 I don't understand. My ability? 14 Q. An everybody knows everybody type town? 15 O. Were you always during your employment 15 A. 16 able to perform any and all of these essential 16 MS. SMITH: Mark this as 81. It is Bates 1138 through 1140. duties and responsibilities? 17 18 18 Α. I have the knowledge, skills, and 19 19 (Bates Stamped 1138-1140 marked as abilities, yes. 20 If we look to the first essential duty Exhibit-81 for identification.) O. 20 21 and responsibilities, it says: Conduct employee relations, activities. Arrange and schedule 22 BY MS. SMITH: 23 Now marked for the record Exhibit 81, meetings between grieving workers, union stewards, 0. it's Bates 1138 through 1140. ²⁴ and managerial personnel to investigate and Page 53 Page 51 1 Ms. Twigg, do you recognize this resolve grievances. document? 2 Do you see that? Yes. A. A. This is the job classification Q. Can you tell us what your understanding Q. description for the position of human resources and knowledge is of the grievance process. If an director at Schuylkill County, correct? employee who is a union member has an issue, they A. bring it to the attention of their supervisor? 8 O. If we turn to the last page, it is dated A. Correct. November 17 -- November 2017. Do you see that? Q. It's something that could result in the 10 10 filing of a grievance, what should happen? A. 11 Does that mean that this policy -- this 11 There was six different unions. So O. job classification description went into effect in 12 there could be six different answers to this November 2017? question. 14 14 A. This particular version, yes. Q. Okay. 15 15 So this was the job classification Α. But let me --Q. 16 description for your position when you started for O. So then let me specify then. the county, correct? The union that a tax assessment employee 18 is in, the tax assessment employee says to their A. Yes. 19 Q. Did this change at any point during your manager or supervisor, hey, I have this issue, 20 what, if anything, should that manager and/or on employment? conjunction with the employee do next? 21 A. No. If an employee had an issue, and I think 22 Q. Also on that last page it indicates, as A. we talked about before, that the position reports this would cover all of them, but they would

initially go to their supervisor. If they could

13

14

16

solutions.

not resolve it with supervisor, if the supervisor
was not the head of the department, they
technically would then go to the head of the
department. If that couldn't be resolved, they
would come to HR. We could have -- we would have
formal meeting. The union member would have the
ability, the right to have a union representative
there. In that case, most of the time it was the
union steward. If we're speaking specifically for
tax claims, tax assessment it was union steward in
the absence of the union. We would have a
meeting, address the issues, come up with possible

And it depends if -- was it the employee having a problem or was it a disciplinary action type situation.

Q. Well, let's give you a specific example.

If an employee was placed on the PAR -had a PAR completed and was placed on the agenda
for resignation and they were not resigning and
they brought that issue to their supervisor, hey,
I'm not resigning, what would the scenario be in

that case?

A. I would assume if they went and said,

hey, I'm not resigning, that a supervisor would then have a discussion with them about -- I mean, how did the miscommunication happen. How did the supervisor think they were resigning. I would then -- if they can't -- couldn't resolve it, I would think they would go to their -- technically they could go to HR and then they could call for a meeting with a union representative. And obviously HR and the supervisor and everybody get in the meeting.

Q. So in that scenario, if the employee went to the direct supervisor, so if it was an assessment office employee and they went to the chief assessor and said, hey, I saw it on the agenda for resignation, I'm not resigning, should the chief assessor ever tell the employee, you need to file a grievance without engaging in any interactive discussion?

¹⁹ A. They could.

²⁰ Q. Okay.

²¹ A. Wouldn't -- they could.

Q. Do you know, does the grievance form

indicate that the chief assessor, the head of the

department, is supposed to engage in an

¹ interactive process first?

A. The form itself, I don't remember. The contract itself, I don't remember.

⁴ Q. Okay.

A. If you have a copy of a form or a contract.

Q. Yup, we can get that for you during the break.

⁹ A. Okay.

15

Q. The next portion of No. 1 says: Serve as equal employment opportunity officer and Americans with Disabilities Act officer. Review and respond to complaints regarding employment discrimination.

If an employee of the county during your employment had filed an EEOC charge, would you be tasked with filing a response or a position statement, as they call it?

¹⁹ A. Yeah. I would be tasked with conducting an investigation and then responding, yes.

Q. Would that response -- would you do that with -- in conjunction with any other employees of the county?

A. I guess it would depend. I don't know

Page 57

Page 56

that we ever had an EEOC claim, except the one we're talking about, this one. I can tell you, I conducted the investigation, sometimes in conjunction with Solicitor Roth, in particular when we interviewed -- do I have to use the Jane Does or can I use --

O. You can use their name.

A. When we interviewed Jane Doe 2, it was in conjunction with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 and Gary Bender, but that was at the request of Jane Doe 2. Otherwise I would have spoken with Jane Doe 2 alone.

13 O. Okav.

And just for the record, when you're referring to Jane Doe 2, that's Jane Doe 2, correct?

A. Sorry. Yes.

¹⁸ Q. It's okay.

It was her request that Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, and Mr. Bender be there or that just --

A. Yes. That's my -- well, I got that from Jane Doe 3, that's my understanding.

Q. Did you prepare any position statement for the county in regards to this litigation, EEOC

17

charge?

- A. When you say a position statement, are vou talking about the final report after all the investigations were done?
- Q. A response to an EEOC charge, so something that was submitted -- did you prepare --
- No, because the EEOC charge hadn't been A. filed yet.
- Q. Okay.

10 Sometimes these questions might seem 11 obvious, but we just have to make a record of 12 everything that happened.

- 13 Okay. A.
- 14 Q. Because you know what happened and a lot of us from reading paperwork know what happened. but we need to make sure we have it correct and on the record.
- 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. No. 2, under essential duties: Perform job analysis and prepare changes to classification of descriptions. So that would be -- it indicates you might have prepared changes to something, a document that looks like this one for other positions? Page 59

Well ---A.

1

18

19

MS. IPPOLITO: Can you state for the record that it's Exhibit 81 that you're referring to when you said a document like this one.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

BY MS. SMITH:

- The document before you that we have Q. been speaking about.
- There were times when a new position was 10 created and I had to write a job description and then had to determine what would be the appropriate pay range that it would go in, like salary grade. Yes, I did that. 14 15

Is that what you're asking?

16 Did you ever make any -- yes, I Q. appreciate that answer. 17

But also, did you ever make any changes to already established job descriptions?

20 Well, in particular I'm thinking about when there was a change made where at one point in time, the tax claim office was totally separate from the tax assessment office. At some point

those -- the change was made to where the two

offices would be joined, in a sense, and they

would -- there would be one person over that office, over tax claim/tax assessment, yes.

- Q.
- A. I revised those job descriptions, yes.
- O. You took the chief assessor job description and the director of tax claim bureau

and essentially made one hybrid --

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- description from those two?
- 11 A.
- 12 O. When you did that, did anyone else provide input or was anyone else involved in that?
 - I mean, I did it. It was reviewed by
- Gary Bender. I believe I worked in conjunction with Jane Doe 3 when I did it to make sure I had
- ¹⁷ the duties and responsibilities right because
- there were things that she was going to -- would
- be in her job description. There were things that
- the assistant director, who would be Jane Doe 4,
- ²¹ that would be in her job description. I worked
- with her in identifying which duties and
- responsibilities each of them would have to make
 - sure I had it accurate.

Page 61

Page 60

It was reviewed by Gary Bender. I assume it was reviewed by the commissioners, I'm not sure.

- O. In particular that instance where the two offices, for lack of a better word, were joined, this new job description, hybrid job description was created or drafted, is that something that needs to go on a PAR and then be voted on?
- 10 Α. Yes. A creation of a new position would have to be voted on, yes.
- 12 O. And in voting on that, the commissioners would be provided a copy of the job description to review and consider?
 - A. Yes.

15

16 If we look to No. 4, collaborates with benefit administrator to manage health and welfare plans, including enrollments and terminations, process required documents through payroll and insurance providers to ensure accurate record keeping and proper deduction. 22

Do you see that?

- 23 A.
- 24 O If there were issues, questions,

1

Page 64

Page 62 concerns with an employee's enrollment in the health and welfare plans of the county, is that something that their questions and concerns should be directed to HR?

5 A. Yes.

- Q. And HR's director should be able to answer that employee's questions or concerns, maybe alone or with -- at least with the assistance of a benefit administrator, correct?
- 10 I would say with the assistance of the 11 insurance broker.
- 12 Q. Well, okay. So let me get it more specific so I can make sure I know who needs to be involved.
- 15 A. Okav.
- Let's start with this one, if an 16 Q. employee wanted to know if they took another position within the county, if that would affect their enrollment in the health and welfare plans, would there be a waiting period, for instance, is that something that -- who would have that information to be able to answer those questions? 23 I think the benefits administrator might, but the HR director is going to know more

Page 63 about specific issues like that, I think, then the benefits administrator.

- Is that something that the insurance individual would need to be involved in or is that something the HR director could answer on their own?
- I think that's something the HR director A. should be able to answer on their own. You would have to look at the plan documents and what the require -- the parameters and the requirements are.
- $^{12}|Q.$ I know it's been some time and I don't mean to take you back to things you may not remember, but do you know if there is any county policy that prohibits an employee from holding more than one position with the county?
- 17 A.
- Is there a policy that says if you hold 18 Q. two position, you just can't work more than 40 hours combined; does that sound familiar?
- 21 Sorry. I'm thinking about people that I A. knew that had two jobs with the county. 22
- So there are specific individuals you ²⁴ know who held two county jobs?

A. Sure.

- 2 Q. Can you tell us some of those people?
- Deb Detweiler, she worked full time in 3 A. tax assessment and she was also a deputy coroner.
 - Anyone else? Q.
- A. I'm sure there were. People -- yeah, there were people who worked there that also are tax collectors. There are -- it's not uncommon. It's not...
- 10 Q. Okav.

11 And do those individuals have -- have and are entitled to county health and welfare plans or enrollment in those plans?

- A. Yes.
- 15 The second portion of that No. 4 O. indicates -- on Document 81 indicates, ensure accurate recordkeeping and proper deductions. Would this include accurate recordkeeping of an employee's hours for purposes of health and welfare plan enrollment?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Does the county require an employee to Q. 23 maintain a certain threshold of hours in order to ²⁴ be eligible?

Page 65 Federal law does. Person must regularly 1 A. work 30 hours. If someone works regularly 30 hour a week and an organization offers insurance, then that person would be entitled to insurance as well. It's 30 hours a week or more.

- O. In conjunction with the benefits administrator, is it the HR director's job to ensure accurate recordkeeping for determination of an employee's FMLA eligibility?
- 10 No. It's in conjunction -- I don't know how it is now, I have been gone for two and a half years, but at the time it was conjunction with the ¹³ HR specialist. Benefits administrator did not handle FMLA.
- 15 O. Is there a third party -- again, when ¹⁶ I'm asking you questions, I may say is there, meaning we would be talking present tense, but obviously I understand you're no longer employed 19 by the county, correct?
- 20 A. Right.
- ²¹ Q. So when I am asking questions, even if I am speaking in the presence sense, I'm asking for what you knew at the time when you were employed 24 there

15

18

Page 67

Page 66

Page 68

Okay. A.

Q. When you were employed with Schuylkill County, was there a third-party contractor or agency that Schuylkill County worked with to track an employees' hours?

A. For benefit purposes?

Q. For FMLA eligibility purposes?

No. That was also done by the HR A. specialist. She had a -- she didn't like it, but we found a tracking spreadsheet, an Excel spreadsheet that could easily track. She had to enter a lot of information, but she would track ¹³ it.

14 Q. If we turn to the second page of Exhibit 15 81, there's No. 8 at the top.

It indicates identifies legal requirements in government reporting regulations effecting human resource functions and policies, procedures, and reporting are in compliance.

Did this include ensuring that county policies, such as sexual harassment, anti-retaliation, those types of policies, were in compliance and up to date?

24 A. Yes.

16

19

2.0

1

Q. It identifies legal requirements.

Did you -- did the HR department at Schuylkill County have a solicitor assigned to it?

No. We -- well, the county -- I would work regularly with the county solicitor, Al Marshall, as well as the assistant solicitor, Glenn Roth, and sometime with the second assistant solicitor, Chris Hobbs.

Did any of those individuals you just Q. 10 named ever assist in ensuring that policies were current, up to date with legal requirements?

12 I mean, I would confer with Solicitor A. ¹³ Roth on a regular basis about matters, but HR --HR matters, I mean, I kept up to date, confirmed 15 with him or with Al Marshall.

Is that what you're asking?

17 Q. So there's actually written policies

18 of --

16

19 A. Yes.

20 -- the county, correct? Q.

21 Yes. A.

Did you ever speak with Defendant Roth 22 Q.

about a specific policy, if it was outdated, 23

needed updating, needed changes, revisions,

anything like that?

2 Yeah, I'm sure. I don't know if you're Α. asking about a specific policy.

Do you recall a specific policy? O.

to familiarize myself with them.

A. I can tell you one of the things that Gary Bender tasked me with doing when I first started working was going through the employee handbook and updating any policies that were not up to date. And quite honestly, I mean, when I was -- I was given a copy of all of the policies and the weekend before I started working, I literally spent going through all the policies

As I was going through, did I make notes of things that were not necessarily accurate and needed to be corrected? Yes.

because I needed -- being the head of HR, I needed

Did -- at some point in time I was reviewing them on -- after I started working, for specific changes. There was a point in time where myself, Solicitor Roth, Lisa Mahall, and Gary Bender started getting together because I was busy, and so it didn't move fast enough for Mr. Bender. And so we all started meeting, initially

Page 69 started, I think, weekly or every other week. We didn't get very far and COVID hit. Needless to say, that went out the window. But, yes, we met and discussed policies just to make sure everything was up to date, legal, what it needed to be

Q. And as a result of those meetings, were county policies updated?

Yes and no. We made the corrections. A. 10 We were waiting until the entire thing, we didn't piecemeal and take every policy before, because, again, it had to go before the commissioners for a ¹³ vote. There were a couple that got changed. I can't tell you off the top of my head what they were. But the idea was we would update the whole book and then take the entire employee handbook and have it approved all at once. 18

Q. So let me make sure I understand.

So they might have gotten changed, meaning they may have been discussed and revisions agreed upon in this group that you were talking about, but they were not implemented because they had not been submitted to the commissioners --24

Correct.

Page 73

Page 70 And they had not then been voted on? Q.

A.

Q. That was the plan that all --

A.

Q. -- would be discussed, changes would be agreed upon, and a collective revision group would be submitted to the commissioners?

A. Yes. But I do remember there was at least a couple exceptions that we updated. I can't remember what that were. I can't remember what it was about. But I remember there were maybe two or three that had gotten changed and we

needed to -- we needed it done immediately for some reason.

15 And meaning changed, they were Q. actually -- the changes were implemented? 16

And voted on, yes.

18 Q. And distributed to employees?

19 A. Yes.

Okay. 20 Q.

Well, it was -- it was uploaded to the 21 A. handbook, which was on the website. Employees were made aware. But I can't even tell you which ones they were.

Q. Okay.

1

10

Is it your testimony that the reason that the rest of them did not get implemented is because then COVID hit and obviously there were more pressing things --

A. Yes. Yeah.

Q. -- that needed to be addressed?

The next one is No. 9, coordinates online training and safety, sexual harassment, supervision, and other topics?

11 A. Uh-huh.

Did you coordinate online training for 12 Q. sexual harassment at the county?

14 I myself didn't do it, but someone who reported to me did it, yes. The HR specialist coordinated that training.

While you were employed with the county, 17 Q. what was your understanding of how often staff of the county should be trained regarding sexual 19 20 harassment?

21 Every two years, every other year. A.

22 Did the requirement apply to -- I am going to differentiate employees from elected ²⁴ officials.

Did the requirement apply to elected official as well as employees?

3 A. Yes.

O. Was there ever a time that you had an issue with any employee or elected official not completing a sexual harassment training?

Yes. Α.

9

22

Page 71

8 Q. Can you tell us about that?

A. It was -- had to be 2019, a training had been -- you know, I don't know the word to use. We had indicated that a training had to be -- it was time to complete this training again. ¹³ Regularly I would go to the HR specialist asking,

has everybody completed. Show me the list, where are we at. And there were a number of people --¹⁶ eventually we got it dwindled down, but there were -- there were -- yeah, there was times you had to chase people down to get them to complete 19 the training. 20

Was there ever a time that you had an issue with Defendant Halcovage completing the sexual harassment training?

23 There was a time when he hadn't 24 completed it, yes.

Q. Tell us about that.

2 A. There were -- and in all fairness, all three of the commissioner hadn't completed it yet. I had indicated to the HR specialist, you need to send these people e-mails, you need to tell them they need to complete this training. She was uncomfortable with that because they were commissioners. It's like, I don't care, they have to complete it.

There was a point in time where I spoke with Commissioner Halcovage about it. I can't remember whether he contacted me or I contacted him or whether I had mentioned it to Gary Bender and he contacted him. At any rate, he was having trouble logging in because it was an online training. He was having trouble logging in to complete the training. I had had a similar problem, it was something I was doing. I went to him, went to his office, tried to log him in.

I reached out to the HR specialist who was at a conference with Solicitor Roth. She was a little bit annoyed that I bothered her at a conference, but this needed to be done. She ²⁴ walked me through the process so that I walked --

```
Page 74
                                                                                                            Page 76
  I went through the process while sitting at his
                                                             training, did they similarly complete, sign, and
  desk on his computer and got him logged in to the
                                                             acknowledge that they had done so?
  training.
                                                           3
                                                             A.
                                                                     Yes.
   Q.
          Do you know if Defendant Halcovage then
                                                             O.
                                                                     Do you know, did Defendant Halcovage
   completed that online training?
                                                             complete, sign, and acknowledge that he had done
  A.
          He did not finalize, complete it, no.
                                                             so?
   He started watching it. The system -- the online
                                                                     Not to my knowledge.
                                                             A.
   system had -- it showed you, you could print out a
                                                                    If he had, would that be a false
                                                             Q.
   report and you could see if someone logged in.
                                                             representation based of off what you observed on
  You could see how many minutes they watched. You
                                                             the CCAP website list?
                                                          11
  could see if they took the test at the end.
                                                             A.
                                                                     Yes. Can I state something?
                                                          12
12
  Q.
          Did he take the test at the end?
                                                             O.
                                                                     Sure.
                                                          13
13
  A.
          No. He didn't complete it. That was
                                                             Α.
                                                                    I don't believe he had because once
                                                             this -- once this investigation started, I do
  far from completed. This was 2019.
          Do you know then after this training,
15
                                                             recall going back and pulling files of people
   Q.
   was this the C -- indulge me.
                                                            involved to see who completed, who had a signed
16
17
         The local GovU/CCAP website training.
                                                             document in their files.
                                                          18
            MS. SMITH: We'll mark this as 82.
18
                                                             Q.
                                                                    In the meantime --
   It's SC 1077.
                                                          19
19
                                                             A.
                                                                     Can I ask a question?
                                                          20
20
                                                             Q.
                                                                     Sure.
                                                          21
                                                                    Is it possible to get water?
21
         (Bates Stamped 1077 marked as Exhibit-82
                                                             A.
                                                          22
   for identification.)
22
                                                             Q.
                                                                     Oh, yes. I'm so sorry.
                                                          23
23
                                                                   The next one on No. 10 back on 81
                                                          <sup>24</sup> indicates: Advises management an appropriate
24
            THE WITNESS: Yes. This would have
                                                  Page 75
  been the one because I signed it when I --
                                                            resolution of employee regulations issues. During
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                            your employment with Schuylkill County, did you
          So this one indicates that you -- that's
                                                            have the opportunity to do that?
   O.
                                                             A.
   your signature and printed name?
                                                                    Yes.
                                                            O.
          Yes.
                                                                    Did you ever face any difficulties when
   A.
                                                             doing so?
   Q.
          You completed this training in August of
   2019?
                                                             A.
                                                           8
                                                                    Can you tell us what difficulties you
          Yes.
                                                             O.
   A.
                                                            faced that you can recall?
   Q.
          Is this the one that --
                                                          10
                                                                    I can think of one instance in
10
          Yes, same one.
  A.
          Local ProgU/CCAP website, is that the
                                                             particular where it was after the elections of
   0.
                                                            2019, Deb Detweiler had run for coroner. An
   training you're saying that you, as the HR
  director, were able to view who actually went all
                                                          <sup>13</sup> individual in the -- and she worked as a deputy
                                                             coroner at the time, part time, she worked full
  the way through the process?
15
          The HR specialist was able to view. I
                                                            time in tax assessment. There was an individual
  A.
   would go to her and have her pull it up. She
                                                            admin, she was an administrative person who worked
   would provide me the list and show me.
17
                                                             part time, I believe, in the coroner's office,
18
          You looked at the list?
                                                             that individual supported Deb during the election.
   Q.
                                                          19
                                                                   I can tell you when Deb lost the
19
   A.
          Yes.
20
                                                            election, it was -- Mr. Bender in one of our
   Q.
          And it indicates that Defendant
   Halcovage had not completed it?
                                                          <sup>21</sup> 4:00 meetings stated that he wanted both of them
                                                            terminated and it had to happen -- this was like
22
   A.
          Correct.
          After employees and elected officials
                                                          on a Tuesday or so, and it had to happen by the
23
   Q.
```

²⁴ end of the week. And I told him that the

were to have taken this local GovU/CCAP website

Page 78 individual who was the admin was covered under a union and it was illegal to fire someone without a Loudermill and I was not going to do that.

What was Defendant Bender's response O. when you told him you wouldn't do it?

A. He became irate. He basically told me that I was a county employee and I needed to take management's side and he didn't want to hear any of this nonsense about HR being Switzerland, because I had a regular saying that HR is like ¹¹|Switzerland, you don't -- it's not that take management's side, that's why in every union contract, the last step before arbitration is that there is a -- it goes to HR to review all sides and then to make a determination about what the 16 appropriate resolution would be or recommend a 17 resolution.

But it's not -- actually what I told him was we don't live in the 1960s anymore, that's not HR's role. HR's role is to be there for both the employee, as well as the employer. And they are more independent, they're Switzerland.

23 You indicated Defendant Bender became O. ²⁴ irate. Was this the only time that you observed

Page 79

Defendant --

2 A. No.

18

19

Q. -- Bender engage in irate behavior?

A.

O. Would you say it was a common occurrence or a non-common occurrence?

It was more common than not. I can tell you as a result of that situation, his response was, do I need to call George in here because this is not going to end well. I looked at him and I said, yes, you do need to call George in here 12 because you're right, this won't end well.

13 **Q**. What did you think or take Defendant Bender to mean by it won't end well? 14

15 My life would not be pleasant, I could tell you that. He did call George in,

Commissioner Halcovage. Commissioner Halcovage --

18 oh, he also called in Solicitor Roth. Actually

Solicitor Roth showed up late because he was on 19

²⁰ the phone with Attorney Marshall. And prior to

Solicitor Roth getting in there, Mr. Bender stated

that he had spoken with Mr. Roth and he -- and Mr.

²³ Roth agreed with him. I told him that I had

²⁴ spoken with solicitor Marshall and Solicitor

Page 80 Marshall agreed with me. I stated, it's illegal. And I told you when I was hired, I will not do anything illegal, unethical, immoral. If you want to fire me, fire me. If you want me to quit, I will quit, but I'm not doing something illegal?

At that point he called in -- I believe -- I don't remember Commissioner Halcovage was in for that part or not. But Commissioner Halcovage came in. At that point, Solicitor Roth showed up, apologized for being late. Stated that Mr. Bender -- I don't know whether he recited the whole situation, but Mr. Roth said that he was late because he was on the phone with Attorney Marshall and that he now agreed with me, and ¹⁵ Attorney Marshall, you cannot fire this person without a Loudermill.

17 0. Did the person get fired?

18 Α. The person's employment ended. I'm trying to think if the word fired was -- yes, I believe she was, because she had already been told, no sooner did I get back to my office, I had gotten an e-mail from the business agent that the coroner had already gone to her and told her that her employment would be ending. And, yes, she was

Page 81

fired.

2 What happened was -- it had to be the next day, next couple of days, at some point Solicitor Marshall came into my office after a commissioner meeting and literally sat there for two hours trying to convince me, twisting words, manipulating things, trying to convince me that it was okay to let this person go. I said I would not do it. It was illegal. I could site the case that the Supreme Court made. After two hours -at one point he said, look, you know, I report to the same person you do, this needs to happen. I said, I'm not doing it. If you want to do it, you do it, but I'm not doing it.

He eventually said he had other things to do and stood up and told me that I was extremely stubborn. And basically I told him, I was principle and there was a difference.

19 Q. Was the person afforded a Loudermill 20 hearing? 21

A. Yes and no. What happened was, in the end the -- there was a grievance filed that she was told she was going to be fired. There was a Loudermill meeting. They conceded the grievance,

the person was terminated.

so that went away. They meaning -- I sat there, I did not say a word because I did not agree with any of it. So solicitor Marshall ran the meeting.
I can't remember whether Solicitor Roth was there or not. But he conceded the grievance and then brought up a number of other issues and basically

Q. After this discussion and your unwillingness to participate in what you believe was a violation of the law or ethics and morals, what was your life like at the county courthouse in the immediate time there after?

A. Mr. Bender did not speak to me for three weeks or so. He was extremely angry. I walked into the commissioner office and the staff had said, he just -- he isn't going to speak with you.

He made it very clear, I do not want to speak with that woman, I'm busy.

Q. Did any of the other individuals who were involved similarly treat you?

²¹ A. No.

Q. Do you know why Defendant Bender wanted to -- I don't know if you said this, but the individual in question was Ms. Deb Detweiler,

correct?

A. Deb was -- Deb -- it was Deb Detweiler and it was Charlene somebody or other, I can't remember her last name. Deb -- Deb as a coroner, as a deputy coroner, part-time position, she also worked full time in tax assessment. Her employment with the coroner's office was terminated because she was not part of the union.

Charlene, who was an administrative employee, was considered part of the union.

What was your question?

Q. So the person -- let's start with Deb Detweiler.

¹⁴ A. Okay.

Q. So he wanted to -- Defendant Bender wanted Deb Detweiler terminated, correct?

¹⁷ A. Yes.

Q. She was not the one that required the Loudermill hearing, correct?

²⁰ A. Correct.

²¹ Q. Do you know why Bender wanted Deb

²² Detweiler fired or terminated?

A. From the coroner's office, I guess,

because like I said, it was an ugly election. She

lost and that's my understanding. She had made some accusations that were probably considered somewhat embarrassing about the coroner's office during the election, the incompetence of it.

⁵ Q. Did Deb Detweiler run as a democrat candidate --

⁷ A. Yes.

⁸ O. -- for the coroner's office?

⁹ A. Yes.

Q. Do you believe that her decision to run as a democrat had any influence on Defendant Bender's decision to want to have her terminated?

A. I think her choice to run against the current coroner had something to do with it. I guess she could have run as an independent, but I don't know.

Q. Did Defendant Bender give any justification why he wanted the other individual in that scenario -- who was the other?

A. Charlene Herring, I think is her name. Charlene Herring, maybe.

Q. Did he give any justification for why he wanted her fired?

A. I think because of her relations to Deb

Page 85

Detweiler. They -- there were acquisitions that

betweiler. They -- there were acquisitions that

betweiler. They -- there were acquisitions that

betweiler. They -- there were acquisitions that

she was accessing information. There was -- there

were all sorts of accusations. But I -- show me.

Give me some sort of documentation that we can

bring to a Loudermill and that was never provided.

Q. Did they ever ask you -- when you challenged them or requested from them that documentation would be needed to support this, did they ever ask you to conduct an investigation?

A. Well, it's an elected official. I can't do that. It's -- the elected official has to do it. The elected official solicitor got involved.

He also was not the biggest fan of mine. I believe at one point, Mr. Bender came in my office and said, well, you're not going to be on his Christmas list.

The other thing he said at the same conversation was that -- what's his name? Whoever it is. He's an attorney and I looked at him and I said -- no offense to all of you -- I said he's an attorney, he's not God and he's wrong and I'm going to tell him he's wrong. Read the freaking contract. If you don't understand it, maybe you shouldn't be an attorney. Sorry.

Page 83

Page 89

```
Q. Did you ever observe Defendant Bender engage in similar conduct when -- strike that.
```

Did you ever observe male employees or males question or challenge Defendant Bender's position on a topic?

- A. I don't -- no -- well, it's -- I don't know.
- Q. You were just actually testifying kind of to a situation. You were saying that Al Marshall eventually -- or Glenn Roth came in and maybe said that Al Marshall --
- ¹² A. Right.
- ¹³ Q. -- said that you were right?
- ¹⁴ A. Yeah. Uh-huh.
- ¹⁵ Q. When Glenn Roth conveyed that to
- Defendant Bender, what was Defendant Bender's reaction to that?
- ¹⁸ A. He wasn't happy about that either.
- Q. Was he similarly aggressive and irate with Al Marshall's position?
- A. I don't think he stopped speaking to him for three weeks.
- Q. Do you believe at any point that you observed Defendant Bender treat women differently

than men?

A. Honestly, no. He was -- when he was mean, if he -- no. He was nasty to everybody.

Don't get me wrong, he could be the nicest guy in the world. Give you an example, give you two

examples. Got home one night, I had got out of work, went to a meeting, got home, walked in the

house, it was February, first night we had below

⁹ zero temperatures. I had to call him about

business issue. He said, we'll talk in the

morning. I told him I don't know that I'll be

there, here's what's happening. No sooner did we

hang up the phone -- sorry, I'm going to cry. No

sooner did I hang up the phone, within two minutes

my phone rings and it's a person from the oil

¹⁶ folks take care of my furnace and the oil company.

I had been trying to reach them. Their system was messing up, it wouldn't even go to a message. It

wouldn't go to an emergency number, you just -- it

²⁰ just kept ringing.

And no sooner did we hang up, he called this man on his personal cell phone. I answer the phone, he said Deb, this is Jamie. I understand vou're having a problem and he literally got

someone to my house within 30 minutes.

So could do something that great. I had
a dear friend from high school who came down with
cancer. She also worked in the courthouse. I
considered him a friend. I went to him and, you
know, explained what was happening. Shortly
thereafter, I show up at work one day and as I'm
walking through the office, the women in the
office, my staff said, oh, somebody left you a
present. I go in and in a bag, it wasn't a
present for me, but it was a present for my friend
and it's -- I don't know if you've ever heard of
those prayer blankets or healing blankets that

I mean, he could be the most kind, compassionate man. But if you pissed him off, he could be the most angry, vindictive, nasty person as well.

they sell. He had bought this for me to give to

- Q. Want a tissue? You want a break?
- ²¹ A. I'll take on.
- ²² Q. You okay?
- 23 A. I'm okay.

erently 24 Q. Okay.

15

her.

If you need a break, let us know.

² A. I will.

Q. The next item on the list is, consulted managers in health care professionals to evaluate the need for develop and implement accommodations return to work, light duty, and other responses for disability.

Was it the job responsibility of the HR director to engage in the interactive process with employees who needed reasonable accommodation requests?

- 12 A. Yes.
- ¹³ Q. Who might need FMLA?
- ¹⁴ A. Yes.
- ¹⁵ Q. Who -- go ahead.
- ¹⁶ A. Yes.
- Q. And did you do that at any point during your job, engage in interactive discussions with employees?
- ²⁰ A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. Is that a yes?
- ²² A. Yes.

- -

(Whereupon, brief recess was held off the

Page 90 Page 92 is? 1 record at 11:07 a.m.) 2 A. The solicitor and the assistant solicitor and the second assistant solicitor all (Back on the record at 11:18 a.m.) fell under county administration. Then under county administrator we see MS. SMITH: Pulling up Exhibit-43 O. from yesterday. human resources. A. BY MS. SMITH: Uh-huh. Q. Second on the right, do you see that? This is a document that's been Q. Uh-huh. previously marked as Exhibit-43. A. 10 Do you recognize this document? Q. Is that a yes? 11 I recognize it. Have I seen it before? 11 A. Yes. A. No, I don't think so, but I know what it is by 12 O. And then down from that we see the 13 looking at it, if that makes sense. 13 assessment office. 14 14 It doesn't. Do you agree? Q. 15 15 It's titled Schuylkill County Α. Yes. 16 So is it your understanding when you 16 organizational chart. Q. 17 worked for the county, the assessment office and Do you agree? 18 Uh-huh. human resources reported to the county A. If we -- if we look at the top, there is 19 19 administrator? Q. 20 the electorate and then we have commissioners 20 Α. Yes. 21 right there? O. Do you know at the time you were employed by the county, did the assessment office 22 Uh-huh. A. 23 report to the solicitor? From commissioners, it flows to -- the second to the left in that, to solicitor. Do you No. I believe the assessment office Page 93 see that? We have commissioners, then it flows to reported to the county administrator. solicitor. All of those solicitor, administrator, O. tax claim, human resources, and assessment, all A. Oh, yes. Underneath of solicitor is tax claims. reported to and were supervised by the Q. commissioners? Do you see that? A. Yes. A. Again, please. Was it your understanding when you Solicitor, tax claim, county Q. O. worked for the county that tax claim bureau administrator, human resources, and assessment reported to the solicitor? office. I know they worked very closely 10 10 MR. LETTRICH: Object to the form. together. I guess it was a dotted line, my 11 But you can answer that. 12 understanding. 12 BY MS. SMITH: If we look over to the right, there's Q. O. All reported to the commissioner? 14 Well, essentially everybody reports to 14 county administrator. A. 15 Do you see that? the commissioner. But the solicitor reported to 16 Yes. the county administrator. A. 17 17 Q. So based on this organizational chart, a Q. And then up to the commissioners? county administrate and solicitor were coworkers, 18 A. Yes. not -- neither reported to either, they were 19 19 O. Understood. 20 20 coworkers? MS. SMITH: Going to mark as 83, it That's not my understanding of how it is SC-1082. 21 A. 22 22 is. 23 (Bates Stamped 1082 marked as Exhibit-83 23 Q. Okay. So what's your understanding of how it ²⁴ for identification.)

Deposition of Debra Twigg - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 98 Page 100 paragraph, it states on Friday, May 22, 2020, when was saying happened or what was the contents of you arrived at your office, Jane Doe 3 was at your ² it? door and that's what you just testified happened; A. All I remember is it had the attachment is that correct? of that umpteen-page letter with all those ⁵**A**. Yes. statements. Q. It indicates that she asked if you had Q. So I wasn't involved from my office then, so I am trying -seen her e-mails. You told her no and then she asked you to check your e-mails and to call or Oh. sorry. 9 And it hasn't been produced -come to her office. Is that what happened? Q. 10 10 You're right. No, it wasn't you. It A. A. 11 Q. After you checked your e-mail, what, if 11 was -- I don't know the guy's name. There was -anything, did you do next? 12 O. Ian Bryson. 13 13 I went to Jane Doe 3's office. A. Α. Yes. And did you speak with Jane Doe 3 when 14 14 Q. Q. It's a letter from him? 15 you got to her office? I guess. A. 16 16 I did. Q. What I'm trying to figure out, because A. 17 Was it just you Jane Doe 3 at that time Q. again --18 or was anyone else present? A. I know. I forgot about that guy. I 19 19 It was myself and Jane Doe 3. She was forgot about that guy. 20 20 sort of recapping. And at some point Was it the claim preservation letter everything -- very briefly. I at some point just where it was talking about electronic data? 22 said, stop, I need to go get a pen and paper and I A. Yes. That was it, that was part of it, 23 need to let Gary Bender know what's going on. ves. ²⁴ O. When you say recapping everything at In addition to that, was there anything Page 99 Page 101 that point, what was it that Jane Doe 3 was that you received in that first e-mail that gave telling you she knew -- strike that. you any indication of what the allegations were beyond a more general scope? You saw Jane Doe 1 e-mail to Jane Doe 3. I thought there were statements. You correct? Α. know, there -- I thought there were statements. Which e-mail? Q. The one where she reported she was a Q. What do you mean by statements? victim of sexual harassment? A. Well, when I did the investigations, I developed the questions for Commissioner Halcovage A. I saw -- no. I saw -- Jane Doe 3 sent based on the 80 or 90 items that were listed in me an e-mail that had the document where you had 10 listed like 80 or 90 some statements. Is that the document I had received. 11 Q. Okay.

- what you're talking about?
- $^{12}|Q.$ My question for you is: When Jane Doe 3 sent you the e-mail the night of 21st of May, what
- was it that she sent you, forwarded you, or told
- 15 you, the one you looked at --
- 16 It stated that she had received this A.
- letter from you and it was attached. It might 18 have even been your e-mail to her forwarded, I
- can't remember. I can tell you, I remember 19
- exactly what I did. I started looking at this
- and, sorry, but I was like, holy shit. I got up
- and I went to Jane Doe 3's office and said what
- the heck is going on.
- So was it facts about what Jane Doe 1

- $^{12}|_{A}$. Of accusations of things that had 13 happened.
- 14 O. Okay.

15 So it was a fact-based document, is what you are saying. Like, it wasn't -- it wasn't just legal language generalizing or summarizing the 18 type of --

- 19 No. I believe the fact-typed document was part of it. It started with the whole
- preservation of any kind of e-mail or electronic record or anything like that.
- In any event, Ms. Twigg, after you went to Jane Doe 3's office, you were saying you were

Page: 29 (98 - 101)

with Halcovage?

Because after I had gone back to speak

23

Page 104 Page 102 speaking with her and she started to recap. What with Gary Bender, Solicitor Roth was there. They was it, if you remember, that she told you she said they had spoken to him and Solicitor Roth 3 knew at that point? recommended that he get his own -- get an 4 A. She said she had been talking with Jane attorney. Doe 1 over the past few days, maybe a week, and O. Did they tell you anything else they Jane Doe 1 was opening up about things that had spoke with Defendant Halcovage concerning? happened, a relationship that had been going on Well, about the document that had been between her and Commissioner Halcovage. She also attached to the e-mail. And they also said that explained some issues that she, as well as Jane Commissioner Halcovage had received the same Doe 4 had with Commissioner Halcovage. I mean, we document the night before. 11 ¹¹ talked for about 20 or 30 minutes before I said, I Did either Defendant Bender or Defendant 12 need to get -- I need to start writing this stuff Roth indicate why they believed Defendant 13 Halcovage needed his own counsel? down. 14 Q. You said you told her you need to go get A. I don't remember. You would have to ask a pen and talk with Defendant Bender? 15 him that. 16 Q. 16 A. Yes. Okay. 17 Did you, in fact, go get a pen and paper 17 He was the one that made the statement. Q. Α. and speak with Defendant Bender? He may or may not have said a reason. I don't 19 19 A. Yes, I did. remember that. It was a busy day. 20 What did you tell Defendant Bender? 20 O. Understandably so. O. 21 21 He had somebody -- they were actually So you had this initial conversation A. looking for me. I said, look, I need to talk with with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, it looks like, in you. The IT guy left. I said we just got a the beginning -- those early hours of May 22nd. 24 ²⁴ document that -- from an attorney accusing George And did you take handwritten notes which Page 105 Page 103 of sexual harassment and hostile work environment. then you used to create this document --What was Defendant Bender's response? 2 Q. A. Yes. 3 I asked if had seen Commissioner A. Q. -- 71 in front of you? Halcovage. He said not yet. I then told him that A. Did you keep a copy of this? statement. And his comment was -- I said, look, I O. 6 need to go back and talk with Jane Doe 4 and Jane A. The handwritten notes, no. Doe 3. And his response was, we do this by the Q. Did you keep them for purposes of when book. I said, you're absolutely right, we do this you were employed by the county and left them by the book. there or did they get thrown out at some point? 10 10 What, if anything, did you do next? They were kept -- they were part of the Q. 11 I then told him I would -- I was going whole file. I didn't make a copy to keep for to talk with Jane Doe 4 and Jane Doe 3 and I'd 12 myself. come back and fill him in once I had done that. 13 0. 14 He -- I believe he and Solicitor Roth then spoke But I typed -- I typed from the notes, I A. 15 15 with Commissioner Halcovage. typed this document, so... 16 16 Was Solicitor Roth present when you O. Q. spoke with Bender --17 17 A. I knew what was in the notes by what I 18 18 No. put in here. A. 19 19 Q. -- initially? O. Do you know, were the notes verbatim to 20 what's in here? Like, did you just --A. 21 Where does the information come from or 21 Q. A. No. No. The notes were as quickly as you could 22 your belief come from that Bender and Roth spoke O.

23

24

write while they were talking?

Q. And then you put it into more formal writing?

A. Yes. And part of it was as I was typing and writing and recalled things that maybe were said -- no maybe -- things that were said and I may have gone back and asked, hey, did you say I remember this, it's not mine, did you say this? They would confirm yes or no.

⁹ Q. Okay.

And you said you maintained an investigation file for this matter?

¹² A. Yeah.

What do you mean -- yes. There was a file with everything in it, the written notes, I believe a copy of this.

Q. When you resigned from the county, do vou know where that was left?

A. Yes. It was left with all the other documents that referred -- that were part of the case, including like a thumb drive with video of

the whole incident with George going up the bank.

All of that was left. As you walk into the director's office, there were four file cabinets.

The fourth file cabinet, the one closest to me,

the bottom drawer, and it was locked and I had the

key. And the county commissioners office, more so Gary Bender, also had a key to those -- to the

office, as well as, I believe, to the file

⁵ cabinet.

Q. Who did you leave your key with?

⁷ A. Doreen Kutzler.

 $^{8}|Q$. But the interim HR individual?

⁹ A. Uh-huh.

 10 O. Is that a yes?

¹ A. Yes. Sorry.

Q. So after speaking -- spending some time speaking with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, this report indicates the next person you spoke with

was Jane Doe 2?

A. The next person that was interviewed, yes. After I spoke with them, I actually went and spoke with Gary Bender and I believe Solicitor

Roth, just informing them, hey, this is what I

have learned. While I was speaking with Jane Doe and Jane Doe 4, we called Jane Doe 2. She was

in her vehicle. I asked if she had an attorney.

She said no. I asked if she could come in that

²⁴ day and speak with us, with me.

I was then told, I think, by Jane Doe 3

and Jane Doe 4 later in the day that she would be in, what time she'd be in, but she wanted them in the meeting as well as Mr. Bender. And, yes, she was the next person that was interviewed.

⁶ Q. Did you interview anyone else that first day?

 8 A. No.

⁹ Q. Okay.

After --

A. I did attempt to speak with Commissioner Halcovage, we were supposed to. At one point he then said he was going to Philadelphia to speak with his wife.

Q. According to the notes, this was a Friday?

¹⁷ A. Yes

Q. So that weekend, did you conduct any interviews or do anything over the weekend?

20 A. No.

Q. Did you resume your investigation Monday morning when you returned to work?

²³ A. I think I was supposed to meet with Mr.

Halcovage that day. He canceled and was seeking

an attorney and said he would get back with me.

Q. Do you know if that Monday, was Commissioner Halcovage at the county courthouse?

4 A. I don't -- I don't remember. I don't

5 know.

Q. In May of 2020, when this report was made, were Jane Doe 4 and Jane Doe 2 still on county furlough due to COVID-19?

9 A. Yes. A lot of people were still. I

10 believe we furloughed, I think, April 20th or
11 somewhere thereabouts and folks didn't come back
12 until -- folks started coming back -- individual
13 departments started bringing some people -14 transitioning people back mid June. But I think
15 by the end of June most people were back.

Okay.

And you were still employed there in June of 2020?

¹⁹ A. Yes. I left September 4, 2020.

Q. When employees started to be pulled back furlough, given what you were investigating -- let me ask this. Strike that.

In end of June 2020, were you still actively conducting an investigation into the

allegations?

A. End of June, no. I think the

investigation was pretty much complete and I was pulling all the handwritten notes together and

typing up this document.

As a result of the investigation O.

concluding and you working on your final report,

did you -- and discussions regarding employees

being returned from furlough, did you have any

discussion with anyone about what to do with Jane

Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 as it related to returning 12

from furlough?

13 A. I know there were concerns about parking

spaces. I know there was -- and where people

would park and getting different -- as far as Jane

Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, getting different parking 16

spaces assigned. I'm sure we had discussions. I

can't specifically recall, but there was concern

about them feeling safe, I can tell you that. 19

Who had the concerns? 20 Q.

21 I believe it was Jane Doe 3 and I talked A. 22

about it.

23 And was there a decision ever made to O.

allow Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1 to continue to

Page 111 work from home? Or I guess they were furloughed,

so they weren't working, correct?

Correct. Furloughed people were not

working, but there were people who were working

from home. But I don't -- I don't know who was working. I mean, we had --

Right. Q.

-- 650 people that we were following. A.

Were there discussions and/or decisions Q.

made regarding Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 being

able to -- instead of return for furlough, work

12 from home?

> There were discussions. Sorry, in my A.

head I'm remembering the whole parking space thing

and I'm thinking they had to be -- they had to

have returned or we wouldn't have had the

conversations. I don't know. I'm thinking -- I

know there were discussions. Whether they 18

continued to do some work from home or not, I 19

²⁰ don't know. I don't remember. I know that there

were concerns about entry into the courthouse and

what doors were being used and them running into

²³ George, so I'm thinking that I wouldn't have had

those concerns if they were not there in the

Page 110 courthouse.

> 2 Q. And who were those conversations

regarding parking and doors and things of that

nature?

A. Well, initially the conversations were

with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, they requested it.

And then the conversations were with Gary Bender,

as well as Solicitor Roth.

9 O. So as I understand it, Jane Doe 3 and

10 Jane Doe 4 had concerns -- because Jane Doe 3 and

Jane Doe 4 were never furloughed, correct?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. They were still coming in to the

courthouse to work, correct?

15 Α. Yes.

16 Q. And they brought to you their concerns

of, hey, our parking spots are here, which were in

the lower lot at the time, correct?

19 A.

20 O. And Commissioner Halcovage parks in the

lower lot?

22 A. Yes.

23 O. We have concerns that we will run into

him and it makes us uncomfortable and feels

Page 113

Page 112

unsafe?

2 A. Yes, that's correct.

3 O. As a result of that, it's my

understanding that you went and spoke with

Defendant Bender and Defendant Roth about Jane Doe

3 and Jane Doe 4's concerns?

A. Yes.

8 O. What was Defendant Bender's response.

Strike that.

10 What was your -- did you give a

suggestion as to what should happen given the

concerns raised?

13 A. Yes. I felt, so give them a different

parking space. It doesn't cost you any money. It

15 doesn't do anything. It shows good faith.

16 Q. What was Defendant Bender's response to

your suggestion or position?

18 Well, I think there were conversations

19 going on at multiple times. He had had

²⁰ conversations with Solicitor Roth. He came into

my office, asked me my opinion. I said, look,

²² it's not going to cost you anything. It's going

23 to show good faith. We have an obligation,

there's a complaint made, we have an obligation.

Page 114 Page 116 1 this all broke, there were -- it's Schuylkill He said Solicitor Roth felt that there ² County, there were rumors. But he was stating -was absolutely no need to accommodate a parking space. I said I disagree, for the reasons I just he said how Commissioner Halcovage was getting -stated. He then got them different parking that he was kicked out of his church. He had a spaces. number of things. And he felt that with losing 6 Q. Okay. all of these things that were important to him, that he was afraid Commissioner Halcovage would do After Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were something harmful to himself or to others. given different parking spaces, there were also conversations about Defendant Halcovage's 9 Q. Did you have a similar concern? movements throughout the courthouse, as well as 10 Α. At that time, no, I didn't. And I was 11 11 what entrances individuals involved in this matter one of the ones -- Commissioner Hetherington went 12 should use? through the five concerns or the five issues that ¹³ Sheriff Groody had brought up and he disputed and 13 A. Yes. showed how each one was not true. And based on 14 Q. Tell us about those conversations. Who was involved? What happened? that, I said, look, the five reasons that you're There were a ton of people there. So I your saying you want to do this have just been 16 was there, the sheriff was there, Bender was disputed and proven that they're not true. What there, Commissioner Hetherington was there. I else are you making this decision? If the reason can't remember whether Commissioner Hess was there for the decision -- if all five had been disputed, 19 or not or if he was out for some reason. There 20 and they had been, and verified by other people, ²¹ he didn't have a basis for the decision he was 21 were other people there. wanting to make. No, at the time I did not share Sorry. In my head I am trying to go --22 we were sitting in the Hoffmann room. I'm trying the same concerns. 24 Q. to remember who all was around that. There were Okav. Page 115 Page 117 other people, I can't remember who else was there. Do you know, did this conversation ² happen before or after you spoke with Jane Doe 1 Q. Okay. for the first time regarding her reports? What was --A. It might have. I don't remember whether I don't remember. I don't remember at President Judge was there or not. what point in time it happened. Q. What was the topic of conversation? Q. Okay. The sheriff felt that there should be --But it was before September 4th, because A. A. I was no longer there then. that Commissioner Halcovage's access should be 9 limited. He was very concerned about safety for Q. Understood. 10 the women and in general. And after May 22nd? 10 11 When you say the sheriff, you mean Correct. Somewhere in there, yes. O. A. Sheriff Groody? 12 12 Q. At some point during -- just at some Sorry. Yes. Sheriff Groody. point, I guess. Did your opinion change as to A. 14 He's the head sheriff, but I just wanted whether you had concerns regarding Defendant Q. to make sure we were talking about the same Halcovage's -- safety concerns regarding Defendant person. Halcovage? 16 17 17 A. Yes. A. Yes. 18 18 What was the -- what were the O. Do you know at what point in time that Q. 19 change? Let's start with what point in time it 19 individuals who participated opinions on restricting or limiting -changed. So Commissioner Groody -- sorry --21 21 A. I actually think that changed after I A. Sheriff Groody had concerns. And he -- and I left the courthouse. remember going through them on my hand, I know 23 Q. Okay.

24

there were five. He felt -- and, you know, when

Do you know what changed your opinion?

Page 118 1 A. Yeah. I think all of the events that occurred after I left, the retaliation, the nastiness, everything that transpired from, I guess, September 4th through current day, yeah.

- O. When or how did you decide who else at the county needed to be interviewed regarding Jane Doe 1's reports?
- As I was interviewing people and A. names -- if someone stated someone was present during a comment or during a conversation, then 11 obviously I had to start including those people 12 into the investigation.
- 13 Q. And at some point did you come to the decision or conclusion that you had interviewed everybody that had information regarding the allegations? I guess my question is: Why did you start writing a final report at the point you did?
- 18 A. Because I felt that I had spoken within 19 everyone that had been at least mentioned as having some knowledge. With that said, either -you know, people in the maintenance department who had been there on a 24/7 period, you know, did they -- people alluded that they might know

something, but said they didn't and left it at

that.

1

At the point that you decided to start Q. writing your final report, did you feel that you had enough information to come to a conclusion regarding Jane Doe 1 reports?

A. Regarding all of them. I think in my final report, I addressed all -- because by this point, you know, all four -- there were now four people filing a claim, not just Jane Doe 1. I believe that I had enough information to be able to determine whether or not county policies had been violated. And, yes, I felt I had enough information after having spoken with Jane Doe 1 once and George Halcovage twice. I believe I had -- because there were discrepancies in the two statements, so I did a second interview.

16 17 Q. When you say discrepancy between the two 18 statements, because you mentioned Jane Doe 1 and 19 George twice, do you mean discrepancies between Jane Doe 1 and George or discrepancies between George's first statement and George's second statement? 22

Discrepancies about situation -- things 23 A. that happened between the Jane Doe 1 and George.

They -- I interviewed Jane Doe 1. I interviewed George. I think I interviewed George -- I don't remember who I interviewed. I think I interviewed George first because after interviewing Jane Doe 1, there were discrepancies and I -- somebody was not being totally honest and I wanted to know who was not being totally honest. I decided to do that by -- I didn't know Jane Doe 1 as well as I knew George. I didn't have as many conversations with Jane Doe 1 as I had had with George, so I decided to ask George additional questions that I already knew the answers to because I wanted to see if he would be honest.

14 0. Was there anything that you knew the answers to that you believe Defendant Halcovage 16 was not honest about?

17 Α. Yes.

Page 119

18 O. We'll get to that in a little bit. 19

If we look at the first page, Jane Doe ²⁰ 3, your initial conversation with Jane Doe 3. In the end of the second line, it says: She said that Jane Doe 1 had confided in her over the past few days and then told her that she, Jane Doe 1, had been in a relationship with George Halcovage

Page 121

Page 120

for approximately the past seven years. That this relationship had ended in the past month or two.

Do you recall if Jane Doe 3 explained to you that Jane Doe 1 had started to tell her about characters in a book without divulging who those people were?

Α. Yes, I do recall that. That sounds familiar.

Q. That was in -- do you know if that was 10 what she had been divulging in the days leading up to May 22nd or was that before that time period?

12 I can't say completely. I can say that ¹³ I know what you're talking about because Jane Doe 3 did make those -- I recall her saying those 15 things.

16 Q.

Do you recall if Jane Doe 3 told you that when Jane Doe 1 e-mailed her, that that was the first time she knew that there was something ²⁰ sexual involved with -- or something sexual that ²¹ had happened between Defendant Halcovage and Jane Doe 1?

23 A. Yes.

24 O. So the days leading up to it, up to

17

Page 122 May 22nd, Jane Doe 3 didn't have definitive ² information?

 3 A. No. No. No. Well, that's what she told me and I believe her.

Okay. Q.

The next paragraph states: During the conversations the past few days, Jane Doe 3 asked Jane Doe 1 if she remember the first time a sexual advance from George Halcovage had occurred.

10 Do you know if that period of time of 11 the past few days is referring to May 21st, May 12 22nd, or something different?

- 13 You mean here where I say during the A. 14 conversations of the past few days?
- 15 Right. Q.
- I got the impression it was like the 16 A. past three, four days. Like certainly less than a week.
- 19 Q. That Jane Doe 3 had spoken with Jane Doe
- 1? 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- I guess, if you believed Jane Doe 3 that 22 Q. the e-mail that Jane Doe 1 sent on May 21st at night was the first time, I'm trying to figure out

Page 123 why those aren't the same -- is this talking about the book conversations, possibly?

No. This was -- I went to -- after I A. got to initial e-mail, I went to Jane Doe 3's office. She started talking. I didn't have pen and paper taking notes. She said that she had been talking with Jane Doe 1 over the past few days and these were the things she had learned.

- Q. So the e-mail from the night before was not the first time that Jane Doe 3 had learned of 10 the issue?
- 12 You'll have to ask Jane Doe 3 that. I A. don't know.
- 14 Just because it's in your wording, so I am trying to figure out if maybe you were meaning that the past few days, the May 21st and May 22nd, like Jane Doe 3 -- let me strike that. 17

18 Did Jane Doe 3 tell you since receiving the e-mail from Jane Doe 1 that she then alerted 19 you about, had she spoken with Jane Doe 1?

- 21 I got the impression she had spoken with A. her just a couple days. Like, it was -- I
- don't -- that's all I can tell you.
- 24 O. Okav.

Page 124 The next couple sentences in the next paragraph are what Jane Doe 3 told you she had learned from Jane Doe 1, correct?

- Α.
- Q. And you don't recall, based off your testimony just now, when Jane Doe 3 told you she had received that information from Jane Doe 1?
- When you say received the information, I know she had gotten the e-mail from someone at your office, whatever his name was. Then that night before I think she forwarded to me right after she got it, the e-mail.
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. But the conversations with her and -she's going to be better able to answer that than 16 I will.
- 17 Q. If we turn to the next page in the second paragraph, starts with Jane Doe 3.

Do you see that?

20 A. Uh-huh.

19

- 21 It says, Jane Doe 3 stated that George O. made frequent visits to the tax assessment office which usually ended with Jane Doe 1 being upset. 24
 - Uh-huh.

Page 125

Page: 35 (122 - 125)

- Q. This is Jane Doe 3's statement to you about what she personally observed, correct?
- A. Correct, uh-huh.
- And then it goes on to say that the Q. visits were not work related. Again, that's Jane Doe 3 observation she relayed to you?
- Yes, uh-huh. A.
- 8 O. If we go two paragraphs down, it says that Jane Doe 3 said that she and Jane Doe 4 wanted to speak with me concerning things they had been told and things that had been witnessed. 12

That is -- the me in that sentence is vou. correct?

- 14 A. Yes.
 - So after Jane Doe 3 -- I guess my first Q. question is: Is this -- this is that first period of time before you went to Bender and alerted him?
- 18 A. Correct. Correct.
- 19 Q. At that point, does Jane Doe 4 come into the office or --
- 21 When I came -- I went and got pen and A. paper, spoke with Gary Bender, went back to the office. I believe Jane Doe 4 was there or Jane
- Doe 3 called her and said she also wanted to speak

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

Page 126 Page 128 with me. So I spoke with Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4 you didn't have -- it appears you didn't have the ² was in the room. I spoke with Jane Doe 4. We same concern? 3 were all sitting in Jane Doe 3's office. A. I did not. Q. So the first initial --O. Why did you feel that it would be $5|_{\mathbf{A}.}$ handled correctly? Was just Jane Doe 3 and myself. Q. And then -- but during that, she said, A. Because like I said, there was a point hey, also, by the way, I have some information -in time I considered Gary Bender a friend. I believed he was -- I believed he was a good man A. Yes. -- I would like to talk with you about? who would do the right thing. Q. Do you still have that same feeling A. O. 11 And also I think you should speak with 11 about him now? Q. 12 Jane Doe 4? Α. No. 13 13 A. Yes. O. Did you have any concern, because in That's when you go tell Bender, get pen 2020, you had worked in the county --14 Q. 15 and paper, come back, and have a full conversation Two and a half years. with the two --16 16 Q. -- two and a half years. 17 17 Yes. Did you have any concern about Defendant A. 18 Q. -- Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4? Bender or Defendant Roth's relationship with 19 Yes. And I believe it was during that Defendant Halcovage influencing the investigation? 20 second -- at the beginning of that second time I I did not have concerns at the time 20 went to Jane Doe 3's office that we -- I mentioned about Gary Bender, simply because the first words out of his mouth when I told him was -- and he ²² that I wanted to speak with Jane Doe 2 as well. ²³ We called Jane Doe 2 -- Jane Doe 2. She was on the said it in his stern voice, we do this by the book. And I'm like, you're damn straight we do phone -- she answered the phone. I believe my Page 129 Page 127 first question to her was, have you retained an this by the book? attorney. She said not yet. I said I would like As far as Solicitor Roth, I can tell you to speak with you. She agreed to come in -- well, an incident that happened with him and I, a conversation that I'll just let it speak for later agreed to come in that afternoon. If we look to the second to last itself. There was an incident that happened with O. paragraph before the bold, the end of it, it says our clerk of courts. An individual supposedly had you told Jane Doe 3 that you were going to go came to my office, I wasn't there, I was in speak with County Administrator Defendant Bender teamsters meetings, union negotiation meeting. and she expresses some concerns about you This woman shows up, says she had been fired. discussing this with Bender and first assistant Apparently was so stressed that she -- they solicitor risk manager. So that would be Jane Doe thought she was having a stroke. Gary Bender ¹² came, pulled me out of a union negotiation 3 expressed concerns that sharing or discussing meeting. Had -- I went over, this woman literally the issue with Bender and Roth, correct? 14 A. couldn't move. Her eyes were closed. Literally 15 Do you remember what her concerns were? there -- an ambulance had been called. They came. Q. ¹⁶ They were treating her. I went back, I told them 16 I believe the concern was that she felt ¹⁷ I would be right back. I went back, ended the it would be swept under the rug, that they would 18 cover it up. union negotiation meeting. Came back. This woman Do you know why she felt that way; did 19 was wheeled out in an ambulance. 19 Q. 20 she tell you? Fast forward a couple days, there were I don't know that we specifically said. discussions about -- because the clerk of courts 21 A. said this woman quit. It's like when someone You'd have to ask her that. quits, they don't leave in an ambulance. They 23 Q. Okay. don't end up in such a severe panic attack that It indicates in the last sentence that

```
Page 130
                                                                                                             Page 132
 <sup>1</sup> they literally -- you think they're having a
                                                              on, did you have any concern or pause or
 <sup>2</sup> stroke. Solicitor Roth and I had gotten in
                                                            <sup>2</sup> hesitation about Defendant Halcovage being able to
 <sup>3</sup> conversations about this. I told him that if I
                                                            <sup>3</sup> have influence over the investigation?
 4 was -- I was told I didn't need to go to the
                                                                      No, because I was not going -- no,
  unemployment hearing because they knew I would say
                                                              because I was the one, for the most part, doing
                                                              the investigation, no. And I do feel during that
 <sup>6</sup> I think she was fired.
                                                              time, Gary Bender was very supportive of me, or at
         I told him if I was subpoenaed, I would
   go. He told me that if I went, he would --
                                                              least portrayed that.
                                                            9
            MR. LETTRICH: Ms. Twigg, if I
                                                              O.
                                                                      Okay. That's fair.
   could just stop you there. I am not quite sure
                                                                    If we look to the middle or towards the
   where this story is going.
                                                           11
                                                              bottom of the page, there's the bold: Discussion
11
12
            THE WITNESS: I am going to tell
                                                              with Jane Doe 3, Friday, May 22, 2020, and
                                                              subsequent follow up over the next week.
13
   you.
                                                           14
14
            MR. LETTRICH: Okay. But -- but --
                                                              A.
                                                                      Uh-huh.
                                                           15
            THE WITNESS: It's going to end
15
                                                              O.
                                                                      Does this section encompass both what
                                                              then was discussed post getting the pen and
   right here.
                                                           16
16
17
            MR. LETTRICH: Before you do, the
                                                              notifying Bender and other conversations you --
                                                           18
   only thing I would caution you about is, in the
                                                                      Well, getting the pen -- what was
   event he was providing you with legal advice in
19
                                                              discussed was what I remembered without writing
   your capacity as the human resources --
                                                              stuff down. That was the first part.
20
                                                           21
21
            THE WITNESS: No, it wasn't.
                                                              Q.
                                                                     Right.
                                                           22
22
            MR. LETTRICH: It's not about that.
                                                                    That's that first page --
                                                           23
23
   Okay. Then that's fine.
                                                              A.
                                                                     This --
                                                           24 O.
24
           THE WITNESS: No. What he told me
                                                                     Hold on one second, Ms. Twigg.
                                                  Page 131
                                                                                                             Page 133
                                                                    So before getting the pen and notifying
   was that he would destroy my credibility on the
  stand if I testified. And I said knowing that I'm
                                                            <sup>2</sup> Bender where you didn't have pen and paper, is the
   telling the truth and that the clerk of courts is
                                                              first page under the bold --
  lying, you would destroy my professional
                                                              A.
                                                                      Yes.
  credibility. He said that's my job. And I looked
                                                              O.
                                                                      -- into the second page?
   at him and told him his job sucks. And I asked
                                                            6
                                                              A.
                                                                      Yes.
   him how he slept at night and how he looked in the
                                                                      Then we have a second bolded section.
                                                              O.
                                                            8
   mirror. He got up and walked out.
                                                              A.
                                                                      And this is where I went back and
            So, no, I don't necessarily --
                                                              started writing things down. I think I have
                                                              already said that there were times where, in my
  didn't necessarily trust that Solicitor Roth, nor
   to this day, do I trust that Solicitor Roth would
                                                              memory, I remembered her saying something, but I
  do the right thing because he blatantly told me he
                                                              didn't necessarily have a note on it. I went back
  wouldn't. He would destroy someone he knew was
                                                             to her and said, hey, this is what I recall you
  telling the truth, just to win. And he said that
                                                              saying. Is this accurate. That's what that
   was his job, to defend the county. I told him I
                                                              means, subsequent follow-up conversation over the
16
   worked for the county, so who defends me. He sort
                                                             next week when I was trying to go back and
17
   of shrugged.
                                                              reconstruct in a typewritten form, I had questions
18
         Did I answer your question?
                                                              and I said, hey, is this what you said, so that's
                                                           19
                                                              what that is.
19
   BY MS. SMITH:
                                                           <sup>20</sup> O.
20
   Q.
          You did. I appreciate that. Thank you,
                                                                      So there weren't other sit-down
                                                              interviews in a formal sense?
21
   Ms. Twigg.
         Did you have concerns -- having worked
                                                              A.
                                                                     No. It was -- no. It was more...
in the county for two and a half years and having
                                                           ^{23}|Q.
                                                                      And then a few pages later there's a
observed courthouse operations, things that went
                                                           <sup>24</sup> bolded discussion with Jane Doe 4
```

Page 134 That's similarly was that same -- this section is for the conversation you had that involved Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 at the same time? ⁵|A. Yes. Q. So if we go back to the Jane Doe 3

These are all -- this first paragraph is all what Jane Doe 3 relayed to you that she had observed, correct?

11 I believe --A.

section.

10

12

13

14

MS. IPPOLITO: Can you advocate which page you're referring to?

MS. SMITH: There's no numbers. 15 It's the bolded -- it's Page 2, though. But it's 16 the bolded section, discussions with Jane Doe 3. Friday, May 22nd, and subsequent follow up over the next week. The paragraph I'm referring to starts with Jane Doe 3 started the conversation. BY MS. SMITH: These are all -- these are your notes as

21 O. it relates to what Jane Doe 3 conveyed to you she had observed and/or what she saw, correct?

A. Yes. I'm just looking to see if it

Page 135 says -- yeah, these are what she observed. It doesn't seem to be anything that was relayed from Jane Doe 1 to Jane Doe 3.

If we look to the next page, so Page 3, the paragraphs that starts with, Jane Doe 3 talked about when she was hired -- next, Jane Doe 3 talked about when she was hired. This paragraph talks about her request for a pay increase and her having to take on additional duties.

10 Uh-huh. A.

At any point in your employment with Q. Schuylkill County, did you become aware that the practice of the county was that pay increases would only be given if one assumed additional 15 duties?

16 Yes. A.

17 Q. And --

18 With the exception of every year there's A. 19 a 3 percent increase.

20 Q. Fair enough. Thank you. 21

This wasn't a policy, I guess, first,

correct?

It's not written policy, no. 23 A.

Do you know where this practice came $\mathbf{0}$

from; who established it?

No. It was that way when I got there. ³ But I can tell you there were exceptions. I can

tell you there were -- there was a particular

situation in 911 where the supervisors were all

getting increases because I then went to Gary

Bender and said, hey, you know what, I'm

underpaid. If you can give these guys an

increase, why can't you give me one.

10 O. What was his response?

11 A. He basically said it couldn't be done.

¹² And we had that discussion numerous times.

¹³ Finally I went to him and I said, why don't you

show me the policy that says it can't be done

because I haven't found one. What you're telling

16 me is you just don't want to do it.

17 Do you know the individuals who were --18 the 911 individuals you just --

19 A. They were the 911 supervisors. We had ²⁰ just finished the union contract, they are under

²¹ ATSME, under the ATSME union contract. There were

²² increases for the 911 operators. It sort of

started what would formerly be called a pay

²⁴ compression, you know, with the supervisors. So

Page 137

Page: 38 (134 - 137)

Page 136

they wanted to increase the supervisors so that they -- when it came time to needing to fill a supervisor position, higher salary would be an incentive, where as if the operators were making more than that supervisors, we wouldn't be able to ⁶ have anyone to move up.

Who is the, they, that wanted to Q. accomplish this?

The head of 911, Scott Crater and Gary 10 Bender was in agreement with it. I guess the commissioners had to be in agreement to approve.

12 The supervisors of 911, how many, do you Q.

know, were there? 14

I don't. It's 911. They run three shifts, 24/7, 365, so there are numerous employees. Maybe eight or nine. I don't know.

Q. Okay.

You said -- I think you mentioned numerous exceptions to this practice of the county.

Do you recall any others?

The prison guards, again, the captains got increases. Those are the ones I can think of off the top of my head.

17

18

19

20

21

 1 Q. Okay.

If we go down two paragraphs, it starts with: Jane Doe 3 stated after this, George did not speak to her for over one year.

Do you see that?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall observing anything related to Jane Doe 3's statement here in this paragraph?
- A. I know that they didn't speak.
- 10 Q. Did you ever discuss the fact that
- ¹¹ Defendant Halcovage, a commissioner in the county,
- didn't speak with one of his department heads for a year?
- A. We did have one conversation. I can't
- remember the details, but I remember having that discussion.
- Q. With whom?
- ¹⁸ A. With George.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay.
- You don't know what -- recall it?
- ²¹ A. No.
- ²² Q. Did you know if -- did you ever hear
- ²³ Defendant Roth or Defendant Bender make any
- 4 comments about it?

Not so much Bender, but probably Roth.

- And just in passing, that they didn't speak. I mean, no details, none that I remember anyway. I
- can tell you I was surprised when Commissioner
- Halcovage came to me, stating that he was looking
- ⁶ at -- he was -- the consideration of combining the
- two offices and that he felt that Jane Doe 3 would
- be the person, the good person to do that job, to do both. He felt she would be very successful at
- it. I was sort of surprised because I knew there
- it. I was sort of surprised because I knew there
- was sort of a rift between them.
- Q. If we turn to the next page, I think we are on Page 4 now. We want the section for Jane Doe 4.
- ¹⁵ A. Uh-huh.
- ¹⁶ Q. Jane Doe 4 then, in addition to Jane Doe
- ¹⁷ 3, relayed her own concerns and observations
- ¹⁸ regarding Defendant Halcovage, correct?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- ²⁰ Q. Do you know if -- did Jane Doe 4 at any
- point convey to you similar concerns that Jane Doe
- ²² 3 had related to Defendant Bender and Defendant
- Roth being involved in the investigation?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.

Page 138 Q. When you started with the county --

- sorry. Were you a registered Democrat or
- Republican?
- A. Republican.
- Q. Were you informed that you needed to
- ⁶ change your party at any time?
- ⁷ A. No.
- ⁸ Q. Did anybody ever tell you that they had
- ⁹ been told they needed to change their party?
- ¹⁰ A. I can tell you when I went to -- at the
- end of my first year, the administrative assistant
- ¹² in HR resigned and I wanted to hire a woman that I
- |13| knew from high school. She was a single mom. She
- |14| was working at the school district as an assistant
- librarian making like \$11 an hour. She had had
- $|^{16}|$ administrative type skills, jobs in the past. I
- thought, you know what, this is someone I would
- | trust. I could help her, at the same time help
- me. I would be able to quickly find someone to
- ²⁰ replace the person that was leaving.

I had her come in. I interviewed her.

- I had Gary Bender interview her. I wanted to hire
- her. Obviously Gary told me I needed, you know,
- talk to George, make sure he doesn't have anybody

Page 141

- who is in line, who he has in mind. So I went to George. I asked him. He basically told me I
- needed to go to her and have her change her party.
- ⁴ She was listed as an independent and I stated
- that. And he said that he would get flack from, I guess, the Republican party for hiring someone who
 - guess, the Republican party for hiring someone who wasn't a Republican.
- I thought about it and then I went to
- ⁹ Gary -- I typically met with Gary Bender every day
- at 4:00, just to update him on things that were
- going on. I went to him, I told him I was not
- comfortable doing this. His response was that I
- should go to the other two commissioners and get
- it approved because you only need two votes. I
- said I'm not going to do that because that is
- going to set up -- it just wouldn't be a good out.
- I didn't think it was smart to do that. So I went
- 18 to George and I told him I was not comfortable
- going to Ann and asking her to change her
- political party. And I said that I would post the job, I just wouldn't hire her. And he told me I
- didn't have to do that. I, could just hire her.

 O. When you went to the Defendant Halcovage
- ²⁴ initially about hiring this individual, you

Page 142 indicated that you went to him because you wanted to make sure he didn't have anyone in line for the position?

- A. In mind, yeah.
- What do you mean by that? Q.
- Well, I think I mentioned earlier, if A.

there's an open position, you know, whether it was George or Gary Hess or Frank Staudenmeier, if the commissioners had someone in mind for a position, they would obviously find that out first.

- 11 So if Defendant Halcovage had had 12 someone in mind, would it have been that their candidate would have been the individual selected?
- I can give you an example if you'd like.
- 15 Q.
- I can tell you I was not Gary Bender's 16 A. first choice and he made that very clear, which is probably why when I asked for a higher salary, it was made very clear, sternly, that that was going to be the salary. I guess that was my punishment. He had -- there was a man that had interviewed who apparently had, in Gary Bender's terms, put his time in with the political party and he deserved that -- that job. I can tell you that it was

Page 143 George who was my champion, along with Darlene Robins.

It didn't hurt that I went to high school with Gary Hess -- with Gary Hess's wife, so he clearly was a yes vote for me. I had interacted with him through a local business association for the previous year that I worked there, so he knew me. He knew my credentials. So I can tell you typically if there's somebody they have in mind, that person would usually get the job, ves.

- ¹²|Q. You indicated that when Defendant Bender told you to just take your request to the other two commissioners, you didn't think it would be 15 smart to do so?
- 16 I didn't want to be on the bad side of George and have him not speak to me for a year.
- 18 What did you think would happen to you Q. if you were on Defendants Halcovage's bad side? 19
- I don't know. I don't know that I -- I don't know that I ever -- I was going to say I don't know that I ever got on his bad side, but maybe now I am. I don't know. Look, I also ²⁴ considered him a friend. He was a friend. I

Page 144 didn't know him before I got there, but I got -- I was...

3 Q. Take your time.

A. I was very disappointed when all of this happened because I did consider him a friend and I believed him. I stuck up for him when people would make comment. I was his champion. And I feel like -- I feel like, look, I shouldn't discuss religion, but I tried to be a very good Christina. And I loved -- I believe in looking at 11 the best in people.

For months after I left the county, because I tried -- I felt I wasn't even capable of doing my job because by trying to believe the best in people, I missed the red flags that I should ¹⁶ have seen and that wasn't fair to Jane Doe 1 or anvone else.

18 So I struggled with that. I really -- I defended him in so many ways and I just felt deceived. I felt foolish. I felt stupid. I felt ²¹ let down. Sorry. Can I have another tissue?

- 22 Q. You okay? Do you need a minute?
- 23 A. Yeah. I just -- just really disappointed.

Q. You said that --

2 A. Sorry.

3 Q. No, you're okay. Do you want a minute?

4 A. No. No. No. Come on. It's already 12:30 and we're still on the first attorney.

6 O. We're going to try not to overlap our questions. A lot of what I will cover --

A. Okav.

8

Q. -- hopefully will -- I know there's a 10 lot of us. I apologize.

11 It's all right. A.

12 You said that you saw some red flags? Q.

13 A. No, I said I didn't see the red flags.

14 Okay. O.

15 Because I just looked for the best in people. You know, people would say, I have this friend who I met through this business association in the town that I live in. At one point we were 19 out in the evening and, you know, the person said to me, you know, what's this guy really like. I said, look -- my response was, you know, I truly believe -- people say things, I said I haven't had that experience. I truly believe he really wants what's best for the county. He really is a good

Page 147

|guy| He really had a good heart.

- Q. What types of things were you hearing that you felt you needed to defend Defendant Halcovage?
- A. You know, there were the comments about women, of course. There were -- that he was slimy, that he was creepy. You know, just general -- and I defended him. I disputed it regularly.
- Q. You said that you didn't see red flags,
 which to me indicates that you're now looking back
 and realizing that there were things that you
 should have -- that were red flags. Can you -A. You know, what I really think is my job
 before HR. I should have known more than the
- average person. When I should have seen -- you know, you were there when we did Jane Doe 1 interview. And at one point, one of my last questions was, I turned to Jane Doe 1 and asked her why she didn't come to me. After I left, one of the things I thought was, why didn't I see it.

 Why didn't I see that something was there. I was
- trained at some point in life to see that, in my life to see that.

Q. Understood.

1

10

Is there anything now as you sit here today that you think -- that you observed that you think was an indicater that Defendant Halcovage could engage in such behavior?

A. Say that again.

Q. Is there anything that you observed that you believe is an indicater that Defendant Halcovage could engage in any such behavior, sexual harassing behavior?

A. I guess the only thing I could think to say was -- I mean, obviously you all have the notes. You have the second interview that I did with George. There were conversations that he had with me that would end, that would go to a sexual nature. I mean, heck, I even sat there and discussed if I was dating somebody. I would say, oh, well, I went on a date with so and so. We were friends. Should I have thought that if he is having those types of conversations with me, is he having those with other people. Is he having -- I guess I should have, but I didn't. That's my

failure and I live with that. And the fact that

this started years before I even got to this damn

state is irrelevant, I guess.

Q. Do you know what, if anything, anyone -- any predecessor of yours in the HR department knew?

A. I don't. Well, I do know that somehow the person before me was some distant -- some relative of Jane Doe 1. And why she didn't know is -- I guess makes me feel a little bit better about myself.

Q. Did you come to know what Defendant
Bender and/or Defendant Roth knew about what was going on at any point?

A. Honestly, I can tell you I had

conversations -- there were times I would be in my

4:00 meeting with Gary Bender, George would come
in, the three of us would sit there and talk.

George would make some sort of joke. He'd be
sitting there and I'd just hit him and tell him to
knock it off. And after he left, Gary Bender

would make the statement, you know, if a woman
ever really approached him, he would run so fast
in the opposite direction. I guess that's part of
why I -- I believed that. I believed that he was
a loyal, faithful man, husband. So I believe that

Page 149

Page 148

Gary Bender also felt the same way I did. I believe he believed it.

I can tell you one conversation that I remember with Solicitor Roth that happened just days -- it had to be just a few days before this whole thing, before we got the e-mail and we learned about all of this. We had come out of Gary Bender's office. There's a hall -- came out of the commissioner's office, there's a hallway. You turn to the right and you go into the solicitor's office, you go a few more steps and turn to the left and you go into HR.

We were standing there and it was late
because the cleaning people were out and the
building was empty. And he made the statement, he
asked if -- I can't even remember how he asked it,
but he asked basically if I thought -- basically
implied that there was some -- he was starting to
hear something where he thought maybe there was
some kind of behavior going on with George of a
sexual nature with someone. And I remember my
response to him saying, I hope that is not the
case because if that is true, my impression, my
perspective of him would drop drastically. But I

Page 150 got the impression that he was just hearing that ² for the first time as well within two days, three days of this breaking. So he I believe he did not know either, to tell you the truth, because he seemed just as disheartened about it as I said I would be had it been true.

In the last paragraph on that page with O. the Jane Doe 4 discussion bolded, it starts on May 28th?

10 A. Yes.

And it discusses an e-mail you received. 11 Q.

If we turn to the next page, the paragraph

continues and the last sentence of this paragraph

says e-mail is attached.

15 A. Oh, and it's not attached.

This report was produced by Commissioner 16 Q. 17 Hess. It was not produced by the county, if I'm 18 correct.

19 Do you know what that e-mail included in that file, in that cabinet drawer? 20

21 A. I -- probably not. Sorry.

But if it says attached, do you know --22 Q.

I mean, because this isn't what you produced.

This was produced by Commissioner Hess. Are you

sure that it wasn't attached to your copy of this?

 $^{2}|A.$ I don't think -- honestly, I don't know that it was. And I'm only saying that because this report, this was all typed up along with the final report, along with the letter that Jane Doe

1 wrote.

Q.

And -- and I provided -- we had a A.

meeting, it was one of those -- we were in the

legal office, it was Gary Bender, Commissioner

Hess, Commissioner Hetherington, Solicitor Roth,

¹² I'm not sure whether Solicitor -- Solicitor Hobbs

might have been there. And I provided them the ¹⁴ final report, these notes, the interview notes. I

believe, and I believe Jane Doe 1 letter was in

that as well. Those were the documents I

provided. I didn't stop to -- I don't believe I

18 stopped to attach that e-mail.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. This was written weeks. Like, it was a process.

22 Q. Understood.

I'm guessing -- honestly I truly --23 A.

because I'm guessing by the time I got to the end

Page 152 I completely forgot about this one line that was in the midst of all of those documents. Sorry.

3 O. No, it's okay. Understood.

But the e-mail that you were referencing is an e-mail from Jane Doe 4 to you?

A. Yes.

Q. And so it's still arguably --

Should be in my e-mail, which should not A. have been touched, yes.

Q. Thank you.

11 A. Yes.

12 O. If we flip back, it talks on May 28th.

That's when you received the e-mail and then flip back to the next page, it says after receiving the e-mail, I spoke with Jane Doe 4 at approximately noon the same day. I told her that we would request that George not interact or speak with Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe 3, or anyone in their

offices. 20 Do you see that?

21 I see that sentence. A. 22 Is that what you're asking me?

23 Q. Yes.

19

24 Α. Yes. Page 151

Q. Did you have a conversation with

² Defendant Halcovage about interaction with people in the tax assessment or tax claims offices?

No. I am sure it was with Gary Bender and Gary Bender would have spoken with Mr. Halcovage.

So you weren't privy to the Q. conversations?

A. No.

10 Q. So you don't know --

Not always, no. No. A.

12 O. So were you ever privy to any -- were you ever present for any conversation with

Defendant Halcovage about his interaction --

15 A. About this, no.

16 O. Okay.

Do you know why -- so you get this e-mail from Jane Doe 4 about her safety concerns and the parking. And you go to Defendant Bender and speak to him about it?

21 A. Yes.

22 Was it Bender's suggestion, your suggestion, or something different that Bender be

the one to spoke with Halcovage?

Page 154

¹ A. I'm sure he just said I'll speak with

- George because that was a standard. That happened a lot.
- Q. Do you know if Bender actually ever spoke with Defendant Halcovage?
- A. I would assume so, but I can't -- I would have assumed he would have.
- ⁸ Q. But other than him telling you that he had, you never saw it, correct?
- ¹⁰ A. Not on this particular topic, no.
- ¹¹ Q. So then we're still on Friday May 22nd.
- You then speak with Jane Doe 2, correct?
- ¹³ A. Yes.
- Q. I think you walked us through that first paragraph about the fact that you made sure she didn't have an attorney and representation. I appreciate that. We were not yet involved.

And then she tells you in Paragraph 2, according to this, she tells you that Defendant Halcovage showed up to her house and spoke with her mom and dad on May 21, 2020?

- ²² A. Yes.
- ²³ Q. Did Jane Doe 2 tell you that?
- ²⁴ A. Yes. She said it in the meeting. I

¹ mean, we were all there, yes.

- Q. At that point, was it your understanding that Defendant Halcovage knew that he was the subject of a sexual harassment claim?
- A. Yes, because he had received -- I had learned from Gary Bender on Glenn Roth that he had received -- I didn't realize he got a copy of the same letter that was sent to me.
- ⁹ Q. Okay.
- And in that letter, it stated. So, yes,
- ¹¹he was aware.
- Q. Did the fact that Defendant Halcovage, after being notified that he was the subject of a
- sexual harassment claim, that he had showed up to
- ¹⁵ Jane Doe 2's house, did that concern you?
- ¹⁶ A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you raise your concerns with
- ¹⁸ Defendant Bender?
- ¹⁹ A. I'm sure we discussed it, yes.
- ²⁰ Q. Do you remember what his response was?
- A. I think he probably -- I say I think. I
- don't want to -- I think he had shared a concern,
- but, again, you would have to ask him that, but
- that's my belief. It's been two and a half years.

- Q. I understand.
- ² A. Sorry.
- Q. No, you're okay.
- 4 A. I am trying to be as direct and honest.
- ⁵ Q. We appreciate that. That's all we can ⁶ ask for. And if you don't recall something or
- don't remember --
- ⁸ A. I believe it. But can I sit here and tell you the conversation and how it went, I can't, so...
- 11 Q. Okay.

We can turn to the next page. So this -- so the page that starts with the bolded section, meeting with Jane Doe 2, Gary Bender.

- ¹⁵ A. Yes
- ¹⁶ Q. This indicates that that same day,
- Friday, May 22nd, Jane Doe 2 actually came to the office and that meeting was held in the Hoffmann room?
- ²⁰ A. Yes.
- Q. When you were speaking with Jane Doe 2 and she relayed this information to you, at any point did you question the veracity or
 - truthfulness of what she was telling you?

Page 157

Page 156

- A. No. She was -- no. She was, I want to say at times -- I hesitate to use the word
- hysterical, but she was very emotional. She was
- scared. She was afraid of what could happen to her. She was afraid harm would be done to her.
- ⁶ Q. Physical harm or something else?
- A. She expressed that, yes.
- ⁸ Q. Did she think economic or job security
- 9 --

- ¹⁰ A. Yes, that too.
- 11 O. Harm to those would come?
- ¹² A. Yes.
- ³Q. Same questions for Jane Doe 3 and Jane
- Doe 4, at any point when you spoke with them for the times we went through for this report, did you
- have any reason to question the truthfulness of
- ⁷ what they were telling you?
- ¹⁸ A. No.
- ¹⁹ Q. If we turn to the next page, there is
- a -- the second paragraph. Well, it's the first full paragraph.
- ²² A. Okay.
- Q. There's an allegation about rumors
- regarding George and Jane Doe 1 in the clock

1 tower.

Do you see that?

- 3 A. Yes.
- O. Did you ever hear rumors of Defendant Halcovage taking females or Jane Doe 1 to the clock tower alone?
- Yes. I have heard rumors. A.
- When did you first hear those rumors? Q.
- I was still working at the courthouse. A.
- I can't tell you a month, a day.
- 11 Was it more than once that you heard a Q. rumor --12
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- such as that?
- 15 And I can tell you since being there,
- there is a restaurant where one of -- an employee 16
- from the courthouse worked, a maintenance employee
- and I had been for dinner there. I can tell you
- even as recently as in the past year, this --19
- maybe year and a half. This person made comments
- about this suit because people know what's going
- on. And made the statement that, you know, there
- were numerous women that were up in that clock
- 24 tower.

Page 159

- 1 Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Defendant Bender or Defendant Roth about these rumors?
- A. I don't think so. I don't think so.
- Did you ever have a conversation with O. Defendant Bender or Defendant Roth about rumors that Defendant Halcovage was sleeping with Jane Doe 1?
- A. I don't think so. I don't think so.
- Well, there was a conversation that was discussed
- and I was under the impression that Jane Doe 1 and
- Jane Doe 2 were friends with George. I mean, I
- get that. They were friends. I didn't -- I
- didn't think that there was a sexual relationship
- going on. You know, Jane Doe 1 was his campaign
- 16 manager in, I guess, the 2019 election, I think it 17 was.
- 18
- But, again, you know, Gary Bender and I had had conversations and he said -- and I 19 believed him. He said, you know, if anyone ever really made an advance on George, he would run in
- the opposite direction. I believed that, so, no,
- ²³ I didn't -- I didn't think -- no. Had I thought
- ²⁴ it. I would have said something.

Page 158 1 O. In the last paragraph on this page it

² indicates that Jane Doe 2 said that Halcovage told

her and Frank Staudenmeier, advised against hiring

Jane Doe 2 back at the courthouse, stating that

she was a risk and a ticking time bomb. Did George ever tell you something

similar about Jane Doe 2 or -- about Jane Doe 2?

- No. No. I can tell you there was a
- time she was in -- she was in the -- looking in
- the treasurer's office when I first met Jane Doe
- 2. She was having problems with the treasurer, 12 Marchalk.

At some point I was in my office, got a phone call from George, said could you please come over here. I went over. No warning. I go in,

- ¹⁶ Jane Doe 2 was there in his office, she was
- ¹⁷ crying, hysterical, having problems with the
- treasurer, felt she was being picked on. And he
- asked me to talk with her, look at her skill sets
- and see if there was a position somewhere else in
- ²¹ the courthouse that she would be able to move to
- get away from -- she felt she was being picked on
- by the treasurer. I believe.
- ²⁴ O. Did you ever have any conversations with

Page 161

Page 160

Commissioner Staudenmeier about Jane Doe 2?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 O. If we go to the next page, this is the one with some handwritten notes on it.

Can you tell me, the one on the right with the circle, what does that say? Is that your handwriting, first?

- 8 A. No.
- Q.
- Against the law. Jane Doe 2 made 10
- acquisitions that George records conversations on 12 his iPad and takes -- no, it's not my handwriting.
- Have you ever seen a copy of your report with handwriting on it?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Are you familiar with what Commissioner
- Hess's handwriting looks like? We can ask him. If you're not, it's okay, because he produced it,
- I didn't know if maybe you knew if this was his ²⁰ handwriting.
- 21
- A. I think it looks like Glenn's
- 22 handwriting. I could be wrong.
- 23 Q. Just so everyone can hear you. 24
 - I thought it looked like Solicitor

¹|Roth's handwriting.

² Q. Okay.

 3 A. I don't know that -- it's not that I

haven't seen Commissioner Hess's handwriting, I just don't know.

⁶ Q. Totally fine.

When Jane Doe 2 made this allegation to you about Defendant Halcovage recording conversations on his iPad without consent of the individuals being recorded, had you --

MS. SMITH: Actually, can I see the one, it's the black part sticking out there.

¹³BY MS. SMITH:

Q. Exhibit 55, from yesterday had you seen this letter from my office?

¹⁶ A. What was the --

Q. Had you seen this letter from my office yet?

¹⁹ A. Before what?

²⁰ Q. Before speaking with Jane Doe 2?

A. No, because we spoke with Jane Doe 2 on the 22nd and this is dated the 29th, so I couldn't have.

Q. Well, this is the one from my office to

Glenn Roth on behalf of the county, not from Jane Doe 1 on the -- because the 20th you said you got a letter.

So I guess my question is: On the 22nd when you got the information from Jane Doe 3 and you were talking about a letter that my office had prepared.

⁸ A. Right.

⁹ Q. Was this that letter?

¹⁰ A. No. This is the one on Jane Doe 3.

1 which came a week later.

 12 Q. I'm sorry.

Are the contents the same? If you flip through, is that --

 15 A. Oh, the same type of letter?

¹⁶ Q. Yes.

¹⁷ A. I mean, yes. It had all this stuff in

18 it about preserving --

¹⁹ Q. Okay.

²⁰ A. -- electronic data and imaging. Yes, it

had all of that.

²² Q. And given the language in that letter --

I can that one back from you -- did you -- given

that it's highly illegal, did you speak with

Defendant Roth regarding it?

A. I mean, he and Gary Bender and I spoke about it. I sent -- I know I sent a copy to Gary

Bender. I don't remember whether I included -- but I -- I was going to say I'm sure he was given a copy by Mr. Bender, but I can't verify. I can't

Q. Did you or do you know if anyone on behalf of the county ever took possession of the devices the county issued to George Halcovage after Jane Doe 1 allegations?

A. Not to my knowledge. I know that he had numerous devices, iPads, whatever, laptops, like four or five or something. I don't know that even by the time I left anybody had taken those -- had been in possession of those, exempt for

¹⁷ Commissioner Halcovage.

attest that I saw that.

Q. Do you know why no one on behalf of the county took possession of his items, electronic devices issued by the county?

²¹ A. No, I don't.

Q. Did you ever have any conversations with anybody about the fact that that should be done?

A. We had conversations about the fact -- I

Page 165

Page 164

know that IT was notified as far as no devices.
Like you couldn't delete anything from the devices and things like that, those conversations I know happened.

⁵ Q. Between whom?

A. I know that Gary Bender told me he had contacted the director of IT, letting him know that we had letters that information on these particular devices, whether it was not just if they were issued to George, if they were issued to, I believe, Gary Bender, myself, and I believe Solicitor Roth.

Q. Do you know, did Defendant Bender ever say to Defendant Halcovage, don't delete anything on the devices you have?

A. I'm assuming he did, but he got the same letter we did, so he already knew.

¹⁸ Q. But you don't -- you never --

¹⁹ A. I was never part of that conversation,

no. It doesn't -- I don't know whether it did or didn't happen.

Q. At any point during your employment with Schuylkill County, were there discussions about referring Defendant Halcovage to any criminal

agency?

A. Once I completed the final report, I was instructed to give it to the district attorney, to give him the investigation notes, as well as interview notes. The final report and the letter that Jane Doe 1 wrote, to provide that to the district attorney to see if there was anything criminal that had been done.

I know that after reviewing it, the district attorney turned it over to the attorney general's office.

- Q. Other than the district attorney and the referral to the attorney general's office, was there any -- to your knowledge, any referral to any other outside-the-county agency?
- ¹⁶ A. I don't think so.

1

Q.

- Q. Do you know how the house of representatives became involved?
- A. Oh, I think there was -- I think the representatives from Schuylkill County made -- wrote a resolution and it was sent -- I don't know the whole process in the state house, but I'm learning more about it. From there they became

involved is my understanding.

Page 167

So do you have any knowledge of anyone

- from the county during your employment, I guess or thereafter, of referring this matter to the house, the senate, or anyone for impeachment purposes?
- A. When you say referring this matter, I don't understand.
- Q. Like picking up the phone and calling them and saying, hey, we need to get this guy removed, we can't fire him?
- A. I mean, there was something that -there was some sort of -- oh, God. Something that
 comes on an app that -- like somebody did a
- petition on an app, I know that went around.
- People were signing that. I know --
- Q. Who was signing -- who signed that, if you recall?
- A. Well, it was sent to me, if I wanted to sign it. I don't know who. Supposedly they
- collected 500 or a thousand signatures, something. I don't know.
- Q. This wasn't an official petition of the county?
- A. No. No. I mean, I know there were -- I know what I read in the paper. You

Page 166 know, the elected officials in the courthouse

- wrote a letter suggesting that he resign. The
- represent -- different people, Republicans in the
- ⁴ county, I know had urged him -- or I am told --
- ⁵ had urged him to resign.
- ⁶ Q. To your knowledge, did Defendant Bender refer Defendant Halcovage for impeachment?
- ⁸ A. Not to my knowledge.
- ⁹Q. Did Defendant Roth, to your knowledge,
- ¹⁰ refer Defendant Halcovage for impeachment?
- ¹¹ A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. If we look down at the bottom of this
- page, there's -- it looks like more bolded type.
- ¹⁴ Do you see that?
- ¹⁵ A. Yup.
- ¹⁶ Q. It says third line down at the end, it
- ¹⁷ starts with: Attorney Rothman notified Casey
- ¹⁸ Trek(ph), claim manager with Pennsylvania county's
- municipal court or PCoRP. PCoRP is the county's
- ²⁰ insurance correct, correct?
- ²¹ A. I believe so.
- ²² Q. Do you have any knowledge of what role
- Defendant Halcovage plays with PCoRP? If he's on
- ²⁴ a board or has any connection?

Page 169

- A. I don't know. I mean, two and a half years ago I might have. I don't know if he -- I don't know. Sorry.
- O. You're fine.
- It Indicates -- in the next paragraph,
- ⁶ I'm sorry. It indicates: Glenn and I were
- ⁷ advised by counsel to speak with the other two
- 8 commissioners to determine if they had any
- ⁹ knowledge of any concerns and/or complaints of
- inappropriate behavior. Who is the counsel, is it
- ¹¹ an attorney for PCoRP or was it at that point
- somebody who had been assigned to you?
- 13 A. It was -- what was his name?
- ¹⁴ Christopher Scott, I believe was the guy.
- Q. And it was at his suggestion or advice
- ¹⁷ A. Yeah. I believe that's -- yes.
- ¹⁸ Q. It was his suggestion and/or advice that
- 19 you spoke with Commissioner Hess and Commissioner
- ²⁰ Hetherington?
- ²¹ A. Yes.
- ²² Q. So the next page we have, there is you
- ²³ speaking with Commissioner Hess, correct?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.

So I guess my question is: Did you 1 Q. speak with Mr. Hetherington?

No, because he had only literally -- in A.

some ways I feel bad for him. The poor guy, I

mean, Commissioner Staudenmeier passed away very

⁶ late February, early March, and so commissioner

Hetherington had only been there a month. By that

time, you know, Jane Doe 1 was always working from home or furloughed or whatever it was. There was

10 no reason to talk to him. He had no clue.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. Sorry. If Staudenmeier had been there,

it would have been a whole different story, but, 13

you know, God bless him.

15 Q. Understood.

And I spoke with everybody in the 16 A.

commissioner's office. 17

Q. So those are some of these people that

19 are listed?

22

20 A. Uh-huh.

21 We'll go through them. O.

At any point did Commissioner Hess

indicate to you that he had had concerns about

George's behavior?

Page 171

1 A. Yes. He had -- and I am trying to -- I wanted to read to see if it jogs my memory.

Sure. Take your time. Q.

When we talked to him, he had made A.

this -- well, it's like you said, he -- he knew

that she was in his office regularly.

Stop you there for a second. Q.

She meaning Jane Doe 1?

A. Yes.

10 Was in whose office? Q.

George's office. A.

12 Hess told you that Jane Doe 1 was Q.

routinely in George's office?

When we interviewed him. And Glenn sat 14

with me when -- when I interviewed Commissioner

¹⁶ Hess. He had said that, yeah, he knew that George

would come in, go to tax assessment. He spent --

18 George spent a lot of time over in tax assessment.

And he had -- and Commissioner Hess had seen Jane 19

20 Doe 1 go into George's office, door was closed.

He doesn't know what went on. He doesn't know

what conversations happened. But he did state

that at one point, he told her she didn't have to

be in there -- she didn't have to go in there and

Page 172

have the door closed if she was uncomfortable, I ² think is how he put it. That's really all he ever

said.

O. The next meeting you have is with Mary Beth Heffner. Who is Ms. Heffner?

A. She was the receptionist in the

commissioner's office. She started after I did.

She indicated that she was aware, as of June 12, 2020, that Jane Doe 1 had retained an

attorney regarding claims of sexual harassment, a

hostile work environment against George; is that 12 right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did she tell you who told her that?

15 Yes. Actually, no. Yes and no. Α.

16 Gary Bender told me that he had

¹⁷ informed -- because it was going to obviously take

up a lot of our time, he had informed his staff,

of which Mary Beth, Wendy, I can see her, but I can't think of her name. Who is the next one?

The clerk of courts, oh dear Lord.

22 Q. Linda Dietrich?

23 Α. Linda Dietrich, yes. And once he told

me that, I mean, HR was down to two people, myself

Page 173

and the benefits administrator. And I also let ² her know that we had received a claim because

suddenly my time -- I mean, it was just two of us

and we were stretched to begin with. And it's like, look, I'm going to be busy, so guess what,

you know, fill in as the operator, you are going

to have to do more of that, I am not going to have

time to do it because we took turns, every --

Q. This was whom you were informing?

10 The benefits administrator. It was the only other person in HR at that point in time

because everyone else was furloughed.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. I wanted her to know I was going to be

out of the -- I was going to be spending a lot of

time on an issue and I said it's strictly

confidential, please don't discuss it with -- and ¹⁸ I trusted her that she wouldn't.

19 Q. Do you know, did Defendant Bender take ²⁰ the same precautions and inform Ms. Hefner that it

was strictly confidential and she should not disclose it?

 $^{23}|_{A}$. I would assume so. I don't know.

24 O. When you spoke with your staff, did you

```
Page 176
                                                Page 174
  disclose the parties involved and their names or
                                                         1
                                                           0.
                                                                   We were on -- going through Exhibit-71
  just that there was a matter that you were working
                                                           before we took lunch. I think we were on the
  on?
                                                            Wendy's Slanta portion there. I don't know what
  A.
          No. I -- I -- I simply said that we
                                                            page it's on, but --
  received a sexual harassment, hostile work
                                                            A.
                                                                   Sorry.
  environment claim against Commissioner Halcovage.
                                                           O.
                                                                   It's okay.
          Do you think it was appropriate for
                                                                  I just want to direct your attention to
   Q.
  Defendant Bender to inform Ms. Hefner that the
                                                            the first sentence, I confirmed with Wendy that
   sexual harassment, hostile work environment claims
                                                            she was aware that Jane Doe 1 had retained an
   against Halcovage were brought by Jane Doe 1?
                                                            attorney about George Halcovage.
                                                         11
11
   A.
          No, it probably wasn't appropriate.
                                                                  Do you know who told Wendy about --
                                                         12
12
   Q.
          HR is supposed to keep names and parties
                                                            A.
                                                                   She also works in the commissioner's
  confidential, correct?
13
                                                            office. It's my understanding that Gary Bender
  A.
          Yes.
                                                            told his staff, so that would be Wendy, Mary Beth,
          In fact, I think you indicated earlier
15
                                                            and Linda.
   Q.
   that this became very well known in the county,
                                                         16
                                                           Q.
16
                                                                   Going down to the last paragraph on that
   correct?
                                                            page in the last few lines, she says -- you write,
18
  A.
          Uh-huh.
                                                            she used the example of Jane Doe 1 who had gotten
19
   Q.
          Is that a yes?
                                                            a DUI. She stated that George had gotten her ARD
          Sorry. Yes. Yes.
                                                            and he also got her the job in tax assessment.
20
   A.
21
          Do you believe that that could have been
                                                           She was getting divorced.
   O.
                                                         22
   avoided if individuals would not have shared names
                                                                  Did Wendy indicate to you from whom she
   with individuals who were not on the need-to-know
                                                            had heard this information?
24 basis?
                                                                  No. And I didn't ask. I just listened
                                                Page 175
                                                                                                         Page 177
 1
            MR. LETTRICH: Object to the form.
                                                            to what she had to say.
 2
            But you can answer.
                                                           O.
                                                                   It goes on to talk about something that
            THE WITNESS: I think it certainly
                                                            Wendy had learned about Jane Doe 2.
  could have kept knowledge of the individuals to a
                                                                  Did she tell you where she got that
   minimum, ves.
                                                            information?
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                            Α.
                                                                   No. I assume, based on the fact that it
   Q.
          Ms. Hefner indicated that she was afraid
                                                            was something to do with the -- I assume it was
   of Gary Bender. Did she tell you why?
                                                            working in the commissioner's office, but that's
   A.
          Look, he could be gruff. He could be --
                                                            an assumption on my part.
                                                         10
                                                                   If we go to the middle of the page, the
  his demeanor could be gruff. He could be
10
   downright angry, vindictive. I mean, A lot of
                                                            next page, not the one with the bullet about
12
   people are afraid of him, not just Mary Beth.
                                                            Wendy, but the one you're on.
                                                         13
            MS. SMITH: It's about 1:00. I
                                                                     MS. SMITH: For the record and for
   don't know how everyone is doing. We're going to
                                                            those individuals in the room, I am talking about
14
   break for lunch. Are you okay with that?
                                                            the page where the first word is prison.
15
                                                         16
16
            THE WITNESS: Yeah.
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
                                                         17
17
                                                                   And then if we go fifth full paragraph
18
         (Whereupon luncheon recess was held off
                                                            down, Wendy said that Gary had complained about
19
   the record 1:01 p.m.)
                                                            the, me too comments against George Halcovage.
20
                                                                  Do you know what me too comments against
21
                                                            George Halcovage she was referring to?
            THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Lees, will
                                                         22
22
   you need a copy of the transcript?
                                                                   I believe what she was talking about,
            MR. LEES: I will yes, please.
                                                         23
                                                           and this happened before I got there, so all I
23
<sup>24</sup>BY MS. SMITH:
                                                         know is what hearsay, what I've been told. There
```

11

Page 178 was an incident with an individual who said she ² felt threatened by George, it had something to do with, they were both in an elevator. There was a discussion going on. He stopped -- he stopped the elevator the finish the conversation. She said she felt threatened, I think is what it refers to. Q. Okav.

The next sentence says, Wendy said that those that have problems in life help on elections and get ahead.

Do you know what she was referring to with having problems in life?

- 13 No. I didn't ask specifically again. A.
- 14 Q. And then the last sentence it says, she said she had a hard time with Christians treating 15 16 people the way they do.

17 Do you know, was she referring to anyone 18 in particular?

- 19 No. She didn't mention anyone in 20 particular. I mean, it was in the context of the conversation, so I'm guessing maybe she was referring to George. I don't know.
- 23 If we go down a couple paragraphs, Wendy' said had been called a liar. Then it goes

Page 179 on to talk about how if she had a problem, he is the first one to say she better get over it.

The he is Gary Bender, correct?

A. Right. Yes.

3

O. And then the next sentence is: Wendy questioned why a man can do something, but a woman can't.

Do you know, was Wendy talking about why a man can do something but a woman can't, generally or at the county? 10

- 11 A. Can you show me where it is in here so I 12 can read the context?
- Wendy questioned why. 13 O.
- 14 I think she's referring -- where it
- talked about -- the next sentence talks where she
- 16 had gone home sick, where she got docked for pay
- even though -- when she left early, but others, in
- 18 particular guys, she felt could leave and not
- suffer. 19
- 20 Q. So Wendy's kind of summary there that a man can do something but a woman can't, meant at individual employees of the county? 22
- Right. With work time. But it should 23
- also be noted that Wendy is a nonexempt employee

Page 180 and Gary obviously is an exempt employee. So he doesn't have to monitor his time, which is why I ³ didn't -- to me, it was like -- it's like, okay, I'm not going to get into this with her, that wasn't the point of the conversation, but I knew 6 that she was a nonexempt. I knew that he was exempt, so to me, that wasn't really an issue to address. It was -- I wasn't going to get into explaining all that. I had too much to do at that

11 Q. No. I am just trying to understand. 12 The last sentence in that paragraph, they had a point to make.

A. Okay.

time. Sorry.

- 15 O. So if we look at that last sentence, 16 which refers to Dave Urkle and then we look at the next -- the paragraph two down from there, which says Wendy said she was so frustrated?
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 Is that the time she went to Dave Urkle that is referred to in that earlier sentence about George telling her she had to learn her place?
- 23 A. Yes.

 24 O. Turning to the next page.

Page 181

The first full paragraph, Wendy stated that George has had Mary Beth do things for his insurance business. It also says she's also said he says off-color things. She was uncomfortable.

The he is George Halcovage?

A. Yes.

5

- O. And do you know, did she tell you any uncomfortable things that -- things that George had said that she believed made her uncomfortable?
- 10 Α. She didn't give specifics.
- 11 O. The next sentence, but it's going down to the second part of it on the next line, George had her put something on her computer that she was not comfortable with, some sort of video. She objected and said he was the commissioner, they won't do anything to him.

Did you get any more specifics about the 18 video that he showed her?

- Not that I remember at this point, no. Α.
- 20 Did you know who she was referring to 0. 21 regarding the, they won't do anything to him?
- 22 No. You can't -- one thing I learned in the county, you can't discipline a commissioner, ²⁴ no matter how it is.

17

19

 $^{1}|Q$. Okay.

10

A. Or an ed official, for that matter.

Q. If we go down a couple paragraphs, the one that says when Wendy switched jobs. If you look to the second line, Wendy said that she had been demeaned. There said she never in her life been put down like she has been there.

Is this referring to the -- she's never -- she said she's been demeaned there. Is that the commissioner's office?

A. It was by Mark Scarbinsky. And Mark Scarbinsky was the court -- the county administrator prior to Gary Bender. She was referring to things that he had said to her.

Q. The next paragraph, the singular sentence: Wendy said that Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 2, and Jane Doe 3 used George Halcovage to get stuff from him.

Did she provide you any specifics regarding that?

A. No. I think she was implying advancements in jobs and increases in salaries.

Q. If we look to the Linda Dietrich section, I think you already confirmed this, but

you believe that Bender had informed her about

Jane Doe 1 being involved and George being the subject of the investigation? Is that a yes?

⁴ A. Yes. Oh, sorry.

⁵ Q. That's okay.

There's a, some things were said sentence.

A. Sometimes things were said that she thought he may not have known or well enough to know whether she would be offended or not, she was not offended --

 12 Q. Is that --

¹³ A. That's what she had said.

Q. Is that sentence that -- it talks about someone saying something that might have offended

16 someone --

¹⁷ A. Linda was saying that -- I asked if

he -- if George had ever made comments that she

¹⁹ found offensive. She said there had been comments

made, she didn't feel her knew her well enough to

know whether she'd be offended or not. She wasn't

offended, but he didn't know. And she, you know,

thought it probably shouldn't have been said,

²⁴ unless he knew whether or not she'd be offended.

Page 182

Q. Just so I am clear, the he being George?

² A. Yes.

³ Q. Defendant Halcovage?

⁴ A. Yes.

⁵ Q. If we go to next page, Zoom meeting with

⁶ Glenn Roth?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you decide to interview Glenn Roth or did he come to you and offer to speak with?

You how did that transpire?

A. I think I thought I should interview

Glenn Roth because in talking with Jane Doe 3 and

Jane Doe 4, they had indicated he was present for

certain comments that had been said and he made

comments, supposedly made comments to them, you

know, about loyalty, so I needed to get his

perspective.

Q. At any point during your conversation with Glenn Roth, did you ask him, being that he had admitted to observing or learning certain things, why he never came to you?

A. I don't recall, unless it's in here and you can point me to a paragraph. I don't -- I would think he would have felt that they could

Page 185

Page: 50 (182 - 185)

Page 184

come to me directly if they had enough of an issue.

Q. As the HR director, do you believe that Glenn Roth, in his capacity as city solicitor and risk manager, if he knew of sexual harassment occurring, should have reported it to someone?

A. Yes.

⁸ Q. Who do you believe he should have reported it to?

¹⁰ A. To me, the HR director at the time.

Q. Do you believe having obtained the information in this report from Glenn Roth, that there are things he knew or observed that he, under the county policy, was obligated to report to HR?

¹⁶ A. Can I take the time to read it?

¹⁷ O. Sure.

A. Well, I mean, in the first paragraph it talks about that he admitted he heard a joke made in front of him and Jane Doe 3, made a comment that she liked sex. Glenn and Jane Doe 3 kind of rolled their eyes and shook their heads. George is one that likes to joke. He's already told

²⁴ Glenn and his wife that they should go parking.

That, I mean, I guess if he was offended, he should have said something.

He indicates that Jane Doe 3 had relayed that George had made a joke to Jane Doe 4 about being on her knees and that he had made a joke about popsicles. You know, those things -- I think that happened before I was there, though.

- But in any event, he should have Q. reported it --
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 -- to who was in your position? Q.
- 12 Yes. I think any comments that were
- made that concerned -- if they expressed a concern
- to him that -- Jane Doe 3 expressed a concern to
- him that she was offended by a comment that was made, joke that was made and it was told to him, I
- believe he should have also referred it to HR.
- 18 O. Okay.

19

If Jane Doe 3 hadn't used those words, but had said, I don't like when George makes these comments, would that be something that Defendant Roth should have referred to HR?

- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 **Q**. If Jane Doe 3 had said, I have concerns

Page 187 about George's behavior and the disruptions of my

- offices, is that something Glenn Roth should have referred to HR?
- A. Yes.
- If we turn to the page which begins your Q. statement, which is probably two pages later.
- A.
- O. Above your statement is a continuation
- of Glenn Roth?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- This portion discusses -- it does 0.
- 12 mention an issue with the Loudermill hearing?
- A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Is that the issue you were testifying Q.
- about earlier regarding the coroner's office?
- 16 A.
- 17 Q. So Glenn was aware of the issue?
- 18 A.
- 19 And do you believe that Glenn's
- 20 statements that you can always break a contract
- and it wouldn't be considered illegal, it was just
- one person's moral views, different from anothers,
- do you believe that that is incorrect?
- Yes.

Page 186 1 Do you believe that what his O.

viewpoint -- Mr. Roth's viewpoint expressed to you

during his interview was in violation of law?

- Yes. He said in his statement, and this
- is exactly why I made this statement, he made the
- statement that the county administration would
- never, never, in that tone, tell someone, an
- employee, a director, head department, head
- whatever, to do something that was illegal. And I
- literally the next day pulled out the U.S. Supreme
- Court case and said, okay, so Loudermill, Supreme
- Court says this is what's going forward. So we
- don't really have to pay attention to that. Then
- ¹⁴ I said something that really is interesting,
- because I said, oh, Roe versus Wade, we don't have
- to abide by that anymore. Well, technically now we don't, but that's another issue.

It's like -- so when the Supreme Court says something, it really -- it's really not important because we don't have to abide by it.

- Needless to say, I was being facetious and I was
- 22 annoyed and trying to make a point.
- 23 O. Then your statement goes on to detail --
- this is the events leading -- that kind of Page 189

surround that Loudermill issue, correct?

- 2
- A. Uh-huh. 3 This November 2019, event? Q.
- 4 A. Yes.
- And then we have Gary Bender's O.
- information provided to you. Who made the
- decision to speak with Defendant Bender?
- 8 A. I believe I did. Again, it was matter
- of interviewing everybody who was -- who may have
- had some information.
- 11 The second full paragraph, there's an
- ¹² incident that Gary recalled regarding George. And
- in the second to last line you write: He said he
 - knew what George meant, however he did not think
- 15 it was funny. 16

Did Gary tell you what he thought George 17 meant?

- 18 Α. No. Do I have my own impression? Yes.
- 19 O. What's your impression?
- 20 A. Basically of a male's physical and --
- 21 rubber gloves.
- 22 Q. Gotcha.
- ²³ A. That's what I understood it to be, but
- he never said that because that part, Gary would

11

never make those kind of statements in mixed

company, I know that.

Q. The next paragraph indicates that Gary went out to each of the women and apologized and asked them if they were uncomfortable.

Do you know if Gary Bender ever approached Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe 1, or Jane Doe 2 and asked them if they were uncomfortable by what George had done?

A. No. The only conversation I'm aware of that he was in was the one that -- when we interviewed Jane Doe 2, Jane Doe 2, and we were all there and it was obvious she was upset.

Q. What was -- after Jane Doe 2's interview with Mr. Bender present, did you have a conversation with him about the contents of what she disclosed?

¹⁸ A. Yes. There were several conversations.

Q. Did Defendant Bender ever tell you anything about whether he believed what she had reported or his feelings about it?

A. Yes. I think he did believe what she said.

Q. If we go back to Gary Bender's

statement, the next -- the paragraph that starts with Gary recalled an incident with Michelle O'Connell?

⁴ A. Uh-huh.

Q. If we go down about midway through, it indicates she said she wanted to show him something, she said she was planning on showing him before she left, but since she was -- since he was there, she would show him now. Then she showed him a text message from her phone that was sent by George Halcovage at approximately 2:00 a.m. He couldn't recall the date, but while it was -- but it was while she was employed by the county. The message stated, no deck party tonight?

¹⁶ A. Uh-huh.

Q. Did Mr. Bender ever indicate whether he had reported this to anybody?

A. He mentioned -- she was -- she had -
she was resigning or had resigned and he mentioned

it to me and he wanted me to speak with her before

she left and I did.

Q. Did she recall the same --

A. Yes.

Q. -- thing for you?

² A. Yes.

³ Q. Did she show you the text message?

⁴ A. Yes.

⁵ Q. What happened after that? What, if anything, was done?

A. Well, it had happened a period of time before. By the time it got to Gary and to myself, it had long since happened.

¹⁰ Q. Okay.

So it wasn't --

A. So it wasn't anything recent. It was -- I don't think it was -- I don't remember the date that it was.

Did you see the text message yourself?

¹⁶ A. I didn't look at the time of it. I

mean, I didn't look at the date. I didn't -- if I

¹⁸ did, I didn't -- two and a half years later, I

¹⁹ don't remember.

Q. Do you know if there was anything documented in Defendant Halcovage's personnel file for the county?

²³ A. No.

Q. Two paragraphs down it talks about

Page 193

Page: 52 (190 - 193)

Page 192

Defendant Halcovage going into Gary Bender's office and requesting -- is that Michelle still

³ Michelle O'Connell?

⁴ A. Yes.

⁵ Q. Requesting she get signatures for him on ⁶ a petition?

 7 A. Yes.

⁸ Q. Was it your understanding from your conversation with Mr. Bender that this request by Defendant Halcovage was during work hours?

A. Yeah, because Michelle was sitting in Gary's office when it happened, so I would assume it was, yes.

Q. And do you know if this request was when Michelle O'Connell was still a county employee?

16 A. Yes.

Q. And do you know, did Gary before this statement to you on June 12th, did Mr. Bender report Defendant Halcovage's request that

Ms. O'Connell get him signatures to anyone?

A. Not to me. This was the first I heard of it.

Q. In the very last sentence it indicates that Defendant Bender told Defendant Halcovage

that his request was illegal. Did Defendant

- Bender ever tell you if he had reported this
- ³ illegal activity by a county commissioner to any
- investigating agency?
- ⁵ A. No.
- Q. Do you know if he did?
- No. I don't know the time frame of when A. that conversation happened.
- Then we have a conversation you had with Q. 10 Deb Detweiler on June 12th, this next page.

11 She indicates -- you write: I asked if 12 she was aware of a conversation concerning Virginia Murray's sexual orientation. 13

Did you ever speak with a Virginia 14

15 Murray?

- No. She no longer worked at the 16 A.
- courthouse and I didn't want to involve her.
- Q. Regarding Virginia Murray, during your 19 employment with the county, did Defendant Bender,
- Halcovage, or Defendant Roth discuss express their
- opinion of her work performance?
- There were conversations, yes. 22 A.
- 23 Were their opinions in those Q.
- conversations favorable or did they dislike

Page 195 Ms. Murray?

- $^{2}|A.$ Their opinions were not favorable, but it wasn't whether they disliked her as a person.
- They just didn't feel she was as qualified as she needed to be.
- Q. And that was as a chief assessor?
- A.
- 8 Do you recall an incident or a O.
- conversation about an error Ms. Murray had made,
- something about a \$17 million error on the
- county's annual certification for repository
- 12 sales?
- It sounds familiar, but I couldn't tell A. you the details.
- So you don't know if you had had a 15 Q. conversation with Defendant Roth, Bender, or Halcovage about it?
- 18 Not in detail. I mean, there may have A.
- been she made a huge mistake or something like
- that, but it wasn't -- I didn't get all the detail. 21
- 22 Q.
- 23 Do you recall Defendant Bender, Roth, or
- Halcovage being -- expressing disbelieve of how

such an error could be made?

- Α. Really what I remember are comments that
- she just wasn't qualified. She didn't know the
- duties. She didn't know how to do her job, is
- what it sort of focused around.
- Q. Did you learn at any point that since
- your resignation from the county, that Ms. Murray
- has been, I don't know if it's rehired or brought
- back as a contractor?
- 10 A. I read it in the paper.
- 11 0. Do you believe, based off of what you
- know and what conversations you had with Defendant
- Bender, Roth, and/or Halcovage that she is
- qualified to perform --
- 15 A. No, I certainly don't think she is.
- 16 Sorry.
- 17 O. Let me finish.
- 18 Α. Oh, sorry.
- 19 Qualified to perform the duties of chief Q.
- 20 assessor, assistant chief assessor?
- 21 No. There were so many conversations
- 22 about her not being qualified and her -- them
- being happy that she was resigning. And when I
- read it in the paper, I was flabbergasted. Like.

Page 197

- why would you bring someone back that you thought was so unqualified. Sorry.
- 3 Q. No, I appreciate it. Thank you.
- 4 Did any of the defendants ever express similar opinions or just opinions in general,
- about Chrissy Zimmerman and her qualifications?
- Qualifications for what? For her
- current position or for the -- because she's in a
- different position than when I was there.
- 10 O. So when she was -- when you were there,
- 11 she was just --
- 12 Α. She sat out in the front at one of front
- desks. She was, I don't know, a clerical person
 - for what I understand.
- 15 0. In assessment?
- 16 A. Yes. I believe, yeah.
- 17 So let me ask it this way: Based off of
- what you know having worked at the county and/or
- conversations with any of the defendants, do you
- ²⁰ believe that Chrissy Zimmerman is qualitied to
- hold the position she currently holds?
- 22 In her current position is what, A.
- 23 supervisor?
- I believe she's interim assistant chief

assessor. Not unless she's had a whole lot of A. education since I left. Turn to the next page. I want to take Q. you to the first full paragraph.

Deb was then informed by Jane Doe 2 that she was removed from the drug and alcohol advisory board, not by her choosing.

Uh-huh. A.

10 Do you know who removed Deb Detweiler Q.

11 from the drug and alcohol advisory board?

12 I do not. But, again, it would have been something that was voted on by the

commissioners.

15 This Melissa that's reference there, do Q. you know -- I'm going to butcher her last name, so 16 I am not even --

18 A. Kalyan.

Was Melissa Kalyan, do you know, 19 Q.

terminated from the county? 20

I believe I read that in the paper. A.

Do you have any knowledge as to why? 22 Q.

23 Firsthand knowledge, no. A.

24 Q. Do you have any reason based off your

Page 199

conversation with Ms. Detweiler to believe that anything she relayed to you was not true?

A.

There's then a conversation or the notes Q. regarding the conversation you had with Ann Craft. Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Who is Ms. Craft?

A. She is -- she was -- still is, the

10 administrative assistant in the human resource

office. She is also the same friend that I

mentioned I wanted -- I'd gone to high school with

her, wanted to hire her in that position and where

I was told to have her change her political party.

15 The information that Ann Craft relays Q.

regarding the text message there in that first

paragraph, you were actually witness to it,

18 correct?

19 A. I was with her when she received it, 20 yes.

21 Q.

Is what Ann Craft informed you of what you recall from that event? 22

She -- we had been to a -- it was her 23 A.

²⁴ birthday. We had gone to a James Taylor and

Page 198 Bonnie Raitt concert. We came out, it was

starting to sort of sleet. The weather was bad.

³ She checked her phone and saw that she had gotten a text from Commissioner Halcovage. She was sort

of creeped out about it because she said she never

gave him her phone number. We talked about how he

could have possibly gotten her personal cell

phone. I said maybe he went into the office to

wish you a happy -- because we left early that day

to go to the concert. Maybe he went in to the

office to wish you a happy birthday. Maybe they 12 gave him your number.

We checked with all three employees in the HR office on Monday. This was a Friday night. None of them had given him her personal cell phone number.

17 O. Going to the next page, Tiffany Mayer.

18 Α. Yes.

16

19 Q. Based off of your conversation or

interview with Ms. Mayer, is there any reason that

you had to disbelieve what she relayed to you

during that conversation?

23 Α. No.

24 Q. Then we have Helene O'Connor is the

Page 201

Page: 54 (198 - 201)

Page 200

final. Other than what -- other than Helen

² O'Connor, was there anyone else -- well, Jane Doe 1 and George Halcovage -- that you interviewed in connection with your investigation?

Α. I don't think so. I would have written 6 them up.

Q.

8

10

11

15

16

17

21

Again, sometimes these questions seem obvious, but we just have to kind of clear some things up.

Based off your conversation with Ms. O'Connor, was there any reason that you had to ¹³ disbelieve her?

14 Α. No.

> We can put that one aside. Q.

We now have yesterday's 69.

You now have before you interview questions from Jane Doe 1 concerning an investigation of sexual harassment. It's previously been marked as Exhibit-69.

Does this document look familiar to you?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And are these questions that you asked

Jane Doe 1 as a result of the May 22nd e-mail?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Were these questions pre-written before you spoke with Jane Doe 1?
- Yes. I came up with some questions to A. ask, yes.
- Q. You had them written down and then took notes as you spoke with Jane Doe 1?
- Yes. A.
- Q. These questions and the answers then provided, the notes regarding them were for the meeting between you, Jane Doe 1, in which Mr. Roth and I were present, correct? 12
- 13 A. Yes.
- I think you already answered this, I 14 Q.
- apologize, after speaking with Jane Doe 1, was
- there any reason you had to disbelieve what she relayed to you?
- 18 A. No.
- Do you recall when this interview was 19 Q.
- 20 conducted?
- 21 No. But I believe it's in my -- my A.
- final reports. It was some time between -- I
- think it took a while to get your schedule and
- hers together and Glenn's.
- 1 Q. Do you know --
- $^{2}|A.$ It was in the month of June, I can tell you that because they were all done -- by the end of June I was typing up the report, the final report.
- Q. Do you know if your interview with Jane Doe 1 occurred before or after the first interview with Defendant Halcovage?
- A. I believe it was after because I think
- 10 the reason I asked for the second interview was
- there were discrepancies between George's
- ¹² interview and Jane Doe 1 interview and I wanted to
- determine -- somebody -- there were discrepancies.
- ¹⁴ Somebody wasn't being totally honest. I didn't
- know Jane Doe 1 well enough and hadn't had
- conversations with her that I could ask her
- questions that I would -- like about conversations
- she and I had had. She was an acquaintance. I
- knew her to say hello to, to have a casual 19
- 20 conversation, but I never really had a real
- 21 conversation with her.
- George I knew. I felt I knew well. We
- had had numerous conversations. I felt I could
- ask him questions about conversations we had. And

- some of them would not be seen as positive in this ² kind of situation and I needed to know if he would still be honest about the answers. I didn't have that -- that kind of -- I didn't know Jane Doe 1
- 0. I'm going to represent to you that your final report says that you interviewed Halcovage on June 10th and June 23rd. So you believe Jane
- Doe 1 interview would have been some time --

well enough to be able to do that with her.

- A. Somewhere between there, ves.
- 11 Q. To make you feel better, I'm asking this 12 question because I don't remember and I was there.
- 13 A.
- 14 O. Did you type your answers on a computer?
- 15 I think I did because I think I was Α.
- 16 typing as -- because at some point, I started --
- and I know in George's -- I think in both of his I
- was typing. 19
- Q. Okay. 20 A. And I think on hers I was typing as
- well.

Page 203

- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 Because then I didn't have to write and A.
- then go back and redo it. It's like just type and Page 205

¹ I just had to go back and correct misspellings.

- Q. These are as contemporaneous as you could with Ms. --
- A. Yes.
- -- Jane Doe 1, telling you what O.
- happened, you were able to type these answers here on this Exhibit-69?
- 8 Uh-huh. Yes. Oh, sorry. Yes. A.
- Q. Do you recall during the interview, did
- 10 Defendant Roth ask questions?
- 11 He may have asked a couple follow up somewhere in here, I believe. I honestly can't
- remember. Again, I ask a question and she talked.
- And when people did, I just took notes and let
- them talk and wanted to be sensitive that they ¹⁶ felt they were being heard.
- 17 Do you know at any point when you worked
- at the county, did you learn that Jane Doe 1
- suffered or had an issue with alcohol or
- alcoholism? 21 A.

Yes.

- 22 Q. When did you learn that?
- ²³ A. I can't tell you the date and time, but
- ²⁴ I can tell you it was told to me by Commissioner

Page 206 Page 208 Halcovage. didn't feel she could. With your background in psychology, do Q. What was his reason for telling you that? you understand why Jane Doe 1 didn't feel that she You'd have to ask him that question. I could come to you? A. don't know the reason why he said it. I can tell MR. LETTRICH: Objection to the you on occasions, he would come in, sit in my form. office, talk. During one of the -- and it But you can answer. wasn't -- it happened regularly. It wasn't daily, 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I get she it wasn't necessarily weekly, but it happened was -- look, I get she was afraid. I feel like --I feel like I failed her because she couldn't. regularly. 11 11 Q. The Defendant Halcovage told you about 12 12 BY MS. SMITH: 13 That he would come in and sit down and Do you understand what delayed 13 O. A. have a conversation. disclosure is; are you familiar with that term? 15 Α. 15 No. Q. Okay. During one of those conversations, he 16 Q. 16 Knowing what you know about the county, A. was -- somehow Jane Doe 1 name came into it. He knowing what you know about what's happened since, talked about how -- talked about her Boyfriend do you believe that Jane Doe 1 fear of coming Dock, one-time Boyfriend Dock, that they both were forward would be -- if Jane Doe 1 was fearful 19 alcoholics, that Dock had taken Jane Doe 1 car, about coming forward, that it would have been 20 Jane Doe 1 mother's car or something, anyway, had justifiable? 22 an accident, Jane Doe 1 car and had an accident A. Yes. Absolutely. 23 23 with it. MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. 24 We're going to turn to the page that has 24 You can answer. Page 207 Page 209 1 questions on it. THE WITNESS: Sorry. $^{2}|A.$ Is yours different? BY MS. SMITH: It's the same. Just two people produced Q. O. You can answer. Yes. Absolutely. The other thing I can them, so this one was produced by the county. A. This one was produced by us to the county, that's tell you, in the fall of 2019, the chamber of why they are different numbers. commerce has what's known as the young It's the page with Question 8 going into entrepreneurial academy program. At some point in late summer, early fall of 2019, I had passed Jane 9. 8 A. Yup. Okay. Doe 1 in the hall and she made the statement that Do you see the triple I? her daughter was going to enter that program. Her 10 Q. Uh-huh. daughter was a sixth grader, I think. I'm a A. 12 The last sentence of that: Glenn asked mentor in that program so we chatted about it. Q. ¹³ She said, oh, would you consider being her mentor. if he ever told her he loved her. She said yes, 14 I said I would. 14 he told her he loved her. 15 15 Do you recall Glenn asking that I can tell you on the first night of question? that program -- well, not the first night of the 16 17 A. Not off the top of my head. I'm sorry. program, the first night that the mentors -- it's 18 Going down to 12, it says: Can I ask a program that goes on for months. The mentors Q. why you did not come to me concerning this matter. 19 work with the students on developing, writing a That was you asking Jane Doe 1? business plan, because I had had my own business, Yes. And I had tears in my eyes. I I had been doing that for a few years. 21 A. remember that very clearly. 22 22 The first night that the mentors are Jane Doe 1 told you she didn't know you there, the parents come and participate. Jane Doe 23

well enough, George pretty much owned her, and she

²⁴ 1 introduced me to her daughter and as we said

Page 210 hello, she made a statement which sort of threw me off and she said, oh, yeah, Kelly, this is the

woman who can fire me. And I said, Jane Doe 1,

⁴ why would you say that. I don't -- I can't fire

you. So to me, she was fearful already and I

6 should have realized that she was in fear of her

job from that statement. But, again, I just

thought, why would -- why would you even make that statement. Sorry.

10 You're okay. Take your time. If you Q. 11 need a break, let us know.

12 A. No. I'm good.

13 Her daughter won, by the way.

This is SCDOJRP258. It's the George 14 Q. Halcovage questions. 15

Ms. Twigg, these are your notes and --16 questions and notes from the interview you conducted, interviews I should say, that you conducted of George Halcovage, correct? 19

20 A.

21 0. Again, I think you testified to this

earlier, but when you were taking -- when you were

conducting the interview, you believe both of

George Halcovage's you were typing the responses? Page 211

1 A. Yes.

Can you tell me just based off your Q. recollection, after the two sets of interviews and the interview with Jane Doe 1, was there anything that you believe that George Halcovage was untruthful about?

A. Yes.

8 Q. Is there anything that you personally know, based off of what you observed, that you know George Halcovage wasn't truthful about?

Yes. A.

12 Can you tell us what things you can say Q. based off your observations, he was untruthful about?

15 A. Can I --

16 Yeah, take your time. Q.

17 I am looking for the start of the second 18 set of questions, because that's really where the 19 questions about Jane Doe 1. So that's the ones that I can tell you I know for a fact are the ones that have to do with things he may have said to 22 me.

23 Q. So you are on pages --

MS. FOX: For everybody else, 544.

THE WITNESS: In Question 2A, do you recall being in my office, steering a conversation to that of a sexual nature? He said

he can't answer that at this time. I know that he did because I go on to talk about the different --BY MS. SMITH

Q. I'm sorry. Keep going.

The different instances. He recalled A. telling me about the sexual exploits of controller Christy Joy. He said because we received an e-mail, yes. It was either a text or e-mail to all three commissioners about something going on with Christy Joy. You don't recall telling me about his comments regarding sexual relations.

15 So if you are going to -- read to 16 yourself. Either let us know --

A. Okav.

18 O. -- because she --

19 A. Sorry. So if you go to Question 2 and you go down to -- well, I didn't put a little number there. It's A, B, and then it starts with because we received an e-mail. The question was: Do you recall telling me about some of the sexual

²⁴ exploits of Controller Christy Joy.

Page 213

Page 212

1 Q. I'm going to stop you there. 2 The you in that sentence, you, Deb Twigg?

A. Do you, George Halcovage, remember --

5 O. I'm sorry. 6

The me?

-- recall -- recall telling me, yes, A. Debra Twigg.

Q. So as you sit here now, can you recall 10 the incident which you --

11 Yes. A.

12 Q. Can you tell us what happened?

I can't tell you how we got on the sexual topic. I can tell you he talked about -and it might have been that I mentioned I have an inversion, ie, exercise. I'm getting old. I get on an inversion table to keep my back stretched out. I happened to mention the inversion table, I think, that's how I'm guessing we got to there. ²⁰ He talked about Christy Joy having an inversion table and having someone performing oral sex on him while he was on the inversion table, I believe was the gist of the conversation.

Okav.

Page 214 Page 216 1 1 Your report indicates --A. I don't understand what you're asking. 2 Q. And she was the county controller. Is the again, is that Defendant A. Q. Christy Joy was the county controller? Halcovage's response to this 4B --A. Yes. A. -- statement? O. And the comment to you was made by O. Defendant Halcovage? A. Yes. Sorry. Yes. Q. Do you believe that Defendant -- not A. believe. I'm sorry. Q. Do you recall any other times that 9 Defendant Halcovage mentioned sexual exploits of Do you recall if Defendant Halcovage's County Controller Christy Joy? statement regarding Ann Craft to you was more of a 11 A. No. I think that was the only time it would just make life easier or was it a clear, 12 about Christy Joy, I believe. she must change her political party type state, 13 explicit statement versus implied? You go on to talk about a time that he O. made a comment -- Defendant Halcovage made a Initially it was said that she would comment about the sexual exploits of District need to change her party. When I came -- I came Attorney Michael O'Pake? back and said I'm not comfortable doing that and I 16 17 won't have that conversation with her, I'll just Yup. 18 Q. Can you talk about that one. Where were post the job and hire whoever I need to hire. And 19 you and who made that comment? then he told me I didn't have to do that, I could 20 20 Again, it was in my office. He made a hire her. 21 comment again about Mike O'Pake. Basically --Q. Okav. 22 supposedly a -- I don't know if it was this If we go to 9. particular person or not, having not being 23 A. Okay. 24 O. ²⁴ necessarily able to pay for legal services and If we go to 9A, there's an objection and Page 215 Page 217 accepting oral services as payment. then 9B you indicate that you didn't ask the question because his attorney was already quite Sorry you lost me. Q. angry. Can you tell me, when did his attorney George said who accepted oral sex for start to become angry? payment? I'm reading. I can't tell you 5 Α. The district attorney. A. Q. Because -exactly -- I mean, he clearly was angry at this point. At some point, and I don't know whether I A. And this was before he was district wrote this in the notes or not, I can't imagine attorney. I got the impression it was earlier in his career. that I wouldn't have, he made -- Halcovage's attorney made the -- at some point, Mr. 10 Q. Halcovage's attorney made the statement that he I don't know when. I don't know --A. 12 Do you even know if that's true? was under the impression -- he said that these Q. were new questions being asked. And he made the No, not at all. Α. 14 But you know that Defendant Halcovage statement that he was told there would not be any Q. 15 new questions. And I asked, who told him that. told --¹⁶ He said that he was told that by Solicitor Roth. 16 Made that statement to me, yes. A. 17 Q. If we go down to Question 4B. Solicitor Roth was sitting next to me and I turned 18 to him and I said, look, I went over these Okay. A. It talks about -- this question and then questions with you ahead of time. You knew there 19 that were new questions. You even made some 4 and then B talk about the changing of political parties. You see, again, that would be because of revisions to some of them. And I looked at Commissioner Halcovage's attorney and I said, the 22 the pressure, it would just make life easier. 23 ²³ fact that you would only speak to an attorney and Was that Defendant Halcovage's response vou wouldn't -- if you wanted to know whether regarding your question or statement in 4B?

Page 218 Page 220 there were new questions, you should have asked 1 Q. Right. 2 me. The fact that you spoke to Solicitor Roth Because you took the copy with you about it and he lied to you, not my issue. maintained it, so that --MS. SMITH: I am going to mark the Because I didn't trust that it wouldn't be changed, yes. Supplement 2230 through 2254. This one we'll have to put on the screen. 6 Q. So in September when you resigned, you had concerns that the documents you prepared in connection with the investigation could be altered (Supplement 2230-2254 marked as Exhibit by someone? for identification.) 10 A. Let's just say I had a trust issue. 11 Q. Who was that trust issue with? 11 BY MS. SMITH: 12 12 I am going to put back in front of you A. The county in general. O. 13 **Q**. 70. This is the one you were just looking at. 13 Can you tell us why? 14 I think just things I had seen. You A. Okay. A. know, the conversation where knowing in a court of 15 This is the one the county produced. Q. law, if I was telling the truth and it was not --16 Okay. A. I'm marking as 84. you know, the situation I gave with the clerk of 17 Q. courts, where if I was telling the truth, I was 18 MS. IPPOLITO: Can you tell me told that I would be totally discredited, my 19 which one 84 is? 2.0 MS. SMITH: We didn't mark it yet, professional reputation would be attacked, knowing ²¹ full well I was telling the truth just because we are going to give you a copy, but it's Supplemental 2230 through 2254. they needed to defend the county. Yeah, that's a BY MS. SMITH: pretty clear message that I shouldn't trust that they wouldn't do something that wasn't unethical, ²⁴ Q. Do you recall we subpoenaed documents Page 221 Page 219 from you -immoral, or illegal to win a case. Yes. 2 A. Q. After all of these interviews were -- I sent you a subpoena and you conducted, these notes were typed up, you did Q. produced documents to me? create a final report? Yes. A. Yes. Uh-huh. A. O. This is the one you gave to me pursuant O. There was a time when you created a final draft report? to that subpoena. These are the copies we passed 8 A. Yes. out. What I want you to do, if we look back Q. And then there was a final, final --10 to where I just had you. 10 Yes. A. Uh-huh. Q. -- report? A. 12 12 So for you, 10, I think. Here's the Q. A. Yes. objection. O. Was anything changed between your draft 14 I want you to look at 9A and 9B, they and the final, final report? 15 Well, yeah. There wouldn't have had to 15 don't have the same. A. 16 been a final, final report. 16 Do you know why these are different? Did anyone tell you to take anything out 17 No, I don't. A. of your draft before publishing, I guess, for lack 18 But the one you produced to me pursuant Q. 19 to the subpoena would be the correct -of a better word, the final, final? 20 20 A. MS. IPPOLITO: Objection to the 21 21 Q. -- true and accurate copy? form. 22 THE WITNESS: Pardon? 22 Yes. A. 23 23 Okay. BY MS. SMITH: Q. To me it would be. Objection to the form is just something

18

24

1

Page 222

for attorneys for at a later time, so you don't need to --

A. Okay.

15

16

19

20

21

12

18

19

22

23

- O. You can answer, unless someone tells you not to answer, it means that.
- A. I can tell you there was -- during the conversation with Jane Doe 2 there was an incident that -- the part about her wearing jeans that had -- that were shredded or ripped or whatever the heck they are called. And she had -apparently Gary Bender had sent her an e-mail on a Saturday, because she wore these jeans on a Friday. He apparently -- Commissioner Halcovage had gone to him he sent her e-mail on Saturday.

At some point in that meeting, because that topic came up during that discussion that he was in and Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 and Jane Doe 2 and I were in, he -- I think she wanted water. He got -- I remember him getting up. As he was walking out the door, he apologized to her for sending that e-mail.

22 I wrote that in the original notes. He took offense to that, felt like I was saying that ²⁴ he was guilty for something. I had had

Page 223 conversations with Solicitor Roth about it. I didn't think it said what he thought it said, but did I change it because he was angry about it? Yes, I did.

I tend to be a perfectionist. So, look, I'm the person that -- when I was in college, professors said the first day of class you have a paper due at the end, I started it that weekend and I revised and revised and revised it because I tend to want it to be perfect. I'm sure some of that is the version, the final version, all of that type of stuff.

I can tell you when I was finalizing the report, not the notes, but the report, both Solicitor Roth and Gary Bender came in numerous times because they were very anxious for the final report to be written. I can tell you that at one point in time, Solicitor Roth came in to my office, sat down, asked where we were. We talked about the final paragraph or so and whether I should use the word that it would be recommended that Commissioner Halcovage resign.

He made the statement, I wouldn't -- you ²⁴ know. I don't think you should do that or I

Page 224 wouldn't do that. And I said, this is my report, ² I'm going to write what I think needs to be in there. If you want to write the report, you write the report. He got upset and left.

In the version that I wrote that report, it does recommend that Commissioner Halcovage resign.

- Q. Do you know why Defendant Roth suggested you not include that or that he wouldn't --
- No. You would have to ask him. I told 11 them when I started, I would not do anything illegal, unethical, immoral. I am not going to --13 like I said, I mean, at this point I have been doing this for 30 years. I'm not going to ruin my reputation, like I said, because George couldn't keep his pants up. Sorry.
 - I appreciate that.

Between the date of your report, your final report, it's June 26th, if I recall, of 2020, and when you resigned from the county September 4, 2020, do you believe that you observed or learned of any retaliatory behavior by any of the Defendants, Bender, Roth, Halcovage?

MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. Page 225

But you can answer.

THE WITNESS: I believe that things were -- it was becoming clear to me that things weren't necessarily the way I would have done them. I think -- I can tell you felt like I was being left out of conversations because I am -again, to me, HR is Switzerland. I was -- I needed to be there. I listened to both sides. I felt that Gary, in particular, possibly Glenn because he tended to follow along with Gary, that they started seeing me as not being on management's side, shall we say. I'm not on anyone's side. I'm here, I'm telling the truth. The truth that I -- the truth, it's what it is. If you don't like it, you change your behavior, you do something different, but you don't lie. BY MS. SMITH:

- 18 Do you believe that -- I think you 0. 19 testified that you were left out of conversations?
- A. I felt that, yes.
- 21 Do you believe the conversations you O. were left out of are ones that the HR director for the county should have been involved in?
 - Yes. Yes.

17

Page 229

Page 226 hostile work environment unless it's because of a 1 Q. Do you believe or know why you were left out of those conversations? ² discriminatory category. So were you being I think they knew that, well, we'd treated in a hostile fashion because you're a A. probably end up here and I would have to tell the woman? No. I think they treated everyone the same way, in a hostile manner. And not all the truth about what was said, my guess. I don't know that that's a fact. time, but at times. Do you believe that you were left out I believe that -- you know, there were Q. the conversations because you had concluded that people going around just stabbing you in the back, the plaintiffs in this case, Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe people undermining you, people bullying you. When 2, Jane Doe 3, and Jane Doe 4, were being truthful something would happen in the clerk of courts about what had occurred and that Defendant office, I was -- you know, she'd send -- she would have her attorneys send me letters, threatening to Halcovage had violated county policies? 13 A. ¹³ sue me because I wanted to do an investigation Do I believe that was why I was left out where there were things going on in her office of the conversation because I believed that? that were totally inappropriate. Sorry. I'm struggling because I think -- I truly think Gary Bender, as well as Solicitor Roth also 16 O. Do you believe that the work environment knew that those statements were true. So I think prevented you from doing your job, the director of human resources for the county, to the best of more it was that I wasn't going to -- I was going 19 19 to stand up and say, you can't do this, you can't your abilities? 20 Yes. do that. You can't do that, and it's not A. 21 necessarily what they wanted to here. O. Do you believe that Defendant Bender engaged in conduct that prevented you from fully 22 Q. Since your resignation from the 23 county -- well, let's start with this. carrying out your duties as director of HR? 24 After you resigned from the county, you Yes. Page 227 applied for unemployment, correct? Q. Do you believe that --Not until January of the following year. 2 A. He was my boss. You know, he would tell A. me, this is the end of this story and that's it, I Q. Okay. don't want to hear about it. But at some point you did --5 O. Did Defendant Roth engage in behavior A. Uh-huh. that prevented you from carrying out your duties Q. -- apply for employment? to the best of your ability? A. Uh-huh. 8 A. He was not my supervisor, so he could Q. Is that correct? make a recommendation, but I didn't necessarily A. have to adhere to what he said. Do I think he 10 Q. The county contested your unemployment? undermined me in certain ways? Absolutely. A. 12 12 And you appealed? Q. Do you think that if he would have -- I Q. don't want to say been on board, but if he had A. 14 There was eventually a hearing held? been less -- trying to think how to phrase this. Q. 15 15 If he had engaged -- Defendant Roth had A. Yes. engaged with you more to determine -- to have an 16 Your reason for application for unemployment, I believe, you sited a hostile work interactive discussion about what was best for the 18 environment? county, do you think that that would have 19 benefited the county? 19 A. Yes. 20 20 What can you tell us in your mind was MS. IPPOLITO: Objection to the Q. 21 hostile about the work environment? form. 22 THE WITNESS: That's a hard 22 A. The way people were treated. Look, I can tell you on my last day, it was extremely question because I think in Glenn's mind, his job

hostile. I was told that you can't call it a

is to protect the county and it doesn't matter for

Yes. They made a stip.

Stipulation?

23 A.

24 O.

Page 230 Page 232 it's what's right, wrong, or indifferent. His job 1 A. Chris, what the heck is Chris's last is to defend it. Like in this case, his job is to 2 name? win and he's going to -- and if that means 3 O. Hobbs. discrediting somebody, if that means doing --A. Hobbs, Chris Hobbs. He made a whether he agrees with it or not, whether it's statement, he said before they started right or wrong, whether it's a lie or not. I questioning, would I agree that -- that there mean, he flat out told me that to my face. So was -- that the environment -- how did he put it? does that make it hard to do your job? Yeah. That the environment of the courthouse -- I don't Does that make it hard to trust someone? Yes. know that he conceded that it was hostile, but BY MS. SMITH: basically the statement that they said was there 11 Are you familiar with the job duties and was nothing they could to change it or effect it. O. responsibilities of the risk manager at the Would I agree with that. I said if you're asking 13 county? me to agree to that, yes, but the reason I agreed, 14 A. In the sense that it relates to like because I agree. I don't Gary Bender can control Workers' Comp and things like that, yes. his behavior. He can't change it. Even if he 16 Do you believe that Defendant Roth wanted to, I don't think he can. He's -- when his Q. fulfilled his duties of risk manager? anger flairs up, it is uncontrollable. 18 I feel once he learned of certain O. Since your resignation with the county, things, he had an obligation to report them and he have you learned of anything that's occurred at didn't. Again, that's a choice. That's his the county that you believe is retaliatory towards choice. Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, and Jane Doe 1? 22 MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. 22 Q. Do you believe that that choice that you 23 just talked about for Defendant Roth, was You can answer. ²⁴ BY MS. SMITH: actually -- could have been a detriment to the Page 231 Page 233 county? Q. Jane Doe 1 or Ms. MS. IPPOLITO: Objection to the 2 A. Yes. Can you tell us what things you can form. O. think of that come to mind? You can answer it. THE WITNESS: Yeah. But I have a Yes. I mean, I'm sorry this whole Α. different opinion of what is -- a benefit to me, a Lexus Nexis thing, which you read about in the papers, I have had conversations. I think it's benefit for the county is to do the right thing no matter it cost. It's not that in every situation total -- pardon me -- bullshit. I think it's the county has to been seen as winning and retaliatory. somebody else loses. No. Whoever is right should 10 You came to work pretty closely with O. win. You do the right thing. And if there's a Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, correct? 12 problem, you fix it. You don't cover it up and, A. I did. hey, well, I bullied them into giving me -- I O. Would you consider them friends? 14 bullied them, so I got the win, that's all that Yes. I didn't know them before I matters. 15 started at the courthouse. This were issues in BY MS. SMITH: 16 the tax claim office, we worked closely together, 17 Since your resignation from the employee relation issues. The whole reason that 18 county -- I'm sorry. I want to go back to your they were promoted to take over both offices is unemployment. 19 because they did such a great job of cleaning it up. Through that process, yes, we got to know 20 At the appeal hearing of your unemployment, did the county concede and allow you each other, we became friends. 22 to obtain unemployment compensation? O. Going to work backwards. 23

Starting with the LexusNexis, based off

of your relationship with them, Jane Doe 3 and

Page 234 Page 236 Jane Doe 4, do you have any question in your mind 1 Q. Who is this director? 2 as to whether they maliciously searched A. Lisa Stevens. individuals or information using their LexusNexis O. You said she falsified records? credentials? A. So in children and youth, they have MS. IPPOLITO: Objection. duties -- they have -- somebody is on call all the MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. time. Certain supervisors didn't want to, didn't -- didn't -- I don't know if they didn't You can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. Now, I have -want to, they couldn't. They didn't want to work with the way you asked that, I will just say, no, overtime. Lisa would offer -- in her own words, I do not believe they did have malicious intent. she offered to do the overtime for them, but she ¹¹ I don't even believe they did any searches that wanted to receive the pay. She had gone to, I weren't necessary. Well, I can't say that believe, Gary Bender -- maybe it was before Gary ¹³ Bender, it might have been Mark, I don't know totally. No, I don't believe there was malicious intent. I don't believe they essentially did which one she had gone to, asked about getting anything wrong. I would trust them. I just overtime if she -- getting the pay if she did the 16 would. work. She was told no. Actually, I think it was ¹⁷BY MS. SMITH: Gary Bender. 18 I'm going to represent to you, and it's In the end what happened was she ended going to be brought out in discovery so everyone up doing the work. The people who were on the will find out, that Jane Doe 4 has informed schedule put it on their time sheet, she signed off on it. She then -- they then paid her in cash ²¹ investigators that she may have searched some individuals to send thank you cards for for the time that she worked for them. 23 individuals who wished their condolences on her 0. The county was aware of this? 24 mother who had passed. Α. We became aware of it. We did an Page 237 Page 235 investigation. And the result of that was she had 1 A. Okay. Q. If you as HR director had learned that ² a 15-day suspension. Was Glenn Roth involved in that at all? that was what she did, that she sent thank yous to O. 4 A. people who had sent their condolences after her mother died, do you believe that a year suspension O. So Glenn Roth was aware that an would be warranted? individual employee in children and youth stole A. No. time --8 8 MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. A. Yes. You can answer. Q. -- and that she was only suspended for 10 15 days? 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. No. Look, I can tell you -- okay. Let me ask you all 11 It was an evening commissioner -- I can a question. Sending -- using a system to get tell you the people sitting around that table, addresses to send condolences, a year suspension ¹³ Frank Staudenmeier, Gary Hess, George Halcovage, without pay. I can tell you, and this happened Glenn Roth, Al Marshall, myself. Did I say Gary ¹⁵ Bender? I think that was all. I don't know while I was there, we had a director of a department falsify payroll records, collect money whether the clerk of courts was there or the -- I under the table, signed off on her direct reports don't know whether Linda Dietrich was there. 18 falsifying, and she received a 15-day suspension. Probably not because it was probably an executive 19 Are you kidding me? 19 session.

²⁰ BY MS. SMITH:

- ²¹ Q. Was she put up for termination?
- ²² A. No. Absolutely not.
- Q. Was she put up for termination twice?
- ²⁴ A. No.

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com Everest Court Reporting LLC

20

22

0.

A.

²³ Q.

by state grants?

Yes.

state funding for the county?

Is children and youth funded in anyway

So would her theft of time be theft of

Page 238 Page 240 1 1 MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. A. Yes. 2 O. Before she became chief assessor? You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I guess it would Α. I don't remember that timeline. I know -- I remember her going for classes. I have been. BY MS. SMITH: remember her coming down and studying, but whether it was before or after, I don't recall. Sorry. Q. Is she still employed by the county? O. To my knowledge. Sorry. If that gets It's okay. A. 15 days and you -- really, try and tell me that's But in any event, was your understanding that part of the reason that Jane Doe 3 was to not retaliatory. I'm sorry. My opinion. 10 Understood. remain in tax assessment if the offices were ever 0. 11 Going back to when you were working at split again was because the county was investing in getting her a CPE license? the county, it was when you were there that the 13 A. offices of tax claim and tax assessment were Yes. 14 O. This is because -- do you understand combined, correct? that CPE licenses are --15 Α. Yes. 16 A. Few and far between, yes. 16 Were you aware of, I want to call it, a Q. gentleman's agreement or statement by then 17 O. Hard to find individuals who hold them? Commissioner Staudenmeier that if these offices 18 A. Yes. Yes. were to ever be disbanded, Jane Doe 3 would, in 19 So did you come to understand that O. 20 shortly after you left the county, the offices fact, remain in tax assessment? were disbanded? $^{21}|A.$ I'm aware of what you're talking about. 22 A. It was -- when you say a gentleman's agreement Yes. I read that in the paper as well. 23 with Commissioner Staudenmeier, it was discussed O. Do you know that Jane Doe 3, with her ²⁴ CPE license, was put into the position -numerous times with Commissioner Halcovage, Page 241 Page 239 Commissioner Staudenmeier, I am not sure if 1 A. I read that in the paper as well. Commissioner Hess was included in on that, but he O. -- director of tax claims? may have been. A. Hetherington replaced him? Q. O. And Jane Doe 4, who did not hold a CPE license, was put in -- left in assessment as the A. Right. Q. Okay. assistant chief assessor? Myself, Gary Bender, Glenn Roth, that Okay. I don't know whether I recall A. if -- because there was certain -- I think reading that or not. Staudenmeier had concerns about whether this would Q. As HR director, someone who works with work, combining the offices, because it had been staffing and people who hold the requisite 11 tried in the past and didn't work. It was made requirements and licenses, would that make any ¹² very clear, and in particular too, it was made sense to you? 13 very clear to Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, that if A. 14 in fact, it didn't work, Jane Doe 3 could not go MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. back to tax claim. She had to stay in tax 15 You can answer. assessment and Jane Doe 4 would then do tax claim. ¹⁶BY MS. SMITH: 17 I know I reviewed it with each of them before the Can you think of any reason why they would -- why the county, if they broke apart the 18 move was made. offices, would structure them in that nature, but 19 As I understand it, chief assessor ²⁰ Jane Doe 3 in tax claims and Jane Doe 4 in tax position requires by law, that the individual hold 21 a CPA license? assessment? 22 That's my understanding. 22 A. A. Yeah. But my reason is it's Did Jane Doe 3 obtain a CPA license to 23 23 O. retaliation. become a chief assessor? Would in your --

county policies and past practices?

You can answer.

MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form.

21

²² A.

23

Page 242 Page 244 1 A. But that's just my opinion, personal THE WITNESS: No. opinion. BY MS. SMITH: Q. Can you think of any reason other than Q. Can you tell me what you used to come to that? the conclusion that that was not justifiable? ⁵ A. Any professional, no. It doesn't make A. Because there's no solid basis for it. sense. Why would you take the person who has the I mean, really if what they did was one person certification, who was restructuring the office, used a system to send someone -getting it back to where it needed to be, why Let me stop you there. 9 would you do that. Because somebody looked up an I'm going to represent to you that Jane address to send a card for -- no. it doesn't make Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were -- the offices were 11 sense. restructured in March. 12 12 **Q**. Were you present -- did you work in the A. Of what year? 13 county when Virginia Murray tried out the dual 13 O. Of 2021. role for a brief period of time? 14 A. Okay. 15 15 No. I worked there when Ginny was O. They were suspended indefinitely without there, but not when she was dual role. pay in September of 2021. That suspension was for 16 17 Do you know if -- do you know of any the LexusNexis. O. 18 similar circumstances of removal of duties by the 18 A. Okay. county, such as the ones -- the votes they took on 19 I will represent to you that there's 19 0. Jane Doe 4 and Jane Doe 3? been testimony in this case that the catalyst for 21 I'm not sure what you're asking. their demotions was because STEB reports were not A. So Jane Doe 4 and Jane Doe 3 had duties 22 being submitted in accordance with state Q. removed from them. guidelines. $_{24}|_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}.}$ 24 Right. Okav. Page 243 Page 245 1 Q. When the offices were disbanded. 0. So if I represent that that was the reason for the restructuring or at least part of A. Okav. Are you familiar with any -the reason, catalyst for it, would that change Q. your mind regarding their -- the restructuring of Duties that -- I mean, I know before I A. got there risk management was under HR. I don't those two offices? MR. LETTRICH: Again, objection to know why that changed, but it did change and it went to Solicitor Roth before I got there. Trying form. 8 to think, were there other -- I know at one point You can answer. they tried to split the HR specialist position so THE WITNESS: I would want to know that she could move to a different position and more details about what you're talking about. take half her job with her and they tried to do it BY MS. SMITH: ¹²Q. behind my back, that was not real pleasant. So When you worked at the county, did you have any questions about the operations of the tax it's not that it hasn't been done, but to this claim and tax assessment offices? 14 extent, no. 15 A. 15 I guess what I'm trying to find out is No. Q. 16 Q. what your feeling are as the former HR director Do you believe that they operated 17 optimally? regarding not only the restructuring, but the fact that the county along with it decided to cut Jane 18 18 Α. Tax claim, yes. Tax assessment, I 19 think -- like I said, I can tell you management 19 Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4's pays. Do you believe that was justifiable, given 20 ²⁰ was not happy with how Virginia Murray was running

it. And the reason that Jane Doe 3 was put in

place was because she did such a good job at

turning around tax claim. Do I think it ran much

better, at least the time when I was there when

21

MR. LETTRICH: Same objection.

it independently, then they should have excused

themselves. I say this because I think initially

THE WITNESS: If they could not do

You can answer.

Page 248

Page 249

Page 246 Jane Doe 3 took over, yes, I do. I know that for in paperwork, my name appeared, but I did the a fact. I know by talking to the employees. ² investigation. Did I answer your question? ³BY MS. SMITH What do you mean by independently? You did. Thank you. 0. Q. To your knowledge, has there ever been A. Sorry. If you could do it objectively. If you could do it and be objective. an indefinite unpaid suspension for greater than Q. Do you think -- go ahead. I'm sorry. one year in county history? There has never been more than the 15 8 A. I believe I was objective when I went A. through all these questions with everybody, in days that I was involved with. 10 Even if you weren't involved, are you particular with Jane Doe 1 and with George. And Q. 11 honestly I had a closer relationship with George aware of anv --12 than I did with Jane Doe 1 when all of this No. A. 13 -- that you weren't involved that were happened, but I still believe I did my job Q. greater than 15 days? objectively and however it turned out, it turned 15 out. I didn't let any personal feelings get in No. A. You're pretty well versed in labor laws 16 16 the way of it. Q. 17 I don't -- if they could have done that, at this point, would you say? 18 Yes. because again, my name did appear on -- I have A. 19 Q. Are you familiar with laws of regulation letters from your office that have my name on it as well. So to say that they shouldn't have, if 20 regarding unpaid suspensions? ²¹ they could do it objectively, should they have 21 To some extent, yes. A. excused -- yes. Should I have excused? Yes. Did 22 Q. Are you aware that they require that the ²³ I think about it at that time? No, because I did individual engaged in serious misconduct? ²⁴ my job. Oh, yeah. Yeah. Page 247 Do you think that -- strike that. 1 Q. From what you know, do you believe that 1 Q. Jane Doe 3 and/or Jane Doe 4 engaged in serious If the individuals who were raising misconduct? issues, complaints of discrimination or MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. retaliation, made it known that they did not feel the investigator, whether it be HR, Bender, Roth, You can answer. THE WITNESS: You too? could be objective, do you think then that the MS. IPPOLITO: As long as one of us individual in question should have excused themselves from involvement? gets it done. THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe MR. LETTRICH: Same objection. 10 that this is justified. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it? I 10 BY MS. SMITH: didn't follow it all. 12 12 Given your training and experience both BY MS. SMITH: Q. 13 O. with the county, before the county in HR, do you Let's say Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 believe that any of the named defendants, Defender raised an issue of retaliation. 15 Roth, Halcovage, Zula, or Kutzer, once they were A. Yes. 16 Q. named as respondents in an EEOC investigation, And an individual -- they raised the that they should have engaged in any investigation issue of retaliation to HR, so let's say Heidi Zula. And they -- Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 in regarding complaints by Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, raising the issue, say we don't believe that you, 19 Jane Doe 2, or Jane Doe 1?

21

A.

²⁰ Ms. Zula, can be impartial and we have concerns?

excused herself and there should have been an

independent investigation, absolutely.

Oh, then absolutely. She should have

Do you believe that the county policies

You can answer.

```
Page 250
                                                                                                         Page 252
   allow or have a structure in place for third-party
                                                          1
                                                                     MS. IPPOLITO: Objection.
                                                                     THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean, there
   investigators?
            MR. LETTRICH: Same objection.
                                                           is already a lawsuit going on. Why wouldn't you
                                                            want to have that -- yes, because I would have
            Please go ahead.
            THE WITNESS: I don't remember what
                                                            nothing to hide.
   the county policy -- I mean, I would hope, but I
                                                          <sup>6</sup>BY MS. SMITH:
   would think it's common sense that if someone is
                                                                   If they simply asked for it to be -- to
                                                            0.
   claiming retaliation -- you know, but I have been
                                                            record it, to memorialize the truth of what
   doing this for 30 years, so...
                                                            occurred instead of having a witness present,
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                            would that have bothered you?
                                                         11
11 Q.
          If an individual raised an issue of
                                                                     MS. IPPOLITO: Objection to the
                                                         12
   retaliation and/or discrimination to you as HR the
                                                            form.
                                                         13
  director at the county and they had asked for an
                                                                     THE WITNESS: It might have. I
  independent witness to be present, would you have
                                                            don't like the idea of the recording, but I know
                                                           in today's day and age, it's more common than it
15
   an issue with that?
            MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form.
                                                         16
16
                                                            was 30 years ago when I started in HR.
                                                         17
17
                                                                     MS. SMITH: I'm going to take a
            You can answer it.
18
            THE WITNESS: Okay. I am going to
                                                            break real quick, just going to chat with my
19
   say this, I guess it would depend. And I say that
                                                           clients and chat the other attorneys. So if you
  because I can remember a time that I actually had
                                                            need to use the restroom, we can go off the
                                                         21
  an issue -- Jane Doe 3 had an issue with tax claim
                                                           record.
                                                         22
   and the individual wanted her husband, who also
                                                         23
  worked at the county, to be her independent
                                                                  (Whereupon brief recess was held off the
witness that she was entitled to under a union
                                                           record at 3:22 p.m.)
                                                                                                         Page 253
                                                Page 251
  contract. We both agreed no, no, no. Your
 <sup>2</sup> husband cannot sit in on this with you. You can
                                                          <sup>2</sup>BY MS. SMITH:
  have your union steward, you can have whoever. Sp
                                                                   Ms. Twigg, we just took a break. I came
 <sup>4</sup> it would depend on the situation. But, I mean, as
                                                           back and you wanted to let me know that there was
 <sup>5</sup> long as it wasn't that kind of situation, I
                                                            something different about these two reports.
                                                          6
  wouldn't have an issue with it.
                                                            A.
                                                                   Yes.
                                                            Q.
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                                   I am talking about Exhibit-84 and
 8
          In fact, when you interviewed Jane Doe
                                                            Exhibit-70.
  Q.
  1, you invited or allowed me to participate,
                                                                  What is it that you saw is different?
  correct?
                                                         10
10
                                                            A.
                                                                   This stops here, this goes on.
11
  A.
          Yes. Absolutely. Yeah. That's what I
                                                         11
                                                                   So on 84, there's the additional -- it's
                                                            O.
                                                         12
   was just going to say, if in fact, one of them
                                                            22B.
                                                         13
  said I would like my attorney to be here, I would
                                                                  You said, do you recall leaning up and
  have allowed it. I would not have had a problem.
                                                            asking if you could blow on my neck.
                                                         15
15
          So if you had remained employed by the
                                                            A.
  Q.
                                                                   Uh-huh.
  county as HR director, post the finding of the
                                                         16
                                                           O.
                                                                   And he -- that's the no?
  federal litigation, so plaintiffs and defendants,
                                                         17
                                                            A.
                                                                   Uh-huh.
                                                         18
18
  there being an actual lawsuit and one of the
                                                                     MS. IPPOLITO: Sorry. You're on
   plaintiffs in the matter had an issue and wanted
                                                         19
19
                                                            the --
                                                         20
  their attorney present when discussing it with the
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Sorry, guys. Last page
                                                           of 84 is where the additional content is that's
   county employees, including HR, would you have
22
   allowed that?
                                                            not --
            MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form.
                                                         <sup>23</sup>BY MS. SMITH:
23
```

On Exhibit 70, which was produced by the

12

19

20

22

Page 254 county and ends at no and then there's a C, but no answer. On your production it says, no, I believe he said absolutely not. I looked at him, that's you looking at Defendant Halcovage?

- Uh-huh. A.
- Q. Is that a yes?
- A. Yes. Sorry.
- And you write, actually I think I was Q. glaring and said, you and I both know that you said this. His attorney became -- again became angry. I told him that was my last question. He 12 and George abruptly left.

13 The additional content on 84, is that 14 what happened?

- 15 Yes. A.
- Do you know why it's not in this 16 Q. exhibit?
- 18 No. A.
- 19 Did you remove it at any point? Q.
- 20 A. No, because I made a point of putting it in there.
- 22 Q. This was typed as you conducted the interviews, at least the second one with George?
- Yes.

Page 255 Q. It's not like you had a draft?

- A. No.
- Q. And added stuff?
- No, not at the end of the questions. A.
- Ms. Twigg, I want to take you back to Q. the suspensions.
- A. Okay.
- As I indicated to you, Jane Doe 3 and 8 Q.
- Jane Doe 4 have been on unpaid suspension for just over -- well, I guess it's just about a year and a

11 month now, unpaid.

Are you aware of a Mike -- I can't even read my own handwriting -- Mike Van Allen?

14 A. Yes.

12

- Did Mike Van Allen? 15 Q.
- 16 A.
- 17 Q. Did Mike Van Allen do something to
- 18 warrant a suspension?
- 19 A. While I was there?
- 20 Let's start with this: Was Mike Van Q.
- Allen a county employee at some point?
- 22 A.
- 23 Q. Was he a county employee when you were
- 24 there?

A. Yes.

2 Q. Are you aware that Mike Van Allen was caught in a bathroom rubbing a customer's shoulders?

A. Yes, because that individual called me at -- I was at home and he called on my county cell phone. Yes, I know exactly what you're talking about.

It wasn't a county employee, right? Q.

10 No. It was someone who was in the Α. 11 courthouse.

MS. IPPOLITO: Sorry. When you said he's not a county employee, are we talking about the person who did the reporting or the person who is being rubbed?

16 THE WITNESS: The person who is doing the reporting is the same person who was rubbed in the bathroom.

MS. IPPOLITO: Okay. Gotcha. THE WITNESS: Or touched in the bathroom.

BY MS. SMITH:

23 And the person doing the touching was Mike Van Allen, who was a county employee?

Page: 68 (254 - 257)

Page 256

1 Yes. Yes. A.

Q. So you get a call on your county cell phone after hours?

No. It was during the day. I don't know if I was home for lunch or if I was just home that day.

Q.

8 A. But it was during the day, yes, and I got a call.

10 Q. What did this person tell you? 11

Basically that he had been in the courthouse for some other reason, I don't know if ¹³ he went into a courtroom for something. He had passed Mike Van Allen in the hallway. I think he had asked where the restroom was. Mike had given him directions. Very shortly after the individual walked in the restroom, they said that Mike Van 18 Allen came into the restroom as well.

I believe there was another guy in there, God bless him, the guy is now passed away, so sorry. Mario was in the restroom. Mario was just leaving. Mike Van Allen and -- Mario was ²³ like 80-plus years, 85 or something. Mike Van Allen had made a statement about the guy being so

19

16

request for change?

Page 260

old and he should be retired. The individual who was there made a statement that -- that his parents retired early and it sort of caused them their mental capacity disorder -- decline.

Anyway, mike Van Allen then touched him in a way that -- I can't tell you exactly how it was, something with the pinky finger or something, that implied he would be looking for a hook up with another guy. And the guy took this very offensive and ended up calling me.

- Q. Did you speak with Mike Van Allen about it?
- ¹³ A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. What was --
- ¹⁵ A. He denied it.
- Q. What, if any, disciplinary action was taken against Mike Van Allen?
- ¹⁸ A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you know if he was suspended for a year?
- A. No. I don't even know that he was suspended for a day.
- 23 Q. Was he terminated?
- A. No. He was still there. He's a union

member and I know I spoke with the union business agent about it. He may have received -- I don't remember. He may have gotten a warning, he may

- have gotten a one day. He might have gotten a one day.
- ⁶ Q. Okay.
- ⁷ A. I don't remember.
- ⁸Q. But definitely not a year?
- ⁹ A. No. No. No.
- ¹⁰ Q. Do you know, did Defendant Halcovage,
- Roth, or Bender know about this?
- ¹² A. Well, I'm sure I told Bender for sure
- because we met every day at 4:00 and I remember telling him.

I don't recall if -- I'm assuming they did, but I don't recall. It was known, certainly the county administrator knew it.

- Q. Speaking about that County Administrator Mr. Bender, did there come a time when there was
- an issue with his bank account being changed by
- ²¹ Heather Garrity?

15

16

- ²² A. Yes. Sorry. Yes.
- Q. Did Defendant Bender come to learn of this?

- A. Yes.
- ² Q. It's my understanding she got a fishing e-mail or something saying to change his --
- A. She got -- so -- yes. She had gotten an
 e-mail. I had gone over to see Gary about
 something. I was sitting in his office and he got
 a phone call from Heather, who was the HR
 specialist that worked for me. And he had said -he picked -- he said it was her, he picked it up,
 they had a conversation, and he hung up. And I
 said what was that about. He said, oh, something
 about, you know, she said that I had gotten -- you
 know, that she completed the request for changing
 my banking information. I am like what are you
 talking about. And he said I didn't send her a

And I said, we need to look at that. He said no, we don't. I said, well, not if you don't mind your paycheck going to someone else. And he looked at me and I said don't you -- and I heard about these things in the news.

And so I said, look, she just changed your bank account. Like, your paycheck is going to go to this new bank account. Well, then he

realized that part of his pay, he puts a certain
amount into his daughter's bank account, so she
changed her bank account, he changed his bank
account. We sent it to the district attorney. He
looked into it. It turned out the bank would have
been somewhere, I think, in Delaware was where it
would have ended up.

I -- and I wanted to take disciplinary action. At first he was angry, but he was fond of Heather. So at some point, he was not even going to let me discipline her. And his daughter works for the state in HR. I told him, do me a favor, before you make this decision, please call your daughter and see what she would do. So he did and then he came back and said that he would allow me to proceed with giving her a written warning for this.

- ¹⁸ Q. So she wasn't suspended?
- A. No. And it was made very clear I could not suspend her.
- Q. Did Defendant Bender often reach out to his daughter for HR --
- ²³ A. Yes.
- Q. -- advice?

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com Everest Court Reporting LLC

1 A. Yes.

Would you say it was when he disagreed Q. with your advice?

I would say it was when he was trying to A. verify whether what I was saying was appropriate or accurate or...

His daughter didn't work for the county, Q. correct?

A. No. She worked for the state.

10 O. Are you aware or have any information that Defendant Bender provided -- strike that.

Are you aware or do you have any information that Defendant Bender had the county or that the county provided his daughter a stipend for that advice?

16 A. No.

17 Would the county be permitted to provide Q. a state employee with a stipend for HR advice?

MR. LETTRICH: Objection to the

20 form. 21

11

12

13

19

10

But you can answer.

22 THE WITNESS: I suppose if they had a written contract with somebody who had a business. It would have to be voted on. I guess Page 263

they could do that if they choose to, just like they hire any other independent contractor. BY MS. SMITH:

But it would be something that would O. need to be written, voted on in front of the public, and not in violation of the Sunshine Act?

MR. LETTRICH: Objection to the form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I would say yes to most of that. I believe there is some sort of document that any contracts that are under -- at the time it was under \$10,000, were just on a list. And in a meeting, they would vote on contracts on whatever this list was called and it would just -- and they, I believe, all had copies of what those contracts were.

¹⁸BY MS. SMITH:

19 We spoke earlier about Ms. Murray and an O. 20 error she made regarding the annual certification of repository sales?

22 Okay. A.

23 Do you recall speaking about that error? Q.

The one where you said there was like

Page 264 \$17 million, thousand dollars, whichever it was.

Q. 17 million, yeah.

3 A. Yeah.

O. Did you work at the county when that error was made?

A. I don't know.

7 Q. Do you know if Ms. Murray was suspended at all for that error?

9 A I don't know that Ms. Murray was suspended any time that I was there. No, she wasn't. So if, in fact, it happened while I was there, then, no, she wasn't suspended for it. It ¹³ certainly wasn't something I did an investigation on, that I can tell you.

15 Any other instances or individuals who O. 16 engaged in behavior that you can think of that was more egregious then what you believe my clients did regarding LexusNexis, was there was no or less suspension issue? 20

MR. LETTRICH: Objection to the

But you can answer.

THE WITNESS: I mean, the worst I already -- the one with Lisa. I mean, not that I

can think of off the top of my head.

²BY MS. SMITH:

21

22

23

12

form.

O. Let's go with suspensions. Can you think of any other suspensions?

Α. Yes. We had -- we had -- Randy Nigh had been suspended. There was an incident actually that involved Jane Doe 4 that he -- I don't know if he said something to her, touched her back, something, and it was right about the time this whole thing started. And he was suspended without pay, I believe, for ten days.

I know there were -- the young woman in 13 tax -- the woman in tax claim, I forget what she did. She was suspended for three days.

15 Q. Do you know who that was?

16 Yeah. Pam. A.

17 Q. Beidle?

18 Α. Yeah. Pam Beidle.

19 Are there any times that you can think of where someone should have been suspended and 21 was not?

22 I think Heather should have been suspended for that -- the HR specialist who

changed the county administrator's checking

1	- 066		- 050
	account. Not that I can think of off the top of	1	Hess asked for certain statistics and Commissioner
2	may head.	2	Staudenmeier asked for certain statistics. And I
3		3	know this because Gary Bender told me. And he
4	what was the county's social media policy at the	4	made the statement, if you think I gave
5	time you were employed?	5	Commissioner Hess the same information I gave to
6		6	Commissioner Staudenmeier, you would be mistaken.
7			He said he knows how to manipulate numbers to make
8	1 -		them say what he wants them to say and he told me
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9	that himself.
10		10	Q. Do you believe that Defendant Bender on
11	· ·	11	any other occasions, left Commissioner let's
12	1 -	12	strike that.
13		13	Let's clear this up.
14		14	Currently, Halcovage and Hetherington
15		15	are two seated commissioners and they are
16		16	Republican, correct?
17		17	A. Correct.
18	± *	18	Q. Hess is the sole Democratic
19	_		commissioner?
20		20	A. Correct.
21		21	Q. Do you believe that on any other
22			occasions, Defendant Bender withheld information
23			intentionally from Commissioner Hess?
24	· ·	24	A. Yes.
1	Page 267	1	Page 269
2	A. Tou can't discipline an elected	2	Q. Can you tell us what information or give
3		3	us any examples?
4	Q. Dut it sile wash't elected, would it	4	A. No. But I know that I have gone to him
	warant:		and asked like, asked him questions about
5	A If the had violated a maliary year If	5	things that had be are nothing about
5 6	A. If she had violated a policy, yes. If		things that he knew nothing about.
5 6 7	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there	6	Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner
	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a	6 7	Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess?
	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some	6 7 8	Q. When you say he, you mean CommissionerHess?A. Commissioner Hess, yes.
6 7 8 9	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made	6 7 8 9	Q. When you say he, you mean CommissionerHess?A. Commissioner Hess, yes.Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked
6 7 8 9	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on	6 7 8 9	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing
6 7 8 9 10	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be	6 7 8 9 10	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think	6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender
66 77 88 91 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary action.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three commissioners should get the same information in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary action. Q. Did you ever hear Defendant Bender state	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three commissioners should get the same information in the same fashion, irregardless of what party
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary action. Q. Did you ever hear Defendant Bender state or tell anyone that he does not provide the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three commissioners should get the same information in the same fashion, irregardless of what party they're with. They are there to run the damn
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary action. Q. Did you ever hear Defendant Bender state or tell anyone that he does not provide the Democratic commissioner with the same information	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three commissioners should get the same information in the same fashion, irregardless of what party they're with. They are there to run the damn county. If you don't give them the information,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary action. Q. Did you ever hear Defendant Bender state or tell anyone that he does not provide the Democratic commissioner with the same information he provides to the Republican commissioners?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three commissioners should get the same information in the same fashion, irregardless of what party they're with. They are there to run the damn county. If you don't give them the information, how are they supposed to do their job properly?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	she made disparaging statements, yes. And there is there is a policy that talks about, even a person's behavior outside of you know, some people had while I was there, people had made statements, well, this isn't on county time or on county property, so there's nothing that can be done about it. But there is a policy, I think it's conduct and behavior, but I'm not positive, where it states that if you work for the county, you are sort of representing the county and your behavior, even outside of the workplace, reflects on the county and therefore can bring disciplinary action. Q. Did you ever hear Defendant Bender state or tell anyone that he does not provide the Democratic commissioner with the same information he provides to the Republican commissioners?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. When you say he, you mean Commissioner Hess? A. Commissioner Hess, yes. Q. Is it stuff the stuff you asked commissioner Hess about, which he knew nothing about, is it stuff that you knew Defendant Bender had shared with Commissioner Halcovage or Commissioner Hetherington? A. Yes. Q. Is it information that Defendant Bender should have shared with Commissioner Hess? A. I think I think all three commissioners should get the same information in the same fashion, irregardless of what party they're with. They are there to run the damn county. If you don't give them the information,

Page 270 Page 272 to be able to do one's job properly, do you think experts are the best one for it, in department. You might have heard me mention STEB that in your time after the investigation of June 2 Q. earlier, the STEB reports? of 2020, until you resigned, do you believe that Jane Doe 3 was being provided the assistance and A. 5 supplies and personnel that she needed to properly O. Are you familiar with them? run the offices she was overseeing? A. I have heard the name. You told me what MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form. it was and I cannot repeat it to you right now if I had to, not if my life depended on it. You can answer. 9 Kind of answer my question, but I'm just THE WITNESS: Can you be more O. going to get it on the record. At any point specific about what you're talking about? 11 BY MS. SMITH: during your employment with the county, did you 12 Q. Did she have enough staff in the monitor, get involved with, or have anything to do 13 assessment and tax claims office? with making sure the STEB reports submitted to the 14 MR. LETTRICH: Same objection. state in compliance with the deadlines? 15 15 You can proceed. Α. No. No, I wouldn't even know what they 16 THE WITNESS: I believe she was 16 are. wanting to hire more staff, but I don't know that 17 O. Is that any role of HR, in your opinion? 18 that happened. I know that there was -- at one A. No. No. 19 point they were hiring someone and somehow it 0. Is the day-to-day operations of the turned out to be a niece or some relative of Linda 20 offices any -- should HR become involved in any of the day-to-day operations of offices? Marchalk and I don't know whether it was --22 because I remember having a conversation with A. Only as it relates to, are we adhering 23 Commissioner Hetherington about filling the to union contracts. ²⁴ O. position. He made it clear that Linda's --HR or someone in the HR office, maybe Page 273 Page 271 whatever, niece, whatever sister in law, I don't not the director, but are they responsible for paying renewals of the CPE licenses for employees? remember what her relationship was, was the person who would be filling that position. I was No. That would be -- no. That would A. concerned because I knew there were tensions with be -- any repayment of licenses would -- I mean, Jane Doe 3 and Linda Marchalk. an expense report would be completed. It would be Q. In your time with the county, submitted, the department head would sign off on specifically the time watching Jane Doe 3 and Jane it. It would be signed off, I guess, by Gary Doe 4 oversee both assessment and tax claim Bender, and then it would go to the controllers offices, based on that, are you able to form an office. opinion as to whom best would know what those two 10 10 MS. SMITH: I think I'm going to 11 offices need? defer now to Amber Fox and the DOJ. Obviously if 12 MR. LETTRICH: Objection. there is time left, we will reserve collectively 13 You can answer. on this side the right to continue to question 14 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. you. 15 BY MS. SMITH: 16 16 Who --Examination Q. 17 17 A. I would think Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4. 18 If they were asking --18 Q. BY MS. FOX: 19 Good afternoon, Ms. Twigg. My name is 19 And in the same sense, I think I would Q. be the best person to know who should be hired in Amber Fox. I am with the Department of Justice. ²¹ I think that you've heard everything before from HR. And I think Scott Crater would be the best Ms. Smith as far as answering questions audibly person to know who should be hired in 911. And I can go through every office. Of course the people ²³ and letting me finish my questions. I know it's

who were there, the experts, the subject-matter

getting late in the day, so we will do our best.

Page 276

1 A. Okay.

Q. And myself or the court reporter will remind you if we need to, but I also apologize if I am skipping around a lot. Ms. Smith covered a lot of ground, so I am just going to probably be asking some follow-up questions to a few things.

Okay. A.

I would like to start by starting Q. looking at Exhibit-71, which we went through in quite a bit of detail. I will give you a minute to get there. And these are your note about the complaints against Commissioner George Halcovage and Schuylkill County.

14 A. Yes.

19

20

22 23

15 So if I can direct your attention to Q. Page 8 of this document, the page that has the handwriting that says against the law, it's 18 circled.

And it says Jane Doe 2 made accusations that George records conversations on his iPad, that he takes photos of people with his phone without their knowledge.

Did I read that correctly?

24 Uh-huh.

1 Q. Yes or no?

Yes. Sorry. A.

Did you know that Mr. Halcovage or Commissioner Halcovage was making these recordings?

A. No. And I can tell you shortly after this happened, I was walking outside. He was sitting -- George Halcovage was sitting in his vehicle, he was on his phone and he called me over to ask me a question. And it became very clear, I wanted to know who was on the other end of that phone and who was listening to the call. And he literally showed it to me to put me at ease.

14 Q. You were concerned that he may --

15 After I heard this, yes. A.

16 -- record you? Okay. Q.

That was first time you had heard of 17

18 that situation?

19 A. Yes.

20 Did you look into it in any more detail Q. or investigate that allegation?

22 A. No.

Why not? 23 Q.

Because I was busy doing this -- and we

Page 274 had COVID and we had layoffs and then we were

² bringing people back. Honestly, I was -- and at the time, there were two of us in HR. There

wasn't time to do all of that. I figured it would get addressed with the rest of this.

Q. Okay.

Am I right that between the date of this allegation and your last date of employment, it was roughly three to four months?

No. This was end the of June. I left 11 September 4th, it was two months.

12 O. Turning to the next page, at the very top, there's an entry here, Wednesday, May 27, 2020, meeting with Commissioner Gary Hess, which I believe you discussed with Ms. Smith.

And my -- it says here that Commissioner Hess stated that although he had never personally seen anything between the two of them, meaning Jane Doe 1 and Commissioner Halcovage, the assumption was always there.

Did you ask him what he meant by that?

22 A. I don't know that I did. I assumed he meant the assumption was there that there was a ²⁴ relationship.

Page 277 Q. Were you surprised when he said that?

Yes. Well, do I want to say yes? I A. mean, at this point I don't know that I was surprised by a whole lot, but I just accepted it for what it was.

O. Okay.

21

10

Page 275

So you had previously testified that you interviewed Defendant Halcovage twice.

Can you tell me what his demeanor was in your first interview with him?

11 It was like a normal interaction that we had had. It wasn't -- I don't think it was -- I don't think it was -- it was cordial. I mean, he wasn't defensive. He wasn't -- it was cordial.

15 O. You said that that was the normal interaction you generally had with Defendant Halcovage?

18 A. Like I said, at one point in time I 19 considered him a friend, yes.

And then there came a time when you had a second interview with Defendant Halcovage.

What was his demeanor in that interview?

23 I think he was probably a little bit A.

more defensive. There were times where his

22

3

Page 278 attorney became angry. I think George may have been angry. I don't know, but it was more defensive. It wasn't as cordial as the first interview.

But my questions were a lot -- well, no. They were pointed in both of them.

- You said they were pointed? Q.
- Pointed. They were very pointed A. questions, yes. Direct, specific.
- 10 Do you have any opinion as to why O. 11 Defendant Halcovage seemed more defensive in his 12 second interview?
- No, I can't tell you why. Well, I have 13 A. my opinion, but that would just be my opinion.
- 15 What is your opinion? Q.
- I was asking questions that involved --16 A. most of the time, conversations that happened between him and I, so I already knew the answers to the questions I was asking. Maybe that caused him to be uncomfortable. I don't know. 20
- 21 Q. Okav.

22

Can I direct your attention now to what's been previously marked as Exhibit-4, which is supplemental 2230 to 2254.

1 A. Okay.

So directing your attention in this Q. document to Bates No. 2240, Question No. 49.

You had explained your answer to Ms. Smith or your question, rather. Defendant Halcovage answered, no, the only time I would record is if I had some -- would have something talking to Dr. Levine, then he would delete it.

Did you know who Dr. Levine is?

- 10 No, I don't. No, I don't. A.
- Did vou ask who Dr. Levine is? Q.
- 12 A. No.
- And looking a little bit further down O. the page to Ouestion 51.
- 15 Uh-huh. A.
- Says: What is your relationship with 16 Jane Doe 1. And the answer here, friend, colleague, consensual relationship outside of 18 19 here.

20 Did I read that correctly?

- 2.1 Uh-huh. A.
- 22 Below, I won't read it, you can read it to yourself, but it says that you interrupted
- George and you explained there could never be a

Page 280 consensual relationship with Jane Doe 1 due to his position of power.

Do you see that?

- 4 Yes. A.
 - O. What led you to explain that to him?
- A. Because he stated that he was in a consensual relationship. As I mention ed at the beginning of the day, part of what I studied in school was working with -- rehabilitating sex offenders. One thing that was drilled into my head from that point in time is if someone is in a position of power over another person, there is no way there could ever be consent. And I wanted to correct him and make him aware that there is no way that there could be consent simply because of the position of power he had over her with her iob.
- 18 0. That's because he was commissioner and 19 Jane Doe 1 --
- 20 He was commissioner chair and she -when she first started, was a part-time employee. the lowest -- probably the lowest rung of the ladder. And he was at the top of the ladder, that prohibits consent. Page 281

1 Q. Okay.

Page 279

2 Let's take a look now at 2247. And about halfway through the page under Ouestion 2. you were asking questions of -- as you testified earlier, you were asking Defendant Halcovage questions about previous comments of a sexual nature in the workplace. 8

Do you recall that testimony?

- 9 A.
- 10 O. And am I correct that you overheard him make these sexualized comments in the workplace, as described in this document?
- Some he made to me in my office. The ones where -- like this one, No. 2, previously stated in Question No. 9, the original questions, that he had not steered conversation with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 or other members to sexual 18 names... (reading to self).

So, no, I was not privy to the ones that he had in the tax claim, tax assessment office. I was only privy to the ones that he had in my office.

- $^{23}|_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Which ones were those?
- $^{24}|_{A}$. Well, the one about Christy Joy. The

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com **Everest Court Reporting LLC**

19

22

Page: 74 (278 - 281)

one about the district attorney. The one about
Ginny Murray being a lesbian. Off the top of my head, those are the ones I can think of.

- Q. Now, at any point in your experience of overhearing those comments, did you ask him to stop making those comments?
- ⁷ A. No.
- $^{8}|Q.$ Why not?
- 9 A. Why didn't I? Honestly, I can remember sitting there thinking, well, why is he discussing this. I don't know. I just didn't -- I wasn't --
- ¹² I also wasn't -- to me, the stories that I heard,
- he couldn't even touch with a ten-foot pole, the
- things that I heard when I was a therapist. I
- heard people telling stories where they had sex
- with animals. So, you know, listening to what -this little comment about the controller, it was
- like I just ignored it, I guess.
- Q. So you personally, you just kind of blew off the comment?
- A. Yeah. And should I have questioned whether he was having similar conversations with other people, yes. In hindsight I should have and I didn't and the's on me. Sorry.

¹ Q. Don't apologize.

Okay.

I would like you to now look at Exhibit-69 and I apologize for making you skip around, but trying to move quickly.

A. Do I have Exhibit-69?

MS. IPPOLITO: Sorry. Which one? MS. FOX: Exhibit-69, the interview notes of Jane Doe 1.

¹⁰BY MS. FOX:

- ¹¹ Q. These are the interview questions for ¹² Jane Doe 1.
- ¹³ A. Okav.
- Q. On page -- what's Bates stamped No.
 - SCDOJRP556, Question No. 8 -- in the third bullet
- point under Question 8, the very last sentence
- says: Glenn asked if he ever told her he loved
- her. She said yes, he told her he loved her.

Did I read that correctly?

- ²⁰ A. Yes.
- Q Okay.
- Did you ever ask Jane Doe 1 if she loved
- Defendant Halcovage or she ever said she loved
- 24 Defendant Halcovage?

A. I don't remember. It would seem like a logical question after asking...

Q. If she had said yes, she had said she loved Defendant -- told Defendant Halcovage that she loved him once -- strike that.

If you had asked that question of Jane Doe 1 and she had answered in the affirmative, that, yes, she once told Defendant Halcovage that she loved him, would that have changed your conclusion that Defendant Halcovage violated the policies?

- ¹² A. No.
- Q. Why not?
- A. Because someone in power over another
 human being cannot -- that person who is not in
 power cannot -- their consent is taken away by the
 nature of the power of the other person. That's
 basic treatment of sex offenders. Basic. And,
 sorry, we would go through 35 weeks of therapy
 with these people and it was something I had to
 drill into their heads. We don't -- sorry. I'm
 back to that.

 The children that were molested, they

The children that were molested, they didn't -- they weren't necessarily molested by

Page 285

Page: 75 (282 - 285)

strangers. They were molested by family members or people that they trusted or people -- does that create conflicting thoughts their head, yeah, but it doesn't take away the fact that they don't have -- there is no consent if someone is in a position of power over you.

Q. We talked a lot today about the investigation you conducted into Jane Doe 1's complaints of sexual harassment and hostile work environment.

During the course of your investigation, at any point during the investigation, did the county tell you to do anything with which you disagreed?

- A. Only the incident that I mentioned earlier where, you know, Glenn had made the statement that I shouldn't put in the report that I would recommend he resign.
- ¹⁹ O. That's Glenn Roth --
- ²⁰ A. Yes
- Q. -- and he resign meaning Defendant Halcovage?
- A. Yes. Other than -- when I went to Gary Bender, his first response was we do this by the

11

Page 283

11

Page 287

investigation.

Page 286 book. And he was adamant the way he said it. It was in his stern voice, shall I say. But in this case, I agreed with his statement.

Do I think since I've left that things have gotten way out of control? Yes, I do.

- Q. How has it gotten out of control?
- Well, I don't necessarily think things A. are being done by the book anymore.
- Can you give me an example? Q.

10 A. Well, the suspension for a year without 11 pay, that's crazy. Do I truly believe that, like

I was told, that if I was going to testify in a

- case that went against the county, I would be
- discredited. Do that I believe's what's been done
- to be these two women, yes, I believe that they
- 16 have -- the attempt was these were credible
- people. These were people who had stellar
- employment. They had no write ups. No problems.
- 19 And do I believe that the thought process there at
- the county is, well, we will discredit them so
- that they can not be considered credible
- witnesses, that's exactly what I believe happened.
- That's retaliation, I believe, in my book.
 - So you mentioned doing things by the

book and -- well, let me back up a little bit.

When you started working at Schuylkill County, how many years experience did you have in human resources, roughly?

- ⁵ A. Okay. My first job in human resources was started April 1, 1992. I started at the county January 8, 2018. So 26, 27, I'm not the best at math.
- Q. Better than me, so I will go with that.
- 10 A. Okay.
- Roughly that time period. Q.
- 12 I mean, in 2022 it's 30 years. So that A.
- was, what, five years ago, so 25 years.
- 14 Q. So in those 25 years of human resources
- experience, had you conducted investigations?
- 16 Yes. A.
- 17 Q. Roughly how many?
- I can tell you from -- within my first 18
- three months, within my first six months, I know 19
- at my first job I had to conduct an investigation
- and I wasn't -- in my first six months, I was
- not -- I was trained or educated in human
- resources. And so what I did was I took -- I used
- the logic I learned in psychology, you know, to

make sure you get the objective, you have to get ² all the facts, you have to look at -- you know, in ³ a sense like a control issue. When you do testing in psychology and things like that, you always have a control subject so that you know that something isn't just an inference. It's not a coincidence. So I used all of that information on to -- at the beginning, how to conduct an

But since then, I have been through umpteen trainings. I have been through two certifications. I have been through -- so I have been doing them essentially now for pretty much 30 years or 29, let's say.

- 15 O. So it's fair to say that you understand that doing something by the book is based upon your knowledge and experience in human resources?
- 18 It's -- yeah. That means you do it -you do it, but you do it by the book. The book says you're objective, you're fair, you're honest.
- You don't lie, you don't bend the truth, you don't 22 do -- yeah.
- 23 O. Again, I am skipping around and I apologize for that.

Page 289

Page 288

You had testified earlier that there were certain individuals in the 911 call center, I believe, and in the prison who had received pay raises without taking on any additional duties, which you understood to be different from the normal way that the county handled pay raises, which was to give a pay raise when someone took on additional duties.

Do you remember that?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. Is that a fair statement of your
- testimony? 12
- 13 A.
- 14 Do you recall those individuals in the
- 911 Call center and the prison, do you recall what 16 gender those people were?
- 17 In the prison, the lieutenants, I
- believe they're all males. The 911, the majority
- of -- I believe the majority was males. I don't
- ²⁰ know if they were all males. There may have been
- ²¹ females in the supervisor range.
- 22 Q. So the majority of those individuals
- 23 were men?
- 24 I believe so.

²⁴ Based on these types of allegations, the

Page 292 Page 290 Now, can I now direct your attention to 1 Q. discipline would have been employment termination. Exhibit-68, which was the Supplemental 170 to 180. Commissioner Halcovage is an elected 3 A. I got it. official and as such, is not subject to discipline O. Exhibit-68, this is your final report, or removal as a commissioner by the county administration. However, based on seriousness of your investigation findings of sexual harassment, hostile work environment claim by Jane Doe 1, Jane the allegations and findings, it's recommended Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, and Jane Doe 2? that Commissioner Halcovage resign his position as A. It looks like that's what it is, yes. commissioner. 9 Q. Q. Did you make those final conclusions in Okay. 10 I would have thought the date was your report? A. 11 earlier than June 24th, but I guess -- or was 11 A. Yes, this is it. 12 later than June 24th, but I guess not. Okay. O. Okay. 13 13 Q. Now, did anyone help you write this Has anyone else outside of the county 14 14 report? questioned you about the investigation? 15 15 A. No. I mean, I probably had Glenn review A. Yes. it and I believe I sent it to Christopher Scott 16 Q. 16 Who? for review as well. 17 What day is today? Two weeks ago 18 Q. Did they provide any feedback or yesterday, the 15th, I was across -- I believe 19 changes? it's across the street somewhere at the house 20 Yes. Christopher Scott had mentioned judiciary subcommittee, I testified as well. A. 21 21 that -- that I shouldn't include any O. Okay. 22 conversations, any personal things. He said I Any other times that you've been need to just have it be totally objective. You questioned about your investigation outside of the know, like any comments that were said to me by -county questioning you or talking to you about it? Page 291 Page 293 the sexual comments and things like that. If 1 You mean officially? No, I don't think A. there were instances where policies were violated 2 so. by something that was said, that what was said to 3 Q. Just trying to understand. me was not to be included just because -- to be 4 A. Yeah. No, now you -- wait. Did objective. anyone -- no. I don't think so. 6 Q. Anything else you recall? Q. Okay. I know there was -- no. I mean, there I mean, I had questions, initial A. A. questions from Ms. Smith, but... were concerns -- I know that both Glenn and Gary Bender were anxious for me to finish the report. Q. Okay. ¹⁰ It had take well over a week, but I wanted to make 10 Are you aware that -- it looks like sure I got it accurate and that I got it right and three days following the date of your report, ¹² Defendant Bender wrote to Commissioners Hess and that, you know, the ultimate decision on it would be a fair decision based on the information that Hetherington to essentially recap the findings of 14 was provided. your investigation? 15 15 What was the ultimate decision? A. I don't recall it. It doesn't mean it Q. 16 16 That -- well. I will read it to you. wasn't ever... 17 17 Were these allegations made by a Q. Okay. 18 18 nonexempt hourly employee against a MS. FOX: Let's me go ahead and get management-level employee, the management-level 19 19 one exhibit. 20 employee would have been suspended immediately 21 pending an investigation. Following the (Whereupon brief recess was held off the investigation, the management-level employee would 22 record.) 23 have been subject to a hearing and discipline.

24

(SC000436-438 marked as Exhibit-5

1

Page 294

¹BY MS. FOX:

Ms. Twigg, I will hand you what's been $^{2}|\mathbf{Q}.$ marked as Exhibit-85. It's SCDOJRFP000436 through 438.

Have you ever seen this document before? Take a minute to look at it.

MS. JONES: Hello, this is Marie Jones. While you were looking at that document, I just wanted to note for the record I had jumped on for a little bit.

MR. GEIGER: What number is this? THE WITNESS: I think I was aware of -- there was a point in time where I believe he was -- Gary Bender was writing this. And on a Saturday, he had Glenn and I go up there because he had questions about the -- he wanted to confirm that he was getting things right in the order that 18 he said. Like he was -- so I do -- I do think I knew that he was writing a statement.

BY MS. FOX: 20

10

11

12

13

16

19

21 Q. By up there, do you mean up to the courthouse? 22

23 To the courthouse, yeah. A.

24 Q. I will direct your attention to the

Page 295

second page. The fifth full paragraph beginning ² with the investigation, it says here that I,

meaning Defendant Bender, informed Commissioner

Hess and Commissioner Hetherington that we will

discuss the results with them Thursday, but they

were not available. The meeting was set for

Friday, June 26th. I reviewed the report on

Thursday, June, 25, 2020, and had some changes.

Did I read that correctly?

10 A.

11 Earlier you had talked about having a Q. 12 meeting with Commissioners Hetherington, Hess, Defendants Bender and Roth.

Do you recall that testimony?

15 A. Yes.

14

The meeting referenced in this document, 16 is that the same meeting you were testifying about earlier? 18

19 A. No.

No. What meeting was this? 20 Q.

Well, sorry. I'm -- yes, I'm assuming 21 A.

that Friday the 26th is where we met and I gave

him the document. Sorry. I am still stuck on, he

had some changes and I am wondering what those

were.

2 Q. So you disagree with Defendant Bender's statement that he had some changes?

I don't recall what they were if he did. I guess I'm questioning, did he make chances after -- but I was still there, so...

You're questioning whether he made changes to the report after you completed it?

9 Yes. I am curious what those -- yeah. I am questioning where he said he had -- and had some changes. I don't -- but it's been two and a half years, so...

13 O. Sure.

14 I want to come back to that, but before we do that. I want to go to the -- back to this 16 meeting on Friday, June 26.

Is that the meeting you were testifying about earlier when you talked to Commissioners Hess, Hetherington and Defendants Bender and Roth about the report?

21 A. And where Chris Hobbs was there as well 22 and Christopher Scott was on the phone, that meeting, yes.

 $^{24}|_{\mathbf{Q}}$. That was the meeting?

Page 297

Page: 78 (294 - 297)

Page 296

1 A. Yes. Yes.

> 2 Q. Okay. All right.

3 Did you take any notes during that meeting?

5 Α. No. I think we just reviewed the documents, I answered any questions that they had concerning all of the information I had provided 8 them.

Q. Did you know whether anyone else took 10 any notes during that meeting?

11 I don't think so. I don't -- well, I mean, Christopher Scott was on the phone, so I have no idea what he did.

14 O. Okav.

> Is there anything else in this document that you question or disagree with?

17 I guess I would question this, that the affair began during the employee's first year with the county when she was a mailroom clerk. I thought she said it started in 2014. I thought he agreed it was 2014. I thought she was there and this may be wrong. I thought she started part time in the mailroom. I don't know when -- did she start? I don't remember when she started.

15

Page 298

¹|Sorry.

² Q. I think it says that it began during her first year when she was a mailroom clerk.

A. Right. But when I spoke with her, it says for the last six years she had been -- being harassed.

I won't repeat it all. Sorry.

When she started here in 2014, and this is saying -- didn't this just say 2012, I thought.

¹⁰ Q. No.

¹¹ A. Okay. Nevermind.

 12 Q. It's the end of the day.

¹³ A. It's the end of the day. My brain --

¹⁴ okay. Nevermind sorry.

¹⁵ Q. Okay. No problem.

A. I don't -- I mean, briefly looking at

7 it, no, I don't have any issues, except I'd

question what the -- had some changes. Maybe they were just grammatical or -- I don't know.

Q. Okay.

So turning back to the second page
again, the first full paragraph, the last sentence
says: We also discussed accommodations for these
employees, including working from home to ensure

Page 299

that they are comfortable working for the county.

Do you recall those discussions?

A. I recall --

⁴Q. Were you part of those discussions?

A. I'm sure I was. I recall there were conversations, I know there were conversations about parking spaces. Like I said earlier, I assume there were -- yeah, I don't recall. I'll just leave it at that.

Q. I think you had testified earlier that there was a conversation involving Sheriff Groody concerning parking; is that right?

A. No. No. Well, parking spaces, but there was a conversation with Sheriff Groody concerning access to the courthouse by Commissioner Halcovage and what should and

17 shouldn't be restricted.

¹⁸ Q. Okay.

19

20

Do you know whether you or anyone else took any notes during that meeting, the one you just described?

²² A. I don't know. I don't know.

Q. Am I right that you don't recall having any discussions about accommodations for these

employees or that you recall having them, but --

A. I know we had a lot of discussions about accommodations. I can't tell you exactly when. I can't tell you on what day what was said, but there were a lot of discussions about it.

 \mathbf{Q} . With whom?

A. With Gary Bender, Solicitor Roth.

Q. Anyone else that you can recall?

A. If we're talking about -- well, there were some that the sheriff was involved -- Sheriff

Groody was involved with that concerned the

safe -- you know, these women feeling safe and

what could we do. I think Brian Tobin, who is -
14 I think his official title is chief deputy, was

also involved in those conversations. Yeah, I

can't -- trying to think who else would be

| involved in those conversations.

Q. And during those conversations, what was your position about -- or what did you believe should be done to keep the --

A. I believe you do whatever you have to to keep these people to feel safe.

²³ Q. Would working from home be one thing --

²⁴ A. Yes.

Page 301

 $^{1}|O$. -- you thought they should do?

² A. Yes.

³ O. You have to let me finish.

⁴ A. Sorry. Sorry. Yes.

⁵ Q. Okay. Okay.

⁶ A. Because what happened during COVID, it

7 literally, in a sense, was proven that the jobs

8 could be done remotely, because, again, a number

⁹ of people were furloughed, but a number of

⁰ people -- and I believe in claim and tax

assessment, there were -- they had the ability to

work from home and to log in through working at

home, and so it was proven that it could be done

and it could be done effectively.

¹⁵ Q. So long as people who are working from home have all the equipment that they need to perform their job?

¹⁸ A. Yes.

Q. Was there a point in time during the pandemic when every Schuylkill County employee was working from home?

²² A. No. I never worked from home.

Q. Did any of the commissioners ever work

from home?

O.

Page 302 I'm sure. An elected official doesn't 1 A. have to be there eight hours -- seven hours a day.

Q. Do they have to -- well, strike that.

So could Defendant Halcovage have worked from home following the conclusion of your investigation, let's say?

Technically he could have. I don't know A. that -- I don't believe -- how do I say this. There were discussions at some point in time prior

to the pandemic about whether commissioners --

11 like if they were out of town or something during ¹² Wednesdays normal commissioner meeting, could they

phone in, could they participate that way. It was

not done, it was not -- there was talk about it,

15 but it was never put into place.

16

19

20

10

So for any time, except for that particular time, I believe he would need to be in the courthouse for the commissioner meetings, Wednesday mornings at 10:00, salary board meeting, prison board meetings.

21 Q. Was your understand that he had to be physically present for those meetings based on your understanding of quorum rules or something ²⁴ else?

Page 303 I just know they had to be physically 1 A. present. Yes, you have to have a quorum, but -but -- yeah, I don't know.

Are you aware of any rules that would have permitted Defendant Halcovage to appear by Zoom or some other sort of video conferencing technology?

8 No. I'm not. A.

So I want to shift gears a little bit. Q.

You had testified earlier about the conclusion of your investigation, the submission of your report, these meetings, and you testified that you were left out of conversations?

14 I felt like I was left out of A. 15 conversations.

16 Why did you feel like that? Q.

17 Because there was a point in time where A.

18 I was involved in a lot of conversations, even 19 ones that didn't deal with HR, but dealt with county and county business. And then I believe

that that happened less and less, even

22 conversations that I think involved HR issues.

23 Q.

Are you aware of specific conversations

that you were left out of?

No, because I wasn't in them, so I 2 A.

wouldn't be able to say. Of course.

So did you just notice then that --

A. It was a different feel.

O. That's because you had generally been involved in several conversations throughout the course of one day, and subsequent to your report,

you then were not involved in as many

conversations in a day; is that --

12 A. I think as time went on and I think I 13 wasn't trusted.

14 O. Why do you think that?

15 Because I would stand up to things that

¹⁶ I -- it's like, look, we need to -- this is the right way to do this. This is the -- and I just think I was seen as probably siding with the 19 plaintiffs.

20 When I gave my resignation notice, I believe it was when I turned in my notice, I believe Gary Bender made the statement, oh, so now there will be five complaints. He made an assumption that I would join the lawsuit. And I

Page 305

Page: 80 (302 - 305)

Page 304

told him that that was not true. I'm not the person who is just going to just go and sue somebody for the sake of doing that. No, so you're wrong. And two and a half years later, ⁵ he's still wrong.

6 Q. And how did you feel when he said that to you?

8 A. I felt I made the right decision by resigning.

10 Q. Why is that?

11 Because just by making that statement, it proved that he didn't trust me. That he thought I was -- that I would join the lawsuit.

Isn't that basically what he said?

15 Q. Okay.

16 So did you sense that -- well, strike 17 that.

Did you feel that Defendant Bender trusted you before this lawsuit and investigation?

Yes. Absolutely. And I trusted him. It was a two-way street, despite some of the antics that I went through.

|Q|And is it your opinion that that trust

was broken because you came to a conclusion with

18

19

which Defendant Bender disagreed?

No, because if you read his statement, $^{2}|A.$ he also seemed to with it. I think after this as time went on and things sort of died down and it

was -- I think people thought it would just go away. No, I don't think it had to do with the

decision, but I think he had this perspective

that -- to me, if they were asking for

accommodations, you make them. It's not going

to -- if it's not costing you money, they are

getting their job done, you have to -- that's the

law. You have to. You have to make a reasonable

¹³ accommodation. I think because I was advocating

the reasonable accommodation and the things they

were asking, I did not think were unrealistic, I

think I was seen as not being supportive, not

being a management member and doing -- I mean, he

made it very clear earlier during the other

situation, the county pays my paycheck and I

needed to take the county's stand and I wasn't

doing that. He didn't say that in reference to

this case, he said it in reference to the

Loudermill about firing the individual without --

but if you think it didn't go through my head when Page 307

all this started, it did.

Do you recall that a press release was Q. issued at some point after you completed your report?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you write the press release?

A.

Did you edit or review it? Q.

A. No.

10 Q. Did you have anything at all to do with

it?

19

20

12 No, I don't believe so. I believe A.

Solicitor Roth wrote the press release. I don't

recall that he -- I don't recall that he ran it

past me, but there have been times where he would

write a -- and I would do the same, where we would

write a document and run it past the other just to

18 get a second set of eyes to look at it.

I don't recall that that happened in the press release. If I'm wrong, I'm sorry.

In your role as the human resources 21 O. director at Schuylkill County, did you ever

conduct any other investigations into Defendant

²⁴ Halcovage?

Page 306 1 A. No, I don't think so.

> 2 Q. Had you ever heard that Defendant

Halcovage requested employees change political

parties to advance in a job?

A. Yes.

O. When did you hear that?

I heard it during this investigation Α.

from Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4. And like I said,

it was requested of -- it was requested that I

speak with someone that I was hiring and ask them

to change their political party. I am not sure if

there were others, but I heard others talk about

13 it, I just wasn't involved in it.

14 I think I know the answer to this based

on your prior testimony, but did you ever

¹⁶ investigate Defendant Halcovage for requesting

¹⁷ employees change political parties to advance in

their job?

19 A. No.

20 O. Why not?

21 I didn't know it was something I should A.

investigate, to be honest. I had never worked in

a government-type arena. I don't even know that

at the time -- to me it just didn't seem right and

Page 309

Page 308

I wasn't comfortable with it, so that's why I

² didn't do it. Look, one thing I learned is that

when elections happen, new people come in. A lot

of people lose their jobs, which I still find

unbelievable because you're messing with peoples

lives, peoples incomes. And just because somebody

gets elected, people lose their jobs, that's crazy

to me. I just felt it more of that same type of

thing. I didn't -- I honestly didn't realize it

was something I should investigate.

11 During your time as HR director at

Schuylkill County, had you ever conducted any

¹³ investigations into Defendant Bender or concerning

Defendant Bender?

Α. I don't think so.

16 Q. Okav.

In your role as human resources director at Schuylkill County, had you ever conducted any

investigations into Defendant Roth?

A. No, I don't think so.

21 O. Do you recall any other investigations you conducted while you were HR director at

Schuylkill County?

Yeah. There was the one we talked about

15

```
Page 310
                                                                                                          Page 312
  with Mike Van Allen. There was -- I mean, from my
                                                            where he had -- it was a COVID case. At some
  second or third week that I walked in the door
                                                            point, you know, the governor had that hot list of
  there was an issue with the prison, with one of
                                                            when you were visiting states that were considered
  the prison guards. It started there. There were
                                                            hot lists I. Believe it was children and youth,
  a ton. There were the ones that we did in tax
                                                            there was and individual who -- and it was --
  claim with Pam Beidle, with -- who else? Some
                                                           look, it was a very emotional time. There was an
  from 911. I can't even -- I remember the guy's
                                                            individual who had gone to one of those places on
  name, but I can't even remember what he did.
                                                            the hot list, we had meetings with the
  Q.
          What's his name?
                                                            commissioners, the county administrator, Solicitor
10
          I think his name is Mistishin, last
                                                            Roth, the president judge, the court administrator
  A.
11
  name. Don't know his first name. Yeah, it was
                                                            on how we were going to implement policies. One
  the -- yeah, there were regularly -- Skibble,
                                                            of the things that we came up with was -- that
  maintenance guy. I mean, there were a ton of
                                                            everyone had agreed on was that if someone, if an
  them.
                                                            employee went on vacation and there was a form
15
          Was there an investigation into a
  Q.
                                                            they had to fill out if they were going on
  Mr. Finnyfrock?
                                                            vacation, where they were going, if it was a hot
16
          Oh, yeah. I remember that. Yeah. He
                                                         <sup>17</sup> list place. When they -- because we couldn't tell
  was on some sort of tax board something or other.
                                                            them they couldn't, but when they returned, they
19
  He had made some comments to Jane Doe 1, I
                                                            then had to -- for ten days they could not come
  believe. And at some point he was removed from
                                                           back to work. They had to quarantine. They were
  the board, I believe, from the -- I don't know
                                                           not allowed to use sick time, they had to use
                                                         22
  what the name of the board was. It was some sort
                                                            vacation time?
                                                         23
  of -- something to do -- I don't know if it was a
                                                                  There was an individual in children and
                                                         vouth and she did not want to use her vacation
<sup>24</sup> tax hearing thing or a tax claim board, I don't
                                                Page 311
                                                                                                          Page 313
                                                            time. She had asked if she could take the time
  know what it was, tax assessment board, maybe.
          You mention Mr. Skibble in maintenance.
                                                           unpaid. I said okay, we could do that. I spoke
  Q.
  What was that investigation about?
                                                            with the union business agent, everyone was in
          Well, there were a couple on him.
                                                            agreement. That woman then filed for
  One -- I would love to say I could tell you. Was
                                                            unemployment, which I'll be honest, made me angry.
  it something to do with wearing a mask? It was
                                                           I was not happy. It's like -- because -- it's
  wearing his mask during -- when COVID first
                                                            like wait a minute, you asked for this you, said
  started. There was an issue and then -- and he
                                                            you wanted to take an unpaid, and now you're
                                                           trying to file for unemployment. She said, well,
  had a temper and he went -- I don't know if
                                                           I'm entitled to it. I said you know what, you can
  someone made a statement or someone reported that
  he was there and he wasn't using a mask and he was
                                                            use your vacation time. If you wanted to be paid,
  on scaffolding. But there was a second one that
                                                           we told you, you could use your vacation time.
                                                         And I told her that and I had spoken with Gary
  dealt with him -- something about like a
14
  confrontation he had with his supervisor.
                                                            Bender about it. He was in agreement. I held a
15
         There was, you know, Randy Nigh, the one
                                                            meeting with the business agent, this individual,
                                                         her supervisor, and let her know that she would be
  that I talked about who had made -- had
16
  inappropriate touching and made comments to Jane
                                                            using vacation time for it, for the ten days.
```

Schuylkill County, did anyone from the county ever criticize your work, your investigatory work?

During the course of your employment at

A. I'm sure somebody did. I can tell you there was a point in time where -- there was one

Doe 4 and to other people, yeah.

Okay.

18

19 **O**.

She was not happy about it. I said it

Page: 82 (310 - 313)

²³ to pay her unemployment.

That I had already notified payroll and we had already contacted the unemployment office and let

them know, this person had an option to be paid,

they chose they wanted to not be paid, so now we

were paying them for it so they no longer needed

```
Page 314
  probably in the same tone I'm saying now, because
  it makes me a little pissed off when people just
  want to cheat the system. It's like just do --
  it's not rocket science. You just do the right
  thing. She then made a complaint to -- she filed
  a grievance against me because I was angry in the
  meeting. And I went into Gary Bender's office for
  my 4:00 meeting and he had received the grievance
  and he asked if -- you know, if I had said this
  and I went through the whole reiteration with him.
  And I said the way I'm saying it right now, I was
12
  probably more angry than that. He sort of
13
  chuckled and he said, well, I am glad we don't
  have to argue about that. And it's like okay.
  Yeah, I'm sorry. You know, we were bending over
  backwards trying to deal with COVID and you have
  got somebody who just wants to cheat the system.
  She looks at me and she says, what do you care if
19
  I get unemployment. It's like just do the right
  thing. So, yeah, that was definitely one that
  somebody complained about.
22
   Q.
          Okay.
23
         But Defendant Bender agreed with your
  assessment in the way you conducted yourself
```

during that entire interaction?

He agreed with the decision. Whether he A.

With your decision? Q.

No. He was involved in the decision. Α. We talked about it. We were both in agreement with the fact that she would have to use vacation time. He never indicated whether he was upset with me that I was angry in the meeting with her. ¹⁰ I admitted I was. I was angry. It's just -- you just -- come on, we had through the death of a -we went through som many things, one right after another. Somebody trying to cheat the system, yeah, sorry, had enough.

And quite honestly, it sort of led to my resignation because one of the things that happened, a grievance was filed had against me. Gary Bender assigned Solicitor Roth to conduct the investigation because obviously I couldn't, it was a grievance against me. And in the end, he allowed her to use sick time, which went against

 $^{23}|Q.$ He being?

14

15

18

22

Solicitor Roth. I guess Gary Bender had

Page 316 to approve it. But he -- actually he didn't agree with it, but -- because he told me he didn't agree with it.

Q. He being?

A. Gary Bender.

Thanks. O.

But Solicitor Roth made an agreement in A. that meeting in order to make the grievance go away. And I resigned. It's all -- I believe you all have my resignation letter, I am not sure. It's all -- I believe it's all in there. The president judge was not happy because this is a decision the president judge had -- you know, he helped come up with the policy of how we were going to handle this. He then reversed every single one of his people, allowed them to use sick time. Gary Bender was furious. Furious with me.

18 President judge called a meeting, wanted to talk about it because he didn't want me to resign. I guess Gary Bender threatened to resign because in this meeting, he said he didn't want Gary Bender to resign. Yeah, it was not pretty. But, yeah, there have been complaints about me, about an investigation. I guess I -- I don't know

Page 317

Page: 83 (314 - 317)

if that's what you were referring to or not. I ² know -- well, the question was at about how I conduct investigations.

Q. Yeah. Yeah. Okay.

A. Obviously some of my employees were not happy because I was a little more strict than the person before me. 8

I want to switch gears a little bit. O.

Are you aware of any situations or are you aware of any times when a Schuylkill County employee was rude to another Schuvlkill County employee and was not disciplined?

13 A. Yeah. I'm sure it happened on a daily 14 basis.

15 Q. Asking the opposite, are you aware of any times when a county employee was rude to another county employee and was disciplined for 18 it?

19 I'm sure it's happened too. I mean, come on, you've got -- the elected official, every time I tried to do my office that involved her office, she threatened to sue me. She ²³ threatened -- I mean, I had downright threats and you can't do anything to her.

Page 315

1

Page 318

Who was that? Q.

A. The clerk of courts Marie Casey.

Q. Can you give me any example of any times an employee was rude to another one and was not disciplined, that you can recall?

A. I'm sure. You know, we talked earlier, I can give you some just in HR. The HR specialist who reported to me, she also had a very close relationship with Gary Bender. And if she -- you know, she didn't like something I said, she'd go running to him. Did we have -- did we get to the point where I confronted her on it and we had a downright, I don't want to say argument, but our 13 voices raised, absolutely. I mean, her husband used to say. You two are like sisters. It's like that's because she doesn't get I'm her supervisor, 16 but that's a whole nother issue.

Yeah, there are always -- look, it's -yes. I would say on a regular basis people are rude to each other in that building. And are there times -- you know, like I talked about Skibble, he was rude to one of his -- to his supervisor, that supervisor did take action and discipline him, but does that happen all the time, Page 319

1 no.

10

20

21

22

23

19

Do you recall what the discipline was Q. that the supervisor gave Skibble?

I don't. It might have been -- I don't. I'd be guessing if I said something.

Q. Don't want you to do that.

So earlier we talked about LexusNexis. You remember that whole part of your testimony. And at one point you said, and I'm paraphrasing here, you said you had conversations about that?

Uh-huh. A.

Do you recall what those conversations 12 Q. were and with whom?

14 Yes. I believe they were with Jane Doe A. 3, just asking from an HR perspective, what I thought was appropriate and not appropriate.

17 Q. When were those conversations?

18 A while ago. I know I spoke with her A. 19 when she first got suspended.

MS. FOX: Let's take a quick two-minute break.

(Whereupon, brief recess was held off the record at 5:07 p.m.)

²BY MS. FOX:

Q. So, Ms. Twigg, at some point did you ever become aware that Defendant Halcovage had climbed up an embankment from the lower parking lot to the upper parking lot?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall when you became aware of that?

10 A. No. I know I've seen the video. I 11 know -- I don't even remember how -- I guess it wasn't too long after it happened that we became ¹³ aware, we meaning myself, Gary Bender, Solicitor ¹⁴ Roth became aware of it.

15 O. Okav.

16 And you're saying it wasn't too long after he climbed the embankment that you learned that he had done that?

19 A. Yes.

20 O. How did you find out about it?

21 That's a good question. I don't recall. A. I don't recall if Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 made

the statement. I don't recall if I was told by

Gary. I think I was told by Gary Bender, but I'm

Page 321

Page 320

not sure.

O. You said you weren't sure when he climbed up the embankment. Was it after you completed your report?

It was after this whole thing started, I A. can tell you that. It was -- I don't -- I honestly don't remember exactly when, but it was after --

9 Q. It was after Jane Doe 1 made her 10 complaint?

11 Absolutely. That was -- and it was after all four people joined the lawsuit and it was -- veah.

14 O. Had you ever before heard of Defendant 15 Halcovage climbing up that embarkment --

16 Α.

17 Q. -- from the lower to the upper parking

18 lot?

19 A. Sorry. No.

Had you heard of any other county Q. employee climbing up that embankment from the lower to the upper parking lot?

23 A.

24 O Was it surprising to you that Defendant

```
Page 322
                                                                                                       Page 324
  Halcovage had climbed that embankment?
                                                           not right if he was going towards -- if his
                                                           purpose was to go into the building.
   A.
  Q.
          Why?
                                                          BY MS. FOX:
                                                                  Did you have any concerns that Defendant
          Because what was the -- because I
   A.
   question what the purpose was, because there's
                                                           Halcovage was trying to intimidate Jane Doe 3 and
                                                           Jane Doe 4?
  already enough going on, do you want to do
                                                           Α
                                                                  Yes. I had concerns that it was done,
   something that would cause more questions.
   Q.
          And where was Commissioner Halcovage
                                                           that their claim was that he could see them from
   parking at the time he climbed up the embankment?
                                                           down below, I can remember Gary Bender and I going
10
                                                           out, looking at where -- standing where someone
          I believe his parking was still down
  A.
11
   below, but I'm not positive. I believe it was
                                                          could actually see the vehicle that they would
                                                        have been in, see where it was. Did they have a
12
   still down below.
13
                                                        clear line of sight, could they have seen someone.
          Do you know where the plaintiffs were
  Q.
                                                        <sup>14</sup> Yes, we determined they could have. So, yes,
14
   parking at that time?
15
                                                           there was concern.
          They would have been parking up above
                                                        16 Q.
16
  because very shortly after the complaints were
                                                                  Did anyone share that concern?
                                                        17
  made, I mean we got the first notice that May 22,
                                                           A.
                                                                  I think Gary Bender shared it at the
                                                        18
<sup>18</sup> 2020. I think within the next week to ten days,
                                                           time, yes.
                                                        19
19
  all four had joined the suit. The request for the
                                                           Q.
                                                                  Anyone else?
                                                        20
  change of their parking was very shortly after, so
                                                           Α
                                                                  Well, I mean, there were discussions
  I believe they were then up above.
                                                           with the sheriff. I now the sheriff had concerns
                                                        22
22
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                           as well.
                                                        23
23
         Do you know if anything was done --
                                                           Q.
                                                                  Concerns for their safety?
                                                        ^{24}|_{A}.
  after you learned that Defendant Halcovage had
                                                                  Concerns for -- yes. And why --
                                                                                                       Page 325
                                               Page 323
   climbed this embankment, was anything done to
                                                         1
                                                           Q.
                                                                  And the safety of the plaintiffs, I
                                                           should say?
  change his parking spot?
          Actually I think we watched that video,
                                                         3
                                                           A.
                                                                  Yes. And why he would be climbing that
   A.
  I'm wondering if that isn't when we had the
                                                           bank. At the same time, they were sitting in a
  conversation with the sheriff and the
                                                           vehicle talking on a phone.
  commissioners about the restriction of access.
                                                           Q.
                                                                  They being Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4?
  I'm wondering if -- and I don't know specifically,
                                                           A.
                                                         8
  but I'm thinking it may have been what prompted
                                                           O.
                                                                  Do you know if the county did anything
  those conversations, but I could be wrong.
                                                           to change their parking spots after that either?
                                                        10
10
   Q.
          Okay.
                                                                  I believe they were then moved to the
11
         What's your opinion, if any, as to why
                                                           entrance that -- this isn't going to mean anything
12
  Defendant Halcovage climbed that embankment?
                                                           to you, but it was to the people -- the entrance
            MR. LETTRICH: Objection to form.
                                                        that is closer to the district attorney's office,
14
                                                          if that makes sense. I believe, but I could be
            You can answer.
15
            THE WITNESS: I watched the video
                                                           wrong. I would have to ask them to verify. It's
16
  and I can see it in my head what happened. He
                                                           been two and a half years.
  climbed that bank, he got to the top. If he was
                                                                    MS. FOX: I have no further
18
   going to go into the entrance to the building, he
                                                           questions. I think Ms. Smith has a couple more
                                                        19
19
  would have turned right, but he turned left. I
                                                           for you.
                                                        20
  know after -- I mean, I know that Jane Doe 3 and
                                                                    MS. SMITH: I do and it's probably
  Jane Doe 4 were in a vehicle that if you turned
                                                           only one, maybe two.
                                                        22
22
  left, they were very -- not very far from where he
                                                        23
23
  was.
                                                                        Examination
                                                        24
            I questioned why he turned left and
```

1	BY MS. SMITH:	1	5.20 a a)	Page 328
2	Q. Do you recall at any point having a	2	5:20 p.m.)	
3	conversation with Mr. Roth about his discomfort	3		
4	notarizing petitions for Commissioner Halcovage?	4		
5	A. I vaguely have a memory of that, but I	5		
6	couldn't tell you when. I couldn't tell you if it	6		
7	happened previous to my getting there. There was	7		
8	a conversation that happened. I can't tell you	8		
9	when.	9		
10	Q. Can you tell me, did Defendant Roth tell	10		
11	you that he notarized something for Commissioner	11		
12	Halcovage	12		
13	MS. IPPOLITO: Objection.	13		
14		14		
15	Q despite feeling uncomfortable?	15		
16		16		
17	Mr. Roth in a position in which he might be	17		
18	1	18		
19	MS. SMITH: Fifth Amendment only	19		
20	applies to the individual who is asserting it.	20		
21	Mr. Roth is the only individual who can assert it.	21		
22	Ms. Twigg cannot give testimony that incriminates	22		
23	Mr. Roth in violation of the Fifth Amendment. And	23		
24	I can sit here and you can research it and I can	24		
1	tell you that I am absolutely, positively,			
2	unequivocally correct on that.			
3	BY MS. SMITH:			
4	Q. Ms. Twigg, did Mr. Roth tell you he			
5	notarized something for Commissioner Halcovage			
6	that he believed he should not have?			
7	A. Yes. I like I said, I vaguely recall			
8	this conversation, yes.			
9	Q. Did he say he did it that one time, but			
10	he wouldn't do it again?			
11	A. I know that he was not I recall him			
12	saying he was not connortable with it. The did not			
13	appreciate being put in that position.			
14	Q. Did he ten you that he felt like he			
15	couldn't say no because Defendant Haleovage was			
16	the one who asked iiiii:			
17	A. Did he use those exact words: 110. Did			
18	the give me the impression that he felt he couldn't			
19	say no. 1cs.			
20	Mis. Sivil III. I don't have any			
21	further questions for you, wis. I wigg. Thank you.			
22	Off the record at 3.20 p.m.			
23				
24	(Whereupon, deposition concluded at			

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC DocapherD28041L Filed 09/20/23 Page 88 of 342 Deposition of Debra Twigg - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, COLEEN TRIFUN, RPR and Notary Public,
5	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of the stenographic notes taken
7	by me in the aforementioned matter.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	DATE:
22	COLEEN TRIFUN, RPR
23	
24	

EXHIBIT P3

```
1
2
    JANE DOE, et al.,
              et al.,
Plaintiff
                               : UNITED STATES DISTRICT
                                : COURT
3
                                : MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
            v.
    SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
                                : PENNSYLVANIA
4
    COURTHOUSE, et al.,
                                : CIVIL DOCKET NO:
                                : 3:21-CV-00477
5
              Defendants
6
7
                              * * *
8
                TRANSCRIPT MARKED CONFIDENTIAL
9
                              * * *
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
              VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF GARY BENDER as a
19
    30(b)(6) witness taken at the Hilton Garden Inn
20
   Harrisburg Inn Harrisburg East, 3943 Tecport
21
   Drive, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17111 on Thursday,
22
    March 30, 2023 at 9:06 a.m. before Coleen Trifun,
23
    RPR and Notary Public.
24
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC
3	BY: CATHERINE SMITH, ESQUIRE 1835 Market Street
4	Suite 2950 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 catherine@dereksmith.com
5	Counsel for the Plaintiffs
б	
7	NEWMAN WILLIAM, P.C. BY: GERARD J. GEIGER, ESQUIRE
8	P.O. BOX 511 712 Monroe Street
9	Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18360 ggeiger@newmanwilliams.com
10	Counsel for George Halcovage (Via Zoom)
11	(Via 200m)
12	JONES PASSODELIS BY: MARIE MILLIE JONES, ESQUIRE
13	Gulf Tower, Suite 3410 707 Grant Street
14	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 mjones@jonespassodelis.com
15	Counsel for Gary Bender and Heidi Zula
16	DICKIE MCCAMEY BY: PAUL G. LEES, ESQUIRE
17	190 Brodhead Road, Suite 310 Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18017
18	plees@dmclaw.com Counsel for additional parties
19	(Via Zoom)
20	MARGOLIS EDELSTEIN BY: MEGHAN WYNKOOP, ESQUIRE
21	JOCELYN MENDEZ, ESQUIRE The Curtis Center
22	170 S. Independence Mall W. Suite 400E
23	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 mwynkoop@margolisedelstein.com
24	Counsel for Glenn Roth

1				
2			INDEX	
3				
4	WITNESS	INT	ERROGATION BY	PAGE
5	GARY BENDER			
6		By I	Ms. Smith	9
7		By I	Mr. Townsend	307
8				
9		I	EXHIBITS	
11	EXHIBIT NUMBE	ER DES	SCRIPTION	PAGE
12	Exhibit-324	Not	cice	13
13	Exhibit-325	SC	1260	52
14	Exhibit-326	SC	1225	121
15	Exhibit-327	SUI	20000078	123
16	Exhibit-328	Doe	e 46-49	243
17	Exhibit-329	Pol	licy	249
18		PREVIOUSLY	Y MARKED EXHIBITS	1
19	Exhibit-43	Page 44	Exhibit-97	Page 53
20	Exhibit-98	Page 55	Exhibit-105	Page 60
21	Exhibit-213	Page 109	Exhibit-182	Page 111
22	Exhibit-218	Page 112	Exhibit-219	Page 114
23	Exhibit-216	Page 116	Exhibit-217	Page 120
24	Exhibit-212	Page 127	Exhibit-81	Page 128

1		DIRECTION	TO WIT	NESS N	TO	ТО	ANSWER	
2	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE			PAGE	LINE
3			(N	Jone)				
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11		REQUEST FOR	R PRODU	JCTION	OF	DOC	CUMENTS	
12	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE			PAGE	LINE
13			(N	Jone)				
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

```
Page 5
                                                                                                          Page 7
                                                         1
            VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
                                                                    MS. WYNKOOP: Agreed.
  record. Today's date is March 30, 2023, and the
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Perfect. Okay. Marie,
  time is approximately 9:06 a.m. This is the
                                                           I think you said you wanted to --
  recorded video deposition of the 30(b)(6) in the
                                                                    MS. JONES: Yeah. I just wanted to
  matter of Janes Doe et al versus Schuvlkill County
                                                           identify that Gary Bender is here today in
  Courthouse et al.
                                                           response to the 30B6 deposition notice and topics.
         This deposition is being held at 3943
                                                           As all counsel know, we had an issue raised before
                                                           the court about some of those topics. The court
  Tecport Drive in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. My
   name is Aleisha Catts from Everest Court Reporting
                                                           ruled that Item 1 on the list is not subject to
  and I'm the video specialist. The court reporter
                                                           examination, but the remaining issues are.
                                                        11
11
  today is Coleen Trifun, also from Everest Court
                                                                    I think I've made clear to -- to
12
  Reporting.
                                                           Catherine and others that we are producing Mr.
13
                                                           Bender in an effort to provide as much as we can
            Counsel will now state their
                                                        <sup>14</sup> in terms of substantive information in response to
14
   appearance for the record.
15
                                                           the remaining topics, but that we are not of the
            MS. SMITH: Good morning.
16
  Catherine Smith on behalf of the plaintiffs.
                                                           belief that he may necessarily know everything
  Present in the room with me is my paralegal,
                                                           under every topic, so we were trying to maximize
                                                        18
  Alyssa Debise. And observing by Zoom are
                                                           that
                                                        19
19
   plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe 3
                                                                    I think I have preserved that
                                                        20
20
   and Jane Doe 4.
                                                           position with you, Catherine, and with the court.
21
            MS. JONES: Marie Millie Jones for
                                                           And we'll note objections as necessary. If we
22
   Schuylkill County, Gary Bender, and Heidi Zula.
                                                           think either the scope or the inquiry to Mr.
23
                                                           Bender is beyond what we believe is appropriate or
            MR. GEIGER: Jerry Geiger here for
                                                        that there are topics that he may not be able to
24
  George Halcovage.
                                                 Page 6
                                                                                                          Page 8
 1
            MS. WYNKOOP: Good morning. Megan
                                                           address in large part and then we can address
 <sup>2</sup> Wynkoop here on behalf of Glenn Roth. My
                                                           those issues if necessary later.
   colleague Jocelyn Mendez is also on.
                                                                    I think we also discussed that this
            MR. LEES: Paul Lees for defendant
                                                           would -- be for the 30B6 process, it's a
                                                           seven-hour limit and we can either go through that
   Kutzler.
            VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court
                                                           today or not as you deem content.
   reporter please swear in the witness.
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Okay.
            MS. SMITH: And, Counsel, same
                                                                    I'm just going to put on the
   stipulations, objections as to form and privilege
                                                           record, I don't think it's going to become an
                                                           issue, I don't think that judge precluded me from
10
   only?
11
            All counsel said yes.
                                                           Topic 1. I think what he said was the rest of the
12
            MS. SMITH: I know we had a date of
                                                           remaining 29 topics were -- pretty much covered
                                                        13 the scope of 1. I don't have any specific
  this Friday for designations, I -- I did actually
  just send some out. But given that obviously
                                                           questions really as to 1. It's more they are
  these transcripts for yesterday's, today's, and
                                                           ingrained in the others. But I just think that
  tomorrow's deposition won't be completed, there is
                                                           needed to be clear.
                                                        17
  an agreement that the 30 days for those three
                                                                    Otherwise, we can address the
  transcripts for designations of confidential
                                                           issues as they -- they come up, the objections,
                                                        19
19
  information will run 30 days from the receipt of
                                                           if -- if any --
                                                        20
20
  the transcript.
                                                                    MS. JONES: Okay. -- as they
                                                        21
21
            MS. JONES: Yeah, I'm fine with
                                                           arise.
                                                        22
22
   that.
                                                        23
23
                                                                 GARY BENDER, having been first duly
            MR. LEES: That's fine.
            MR. GEIGER: Agreed.
                                                        sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
```

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

Page Page 11 1 Any reason at all that you can think of ²BY MS. SMITH: that you would be unable to testify truthfully Q. Mr. Bender, are you all set? here today? A. A. I am. Q. Okav. Q. If at any point you need a break, please just let me know. The only request I have is that Mr. Bender, I -- we have met in person, I think at the courthouse. My name is Catherine if there's a question posed, that you answer that Smith. I represent the plaintiffs in this matter. and then we will take any and all breaks that you You might recall, I previously deposed you by need. Do you understand? Zoom. And I am going to ask you some questions 10 A. Yes. 11 11 here today. O. And if you do not understand a question 12 Want to first run through some questions at some point or you think it was ambiguous, 13 and ground rules. Obviously you have been deposed please let me know and I will rephrase the before, so you understand that I will be asking question. 15 you questions and you will be providing me answers Do you understand that? today, correct? 16 16 A. Yes. 17 That is correct. 0. If at any point in the deposition you'd A. 18 Q. Okav. like to go back to supplement, change an answer that you previously gave because something 19 And you understand that you are under oath and that your testimony, despite being here 20 refreshes your recollection, please let me know in an informal conference room at a hotel, has the and we will allow you to do so. 22 same force and effect as if you were testifying in Do you understand? 23 a court of law? A. Yes. 24 $^{24}|A.$ Agreed. O. Okav. Page 10 Page 12 1 Q. This time, I know you had some -- you Mr. Bender, this morning I observed that struggled, but I know you tried last time, just you were seated with your counsel and another remember to wait until my complete question is individual in the hotel call it, lobby area. 4 asked. I, likewise, will wait until your complete Who was that other individual? 5 answer is provided. I will try and talk slow, but Α. My wife Melissa. this woman to my right, she does need to make a 6 Q. Okay. clear record. Did you discuss anything about the case 8 Do you understand? with your wife? A. No. A. 10 10 See, you're already doing better than O. Did you discuss anything about the case Q. the last time. this morning with your counsel while your wife was 12 Two minutes into it. present? A. 13 A. O. Is there -- have you consumed any drugs We did not. 14 or any alcohol or any other substance which impair Q. Does your wife know what you're here 15 your ability to testify truthfully here today? for? 16 16 No. I did -- however, I'm on Prednisone A. A. Yes. right now for -- for a shoulder problem. 17 17 Q. What does she know that you're here for? 18 18 A deposition. Okav. Α. Q. Does she know what the case is? 19 19 Does that impact your ability to --Q. 20 20 A. Α. 21 O. 21 -- understand or recall or remember Does she know who the plaintiffs are? Q. 22 things? A. Probably not. 23 23 Q. Have you disclosed the names of the A. It will not. 24 O plaintiffs to her? Okav.

Deposition of Gary Bender 30(b)(6) - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 13 Page 15 1 A. Have not. A. I did not. 2 Q. Mr. Bender, I'm going to show you what Q. Okay. 3 I'll mark --So just those three policies? 4 MS. SMITH: Matt, I believe we said A. Yes. 5 we're at 324. So I will mark for today's purposes Q. Okay. 6 as 324, unless Matt corrects me. Okay. So just -- I want to be clear on this, you did not review the personnel files of the (Notice marked as Exhibit-324 for identification.) plaintiffs in this matter? 10 A. I did not. 11 O. You did not review the personnel files 11 BY MS. SMITH: 12 Have you seen this notice of deposition of any of the individually named defendants in Q. 13 before? this matter? 14 14 A. Yes. A. I did not. 15 15 Okay. Q. Did you review any personnel file that Q. the county may have for Deborah Twigg? When did you see it? 16 16 17 About a week ago. I did not. A. A. 18 18 Without telling me about any Q. Did you review any personnel file that O. the county may have for Ms. Dash? 19 conversations with any attorney, can you tell me 19 20 what you did to prepare for the deposition today? A. I did not. 21 21 Well, I read over the 30 lines that I Did you review any personnel file that Q. 22 had and -- and tried to, you know, just refresh the county may have for Virginia Murray? myself on -- on how to answer questions with some 23 A. I did not. ²⁴ Q. of that. I did have some questions for Marie. Did you review the contents of anyone Page 14 And that's pretty much about it. Everything about including your own e-mail with the county ²|how -- what the answers would be or what sorts of regarding communications related to the plaintiffs questions you would ask in regard to those. claims or the defendants defenses in this matter? Q. Okay. A. I did not. 5 Did you review any documents? O. Okay. A. I did. Did you speak -- not -- not to any attorney, but did you speak with any other Q. Do you remember which documents you reviewed? employees at the courthouse, whether it be an HR MS. JONES: I only pose an individual, security, a sheriff, about the objection to the extent that counsel directed him contents of the deposition notice, which is 10 to something. You can tell her what they are, but Exhibit-324, in order to prepare for today's 12 12 not to the extent that I may or may not have deposition? 13 directed you to do that. A. I did not. 14 14 So you may identify the documents, O. Okay. All right. 15 but not to the extent of why or if it had to do So being that you reviewed the policies, with a direction from counsel. let's start with some of the county's policies. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. There were Does the county maintain a written 18 three policies in here, the outside employment policy or written procedure regarding document 19 policy, the social media policy, and the storage and/or retention?

> 215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com **Everest Court Reporting LLC**

20

21 Q.

22

23

A.

that.

Okav.

harassment policy that I wanted to take a look at.

Other than those three policies that you

iust named, did you review any other documents?

21

22 Q.

23

BY MS. SMITH:

Okay.

There was not a written policy, no.

Is there a non-memorialized -- strike

Is there a policy or procedure that is

not memorialized in writing that the county follows regard document storage and retention?

- A. I think it's left up to each department to do that. In other words, some people have what's called a buffalo drive for a department, so that all the files for the people in that department are stored there, but pretty much on each individual is to -- their files are on their
- Q. So are -- let's start with employee personnel files.

Are there employee personnel files that are maintained in the human resources department?

¹⁴ A. Yes.

12

13

16

19

20

21

24

computer.

 15 Q. Okay.

Do each of the individual offices or departments, so for instance, tax claim, tax assessment, treasurers office, do those individual offices maintain a separate and distinct employee personnel file for the employees in their -- their own offices?

- ²² A. I don't think so.
- ²³ Q. Okay.

The contents of an employee's personnel

file maintained in the HR office, is that retained by the county so long as the employee remains employed?

- ⁴ A. Yes.
- Q. And then how long after an employee's employment ends with the county does the county retain the contents of those employee personnel files?
- A. That I don't know, but I will tell you that the -- the county would adhere to the retention guidelines put out by the Pennsylvania Historical Museum Commission.
- Q. Is there any written policy or procedure about the retention and storage of documents related to pending litigation against the county?

¹⁶ A. A writ --

17

MS. JONES: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

Could you just repeat that?

¹⁹BY MS. SMITH:

Q. Any there any -- is there any written policy or procedure regarding the retention and storage of documents related to pending litigation against the county?

A. There is not a written policy.

Q. Is there a policy that is not written that the county follows?

A. There's one that was set forth, I think, in the initial complaint that all documents are to be preserved. That was given to MIS and their charged with -- with that.

Q. When you say in the initial complaint, are you speaking specifically to this matter?

⁹ A. Yes.

11

21

 10 Q. Okay.

But generally, not just pertaining to this matter, what is the county's policy or procedure, if one exists, on what -- on retaining and storing documents related to pending litigation?

A. I guess that there would be an order from the court saying you have to retain them.
Otherwise, I don't -- I don't know.

Q. So it's your testimony that -- strike that.

You were saying that something was given to MIS. Can you tell me what was given to MIS in regards to this complaint?

A. The document that -- that ordered

Page 20

Page 19

that -- the retaining e-mails and documents related to this case, that was put out, I think, in something you sent early on.

Q. So are you referring to the letter that was on my firm, the Derrick Smith Law Group's letterhead that detailed preservation of evidence and data?

8 A. Yes.

⁹ Q. It was like a six-page letter with a lot of computer jargon?

¹¹ A. Yes.

¹² Q. Okay.

And who gave that to MIS?

A. I did.

¹⁵ Q. Okay.

14

16

Do you recall when?

 17 A. I do not.

Q. Do you recall -- so Jane Doe 1 reported the issues by e-mail to Jane Doe 3 in May, end of May, it was May 20th or 21st of 2020. Do you know if it would have been in the month of May that it was given to MIS, May of 2020?

A. If that letter was sent on May 20th, I would have corresponded with Stan that day.

1 Q. Okay.

2

9

10

11

17

18

24

So is it your testimony, and then correct me if I'm wrong, that whenever the letter was received, within at least a day or so of Schuylkill County receiving it, that letter was given to MIS?

- Correct. A.
- Q. Okay.

Other than giving the letter to MIS, did you give -- did you or anyone on behalf of the county give MIS any verbal instructions?

12 A. Yes. To make sure the e-mails were 13 retained and to make sure that if any documents were on the computer, they should clone those hard 15 drives.

16 Okay. So let's start with e-mail. Q.

Whose e-mails -- emails specifically did you instruct MIS to -- to clone or to save?

19 A. I think your letter stated all the 20 commissioners, myself, Glenn Roth, Deb Twigg, whoever was named on that -- that letter that you 22 sent.

23 Q. Okay.

Did it also include the plaintiff's

Page 22

1 e-mails?

 $^{2}|A.$ Yes.

Q.

And that would be all four plaintiffs,

correct?

6 A. Correct.

Q. As it relates to computer hard drives, I think you mentioned, do you know whose computers, if it was different than those last individuals you named, that you instructed MIS to preserve? 10

11 A. Are -- any out of the ones that I just 12 mentioned?

So you're talking about -- let me back 13 Q. 14 it up and clarify it a little better.

15 You said there was computer hard drives you verbally instructed MIS to ensure were preserved or copied or cloned, correct?

18 A. Correct.

Correct.

Okay. 19 Q.

16 17

20

And were -- so let's start with -- let's start with the plaintiffs. The plaintiffs each had county-issued desktops in their respected workspaces at the courthouse, correct?

Page 21

Page 23 1 Did you instruct MIS to clone or copy or Q. preserve the contents of those desktops?

3 Oh, I see -- yes. A.

Q. Okay.

A. Yes.

O. Did you instruct -- Ms. Twigg at the time, May and June of 2020, did Ms. Twigg have a county-issued desktop?

9 She did. A.

10 O. Okav.

11

16

24

12

19

Was her -- was MIS instructed to preserve, clone her desktop?

13 A. I would think they had done everyone 14 that I had mentioned previously.

15 O. Okav.

> So -- so when you say everyone you had mentioned previously, you instructed MIS to clone or copy or preserve the contents of the plaintiffs computers, Ms. Twigg's computer, Mr. Roth's computer, your computer, and all three commissioners?

22 A. Correct.

23 O. Okay.

Now, the -- some of the commissioners

Page 24

possibly you, possibly Glenn Roth, and possibly ² Ms. Twigg had -- had county-issued electronic devices outside of desktops; am I correct?

A. That is correct.

5 O. Okay. So let's start with Ms. Twigg. Other than her desktop, did she have any other county-issued electronic devices?

8 A. A cell phone.

9 Q. Did you instruct MIS to clone or copy 10 that?

11 A. I don't recall.

Q. And, Mr. Bender, it's going to -- I'm going to give you an instruction, it's going to be somewhat weird today because sometimes I'm going to refer to you. When I'm -- when I'm referring 16 to you from -- moving forward, what I mean is the county because you are here as a corporate designee of the county, someone who can bind the county when you're giving answers.

I am going to refer to you as Mr. Bender, in the sense that I'm asking if -- do you know if Mr. Bender's computer, for instance, I may say -- say something like that. The reason I am saying that is because you're sitting here as the

```
Page 25
                                                                                                          Page 27
   county today, you're not sitting here as Mr.
                                                            that's why I am trying to say about the policies
  Bender. I am going to be referring to you in
                                                            versus specific things that were done with respect
  the -- is that the third person, I think.
                                                            to this case.
         I am going to be referring to you in the
                                                                     MS. SMITH: I mean, that's going to
   third person sometimes and it's going to seem
                                                            be an issue we have address with the judge because
   awkward, so I apologize for that, but I just
                                                            you are able to designate more than one
   wanted to clarify.
                                                            individual. If Mr. Bender is not the appropriate
         Do you understand that you're here as
                                                           individual, but you have selected to designate Mr.
   the county, not as Mr. Bender? So I may be asking
                                                            Bender and Mr. Bender only and produce him here
10
   you, the county, about questions about Mr. Bender?
                                                            today, the answers I don't know are binding and
11
          I am. And it is weird.
                                                            our position is they are binding on the county.
  A.
                                                            And the I don't knows about things that happened,
12
   Q.
          I agree?
13
                                                           are -- then the answer is binding on the county
            MS. JONES: And -- and, Catherine,
                                                           that something doesn't exist or the county doesn't
14
   to that point, you know, some of these questions
   were very specific about what he had done.
                                                            know. But that's an issue we can address with the
15
                                                         16
16
            MS. SMITH: Right.
                                                            court at a later date.
                                                         17
17
            MS. JONES: And I get it because
                                                                     MS. JONES: Yeah, that's the one I
  it's one of the topics. I think we have to be
                                                         18
                                                            preserved with the judge.
                                                         19
19
   mindful of that down the road.
                                                                     MS. SMITH: I -- I understand --
                                                         20
20
            MS. SMITH: Right. That's what I
                                                                     MS. JONES: And he note it in his
21
   wanted ---
                                                            order, so...
                                                         22
22
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                                     MS. SMITH: I understand he noted
23
          So from now on when I refer to you, I'm
                                                            that it his order, but it's still the county's
                                                         responsibility to designate the appropriate
  referring to the county. I will refer -- if I am
                                                                                                          Page 28
                                                 Page 26
   asking what Mr. Bender did or something about Mr.
                                                           individuals to answer questions. And they are, I
  Bender's personnel file, I will be referring to
                                                          believe, left to -- to their fault if they didn't
  Mr. Bender, and that's why I wanted to just put
                                                            designate the right individual.
  that on the record because it is going to be a
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
  little awkward, but it is the nature of -- of what
                                                           O.
                                                                   So, Mr. -- Mr. Bender, again, if I'm
   we're doing today.
                                                            referring to you, I will be referring to the
                                                            county. If I refer to Mr. Bender, I will be
            MS. JONES: And -- and to that
   point again, kind of my earlier objection, he may
                                                            referring to Mr. Bender as Mr. Bender. Again, a
   not be able to say what he knows of all the
                                                           little bit awkward, but that's -- that's how we're
10
   county, but he will say what he does know, so...
                                                            going to move forward.
                                                         11
11
            MS. SMITH: Well -- so then --
                                                                  So, again, did you, the county, instruct
   we'll, you're -- he's here as the county today.
                                                            MIS, so for instance in this question, when I say
12
            MS. JONES: I understand --
                                                           you the county, that means you could have done it,
14
                                                           Commissioner Hetherington could have done it,
            MS. SMITH: So --
15
                                                           Commissioner Hess could have done it, anyone who
            MS. JONES: -- but part of our
   objection and our ongoing position is he's -- he's
                                                           is employed by the county or acting as an agent of
   the person in the best position to give the
                                                           the county could have done it, did you the county
18
   responses. We don't know that that's going to be
                                                           instruct MIS to preserve the contents of Deb
19
   universal to every topic. He may not know
                                                            Twigg's county-issued cell phone?
                                                         20
  everything to every topic. And so therefore, when
                                                            A.
                                                         21
                                                            O.
  he answers -- you know, and he'll -- he can
                                                                   Did you the county instruct -- strike
                                                         22
  qualify if he has to. But, you know, there's
                                                            that.
                                                         23
                                                                  Mr. Roth, does he have anything other
   going to be things he may not be able to know when
```

it comes to a specific act of another person. So

than -- any other county-issued electronic device,

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1	other than a desktop computer?	1	A. He no longer has the Surface.
2		2	1
3		3	
4		4	
5	Surface tablet.	5	
6		6	
7	preserve the contents of Defendant Roth's	7	
8	1*	8	1 -
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	~
13	THE WITNESS. The answer would be	13	wify was it fianteed in to wifs in 2022:
14	*	14	A. It wash t available at the office.
15		15	Q. What do you mean it wash t available:
16	Q. Dut no for the cen phone:	16	
17	A. I know you're going to hate this, but I	17	Q. Where did he have it:
18	don't know.	18	A. At his home.
19	Q. Wif. Delider, does is he issued any		Q. Was he histracted between 2020 and
	county electronic devices other than a desktop:		2022 2020, when these claims were first brought
20	A. Would you repeat that, please:	20	up against the county and 2022, did the county
21	Q. Is wit. Defider issued any county	21	instruct Detendant Trace vage to return any of his
22	ciectionic devices other than a desktop:	22	county-issued electronic devices:
23	A. 168.	23	Mis. JOINES. Object to the form.
24	Q. Which devices:	24	100 may answer.
1	A. Cell phone, an iPad, and a Microsoft	1	THE WITNESS: I would say yes.
2	Surface.	2	BY MS. SMITH:
3		3	
4	preserve or copy the contents of Mr. Bender's cell	4	
5	phone? And I should say, county-issued cell	5	
6	phone.	6	
7	A. No.	7	·
8		8	
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9	
10	*	10	~
11		11	return those?
12		12	
13		13	preserved, not returned.
14	-	14	
15		15	
16	Λ. 105.	16	preserving.
17	Q. Moving on to Detendant Halcovage, is he	17	
18	assigned any county-issued electronic devices,	18	
19	other than an desktop computer:	19	asked him to return them. We can have it read back.
20	A. At the time, yes, he was issued an if ad	20	Dack.
21	and he had in his possession at that time, a	21	MS. JONES. I thought you were
22	Surface raptop.	22	talking about both the Surface and the iPad and he
23	Q. Okay.	23	said he instructed to preserve and then you said
	When you say at that time, what does that mean?	24	who, he said it was him. MS. SMITH: Can we have it read
24	that maan'l		

Page 33 Page 35 back? Now, I'm going to take a guess here and you can correct me if I'm wrong, is -- when you got the letter from my firm to preserve (Whereupon, court reporter read back information, you go down to MIS, tell them to last question.) preserve it. They then probably came to you some MS. JONES: Okay. I'm sorry. I time later and said we can't because we don't have them, is that why you then went to Defendant thought it was the preservation question. Halcovage and asked him to return them, so they MS. SMITH: And we can -- just could be preserved? so --10 A. That would be correct. MS. JONES: No, it's clear. 11 Q. 11 MS. SMITH: Okay. Okay. 12 12 MS. JONES: That was your answer Is that why there was a delay in asking ¹³ him to return them, because you thought MIS would 13 for that question, correct? 14 THE WITNESS: I beg your pardon. be able to get them and preserve them, and until 15 you found out that they couldn't, you didn't take MS. JONES: That was the correct 16 16 answer to the question, yes? action? 17 17 Α. THE WITNESS: Yes. And I also didn't realize at the time 18 BY MS. SMITH: that he had one because it wasn't around for a 19 When you were answering my questions while. He had one, but I didn't realize he had it Q. 20 20 just now about the Surface laptop, you were yet. $^{21}|_{\mathbf{Q}}$. answering them concerning the return of the Okay. 22 device, not the preservation, correct? So 2021, you the county through Mr. Well, it would have to be returned in Bender, asked Defendant Halcovage to return, was it both to Surface Pro and the iPad? order to be preserved. Page 34 Page 36 1 Q. Okay. 1 A. Yes. So let make just sure this record is 2 Q. Okay. 3 clear. You, the county, through you Mr. Bender And he does not return, at least the taking action, asked Defendant Halcovage to return Surface Pro, until 2022; is that a correct -his county-issued electronic devices? A. Correct. A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you know why? Q. He couldn't find it. Okay. A. 8 And when did you ask him to return those Q. Okay. 9 Did he return the iPad? devices? 10 10 Can I give you a year? A. A. 11 Sure. How many iPads was he issued? Q. Q. 12 12 If that --A. He had two. 13 It would have been 2021. Has he ever returned the second one? A. Q. 14 14 Q. Okay. MS. JONES: I am going to object to 15 15 Do you recall early, middle, end? the form. 16 16 I don't. But you may answer. A. 17 17 Q. Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 18 Do you recall if prior to 2021, when you 18 BY MS. SMITH: 19 19 the county through Mr. Bender, asked Defendant Was the contents of the Surface Pro and 20 Halcovage to return those county-issued electronic the iPad that were -- strike that. 21 devices, did you instruct MIS to copy and/or The one iPad that he -- you know that he did return, did MIS preserve the contents of that preserve their contents? or copy it or clone it? 23 A. Yes. 24 O Okav.

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC Deposition of Gary Bender 30(b)(6) - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 37 Page 39 1 1 Q. Okay. O. Why did you -- you the county not Same thing with the Surface laptop that ² instruct the individuals that were named in the he returned, was it copied, cloned, preserved? ³ letter to -- why did you not instruct MIS to clone the contents, preserve the contents of the cell A. Because you don't know if he returned phones of the individuals named in the letter? Q. the other iPad, is it fair to say that you don't MS. JONES: Object to the form. 7 know if it was preserved or cloned? You may answer. MS. JONES: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Well, the e-mails on the -- on the cell phone would have been preserved You may answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Did you say yes, I on other devices. 11 BY MS. SMITH: 11 may answer? 12 12 BY MS. SMITH O. What about text messages, are the cell 13 phones issued by the county capable of text Q. You can answer. 14 MS. JONES: You may answer, yes. messages? 15 15 A. THE WITNESS: Okay. Now, repeat --Yes. 16 16 Q. repeat that -- sorry. Sorry. Okay. 17 MS. JONES: It's okay. Are text messages recoverable from any 18 BY MS. SMITH: other devices, county-issued devices? So for 19 In that you don't know that he e-mails, for instance, it's more the software, Q. returned -- if he returned -- Defendant Halcovage they can be received on a computer, they can be 20 ²¹ received on a cell phone, and you can recover them returned the second county-issued iPad he had from either a hard drive. Are text messages the possession of, is it fair then to say you don't same way with county-issued devices? know if its contents were preserved, cloned, or 24 copied? Between an iPhone and iPad they would Page 38 Page 40 1 MS. JONES: Object to form. be, yes. 2 Q. You may answer. Okay. THE WITNESS: Correct, I would not But, for instance, Mr. Roth does not have an iPad, so would his cell phone text know that. messages, county-issued cell phone text messages BY MS. SMITH: Okay. All right. be recoverable from his desktop or the Surface Pro Q. that he was issued? Commissioner Hess, is he issued any 8 county electronic devices, other than a desktop? A. They would not. 9 Q. Yes. A. 10 Ms. Twigg did not have an iPad issued by 10 What devices? Q. the county, correct? A cell phone and an iPad. A. 12 12 Do you know if the contents of those A. That is correct. Q. devices, if MIS was instructed to clone, copy, or O. And so her cell phone text messages, her preserve the contents of those devices? county-issued cell phone text messages would not 14 15 be recoverable from any other county device, MS. JONES: Object to the form. 16 16 correct? You may answer. 17 17 THE WITNESS: The iPad, yes. MS. JONES: Object to the form. 18 18 You may answer. BY MS. SMITH: 19 19 The cell phone? THE WITNESS: I would think not, Q. 20 20 A. No. no. 21 Why not the cell phone? BY MS. SMITH: Q.

22

23

Q.

Okay. All right.

And then last I think is Commissioner

Hetherington. Is he issued any county devices

I don't know that any cell phone was

cloned. I mean, that was -- when we started, I

don't know that the cell phones were.

22

A.

Page 43 Page 41 other than a desktop? Mr. Bender's county-issued e-mail after receiving that letter? A cell phone. A. 3 A. Q. He does not have an iPad or a Surface Yes. Pro? O. Did the county preserve the contents of Defendant Roth's county-issued e-mail after A. He does not. receiving that letter? Q. Okay. Was -- were the contents of his cell A. Yes. 8 phone -- sorry. Strike that. MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can pull Did the county instruct MIS to preserve up --10 the contents of Commissioner Hetherington's 10 THE WITNESS: Can I back up just a 11 county-issued cell phone? 11 little bit? MS. JONES: Object to the form. 12 BY MS. SMITH: 13 13 You may answer. Q. Sure. Yeah. 14 14 THE WITNESS: We did not. A. On deleted e-mails, you know, you can 15 delete an e-mail, but it still is in the server as BY MS. SMITH: So we were just talking about e-mails. 16 a deleted e-mail. They would have to be wiped Q. clean by MIS. And I know at one time when we What, if any, steps did the county take to retain 18 had -- sorry -- when we had a company in, and/or store e-mails relates to each individual named in the letter the county received from my 19 generally e-mails on a server are preserved 20 20 law firm? forever. 21 21 A. O. Okay. MIS has the ability to -- to hold and 22 22 store e-mails. A. But don't hold me to that, but that was 23 Does the county's e-mail server delete, what was said to us. But I do know if -- if they Q. get deleted, they still are on the server in a on any routine basis, e-mails? So like after a Page 44 year, after two years, does it automatically deleted file. delete the contents of any e-mails or is it only O. And this is -- I'm sorry -- knowledge if the e-mail holder deletes them? you have because you spoke with MIS at some point? MS. JONES: Object to the form. A. Yes. 5 O. You can answer. Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know the And that was not in preparation for today's deposition, that was just -answer to that question. 8 BY MS. SMITH: A. No. Do you know if MIS preserved the Q. -- at another time? Q. 10 10 contents of each of the plaintiffs' county-issued A. (Indicating yes.) e-mails after you, the county, received the letter 11 O. Okay. 12 12 from my firm regarding preservation of evidence? And MIS would be able to answer ¹³|A. ¹³ questions about what is preserved, what was 14 Do you know, did the county preserve the preserved, what could be preserved? Q. 15 contents of Ms. Twigg's county-issued e-mail after Correct. A. 16 receiving that letter? O. 17 17 A. Yes. And is there someone specifically in MIS 18 Did MIS preserve the contents of who would best be able to answer these questions? Q. Defendant Halcovage's e-mail after receiving 19 MS. JONES: Object to the form. 20 20 that -- county-issued e-mail --You can answer. 21 21 A. Yes. THE WITNESS: I would think 22 Q. -- after receiving that letter? Mr. Nester. 23 MS. SMITH: All right. Matt, if 23 A. 24 O vou can out previously-marked Exhibit-43 on the Did the county preserve the contents of

_	position of Gary Bender 30(0)(0) - Revised		Jane Doe, et al. v. Sendylkin County Courthouse, et al.
1	Page 45 screen.	1	A. On a document such as this?
2			Q. Uh-hum, yes.
3	(Previously marked Exhibit-43.)	3	
4		4	
5	BY MS. SMITH:	5	Employment Opportunity Commissioner by the county
6		l .	in support of your response and opposition to the
7	I	7	plaintiffs charge of discrimination in May of
8		8	2021, correct?
9		9	MS. JONES: I am going to object to
10	as Defendant Bender, you are the county today, so	10	the form.
11		11	But you can answer if you know.
12	1 0	12	THE WITNESS: I assume it was, yes.
13		13	BY MS. SMITH:
14		14	Q. In May of 2021, was this the county's
15	-	15	organizational structure?
16	. •		A. Correct, with the exception of the tax
17		17	claim.
18		18	Q. Okay.
19	A. I think this appears on the website	19	If this was not the county's
20	today. It shouldn't, but I don't it did at	20	organizational structure in May of 2021, why was
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		it submitted to a government agency in support of
22		l .	the county's position?
23		23	MS. JONES: Object to the form of
24	_	24	your question.
1	Page 46	1	Page 48
2	Q If this is the county's current	2	But you can answer.
3	organizational structure?	3	THE WITNESS: I guess it just
4	A. Pretty much. There is some incorrect entries here.	4	wasn't updated on the website. BY MS. SMITH:
5		5	
6	Q. Okay. Has it been changed or updated?	6	Q. But it was submitted, separate and distinct from the website, to a governmental
7	1	7	agency investigating the plaintiffs claims in May
8		8	of 2021. If this was not the county's
9	or just generally?	9	organizational structure in May of 2021, why was
10	MS. SMITH: Just generally.	10	that done?
11	MS. JONES: Okay. Is that how you	11	A. I can't answer that.
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	Q. Does the county
13	<u> </u>	13	MS. SMITH: Matt, you can take that
14	Wry my claimeation was	14	exhibit down. Thank you.
15	you had said it's on the web, or just generally,	15	BY MS. SMITH:
16		16	Q. Does the county maintain something
17	answered the question, that it wasn't updated?	17	called a staff compliment?
18		18	A. Could you repeat that?
19		19	Q. Does the county maintain something
20	was updated on the web of not.	20	called a staff compliment?
21	DI MS. SMIIII.	21	A. Staff compliment?
22	-	22	Q. Compliment.
23		23	A. And what is that?
24	county?	24	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. Then, my man smy question to you.

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC Documental Description 105 of 342 Deposition of Gary Bender 30(b)(6) - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 49 1 Does the county maintain one? each office? 2 MS. JONES: Well, he can ask you to A. Yes. define the term that you're using it for. 3 O. Okay. BY MS. SMITH: O. I -- I don't know what it is. It's bureau? something that's been used in a prior deposition A. Yes. by Ms. Zula. It's called a staff compliment. Q. Do you know what that is? A. A. I do not. O. 10 MS. JONES: Was there a context? 11 Maybe he could answer the question if there was a 12 context. 13 13 A. MS. SMITH: I am getting into it. Yes. 14 14 BY MS. SMITH: 0. 15 So Ms. Zula, she didn't define it, but 16

as she testified, there is a some -- a document called a staff compliment that indicates the number of positions and what positions are in each 19 office. So, for instance, in tax claim, it might

20 say tax claim director, assistant director, three field appraisers, one real -- they're not in tax claim, but three clerk typists one, three clerk typists two, and that's -- so if someone leaves,

Page 50 ¹|if there's three clerk typists two, if one left, resigned, retired, the tax claim bureau would be able to hire someone for that empty spot.

Where as if there was three clerk typists two and the positions were full and the director felt a fourth would be needed, they would have to do something with the salary board or take some other step to add another position to their staff compliment.

10 Does that refresh your recollection or give you a better understanding of the staff 12 compliment?

That would be probably something that's maintained in HR. But, yes, that -- that is out 14 15 there.

16 Q.

17 Does the -- so have you ever heard it 18 referred to as a staff compliment?

19 A. Not until today.

20 Q. Okay.

21 Do you believe that there is such a document, maybe not called a staff compliment, but something that -- some document that delineates the number of positions that can be staffed in

And there exists one for tax claim

And there exists one for tax assessment?

Do the staff compliments or this document, does it indicate the title of the department head for the division or department it's -- it's referring to?

Does it indicate the title of any assistant department head in the division or department it's referring to?

A. Yes.

18

19

21

22

24

5

8

10

18

MS. JONES: Assuming there is one. MS. SMITH: Well. I think he testified that there is one.

MS. JONES: Well, I meant --MS. SMITH: Oh, those positions. Okav. Yes.

MS. JONES: Because in some

Page 52

Page 51

departments there might or might not be.

MS. SMITH: Okay. I thought you meant the document.

MS. JONES: No. No. No.

MS. SMITH: Okay. Matt, if we can put up SC1260 to 1265. It will be 325.

(SC1260 marked as Exhibit-325 for identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

12 Mr. -- I'm sorry. Keep on saying Mr. Q. Bender, but you are the county.

This is the county's sexual harassment policy that was in place beginning on September 14, 2005. If we look at the -- the signature page, the second to last page, it's got the date on it.

19 A. Uh-huh.

Q. Being that it's signed and approved on September 14, 2005, this was implemented and in place beginning on that date, correct?

23 A. Correct.

This policy, if we look at the first

```
Page 53
   page, appears, correct me if I am wrong, to be a
                                                             revisions to this policy between September of 2005
                                                             and September of 2013?
  revision of a policy -- the policy that was
  originally issued in August of 1994; is that
                                                           3
                                                            A.
                                                                    No.
   correct?
                                                             Q.
                                                                    No. there were not?
 <sup>5</sup>A.
          That's what it states, yes.
                                                             A.
                                                                    There were not.
  Q.
          Okay. Were there any -- and then it
                                                            0.
                                                                    Okay.
   looks like it supersedes a July 1999 policy; am I
                                                                   Between September 14, 2005, which was
                                                             the first policy date we looked at, and September
   correct?
                                                            25, 2013, which is the implementation of -- date
          That's what it states, yes.
   A.
10
          So this September 2005 revision would be
                                                            of this policy currently in front of you,
   O.
11
   the next most recent revision after July 1999
                                                            Exhibit-97, did the county maintain any other
12
   correct?
                                                             policy related to or regarding retaliation for the
13
         Meaning between July 1999 and 2000 --
                                                            opposition for reports of sexual harassment?
                                                          14
  September 2005, based on this document, it appears
                                                                    I'm not aware of any.
                                                          15
   that there were no revisions during that time; am
                                                                      MS. SMITH: We'll look at
  I reading this document correctly?
                                                          16
16
                                                             previously marked Exhibit-98.
                                                          17
17
          Correct.
  A.
                                                          18
18
   Q.
          Okay. All right. You can put that one
                                                                      (Previously marked Exhibit-98.)
                                                          19
19
   aside.
                                                          20
20
                                                            BY MS. SMITH:
         We are going to look at previously
                                                                    This is the county's sexual
21
   marked Exhibit-97.
                                                             O.
                                                            harassment -- well, the name changed, it became
22
23
                                                             the anti-harassment and non-discrimination policy,
         (Previously marked Exhibit-97.)
                                                          but the policy numbers are the same for the last
24
                                                  Page 54
                                                                                                            Page 56
 1
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                             two, correct?
          This is the county's -- oh, sorry. This
                                                           2
                                                            A.
  O.
                                                                    Correct.
                                                           3
  is the county's sexual harassment policy that was
                                                             Q.
                                                                    Okay.
                                                           4
                                                                   This is the county's anti-harassment and
  in place, if you look at the signature page which
  is 1258, on September -- beginning on
                                                             non-discrimination policy that was in place
                                                             beginning on February 10, 2021, if we look at the
  September 25, 2013, correct?
                                                             signature page, correct?
  A.
          Correct.
                                                           8
 8
          If we look back at the first page, this
                                                                    February 10th, yes.
   Q.
                                                             A.
                                                             Q.
   policy is a revision of the July 2005 policy,
                                                                    2021, correct?
   correct?
                                                          10
                                                             A.
                                                                    Yeah. Agreed. Yes.
10
11
                                                          11
                                                             O.
                                                                    Okay.
          That's what it states, yes.
   A.
                                                          12
12
                                                                   This policy is a revision of that last
   Q.
          Okay.
         But if we look at the last one that we
                                                             policy, Exhibit-97, that we just looked at that
  had up, it was a September 2005, which supersedes
                                                             was a 2013 -- September 2013 revision, correct?
14
                                                          15
   a July 1999 policy.
                                                             A.
15
                                                                    Correct.
                                                          16
16
         So was there a July 2005 revision to
                                                                    Were there any revisions to this policy
                                                             between September 25, 2013, and February 10, 2021?
17
   this policy?
                                                          18
18
          I wasn't there at the time. I can't
                                                                      MS. JONES: I'm sorry.
   Α.
   answer, but I would say that it's just merely just
                                                          19
                                                                      THE WITNESS: I'm aware of none.
19
                                                          20
   maybe we started to work on this in July, it
                                                                      MS. JONES: Wait. Can you say that
                                                          21
   wasn't activated until September.
21
                                                            again?
22
   Q.
                                                             BY MS. SMITH:
                                                          ^{23}|_{\mathbf{Q}}.
                                                                    Were there any revisions to the policy
23
         So do you know then if there were any --
you the county, do you know if there was any
                                                          between September 25, 2013, which is the
```

Page 57 Page 59 implementation date of Exhibit-97, and a copy of this before they voted on it? 2 February 10, 2021, which is the implementation A. Yes. date of Exhibit-98? 3 O. When? A. Went to the meeting on the 10th, so they No. A. Q. Between September 25, 2013, when the would have had it at least a week. revised policy, Exhibit-97 was implemented that we Q. So one week before February 10, 2021? just looked at, and February 10, 2021, the A. implementation date of this Exhibit-98, did the O. Do you -- did -- do you the county know county maintain any other policy related to or if any of the commissioners made any changes or regarding retaliation for opposition and/or proposed any changes to the policy which was reports of sexual harassment? provided to them one week prior to February 10, 12 12 A. No. 2021? 13 13 Α. Q. Looking back at the signature page, the I'm not aware of any, no. 14 At any time after February 10, 2021. fifth page, there's four signatures that are 0. when this policy was implemented and today, has 15 redacted out. Do you see that? 16 the county maintained any other policy related or 17 regarding retaliation for opposition and/or Yes. A. 18 Q. reports of sexual harassment? Okay. 19 19 Did Defendant Halcovage vote to approve A. I would think this is still the active 20 and sign to approve the implementation of this document. 20 21 revised policy? So I apologize, there is one more O. 22 A. Yes. updated one, so I'll -- I'll ask that question 23 Who all was involved in the revision of again later. 0. 24 this policy, this February 2021 revision? I'm going to put --Page 60 Page 58 1 A. I would say Heidi Zula and Doreen MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can put Kutzler. 105 up. 3 O. Anyone else have input or review of this 4 revision? (Previously marked Exhibit-105.) Α. Well, the board. Q. When you say --BY MS. SMITH: The board of commissioners. This is a May 2021 revision of that A. Q. policy that we just looked at, correct? Q. Okay. A. And myself. A. It states that, yes. Again, I apologize that it's third 10 O. 10 Q. Okay. person, but Mr. Bender, what was his involvement 11 This one doesn't have a -- have a date on that signature page. It just has a signature 12 in the revisions of this February 2021 policy? Ms. Zula and Ms. Kutzler would have approving it. 14 written it and would have brought it over to look Do you know what date this was 15 at to me before they handed it to the officially implemented? 16 commissioners for review. Α. I do not. 17 17 Q. Did Mr. Bender make any changes --O. And between the policy we just looked at from February 10, 2021, and this policy revision 18 A. To -- to this policy --19 Q. from May of 2021, were there any revisions to the 20 policy in between those two dates? A. 21 -- when Ms. Zula -- it's okay -- when 21 O. A. There would not be. Ms. Zula and Ms. Kutzler provided it to him? 22 O. 23 So from February 10, 2021, until today, 23 A. other than this revision, were there other -- any 24 O Was the board of commissioners provided

De	position of Gary Bender 50(b)(o) - Revised		Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuyikin County Courthouse, et al.
1	Page 61		Page 63
1	other independent written policies at the county	1	from of the of an the county poncies, I might
2	regarding retaination of related to retaination	2	see something there. When I said I don't know, it
3	for opposition and/or reports of sexual	3	might be on that list.
4	marassment:	4	BY MS. SMITH
5	A. Not that I'm aware of.	5	Q. Well, the notice of deposition.
6	Q. And who all was involved in the	6	MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can pull
7	revisions of this this May 2021 policy?	7	back up what we marked today as 324.
8	A. Wis. Zuia.	8	BY MS. SMITH:
9	Q. Anyone else?	9	Q. No. 4 says: Defendant implementation of
10	A. No. But, again, it would have been	10	policies and/or procedures regarding sexual
11	something she would have brought over to to	11	harassment, discrimination, and/or retaliation,
12	me to me, Mr. Bender, and and to the board	12	did I read that correctly?
13	of commissioners.	13	A. No. 4, yes, you did.
14	Q. As it relates to Mr. Bender, did he make	14	Q. Okay.
15	any changes to the policy that was proposed to him	15	Did you review the policies that the
16		16	county has regarding those in preparation for
17	•	17	today's deposition?
18		18	A. I I reviewed this policy that we're
19	-	19	looking at, the February '21 and the May '21. I'm
20		20	sorry.
21		21	Q. So you're so Exhibit-98 and 105?
22		22	A. Yes.
23	7 1	23	
	had has the county since 2012 had any other	24	Q. Okay. Other than those two, you did not review
-	Page 62		Page 64
1	written policies or written procedures regarding	1	any other sexual harassment, retaliation, or
2	sexual harassment?	2	discrimination policies of the county?
3	MS. JONES: Object to the form.	3	MS. JONES: I am just going to
4	You may answer.	4	object to the form and note there's no time frame
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	5	on your deposition exhibit. So when your
6	BY MS. SMITH:	6	questions previously went back to 2012, I think
7	Q. Other than the four county policies we	7	there could be a fair interpretation that your
8	just looked at, did the county has the county	8	exhibit did not identify historical policies.
9	ever since 2012, had any other written policy or	9	But he may answer the question.
10	written procedure regarding retaliation?	10	BY MS. SMITH:
11	MS. JONES: Object to the form.	11	Q. So just so I'm clear, you did not review
12		12	any other sexual harassment, discrimination, or
13	1	13	retaliation policies of the county, other than
14		14	Exhibit-98 and 105?
15		15	A. Dealing with harassment and retaliation.
16		16	Q. Okay.
17	had any other written policies or procedures	17	Right.
18		18	A. No. No, but we had those other two I
19	MS. JONES: Object to the form.	19	mentioned earlier.
20	You may answer.	20	Q. Right.
21	THE WITNESS: I would say no	21	The outside employment and the social
22		22	media, correct?
	1 1	1	
23	And to the other two questions I would	23	A Correct
23 24	And to the other two questions, I would have to say if we saw it if I had a list in	23	A. Correct. Q. Okay.

Page 65 Did you review or bring with you to refresh your recollection today, a list of county policies?

- A. I did not.
- Q. Did you even review before today in -in preparation for today, a list of county policies?
- A. Yes. I -- I've seen that list and now I wish I would have printed it out. I thought maybe vou would have it.
- 11 So, again, other than the county O. 12 policies we have just looked at, there was four for consecutive times in years that we went over, did the county maintain any other policy for 15 discrimination since 2012?

MS. JONES: Object to the form.

But you may answer.

THE WITNESS: I can't answer that at this time.

20 BY MS. SMITH:

16

17

18

19

- Is that because you don't know? Q.
- 22 I don't remember, yeah. A.
- 23 Does the county currently have any Q. written policy or procedure regarding the

dissemination of county policies and procedures to its employees?

- A. Want to go over that one more time, please.
- Does the county have any written policy Q. or procedure regarding the dissemination of its policies and procedure to its employee?
- I don't think it's a written policy, no. A.
- Q. Does the county have any non-written 10 policy or procedure regarding the dissemination of its policies to its employees?
- 12 Yes. A.

22

23

- 13 Q. What is that?
- There are a number of them. When an 14 employer is -- is hired when they go through orientation, all the policies are reviewed with 17 the hire and the new hire would sign off on them. 18

When a policy is revised or -- or -- or changed or a new one added, that gets sent out to all the department heads and every employee needs to sign that they have looked at it. When there's a revision made, same thing should happen.

For the sexual harassment policy, when there was a training, the policy is included in

Page 67 that training and they sign a document that they reviewed that policy, that training occurred, we ³ have done that mostly every two years, so any time the employee gets a copy of that policy.

O. All right. Let me unpack that a little 6 bit.

So it is the policy or procedure of the county, not memorialized in writing, that any newly-implemented policy or any policy that is revised, is disseminated to all department heads, who are them to disseminate to each of their department employees, a copy of that and obtain a signature for receipt of that policy?

- 14 Yes. A.
- 15 O. And that's not just the sexual harassment policy, that is all policies? 16
- Α. Correct.
- 18 O. And then as I understand your answer, the sexual harassment training, which includes dissemination of a policy at that training, occurs every two years?
 - Yes. A.

22

Page 66

23 O. Does the county utilize a form to ensure that all county policies are, in fact,

Page 68 disseminated to county employees? Is there a standard form when someone is first hired?

3 A. I -- I believe there is, yes.

4 Q. Okay.

Do you know what that form is called?

6 A. I do not.

- O. And who at the county is responsible for ensuring that a new hire receives copies of each of the counties policies in effect at the time 10 that they are hired?
- 11 A. Human resources.
- 12 Q. So that would be the human resources director would be ultimately responsible for that?
- Ultimately, but it would be the person in the -- that is conducting the orientation, but ultimately it's the department head who would be 17 responsible.
- 18 O. And does the county utilize a specific 19 form for the receipt of newly-implemented or the receipt of revised policies by current employees?
- 21 A form is sent around that each employee A. signs, ves. And that is returned to HR.
- 23 Q. Okay. 24

So is there a -- a standard form --

	1		
1	A. Yes.	1	newly-implemented or revised policies?
2		2	A. Yes.
3		3	Q. What is the county's policy regarding
4		4	the storage or retention of those signed receipt
5	_		forms for policies?
6	1	6	A. The policies would be related to the
7	revised policy is disseminated?	7	Pennsylvania Historical Museum Commission in terms
8		8	of retention of certain particular documents.
9	Q. Does the county have any written policy	9	They would maintain them and they could dispose of
10		10	them according to the Pennsylvania Historical
11		11	Museum Commission.
12	A. I do believe they have to sign it as	12	Q. So my question is more so: Where are
13	well, yes.	13	they maintained?
14	Q. Sorry. Just listen to my question,	14	A. In the human resources office.
15	though.	15	Q. In each individual's personnel file or
16	Does the county have any written policy?	16	somewhere else?
17	A. No.	17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Regard the dissemination of county	18	Q. Who would know the answer to that
19	policies to elected officials?	19	question?
20	A. No.	20	A. I guess the human resources director.
21	Q. Does the county have a policy or	21	Q. Does the county currently have a human
22	procedure, even if not in writing, about	22	resources director?
23	dissemination of county policies to elected	23	A. We do not.
24	officials?	24	Q. Does the county currently have an
1	A. Yes.	1	interim or contractor in the human resources
2		2	director position?
3		3	A. We do.
4	_	4	Q. And who is that?
5		5	A. Ms. Kutzler.
6	or should be disseminated to county elected	6	Q. Ms. Kutzler has worked for the county
7	_	7	before her current contract or stint, correct?
8		8	A. Correct.
9		9	Q. Does Ms. Kutzler understand where
10		10	documents stored in the HR department are to be
11		11	stored properly?
12		12	MS. JONES: I will object to the
13	they receive a copy of all policies in effect at	13	form.
14		14	You can answer if you can.
15	1	15	THE WITNESS: I would think so,
16		16	yes.
17		17	BY MS. SMITH:
18		18	Q. Ms. Kutzler's contract is set to
19	• •	19	expire current contract is set to expire at
20		20	some point, correct?
21		21	A. Correct.
22		22	Q. The county intends to hire a full-time
23		23	employee for the position of HR director, correct?
24	memorialize their receipt of those	24	A. Correct.
	•		

```
Page 73
         And from where would that individual
1
 O.
 learn as to where receipt of policy forms are
 stored when they -- when they take over the
 position?
```

A. Between -- in this particular instance, between Doreen and the remaining staff in the HR office. But I think, Ms. Smith, when -- when you had shown me my policy that times, I think my signature page was in my file if that's where you 10 got it.

11 We're going to get to that because I Q. 12 don't know --

13 A. I know, I don't ask you questions.

Sorry about that.

15 We'll get to that. Q.

16 A. Okay.

17 We'll get to that. Q.

18 Does the county have any written policy 19 or written procedure regarding the training of employees related to its sexual harassment policy? 20

21 A. I am not aware of a written policy 22 that's in a -- in a sheet like this.

23 Q. Okay.

24

1

But I think you did testify earlier that

Page 74 sexual harassment training is conducted by the county, by non-written policy or procedure every two years?

A. Correct.

5 O. Okay.

Any other non-written procedures or policies that you can think of that the county has regarding training of employees for sexual harassment?

10 Other than what I mentioned? No. A.

11 Other than that it happens every two Q.

12 years?

13 A. No.

14 Okay. Q.

15 Does the county have any written policy or written procedure --16

17 A. Well, let -- let me back up there.

18 Q.

2.2

When a new employee comes in, they take 19 20 the training at that time.

Okay. That's fair. Thank you. 21 Q.

So the -- the non-written, but policy

and procedure of the county, is that each current 23

employee undergo training every two years and each

Page 75 new employee undergo sexual harassment training at ² the time they are hired and then how -- is it that they then go under -- undergo the training every two years or they undergo it whenever that next training is implemented?

A. Whenever the next training is. So if that next training is six months, then they take it six months --

9 Q. Again in six months to get on that same 10 track?

11 A. Otherwise it would be a nightmare to 12 administer.

13 O. You would be doing trainings all the 14 time?

15 Α. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. That's what I figured, I just wanted to make the record clear. Thank you.

Does the county have any written policy or written procedure regarding the training of employees related to its non-discrimination policy?

22 That would be at the same time the A. sexual harassment training is done. There's parts ²⁴ in there that deal with discrimination.

Page 76

1 Q. Okay.

> 2 So other than that two years and when you're hired, no other -- well, that's not in writing though, correct? 5

A. Correct.

O. So there's no written policy, other than what we've looked at, regarding training of employees in non-discrimination, correct?

A. Correct.

10 O. And the non-written for non-discrimination is the same as the non-written for sexual harassment?

A. Correct.

14 O. Okay.

15 Ask the same questions for anti-retaliation policies, does the county have any written policy or written procedure regarding the training of its employees related to anti-retaliation?

That is covered in the sexual harassment training module, they talk about retaliation in there.

23 Q. Okay.

So no written policy about how employees

19

22

Page 79

Page 77 are to be trained or when they're to be trained?

- A.
- Q. Okay.

Sounds like from your testimony, correct me if I'm wrong, there is a non-written policy or procedure of the county that they undergo the two years or when they're hired training, and that would be encompassed sexual harassment. non-retaliate -- non-discrimination, and anti-retaliation, correct?

11 A. Yes.

15

16

12 Q. Okay.

13 I'm going to try and speed this up and lump this together. If you need me to break it down, I will.

Does the county have any -- so same questions for elected officials, is there anything different for employees versus elected officials in the -- there being written policies about how they're trained on sexual harassment, discrimination, or retaliation?

- 22 A. It would be the same as I described for employees.
- O. So no written policy on how they are

Page 78 trained, just procedural policies in that every two years they undergo sexual harassment, discrimination, retaliation training and/or when they're elected and then thereafter?

- Correct. A.
- Q. Okay.

So employees and elected officials, in the sense of sexual harassment, discrimination, and retaliation training, are the same?

- 10 Yes. A.
 - Do the policies we looked at, at each of 0. their times that they were in effect until the next revision came, did they apply to elected officials?
- 15 Technically, yes, they apply to elected A. 16 officials.
- 17 Q. All right.

18

19

22

23

Other than the policies we've looked at today, has the county at any time since 2012 maintained any written policy or procedure regarding the conducting of investigations of complaints of sexual harassment?

MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Did you preface that by other than what --

BY MS. SMITH:

- 2 Other than what we've looked at, because Q. some of those, candidly, include steps to be
- 5 A. They do.
- So I am just trying to figure out if Q. there's anything independent of those that dictates how a county employee, HR, or other employee is to conduct an investigation of a complaint of sexual harassment?
- 11 A. Other than what's in here?
- 12 O. Other than what we've looked at.
- 13 A. No.

15

14 0. Okay.

Same questions, other than what we've looked at, is there any independent policies of the county written about how a county employee, ¹⁸ HR, or otherwise is to conduct an invest -- an investigation of complaints of discrimination?

- 20 No, other than what's here. A.
- 21 Q. Okav. 22

And same question for retaliation, other than what we've looked at, does the county maintain any written policy since 2012 as to

Page 80 conducting -- conducting an investigation into the complaint of retaliation?

- 3 A. None, other than what we've described.
- 4 Q.

Any non-written policy that the county has about how an investigation -- we'll start with sexual harassment -- is to be conducted?

- 8 Α. No.
- So whatever is written in the policies that we've looked at today, is the complete policy or procedure of the county regarding investigations into complaints of sexual harassment?

MS. JONES: Object to the form.

15 You may answer. 16

THE WITNESS: No. But there is a work in progress.

- 18 BY MS. SMITH:
- 19 What do you mean by that? O.
- 20 In other words, we are beginning to look A. at implementations of particular policies.
- 22 Okay. And -- understood. I am not -- I O. 23 won't put on the record why I think that is, but

14

Page 83

Page 84

1 Okay.

But -- so let's take this back. Prior to, let's say, the beginning of 2023, so prior to 2023, was there any non-written policy or procedure of the county, other than what we've looked at, because those are in writing, any non-written policy or procedure regarding how an investigation into a complaint of sexual harassment is to be conducted?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Okay.

12 Same question for discrimination, prior 13 to 2023, so December 2022 back, did the county have any non-written policy or procedure regarding how investigations into complaints of discrimination were to be conducted? 16

17 No.

18 0. Finally, prior to January 2023, did the 19 county have any non-written policy or procedure regarding how investigations of complaints of retaliation were to be conducted?

22 A. No.

23 What, if any, investigations has the O. county conducted regarding Jane Doe 1's reports Page 82

and/or complaints of discrimination?

Okay. Repeat that for me, please. A.

O. What, if any, investigations has the county conducted regarding Ms. Jane Doe 1's reports and complaints of discrimination, which in my definition, would include sexual harassment?

They have been investigated by the human A. resources department.

Q. How many investigations have been 10 conducted by the county?

I don't have an answer to that. A.

12 Is there a report for any of these Q. investigations?

14 They would be in her personnel file if A. 15 there are, yes.

16 Are there reports that you know exist? Q.

17 A. I haven't looked at her personnel file.

18 Was anyone interviewed -- was anyone Q.

interviewed during the investigation -- any 19

20 investigation the county conducted?

21 That would be the procedure. A.

22 Q. Do you know who was interviewed for any

23 of these investigations?

I do not. A

Page 81 1 O. What was determined in any of these

² investigations?

3 A. That I'm not aware of a determination.

O. Was any video footage reviewed in any of the investigations?

A. Of Jane Doe 1, no.

Q. There was no video footage reviewed in any investigation -- during any investigation into Jane Doe 1's reports of discrimination?

A. I'm not aware of any video.

11 Q. I just want to make sure the record is 12 clear.

Video -- like video on like a tape that 14 someone can watch now or video being reviewed, like footage being reviewed and played back on, like, the sheriff's cameras, for instance?

Not that I'm aware of.

18 O. What, if any, investigations has the county conducted regarding Jane Doe 2's reports -any reports by Jane Doe 2 of -- reports and complaints by Jane Doe 2 of discrimination, 22 including sexual harassment?

23 A. Jane Doe 2 was interviewed and there --24 there was a -- there was an investigation.

Q. One investigation or more than one?

2 A. That I don't know.

3 O. Was there a report?

A. I would think so, yes.

O. Do you know if there's a report?

6 A. I -- I can't answer that.

O. So you can't answer, meaning you cannot affirmatively say there is a report?

A. Correct. I haven't looked at any.

10 O. Do you know if anyone was inter --

anyone was interviewed in connection with any investigation into any report or complaint of

discrimination by Jane Doe 2?

14 I don't know specifically. A.

15 Q. Do you know --

16 Now, Ms. Smith, are we going back to 17 May 2020?

18 O. We are going back to any time during 19 Jane Doe 2's employment with the county.

Okay. So -- okay. So -- so it would be 21 that initial investigation after May 20th?

22 O. Any other investigations during Jane Doe ²³ 2's employment into any report or complaint by her

of discrimination, including sexual harassment?

	. , , ,		
1	A. Outside of the initial report?	1	MS. SMITH: Okay. Well, Counsel,
2	<u> -</u>	2	this is not proper under the federal rules. Your
3		3	client has been instructed as to what he can and
4	1	4	cannot do.
5	there's an initial report. So outside of that,	5	MS. JONES: I'll ask him questions
6	what you called an initial investigation in May of	6	then.
7	2020, any others?	7	MS. SMITH: Okay.
8	A. I'm not aware of any.	8	MS. JONES: Just I thought his
9	Q. Are you aware of any	9	reaction was obvious, so you might want to follow
10		10	up. But if you don't want to, I will.
11	have been the there was an investigative	11	BY MS. SMITH:
12	_	12	Q. Was any video footage reviewed regarding
13	Q. Do you recall when that was?	13	this incident?
14		14	A. Not that I'm aware of.
15	Q. Was anyone interviewed?	15	Q. Are you aware that Jane Doe 2 alleged
16	A. Yes. It would have been Jane Doe 3,	16	that she took pictures on her county-issued iPad
17	Jane Doe 4, Sheriff Groody, and Jane Doe 2	17	of being followed by Commissioner Halcovage?
18	provided a a written statement.	18	A. I think that was in her report.
19	Q. Who interviewed Jane Doe 3?	19	Q. Did anyone on behalf of the county
20	A. Ms. Zula.	20	attempt to recover any images from Jane Doe 2's
21	Q. Who interview Jane Doe 4?	21	county-issued iPad?
22		22	A. I'm in aware of that.
23	Q. Who interviewed Sheriff Groody?	23	Q. Was Jane Doe 2 did anyone at the
24		24	county ask Jane Doe 2 for her iPad to attempt to
1	Q. Who inter and you said Jane Doe 2	1	obtain, recover, preserve, clone any images?
2	Q. Who inter and you said faile Doc 2	2	A. I'm not aware of that.
3	*	3	Q. Were any documents, any sort of
4	helped her prepare or one that she provided on her	4	document, picture, text message, e-mail, anything,
5		5	any evidence, I should could call it, not just
6	A. I think you had prepared that.	6	document, any evidence provided by Defendant
7	Q. And when you said when she was allegedly	7	Halcovage to the county in support of his position
8		8	regarding the incident?
9	was being followed by?	9	A. Outside of written written comments,
10		10	I don't think so.
11		11	Q. But he did provide a written statement
12	~	12	
13	merdent we'll earlie an arreged merdent, the	13	A. Yes.
14	Halcovage alleged that he was at a funeral?	14	Q of his version of the events?
15		15	A. Yes.
16		16	Q. Okay.
17	meeting, he wasn't at the commissioner's meeting	17	And who did he provide that to?
18		18	A. Ms. Zula.
19	1	19	Q. Did he prepare it on his own or with Ms.
20		20	Zula's assistance?
21	_	21	A. On his own.
22		22	MS. SMITH: Let's just take a quick
	investigation, I think he did not anticipate that	23	break.
	as part of your initials questions.	24	Matt, we can go off the record.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Page 89 Page 91 Aleisha, we can go off the record. Defendant Halcovage, correct? 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now A. Correct. 10:28 a.m. and we're going off the record. 3 Q. Any there any others? There would be a complaint that they A. said Mr. Halcovage was driving past their window (Whereupon, brief recess was held off the record.) and taking pictures. Q. Who is they? 8 Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2. A. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 9 10:38 a.m. and we are back on the record. O. Okay. 10 10 Was this investigated by the county? BY MS. SMITH: 11 Mr. Bender, before the break, you were 11 A. O. 12 testifying on behalf of the county about Jane Doe O. By whom? 13 A. 2's -- any reports or complaints of discrimination Ms. Zula. ¹⁴ O. by Jane Doe 2. And you testified about an initial What, if any -- who, if anyone, did she 15 investigation, May of 2020, and then this other interview in connection with this? complaint of her being followed. 16 She would have talked to Commissioner 16 Α. 17 Are there any other investigations the Halcovage and she would have talked to Jane Doe 2 18 county has conducted during Jane Doe 2's and Jane Doe 1. 19 employment into reports or complaints by her of 19 0. Did she obtain statements from any of 20 20 those individuals? discrimination? 21 21 MS. JONES: Object to the form. A. Beg your pardon. 22 22 Q. Did she obtain statements from any of You can answer. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can -- can I 23 those individuals? 24 just back up a little bit to clarify things? You A. I would think so, yes. Page 90 Page 92 know, it -- the only reason we're here today is 1 Q. Were they written? because that May 20th complaint. 2 A. Yes. So when you ask me, did you ever hear of 3 O. Did she take notes? a complaint, you're also referring to this A. I would think so, yes. May 20th complaint? O. Did she review any surveillance footage? BY MS. SMITH: A. The sheriff did. I'm asking what the county has done Q. Which sheriff? 8 during each of these individuals employments. So Chief Deputy Tobin. A. right now we're talking about Jane Doe 2. Q. Was that video preserved? 10 10 There was nothing on the video. They A. Okav. Α. 11 So I want to know what the county has couldn't see from the -- the cameras on that --O. 12 done regarding -- if the county has conducted any that window. investigations, and if so, which ones, when, and 13 0. Well, there was camera footage, correct? 14 then follow-up questions, into complaints or A. They looked at camera footage, yes. 15 reports of discrimination by Jane Doe 2? Q. And was that footage, which you're 16 Okay. Then we can back up to the testifying indicates nothing relevant or useful 17 May 20th, the initial complaint. exists, was that preserved? 18 18 Okav. Α. That I don't know. Q. So that's the initial investigation in 19 Q. 19 Other than speaking to Defendant 20 May of 2020, that you referred to? Halcovage, Jane Doe 2, Jane Doe 1, and then this review of surveillance by Deputy Chief Tobin, was 21 Correct. A. there any -- was anything else conducted, any 22 Q. Okay. other investigation conducted or any other action 23 And then we have this other one of an ²⁴ allegation that she was being followed by ²⁴ taken during the investigation?

Dej	Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC DOCUTONITIZENTIALFiled 09/20/23 Page 116 of 342 Deposition of Gary Bender 30(b)(6) - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.				
_	Page 93		Page 95		
1	A. On that investigation?	1	because the May 20th investigation.		
2	Q. For that incident.	2	Q. Did she instruct you to supplement your		
3	A. No.	3	answer as it relates to Jane Doe 1?		
4	Q. Any others any other investigations	4	A. She said think hard to make sure that		
5	by the county into reports or complaints of	5	I'm being correct.		
6	discrimination by Jane Doe 2 during her	6	Q. Did she tell to supplement your answer		
7	employment?	7	with additional investigation		
8	A. There was a complaint by Jane Doe 2 and	8	A. If I knew something, yes.		
9	Jane Doe 1, well, that other one was as well, that	9	Q. Did she remind you of the Kent Hatter		
10	when Mr. Hatter was down, they felt he acted in	10	investigation?		
11	in an inappropriate manner.	11	A. No.		
12	Q. Who investigated that on behalf of the	12	Q. Did she remind you of the driving past		
13	county?	13	the windows investigation?		
14		14	A. No.		
15	Q. Who was interviewed, if anyone?	15	Q. Other than those two now that you've		
16		16	testified to regarding Jane Doe 1 in the initial		
17	Q. Did Ms. Zula or anyone on behalf of the	17	May of 2020 investigation, are there any other		
18	county obtain written statements from any of those	18	reports or complaint of discrimination by Jane Doe		
19	individuals?	19	1 that the county has investigated?		
20	A. I would think so, yes.	20	MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Did she say		
21	Q. Did she take did Ms. Zula or anyone	21	including the May 2020.		
22	on behalf of the county take written notes during	22	MS. SMITH: Other than.		
23	those interviews?	23	MS. JONES: Okay.		
24		24	MS. SMITH: So I will be clear.		
	Page 94		Page 96		
1	Q. Was there any video footage reviewed for	1	BY MS. SMITH:		
2	that investigation?	2	Q. So other than the May of 2020 initial		
3	A. No.	3	investigation and the two that you just mentioned		
4	Q. Any others?	4	when we were speaking about Jane Doe 2, are there		
5	A. On Jane Doe 2?	5	any other investigations that the county has		
6	Q. Yes. Any other investigations conducted	6	conducted regarding Jane Doe 1's reports or		
7	by the county into complaints or reports of	7	complaints of discrimination?		
8	discrimination	8	A. I can't think of any. There's an active		
9	A. I'm not aware of any others.	9	investigation right now.		
10	Q. Okay.	10	Q. Into a complaint of what?		
11	Now, you just testified to two other	11	A. It's something an attorney is		
12	two incidents involving Jane Doe 1. I had asked	12	investigating. It's an internal office thing that		
13	you earlier about Jane Doe 1 and her reports and	13	it was she's stating Mr. Hatter had opened a		
14	complaints of discrimination.	14	piece of her mail that was on her desk.		
15	Are you now supplementing your answer	15	Q. That's an active investigation?		
16	with there's two incidents?	16	A. It is.		
17		17	0 01		

A. Yes.

18 Okay. Q.

19 Did you have a conversation with your attorney about your testimony during the break? 20

21 Yes. She reminded me I'm speaking too A. fast and that I am here not as Gary Bender, I am here representing the county. And just I was

confused, because the only reason we're here is

²¹ of -- any other investigations by the county that have been conducted into complaints or reports of discrimination by Jane Doe 1 or Jane Doe 2 or have we covered them all?

Are there any others that you can think

Moving on to -- so let me just make sure

17

18

19

Q.

Okay.

we can close the door on that.

24 O.

What do you mean by per se?

```
Page 97
                                                                                                      Page 99
                                                        1
   A.
          I can't think of any others.
                                                          A.
                                                                 I think the e-mail was -- was -- was
  Q.
                                                          what was recorded.
          Okay.
         So moving on to Jane Doe 4. Are --
                                                        3
                                                          O.
                                                                 Okay.
                                                                So the e-mail was saved or preserved in
   what, if any, investigations has the county
  conducted regarding any report or complaint of
                                                          some way?
  discrimination by Jane Doe 4?
                                                          A.
                                                                 Yes.
          Well, she was interviewed by Ms. Twigg
                                                          Q.
  A.
                                                                 Is that what I'm understanding?
  back in -- in May of '20, and I think Mr. Roth was
                                                          A.
                                                                 Uh-hum.
                                                        9
  in on that interview as well.
                                                          Q.
                                                                 Other than preserving the e-mail, was
10
  Q.
                                                          any action taken to look into, to interview
          Okay.
11
         Any other investigations conducted by
                                                          anyone, to review any video, to do any type of
   the county at any time during Jane Doe 4's
                                                          investigation regarding what she said, Jane Doe 3
13
   employment into complaints or reports of
                                                          said in that e-mail?
                                                       14
  discrimination?
                                                          A.
                                                                 Mr. Marshall sent her a letter outlining
                                                       15
15
            MS. JONES: Object to the form.
                                                          the policy.
                                                       16
16
                                                          O.
                                                                 Do you know who drafted the letter that
            You can answer.
17
            THE WITNESS: I can't think of any.
                                                          Mr. Marshall sent?
18
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                          A.
                                                                 Mr. Marshall.
                                                       19
19
          Moving on to Jane Doe 3. At any point
                                                          Q.
                                                                 Do you know who sent the letter that --
   Q.
                                                       20
20
  during her employment, has the county conducted
                                                          A.
                                                                 Mr. Marshall.
                                                       21
  any investigation into a complaint or a report of
                                                         Q.
                                                                 Not Mr. Marshall's law firm's personal
                                                       22
  discrimination by her?
                                                          secretary?
          Again, she would have been interviewed
                                                       23
                                                          A.
                                                                 Well, that I don't know.
                                                       <sup>24</sup> Q.
<sup>24</sup> by Mr. Roth and Ms. Twigg on May 20th.
                                                                 Okav.
                                                                                                     Page 100
                                               Page 98
                                                       1
                                                                So do you -- do you know who sent the
 1
   Q.
          Okay.
         Other than that, is there any other
                                                          letter to Jane Doe 3?
  investigation by the county that you are aware of
                                                                   MS. JONES: Object to the form.
                                                        4
  during Ms. -- you the county are aware of, because
                                                                   You can answer if you know.
  you're speaking as the county, that was conducted
                                                                   THE WITNESS: Who actually placed
  into reports or complaints of discrimination by
                                                         it in the mail?
   Jane Doe 3?
                                                          BY MS. SMITH:
                                                        8
 8
                                                                 Well, was it mailed, was it e-mailed,
            MS. JONES: Object to the form.
                                                          O.
            You can answer.
                                                          was it carrier pigeon?
10
                                                       10
            THE WITNESS: Think she made a
                                                                   MS. JONES: I object to the form.
                                                       11
  complaint about the hiring in the clerk of courts
                                                                   You can answer if you can.
                                                       12
  office, but I don't particularly know that it was
                                                                   THE WITNESS: Ms. -- I'm going to
  a harassment complaint or a discrimination.
                                                         have to guess and I don't want to do that.
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                          BY MS. SMITH:
                                                       15
15
          Okay. Well, let's talk about it since
                                                                 Well, and I don't want you to guess
  Q.
                                                          O.
16
                                                          either. So I would like an answer. If that
   you mentioned it.
17
         Was it investigated by the county?
                                                          answer is I don't know, that that's your answer.
                                                       18
18
          I think we just received her e-mail on
                                                          A.
                                                                 That would be it.
  A.
                                                       19
19
  that.
                                                          O.
                                                                 Okay.
                                                       20
20
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                                So you don't know in what form the
         Was there any investigation --
21
                                                          letter was sent to Jane Doe 3?
          I don't think there was an
                                                       22
22
                                                          A.
                                                                 I do not.
   A.
                                                       23
  investigation, per se.
                                                         Q.
23
                                                                 Okay.
```

24

And do you know who sent Jane Doe 3 the

```
Page 103
                                                Page 101
                                                           conducted any investigations into complaints or
  letter?
 ^{2}|A.
                                                           reports by Jane Doe 2 of retaliation?
          Either Mr. Marshall or Mr. Marshall's
  office.
                                                           A.
                                                                  I'm not aware of an investigation.
                                                           O.
                                                                   Again, again, other than the May 2020
  Q.
          Mr. Marshall's office being his law
  firm, personal private law firm, or the
                                                           investigation, has the county conducted -- and
                                                           when -- just so the record is clear, I want to
   solicitor's office?
                                                           make sure that we define May 2020 investigation.
          That I don't know.
   A.
          So you do no know who sent Jane Doe 3
                                                           This is the report -- the investigation that was
  Q.
                                                           conducted by Ms. Twigg and Mr. Roth, you sat in --
   the letter?
10
            MS. JONES: Object to the form. I
                                                           Mr. Bender sat in on an interview in which
   think he's answered that as best he could.
                                                           numerous individuals were interviewed, reports
11
12
            THE WITNESS: That would be
                                                           were drafted, press releases were issued in --
                                                        regarding the complaints of Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe
13
  correct.
                                                        <sup>14</sup> 2, Jane Doe 3, and Jane Doe 4 related to
  BY MS. SMITH:
15
                                                           discrimination, retaliation, harassment by the
          Other than the May of 2020 investigation
  and this e-mail that Jane Doe 3 sent and
                                                           county and other county officials, correct?
  Mr. Marshall responded to that we were just
                                                           A.
                                                                   Correct.
                                                        18
  discussing, are there any other investigations the
                                                           O.
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        19
  county had conducted regarding Ms. -- any report
                                                                 So other than that, has the county
                                                        20
  by Jane Doe 3 of complaints of discrimination?
                                                           conducted any investigation into reports or
^{21}|A.
          Not that I'm aware of.
                                                           complaints of retaliation by Jane Doe 4?
                                                        22
22
                                                           A.
                                                                   I don't know of any investigations.
  Q.
          I'm going to move on to retaliation
                                                        23
   complaints. So we are going to go through some of
                                                           O.
                                                                   What, if any, investigations has the
  similar questions.
                                                           county conducted into reports or complaints of
                                                                                                        Page 104
                                                Page 102
                                                           retaliation by Jane Doe 3, other than the May of
 1
         What, if any, investigations has the
  county conducted regarding any report or complaint
                                                           2020 investigation?
  of retaliation by Jane Doe 1? And this is at any
                                                                   I'm not aware of any investigations.
                                                           A.
                                                         4
                                                           Q.
  time during her employment.
                                                                   Has the county -- when I -- strike that.
          Well, the May 20th complaint was -- was
                                                                  Has the county employed or utilized a
  Α.
  on sexual harassment.
                                                           third party to conduct any investigation into any
   Q.
          Okav.
                                                           complaints or reports of retaliation by the
                                                           plaintiffs?
          I don't know that that involved
   A.
  retaliation.
                                                           A.
                                                                   Yes.
                                                        10
10
   Q.
          Okay. That's fair.
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        11
         Other than that May of 2020, which I
                                                                  When?
  think we've previous at depositions, the documents
                                                        12
                                                                   Say in -- some time in -- in '21.
                                                           A.
  are fully fleshed out, so I won't ask you any
                                                        13
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Who was to third party?
  questions about that. But other than that one,
                                                        14
                                                                   That was an attorney from Hubric
                                                           A.
  whether we classify that as sexual harassment or
                                                        15
                                                           Resources or Hubric Resources referred us to an
  retaliation or discrimination or all of the above,
                                                           attorney, Mr. Bergonzi.
                                                        17
  other than that, is there any other investigations
                                                                  Is that name familiar to you? Well, no.
                                                        18
  that the county has conducted into complaints or
                                                           Strike that.
  reports of retaliation by Jane Doe 1?
                                                        19
19
                                                                   Are you referring to Peter Bergonzi?
                                                           Q.
                                                        20
20
  A.
          I don't know of any other
                                                           A.
                                                        21
  investigations.
21
                                                           Q.
                                                                   You believe he's an attorney?
          Same question for Jane Doe 2. So,
                                                        22
                                                                   I don't know that specifically.
22
  Q.
                                                           A.
  again, I will preface this question with, other
                                                        23
```

than the May 2020 investigation, has the county

Q.

And what -- who referred or obtained the

Page 105 Page 107 services of Hubric Resources for investigation, talked about Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe 2, that investigation? and Jane Doe 1's reports of retaliation. And you The county. answered -- and the county's investigation thereof A. Who at the county? and you answered no to each of them, other than Q. Me, Gary Bender. the May 2020 investigation. But now you're A. telling me about this Hubric Resources. Q. And whose complaints -- or Mr. -- was Mr. Bergonzi investigating? So when I ask you about the county, and Let's back up. When I say me, keep in you can supplement your answer if you need to, we have now talked about the Bergonzi investigation. mind if it was a contract, that contract would have had to been approved by the board of When I'm talking about the county, I want to know, 11 commissioners. has the county, meaning any employee, agent, 12 So when Mr. Bergonzi investigated representative, third party utilized to conduct Q. 13 whatever he was investigated, because we haven't investigations, including Hubric Resources ¹⁴ employee, Doreen Kutzler, who was assigned there, gotten to that point yet in the testimony, that is there anyone else on behalf of the county who investigation was pursuant to a contract? We would have had some way to pay him, conducted investigations into any complaints of 16 A. whether it be -- so he would have had to have a retaliation by any of the four plaintiffs, other than the May 2020 and other than the Bergonzi that contract. 19 19 Q. So Mr. Bergonzi had a contract with the we just talked about? 20 20 MS. JONES: Object to the form. county? 21 A. I can't answer that. You may answer. 22 22 Q. Okay. THE WITNESS: We do have someone 23 So -contracted now. ²⁴ BY MS. SMITH: 24 He was paid. Α Page 106 Page 108 1 Q. 1 Q. Okay. Okay. Did the commissioners approve -- have to 2 Is that McNees? And McNees, I forget approve the investigation being conducted by the rest of law firm's name. Mr. Bergonzi? A. McNees & Wallace. 5 They approve a contract. A. Q. McNees & Wallace, yes. Q. Okay. Is that who you are referring to? A. And it could have been billed through --A. 8 you know, it could have been billed through O. Okay. Hubric. I don't -- I don't know. It could have And that's an ongoing investigation, 10 been billed through Hubric. correct? 10 11 11 Okay. It is. Q. A. 12 12 What was Mr. Bergonzi investigating? Q. Okay. There was a complaint by Jane Doe 3, she 13 Other than that ongoing investigation, received a written warning and she wanted another the May of 2020 investigation, and what you believe was 2021 or whenever that was, the Peter investigator to -- to look at that and review it. ¹⁶ Bergonzi investigation, are there any other 16 Okav. Q. 17 A. And so we complied with that request. investigations by the county, its agents, its employee, its representatives, third parties that 18 Okav. Q. Other than this complaint by Jane Doe 3 19 were retained by the county, into complaints of retaliation or reports of retaliation by -- made 20 about -- other than this complaint by Jane Doe 3, was Mr. Bergonzi tasked with conducting any other by any of the plaintiffs? 22 MS. JONES: Object to the form. investigations on behalf of the county? 23 23 A. You may answer. 24 24 O So I just want to go back, because we THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware

```
Page 109
                                                                                                     Page 111
  of. And, however, the one by Mr. Bergonzi wasn't
                                                         all times that Jane Doe 1 held that position,
  necessarily retaliation or harassment.
                                                         correct?
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                       3
                                                         A.
                                                                 I would think so, yes.
                                                                   MS. SMITH: All right. Matt, if
  Q.
          Okay.
        But you brought it up, so I just want
                                                         you can pull up 182.
  to --
   A.
          Okay.
                                                                (Previously marked Exhibit-182.)
          Even if we classify it as such, there's
  Q.
   no others that you can think of that we haven't
                                                         BY MS. SMITH:
   covered that could potentially be classified as
                                                                 This is the job -- the county's job
                                                         Q.
  retaliation investigations?
                                                       11
                                                         classification description for the position of
11
12
            MS. JONES: Same objection.
                                                         field appraiser. If we look to the second page,
13
            You may answer.
                                                         which was implemented in February of 2019,
14
            THE WITNESS: She said yes?
                                                         correct?
                                                       15
                                                         A.
15
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                                 That's what it states, yes.
                                                       16
16
          Yes. She said yes, you can answer.
                                                                 Prior to February of 2019, did the
  Q.
                                                         Q.
          Not that I'm aware of.
17
                                                         county have a job classification description for
  A.
18
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                         the position of field appraiser?
                                                       19
19
            MS. SMITH: And I think we -- Matt,
                                                         A.
                                                                 Yes.
                                                       20
20
  if you can pull up 213 for me.
                                                         Q.
                                                                 Okay.
                                                       21
21
                                                                This doesn't indicate this is a revision
                                                       22
22
         (Previously marked Exhibit-213.)
                                                         of any?
23
                                                       23
                                                                 It does not.
                                                         A.
                                                      24
                                                         0.
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                                 Okay.
                                              Page 110
                                                                                                     Page 112
 1
          This document is a job classification
                                                                So was it -- this was not a revision and
   Q.
   description, which if we look at the last of these
                                                         it was -- why -- if there was one that existed
                                                         before February of 2019, why is there no
  three pages, appears to have been implemented on
  January 14, 2009, and then revised on
                                                         indication this is a revision to that?
                                                       5
  December 1996.
                                                                   MS. JONES: Object to the form.
                                                       6
         Am I reading this document accurately?
                                                                   But you can answer.
  A.
          It appears it, yes.
                                                                   THE WITNESS: I don't have -- I
          Has the county made any revisions to
 8
   Q.
                                                         don't know.
   this -- and it's -- it's -- so the record is
                                                         BY MS. SMITH:
                                                       10
  clear, this is a job classification description
                                                         Q.
                                                                 Okav.
  for the position of real estate and market
                                                                Has the county made any revisions to
12
  analyst, correct?
                                                         this job classification description since
  A.
          That's what it states, yes.
                                                         February of 2019?
                                                       14
14
          Has the county made any revisions to
                                                         A.
                                                                 Not that I'm aware of.
  O.
  this job classification description since December
                                                                 This was the job classification
                                                         O.
  of 1996?
                                                         description for the position of field appraiser at
17
            MS. JONES: I will object to the
                                                         all times Jane Doe 2 has held that position,
                                                       18
18
                                                         correct?
   form.
                                                       19
19
            But you can answer.
                                                                 I would think so, yes.
                                                         A.
                                                                   MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can pull
20
            THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any.
21
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                         up 218.
                                                       22
22
          This was the job classification
                                                       23
  description for the position that Jane Doe 1 --
                                                                (Previously marked Exhibit-218.)
                                                       24
<sup>24</sup> for the position of real estate market analyst at
```

Page 113 Page 115 BY MS. SMITH: tax claim and assistant director of tax This is the county's job classification O. assessment, became two distinct positions again, description that was implemented in June 1994, if correct? you look to the second page and then revised on A. That would be correct. 5 March 4, 2008, correct? Q. Okav. A. That's what it states, yes. And we just looked at the assistant director of tax bureau -- claim bureau. Would Has the county made any revisions to O. this job classification since March 4, 2008? that now be the controlling job description for Not that I'm aware of. that independent position again? A. 10 This was the job classification A. I would think so, yes. Q. description for the position of tax claim bureau 11 11 O. Okay. 12 assistant director at all times that Jane Doe 4 Is there an assistant director in tax held that position, correct? assessment or what I think is also commonly 14 I would think so, yes. referred to as the assistant chief assessor? Is the assistant director of tax claim 15 15 A. Correct. Q. bureau sometimes also referred to as deputy 16 16 O. Is there a job description for that director? position? 18 18 Yeah, I think they would be one in the A. I would think so, yes. A. 19 19 same, yes. O. And for a period of time between, I 20 think, March of 2021 and June or July of 2021, Q. 21 Jane Doe 4 held the position of assistant chief There's no -- the county has no separate 22 or distinct job classification description for assessor, correct? 23 deputy director of tax claim, correct? A. Between what time frame? ²⁴ Q. 24 Not that I'm aware of, no. A. March of 2021, until June or July, I Page 116 Page 114 think, of 2021, for a period after her demotion or 1 Q. Okay? from the position -- the dual position, she MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can pull remained in tax assessment as the assistant chief up 219. assessor, correct? 5 MS. JONES: Object to the form. (Previously marked Exhibit-219) 6 You can answer. BY MS. SMITH: THE WITNESS: Yes. This is the county's job classification BY MS. SMITH: 0. description for the position -- somewhat dual Q. Okay. position of assistant director of both tax claim 10 I don't know if I asked this question, I and tax assessment that was implemented in May, if apologize. 12 we look to the second page of 2019, correct? The -- the dual role position, ¹³ A. Exhibit-219, that was the job classification for Correct. the position of assistant director tax claim 14 Has the county made any revisions to Q. this job classification description since May of bureau/tax assessment at all times that Jane Doe 4 2019? held that position, correct? 17 17 A. This position doesn't exist. A. I would think so, yes. 18 18 MS. SMITH: Okay. Matt, if we can Okay. Q. So this position was recently -- in 19 19 pull up 216. 20 March of 2021, eliminated, correct? 20 21 21 Correct. (Previously marked Exhibit-216.) A. 22 22 Q. 23 When it was eliminated, the positions --²³BY MS. SMITH:

what are two positions here, assistant director of

This is a -- the county's -- one of the

Deposition of Gary Bender 30(b)(6) - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 117 Page 119 revisions, so I just wanted to make sure that I counties -- strike that. This is a job classification description was understanding that it was just they didn't of the county's -- of the county for the position ³ list all the revision dates, but this is a of tax claim bureau director, correct? subsequent revision? ⁵ A. That is correct. A. That would be my understanding as well. 6 Q. This is implemented on June 1994 and --O. Okay. All right. Perfect. it was implemented on June -- in June 1994, and So then 216, this would have applied -would have been the job classification description revised on March 4, 2008, correct? for anyone who held the position between March 4, A. That's what it states, yes. 10 2008, and April 2015, correct? Q. Okav. 11 Now, I'm going to also -- I want you to 11 A. Correct. 12 keep that one in front of you. O. Okay. 13 13 MS. SMITH: Matt, if you could And then anyone who held the position 14 maybe split screen 219 -- I'm sorry -- 216 and 45. April 2015, until current, 45 would be the job --BY MS. SMITH: who held the tax claim bureau director, 45 would 16 The reason for my stuttered verbiage be the applicable job classification description? Q. 17 I would agree with you. and, maybe you can help me out with this, is this A. 18 is also a job classification description of the O. Okay. 19 19 county for the position of tax claim bureau And since April of 2015, has the county made any revisions to the -- that tax claim bureau director, correct? 21 director job classification description? A. That's what it states, yes. 22 22 Q. A. I'm unaware of any. Okay. 23 23 MS. SMITH: Oh, let me wait until MS. SMITH: All right. Matt, if you can pull up 217. Matt gets these up on the screen so our Zoom Page 118 Page 120 participants have it. I am just waiting on the Zoom. I want to make sure they have it. They --(Previously marked Exhibit-217.) they need to see it on the screen as well, so they know what I'm referring to, especially for this BY MS. SMITH: 5 O. All right. one. Thank you, Matt. Mr. -- I'm sorry. This is the county's BY MS. SMITH job classification description for the position of chief assessor/director of tax claim, correct? Q. Okay. So if -- on 45, if we look to the second A. That's what it states, yes. 10 O. 10 page, this also says implemented June 1994, but If we look to the second page, this was 11 revised April 2018. implemented May of 2019, correct? 12 12 A. Uh-hum, that's what it states. MS. JONES: '15. 13 THE WITNESS: '15. Q. Okay. 14 14 Does this position still exist? MS. SMITH: Sorry. '15. Thank 15 15 A. This is what? you. BY MS. SMITH: 16 16 Does this position still exist? O. 17 It doesn't have -- on 45 it doesn't have 17 A. It does not. Q. 18 18 the revision date of 3/4/2008. Am I correct in O. Okay.

I would agree with that. A. 23 Q. Okay.

22

It just -- it doesn't list all the

of the 2/16, March 2008 revision?

believing that 2/16 was a revision in March of

2008, and then this 45 is a more revised version

 $^{24}|A$

19

So between May of 2019 and when the

county, for lack of a better word abolished or got

classification description that applied to anyone

who held that position during that time, correct?

rid of this position, this was the job

That would be correct.

Page 121 Page 123 1 And the removal or -- of this position October of 2017? O. 2 was when Jane Doe 3 was -- when the offices were Α. Not that I'm aware of. restructure in March of 2021; is that correct? 3 Q. And Defendant Roth, at some point during his employment, held this position, correct? Correct. A. Q. A. Correct. Okay. MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can pull 0. And this job classification description would have applied to Defendant Roth at all times up SC1225 to 1226. It will be 326 for today's that he held this position with the county, purposes. correct? 10 10 A. (SC1225 marked as Exhibit-326 for Correct. 11 MS. SMITH: I am going to mark 11 identification.) 12 county defendant's sup 0000078 as 327 for today's purposes. 13 BY MS. SMITH: 14 This is the county's job classification 14 Q. 15 description for the position of assistant county (SUP0000078 marked as Exhibit 327 for solicitor, correct? 16 16 identification.) 17 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. If we look to the second page, it was BY MS. SMITH: implemented in June 1994, correct? 19 19 Q. Do you recognize this document? 20 Correct. Yes, I've seen it. 20 A. A. 21 21 This is the solicitor's assignments for Has the county made any revisions to O. Q. 22 this job classification description since the county solicitors, correct? June 1994? 23 A. Correct. $^{24}|_{\mathbf{O}}$. $^{24}|A.$ To this particular one, not that I'm On the top left-hand side, do you see Page 122 Page 124 the date of October 14, 2014? aware of. Uh-huh, I do. 2 A. Q. Okay. 3 O. And Defendant Glenn Roth has held the Okay. 4 Is this -- were these the assignments of position of assistant county solicitor at some the solicitors named on this document in October point during his employment with the county, correct? of 2014? MS. JONES: Object to the form. A. He has. 8 But you may answer. And so at any times he held that Q. position, this -- this job classification THE WITNESS: Your statement again. 10 description would have applied to him, correct? BY MS. SMITH: 10 11 That would be correct. 11 So there's -- there's three solicitors O. A. 12 12 named ---Q. Okay. 13 Going to look at Exhibit-30. A. Correct. 14 14 This is the county's job classification O. -- can we agree? description for the position of first assistant 15 In October of 2014, were these the county solicitor/risk manager, correct? departments which each solicitor named there, 17 That is correct. those three names, were assigned? A. 18 18 If we look to the second page, it --Α. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. Q. 19 19 this description was implemented on Q. Okay. Were -- so I'm going to represent to you October 2017 -- in October of 2017, correct? 20 20 that Defendant Roth was hired as a solicitor on 21 That -- it states that, yes. A. July 2nd of 2012, that's when his PAR was voted on 22 Okav. Q. Has the county made any revisions to being effective. Well, his PAR was voted on 23 this job classification description since before that, but it was effective on that date.

	1		
1	Were there were the assignment	1	Q. And did Dr. Datte take over the
2	between his hire date in 2012 and October 2014,	2	remaining other than the election bureau, which
3	were the assignments any different?	3	you just testified to was under Mr. Roth, did he
4	A. Not that I'm aware of.	4	take over all other departments or divisions
5	Q. Okay.	5	assigned to Mr. Hobbs?
6	A. But, again, understand, Ms. Smith, that	6	A. Yes. With exception of the election
7	these these assignments, in other words, Glenn	7	bureau, that's still Glenn Roth still holds
8	is there as a full time. So he may somebody	8	that.
9	may come over with a grant writer question and	9	Q. Okay.
10		10	So then go ahead.
11	_	11	A. And also the planning and zoning was
12		12	transferred from Mr. Roth over to Chris Hobbs and
13		13	now Paul Datte.
14		14	Q. Okay.
15		15	Any other changes since October of 2014?
16		16	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
17	correct?	17	MS. SMITH: Okay. Matt, if we can
18		18	pull up 212.
19	Q. Okay.	19	
20		20	(Previously marked Exhibit-212.)
21	changed at all since October 14, 2014?	21	
22	1	22	BY MS. SMITH:
23	form.	23	Q. Okay.
24	You can answer.	24	This is 212. Is the the county's job
1	THE WITNESS: Yes. The election	1	classification description for county
2	bureau, as you see under Mr. Hobbs.	2	administrator, correct?
3	BY MS. SMITH:	3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. Yes.	4	Q. If we turn to the third page of
5	A. That was transferred over to Mr. Roth.	5	sorry fourth page of this one, this was
6	Q. Okay.	6	implemented by the county in June of 2016,
7		7	correct?
8	last year.	8	A. That's what it states, yes.
9	Q. And Mr. Hobbs was elected, correct?	9	Q. Okay.
10	A. Yes, he was.	10	And so this job classification has
11	Q. Okay.	11	applied to Defendant Bender for all at all
12		12	times that he held that position, correct?
13	correct?	13	A. That is correct.
14		14	Q. All right.
15	Q. So he doesn't have any assignments	15	Last job classification description we
16	, -	16	are going to look at is 81.
17		17	MS. SMITH: Exhibit-81, Matt.
18	Q. Okay.	18	Sorry if that wasn't clear.
19	A. Yes, that would be correct.	19	
20	Q. Did the county hire someone to replace	20	(Previously marked Exhibit-81.)
21	Mr. Hobbs?	21	
22	A. Yes.	22	BY MS. SMITH:
23	Q. And who is that?	23	Q. This is the county's job classification
24	A. Paul Datte, D-A-T-T-E.	24	description for the position of human resources

24 O.

This job classification applied to

Page 129 Page 131 director, correct? Ms. -- to Defendant Zula at all times she was A. employed by the county, correct? Yes, that's what it states. O. If we look to the third page, it was A. Yes. And if we can just back up, the implemented by the county on November 20 -- in reason I know that there was -- it was the human November of 2017, correct? resource director/risk manager when Martina That's what it states, yes. A. Chwastiak held that position. Did the county have a job classification Q. O. Okay. description for the position of human resources So there wasn't -- so like we looked at director before November of 2017? the earlier one of the chief assessor and tax 10 I would think so, yes. claim director, it was like a dual role, would A. 11 Do you know? there have been something titled human resources O. 12 Yes, Ms. Chwastiak would have had a job director/risk manager that existed? A. 13 13 description. A. That would be correct. 14 14 Q. This date on Page 3 does not have a Q. Okav. So an independent one of this, which is revision date? 15 16 why there's not a revision date? 16 It does not, no. A. 17 17 That would be correct. Q. Okay. 18 18 I see that. Q. Okay. All right. A. 19 19 Okay. So after Ms. Chwastiak left, the next Q. 2.0 So can you tell me for sure that the person employed by the counsel in the position of 21 human resources director would have been Ms. county maintained a job classification description 22 Twigg; am I correct? for the position of human resources director prior 23 A. to November of 2017? Okay. Repeat. ²⁴ Q. There would have been one, yes. After Ms. Chwastiak left, she was Page 130 Page 132 1 Q. There would have been one, but can you replaced by Ms. Twigg, correct? tell me, in fact, that there was one? 2 A. Yes. 3 MS. JONES: Object to the form. O. Okay. And when Ms. Chwastiak left, she left You can answer it. THE WITNESS: Yes. from the dual position of human resources director/risk manager and Ms. Twigg came in as BY MS. SMITH: just human resources? Q. You've seen it? No. A. That is correct. A. Does -- is a copy of an earlier job Q. Q. 10 classification description maintained anywhere in 10 So which means there would have been an the courthouse or in any county building? earlier human resources director only because 12 I would think so. Ms. Chwastiak became the human resource director, A. 13 risk manager, sometime before that. 13 O. Where is it maintained? 14 Okay. 14 That would be in the human resources Q. A. 15 15 office. That's fair. 16 A. Has the county made any revisions to I know that's confusing, but I know the positions and... this job classification description since November 18 18 of 2017? Q. Okay. 19 I'm not aware of any. 19 A. That's fair. This job classification applied to Ms. 20 20 This job classification description has Q. Twigg at all times she was employed by the county, applied -- applied to Andrea Whelan at all times she was employed by the county, correct? correct? $^{23}|_{A}$ That would be correct. 23 A. Yes.

24 O.

Did this job classification description

Page 133 Page 135 apply to Defendant Kutzler at all times she worked this case in connection with the litigation. Do as interim county human resources director and/or you understand that? with a contractor with the county as it relates to 3 A. Yes. the position of human resources director? 4 Q. Okav. ⁵ A. Yes. We can say, she wasn't an They were not organized and I will put employee, but she would have assumed these on the record, this was before Marie was involved in the case. They were not organized or produced responsibilities. in any manner in which I can tell that they are Q. Okay. 9 Does the county have a job delineated into what it was. It's just a stack of 10 classification description for any elected documents. 11 11 position? So I'm trying to figure out what is 12 A. No. That's set up by county code. actually maintained in the plaintiffs' and 13 defendants' personnel file so I know if I have its Q. Okay. 14 So there's no written job classification contents. 15 description for the position of county So my question for you is, this is what 16 commissioner? I believe based off my review of the documents. 17 and I could be wrong, that the county produced is No, as specified in the county code. 18 Q. what is maintained in Jane Doe 2's personnel file. Okay. 19 Do you know what portion of the county My question for you is: Are you aware of any code applies to or is the equivalent of a job other documents, other than these, and you can 20 classification description for a county take your time to review them, that are in Jane commissioner? Doe 2's county personnel file? 23 23 Do I know the section? I do not. MS. JONES: Okay. Hold on. Before A. you -- you can look if you want while I'm 24 **Q**. All right. Page 134 Page 136 1 I am going to have you put those aside objecting. So I am going to -because I'm going to hand you a stack of some THE WITNESS: For the record, I documents and I don't want to get anything too have never looked at her file, so I don't know what was in or not in. mixed up. 5 MS. JONES: So I'm going to object MS. JONES: Put it right under first just for clarification. here. THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Catherine, the Bates stamped 8 numbers, those were produced by prior counsel to MS. SMITH: Yeah. Matt, can you pull up Documents 92 through 146. And for all me in response to discovery, the Bates stamp 10 10 those on Zoom, it may be easier for you to pull up numbers. 11 those Bates stamps on your own just so you can MS. SMITH: What about them? 12 scroll through them at your leisure. MS. JONES: Those are from ¹³ BY MS. SMITH: county-produced document from my prior counsel to 14 14 So we are going to -- these are all what 15 I understand and that's what I'm trying to figure MS. SMITH: Prior counsel to you? 16 out, if my understanding is correct, this is what MS. JONES: Chris Gott. 17 I understand to be the contents of everyone's MS. SMITH: Oh, I thought you meant 18 personnel file. You touched on this a little bit he gave them to you, not him being -- yes. Yes. 19 19 before, that certain things might be or should be Yes. 20 ²⁰ included in the personnel file, when I think I was MS. JONES: And theses are the ²¹ Bates stamps numbers, but they are not exhibit asking you about the verifications that policies 22 were received. And you thought maybe those might numbers in the case, correct? MS. SMITH: No. This has not been 23 be in personnel files.

marked an exhibit and I'm not going to. I am

So the county has produced documents in

11

17

Page 138

it as an exhibit --

10

11

16

19

1

10

12

13

19

23

Page 137 referencing it on the -- because it's going to be voluminous for the transcript. MS. JONES: Right. Okay. So that's one clarification I just wanted to say. MS. SMITH: Yes. I am not marking

MS. JONES: Okay.

MS. SMITH: -- but it is, for the record, Schuylkill County's first production of Documents 92 through 146.

MS. JONES: Okay. My objection to your question is twofold or multiple basis, has multiple basis. One is to the form. Secondly, though, more substantively, it reverts back to my prior objection at the beginning and my prior objections to you, where in we discussed the personnel file issue and the production of a witness and the conversation with the court because I very much recall specifically addressing that an individual witness for individual contents of a file would not be possible, in essence, because we don't -- it would be multiple people.

23 So I'm just preserving that

position --

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MS. JONES: -- that Mr. Bender here today can speak to what you told me was the purpose of that category, which was what types of documents and he can answer what he knows about that. But I just am preserving my position that I think that injury into the specific pages of a file are not appropriate for this purpose today.

MS. SMITH: Well, let me just put on the record that at no point in time was my --

MS. JONES: I know.

MS. SMITH: Hold on one second.

At no point in time was inquiry as detailed in the notice of deposition, limited thereafter regarding the types of document. My exact statements to you, and I believe to the court, were that the prior counsel to you, which while not you, is still some onus on you because there was deficiency letters thereafter, the document production was not organized or delineated in a manner in which I could understand what is or what is not included in the plaintiffs' personnel file.

And the request for documents

Page 139 clearly, unequivocally requests the entire contents and requests an ongoing continual production of a the plaintiffs' personnel file. So we all know these plaintiffs or some of these plaintiffs remain employed by the county, so should documents be added, those should be produced to us and delineated as personnel files that have been put or added to their personnel 9 file.

I have a right to know, as I have requested and as is relevant, extremely relevant to this case, what is in the contents of my clients' personnel files at the county. Mr. ¹⁴ Bender just testified that he has never even looked at a personnel file, at the personnel 16 files.

My question to him is going to be, has he looked at -- I think he testified to it earlier, but my question will be: Has he looked at Jane Doe 2's, has he looked at Jane Doe 1's, has he looked at Jane Doe 4's, and has he looked at Jane Doe 3's. And then we going to go through the defendants and then there is another one as to ²⁴ individuals -- I think there's -- no. I'm sorry.

Page 140

It's just the defendants for personnel files.

My assumption is that he's going to testify that he hasn't looked at any of them. This becomes an issue because we can end the deposition. We can come back another day after this is briefed with the court. But I think what the logical solution is and the fair solution is and the most expeditious solution is, is that we put that on the record and instead of me seeking sanctions and having to come back another day, is that I simply get a straight forward response from the county as to these -- this is Jane Doe 2's personnel file. This is everything that is in it and this is what exists as of today March 31st or April 1st or April 2nd. This is Jane Doe 3's this is what's in it. This is Jane Doe 1's.

I don't -- if the county wants to put it in writing as opposed to bringing nine witnesses in, what you will give me and say, the county will say, this is what's in their personnel file, nothing else is in it, this is it, that's --I mean, that gives me the answer that I'm looking for.

The problem is, is I haven't gotten

Page: 38 (137 - 140)

23

24

22

12

13

19

23

24

10

12

22

Page 141 than. And, again, Christopher Scott was before you and he handled it and he simply wrote produced, but didn't -- pursuant to the rules, which they require, did not tell me what Bates numbers or that answer. That's all I'm -- that's all I'm looking for.

I mean, it can be done here today. I'm not going to -- I am not going to seek -- I will put on the record, if the county will agree to that, I will not seek to bind Mr. Bender here in telling me if this is everything and using an I don't know.

MS. JONES: Well, I guess I'll say this, Catherine, I agree that Mr. Scott's method of production was less detailed. Having said that, it has created difficulties at times for us in identifying what he produced. I'm not the saying that I don't think, however, that you got the file. I appreciate you're saying you're having difficulty because there's a lot of documents in the case and the way they were produced initially differ very much from how we produce documents.

I think we have been trying to deal

Page 142 with those deficiencies letters and our objections to your objections.

I also think that there's a -there's at least a, in my view, no basis for sanctions that you think you can immediately move for, based on your comments today. But I'm certainly willing to investigate whether or not a particular group that you think comprises the file is, in fact, the file.

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MS. JONES: And whether or not there's more to it now --

MS. SMITH: Okay.

14 MS. JONES: -- then from the time you saw it. I'm not -- I'm not sure I might not have an issue or objection, I am going to preserve whatever I might need to preserve that I don't know what he did and identified as a personnel record, so that you can't say, oh, Scott should have done this and this was the personnel file and it wasn't complete and now there's more paper.

MS. SMITH: I don't --

MS. JONES: Because I can't speak for him and I get it, the county could be, but I'm

not going to speak for him.

MS. SMITH: I am not --MS. JONES: But I'm at least

willing, for purposes of avoiding an unnecessary examination today and just to say if you have done what you've described and I think these are already in order from his production, so it would -- one might argue it is probably the file. I mean, you know, he just didn't say the numbers or the details, it starts on this page, it ends on this, it has this, this, and this included.

12 MS. SMITH: But I am sure you can appreciate that leaves me to assuming and not having a definitive answer from the county that this is, in fact, the personnel file. This could be kept in -- this could be responsive to another document request with any documents related to the plaintiffs. This could be something that's kept in something other than a personnel file. 20

MS. JONES: It's not likely based on the content if what you're showing us, but --

MS. SMITH: It's not based -- but, again, I'm left to guess and assume. And in purposes -- for purposes of litigation and

Page 144

Page: 39 (141 - 144)

Page 143

completeness, that is not, under the rules, proper. Again, it was done by prior counsel. I think you're experiencing some of the issues I am. ⁴ I have taken the steps in and undertaken the efforts of figuring out what documents belong to which clients, defendants, plaintiffs, and comprising what I believe is their personnel file. And I have them here today, so I'm happy to provide you a copy and we can put on the record and I would just ask for an agreement that if there's anything other these documents in the plaintiffs' personnel files or the defendants' personnel files, other than the ones I have for each of them, which we can put on the record, that the county produce them, whether they are ones that are from before you took over and should have been turned over or after that have now been newly existing because time has passed. I won't take issue or raise issue with the failure to turn them over prior, I just want them and I would like in writing, what is in my plaintiffs -- my plaintffs' county personnel file. 23

MS. JONES: And I am going to presume, because I don't have it in front of me,

```
Page 147
                                               Page 145
                                                           produced tens of thousands of documents that I'm
   that you made that specific request --
            MS. SMITH: Yes.
                                                           going to be able to be certain that some document
            MS. JONES: -- for each of the
                                                           out there was produced and I have to go back and
                                                           tell you where it was.
  plaintiffs.
                                                                    MS. SMITH: No. No. No. That's
            MS. SMITH: Yes, I did.
            MS. JONES: So with respect to the
                                                           what I'm saying you don't have to do.
                                                                    MS. JONES: I'm going to agree to
   plaintiffs --
                                                           try and verify that what you believe are the
            MS. SMITH: And defendants.
 9
                                                           files, either are, in fact, the files or are not
            MS. JONES: That if you made the
                                                           the files and what is our current status of the
   request the defendants --
11
                                                           files, subject to counsel for the other parties
            MS. SMITH: Yes.
12
            MS. JONES: I don't have them in
                                                           telling me they have any issues with that.
13
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Well, since we're on
  front of me. I can't remember those kinds of --
  every detail. And the lawyers for those parties
                                                           the record and so we don't waste -- if I need to
  can weigh in on their position of they differ from
                                                           make the record about this issue, certainly Mr.
                                                        <sup>16</sup> Bender being here as the 30B6 witness and his
16
  mine. But I'm willing to look at what you've
  identified and discern if they are, in fact,
                                                        inability to testify, does any counsel have any
  included in the personnel file and whether there
                                                           objection to their clients' county personnel
19
  were other documents that are included in the
                                                           record being turned over? Redacted, of course,
20
                                                           for Social Security numbers, bank account numbers,
   personnel file that are not here and have not
21
                                                           because I am sure there is tax information.
  otherwise been produced.
                                                        22
22
            We may have produced them in other
                                                                    MS. JONES: And, again, my position
   context that I'm not aware of.
                                                           is, if in fact, they were asked for already.
24
            MS. SMITH: So in --
                                                                    MS. SMITH: So I can -- I can --
                                                                                                        Page 148
                                               Page 146
 1
                                                         1
            MS. JONES: And we will tell you
                                                                     MS. JONES: And if the answer is
  that if we did.
                                                           yes, then the answer is yes, I mean, you know...
            MS. SMITH: So if there was -- so
                                                                     MS. SMITH: And so I should --
 <sup>4</sup> let's say Jane Doe 2's, which is 92 through 146,
                                                           well, let's start with objections for -- for any
  let's say there is one document that's in her
                                                           counsel.
  personnel file that's been missing, but it was
                                                                     Mr. Less, do you have any
   produced as -- by you because you realized it and
                                                           objection?
  it's SC 900, hypothetically, I just want to know
                                                                     MS. JONES: Well, we probably won't
  that it was produced and that it is in -- like I
                                                           have one for her. She's not --
  want to know what's in her personnel file. I
                                                                     MR. LEES: I was just going to say,
  don't want to know we've -- I don't want a
                                                           I don't believe there would be a personnel file
                                                        12
  response of we've produced her entire personnel
                                                           for my client.
                                                        13
<sup>13</sup> file. It's not just these, but it's hidden in
                                                                     MS. SMITH: And to the extent that
  this haystack of thousands of documents. If
                                                           there isn't, I would just want to know that. To
  you're comparing page to page while you go through
                                                           the extent that there is, is there any objection
  it, it should be easy enough for you guys to
                                                           to the contents being turned over, obviously
  say -- even if you produce it as a new Bates stamp
                                                           subject to redactions for personnel -- personal
                                                        18
18
  number, this may have been previously produced, we
                                                           information?
                                                        19
  are Bates stamping it as a new number so we don't
19
                                                                     MR. LEES: No, I don't have any
                                                        20
  have to go through the needle in the haystack, but
                                                           objections.
                                                        21
  here it is just so you know what's in her
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Mr. Geiger?
   personnel file.
                                                        22
                                                                     MR. GEIGER: No. I have no -- I
22
23
           MS. JONES: I will certainly try to
                                                           think that's discoverable.
<sup>24</sup> do that. I can't say though because we've
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Okay. And Miss
```

Page 149 Page 151 plaintiffs, including but not limited to their Wynkoop? entire personnel file. There is no question that MS. WYNKOOP: I mean, to the extent that the county holds any sort of privilege here, ³ I requested the entire personnel file and it's discoverable. I'm not making an objection right now. But, I mean. I don't think what one exists for Glenn It also states documents concerning either. ⁶ leaves of absence, reasonable accommodation, FMLA requests. That, my understanding based on Heidi MS. JONES: Well, I don't know Zula's testimony, would be in the medical file, that. which I believe then the entire medical file would MS. SMITH: Yeah, I think one does 10 exist for Glenn. be discoverable. 11 11 MS. JONES: It may be because he MS. JONES: As to the plaintiffs. 12 12 was a full-time employee for purposes of benefits MS. SMITH: Plaintiffs, right. And ¹³ I am just talking about the plaintiffs right now. 13 and things like that. I don't know. 14 MS. SMITH: Right. So -- okay. So I don't know or have any -- and 15 So -- and I just want to be clear, so when I --I have been through discovery, it's in binders, 16 it's tabbed, it is OCD organized. I have no 16 there has been testimony in this case, the request for the plaintiffs was identified and produced, way -- it's both of us. I have no way -- unlike it's Document Request 14, which went to the county this -- these personnel files, which how it was 19 and Bender, identify and produce any and all produced, I was kind of able to -- to pull documents that refer or relate to each of the together what exists in a personnel file, the medical stuff is all over. And I partially -plaintiffs, including, but not limited to their ²² it's not -- I don't think how it was produced entire personnel file, applications for employment, attendance records, disciplinary necessarily. I think because it might have been records, performance records, and documents responsive to multiple requests, it was produced Page 150 Page 152 concerning leave of absence, reasonable multiple times. I would just like to know what's ² in the personnel file, not here's all their accommodation, FMLA request, X, Y, Z, so it's personal records. I would like to know in the HR Document Request 14. So I just want to be clear on the office, there is a file that says personnel file, record, this is what I understand -- we'll go Jane Doe 1. There's one that says medical file, through each of the individuals, but the document Jane Doe 1, as I understand it and I would like to packets that I have are what I understand to be know what's in those. 8 the contents of each individual's personnel file. MS. JONES: For the plaintiffs. 9 MS. JONES: So why don't we mark MS. SMITH: For the plaintiffs. 10 10 And that's what we're discussing right now. them. 11 MS. JONES: I'm okay with making --MS. SMITH: So --12 12 MR. GEIGER: Catherine, can I just MS. SMITH: Okay. 13 add, I mean, Heidi Zula testified vesterday that MS. JONES: -- that inquiry based 14 each employee has two files. on what you've just described as your prior 15 MS. SMITH: Right. That's what I request. If I think of an objection of any issue ¹⁶ I don't think of now, I will certainly raise it was getting to. So that -- so being --17 MR. GEIGER: The medical file I with you. But I -- I think for the plaintiffs, I object to, but the -- the personnel file I do not. don't see that because you're asking for things 18 19 about them that might otherwise be protected of 19 MS. SMITH: Okay. So let me --20 let's start with the plaintiffs because the defendants. 21 plaintiffs -- so there was testimony as to two MS. SMITH: Right. I am going to files, a personal -- personnel file and a medical get to defendants in a second. 23 file. Given my request states any and all So for the plaintiffs, what I believe is Jane Doe 2's personnel file is documents that refer or relate to each of the

```
Page 153
                                                                                                         Page 155
   Schuylkill County's First Production 92 through
                                                            Defendant Halcovage, Defendant Bender, and
   146. Ms. Jane Doe 1's personnel file is 227.
                                                           Defendant Roth, I did not request anything -- it's
            MS. JONES: Wait. I'm sorry. Who
                                                          <sup>3</sup> Document Request 15. I don't have the FMLA.
                                                            reasonable accommodation request. I don't have
   was the first one?
            MS. SMITH: Jane Doe 2 -- Jane Doe
                                                           leave of absence request. I have a list of
   2.
                                                           things. Assuming that there is nothing -- it's
                                                            attendance records, applications for employment,
            MS. JONES: It was 92 to 46.
                                                            disciplinary records, performance records,
            MS. SMITH: 146.
 9
                                                            criminal records, compensation, X, Y, Z, it's in
            MS. JONES: Okay.
10
            MS. SMITH: Jane Doe 1 is what I
                                                            Document Request 14.
                                                         11
   believe is her personnel file, is SC's first
                                                                     To the extent that none of those
11
12
   production, 227 to 301.
                                                            things are in the medical file, which based off
13
            MS. JONES: And they're all
                                                           this list and what I am thinking is in the medical
14
   consecutive?
                                                           file, it doesn't look like I am requesting within
15
                                                           the medical file. However, if an attendance
            MS. SMITH: Yes.
16
            MS. JONES: So it tells me he
                                                           record, for instance, was in the medical file, I
  likely did produce it, but I -- if there's
                                                            would just want to be provided with that as it was
   something more recent and we find there's
                                                           requested. I think attendance is relevant and
19
   something in the middle that should have been
                                                            discoverable. I'm not asking for things other
                                                         20
                                                           than that in the medical files of those three
20
  there, I'm willing to get that for the plaintiffs.
                                                         21
21
            MR. GEIGER: Maybe I'm confused,
                                                           defendants.
                                                         22
  but -- so with respect to the plaintiffs, so Marie
                                                                     But -- so while my paralegal pulls
  is going to produce not just the regular personnel
                                                            up Zula's request, just to make sure hers isn't
                                                         any different, I can't imagine it will be based
<sup>24</sup> file, but the medical file for each plaintiff as
                                                Page 154
                                                                                                         Page 156
   well, right?
                                                            off how I do discovery, Bender's, what I believe
            MS. JONES: Yes. I'm going to seek
                                                           is Bender's personnel file, is SC 1 through 36.
   to get that and assume that's where they are.
                                                                     Defendant Roth is SC 360 to 399.
            MR. GEIGER: Because I think it's
                                                            And Defendant Halcovage is SC 189 to 226.
   relevant with respect to the plaintiffs.
                                                                     So Defendant Heidi Zula and
            MS. JONES: Yeah.
                                                            Defendant Kutzler's personnel file requests were
                                                            the same, so, again, unless there is, like, a
            MR. GEIGER: But not with respect
                                                            slight overlap of something in her medical file,
   to the defendants.
            MS. SMITH: And we're getting to
                                                           I'm not requesting the entire contents.
  the defendants now.
                                                                   I do not have -- and it may be and if
10
            MS. JONES: I think I agree with
                                                            this is the answer, I would just ask for it in
12
   you, Gerry, so I was preserving that as well. Go
                                                            writing from the county, that there might not be
                                                         one that exists for Defendant Kutzler. And if
  ahead.
14
            MS. SMITH: Ms. Jane Doe 4 is 302
                                                            that's the case, then -- then that's the case. I
  through 359. Jane Doe 3 is 400 through 466. And
                                                           just ask for that clarification because it wasn't
   all are in SC's first production.
                                                           clear on the production.
17
            MS. JONES: Okay. This is a clear
                                                                 And then Zula -- oh, Zula's are 1 --
18
  example of the issue I was concerned about in the
                                                         <sup>18</sup> Zula's are 1 to 65, but in Zula's production
19
   notice, but I think this is a way to address it.
                                                           because the county produced its own documents and
                                                         <sup>20</sup> then Zula's document request and production came
20
            MS. SMITH: Okay.
                                                         <sup>21</sup> later. So I believe Zula's personnel file
            MS. JONES: So Mr. Bender here
21
                                                           contents are Zula's Production Documents 1 to 65.
22
   won't be having to --
23
                                                         <sup>23</sup> I don't know why I don't have a copy for you,
            MS. SMITH: So I did not request
                                                         24 but..
```

and I am not -- so -- yeah. Yeah. Yeah. So for

```
Page 157
                                                                                                        Page 159
 1
            MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Could you
                                                           think, on this topic, other than a few questions
   say the Zula numbers again.
                                                           for Mr. Bender as a 30B6 witness, is a date.
           MS. SMITH: It's Zula production of
                                                         3
                                                                     MS. JONES: Date of what?
   documents 1 to 65.
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Of when we can expect a
           MS. JONES: I'm guessing -- I'm
                                                           response. I just want to -- you can say whatever
   guessing that was me, we -- that we produced that.
                                                           date you want, I just want to try and get to an
                                                           agreement on the record so we can have a
  No?
                                                           definitive date and not just have to continually
           MS. SMITH: Yes, but it wasn't --
                                                           ask if you're providing it to us.
   there was no --
10
           MS. JONES: It was later?
                                                                     MS. JONES: Yeah. I'd probably
11
                                                        11
                                                           tell you 30 days, but, you know.
           MS. SMITH: I don't know if you
                                                        12
  were in at that point or not. I can't -- I can't
                                                                     MS. SMITH: I -- I -- 30 days is
                                                        13
13
   recall.
                                                           fine.
                                                        14
14
            MS. JONES: Well, she was a named
                                                                     MS. JONES: Okay.
                                                        15
   party initially, so maybe not.
                                                                     MS. SMITH: As long as I have it by
15
           MS. SMITH: So maybe not. But in
                                                        16
16
                                                           the 30 days, that gives us -- that puts us at the
  any event, there was no indication in the response
                                                           end of April.
                                                        18
  to doc production that delineated which documents
                                                                     MS. JONES: Yeah. And if we aren't
19
  were the contents of her personnel file, so I'm
                                                           going to produce something for a reason, that I
  just asking for clarification that my assumption
                                                           can identify you ahead of that.
                                                        21
  that one is Zula's production, 1 to 65, are, in
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Okay.
                                                        22
  fact, the contents of her personnel file.
22
                                                                     MS. JONES: But, again, the other
23
                                                           defendants, I think, appropriately, and I would
            And I'm pretty sure since the
  production of documents, Ms. Zula was employed for
                                                        <sup>24</sup> for Mr. Bender individually and Ms. Zula
                                                Page 158
                                                                                                        Page 160
   sometime thereafter, so there may be additional
                                                           individually, raise issues about the medical
  documents and we would just ask that, given on the
                                                           stuff, the personal stuff. I don't know about
  request for continual discovery, that any
                                                           attendance, but I don't know if there is even such
  newly-added documents be produced as well.
                                                           a thing for persons in those positions, but...
            MS. JONES: Yeah. So, look, I'm
                                                                     MS. SMITH: So Document Request 15
  trying to be cooperative in the spirit of
                                                           for Bender, Halcovage, and Roth.
  what this -- today's deposition was for. And
                                                                    MS. JONES: Oh, 15, not 14.
                                                         8
   you've gathered the documents that we've already
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Fourteen is the
   produced. I just don't want to be producing
                                                           plaintiffs, right.
                                                        10
  something that either wasn't requested or that
                                                                    MS. JONES: Okay.
  their lawyers, independent lawyers believe is
                                                        11
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Fourteen plaintiffs, 15
12
                                                        <sup>12</sup> is defendants. And then for Zula in her doc
  improper.
                                                        request it's nine. And for Kutzler it's nine as
            You know, I do think -- I know you
                                                        14
  issued the 30B6 notice, Catherine. I think maybe
                                                           well.
                                                        15
  a more specific conversation about this could have
                                                                     MS. JONES: So, Defense Counsel, I
  been useful. And I don't think I understood this
                                                           can let you know what we're gathering. But is
  was your issue. But, you know, that we could
                                                           there any other positions that you want to
18
  address, but -- before today. But that's okay.
                                                           identify that I haven't identified?
                                                        19
19
  I'm willing to try to do that so we can avoid --
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Any -- what do you mean
                                                        20
  you know, this is a lot to get through with
                                                           positions?
                                                        21
  somebody who is not going to be able to do the
                                                                    MS. JONES: Objections or issues.
                                                        22
  details based on my objections from the beginning
                                                                    MS. SMITH: I mean, the only other
23
                                                        <sup>23</sup> issue is if there are objections raised by you, I
  of this, so...
            MS. SMITH: The only other thing, I
                                                        would say that they were waived as being responses
```

```
Page 161
                                                                                                         Page 163
  of defendants, have no objections, just see
                                                                     MS. JONES: It's not -- the
  attached produced records. I understand it wasn't
                                                           objection I raise is not to you grouping them
  you, but I believe that your prior counsel was
                                                           together, it's the other --
  binding and there was no objections.
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Okay.
            MS. JONES: I'm asking counsel
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
  today about what I have agreed to do, if they have
                                                           O.
                                                                   Mr. -- as the 30(b)(6) witness here
   any objection that I should know about that.
                                                           today, in preparation for today's deposition, did
            MS. SMITH: I am just saying, in
                                                           you review the contents of any of the plaintiffs,
   the event that the county tries to raise
                                                           Jane Doe 2, Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 4, or Jane Doe
  objections, my position will be that those
                                                           3's personnel file?
  objections were waived. Again, not you,
                                                        11
                                                                     MS. JONES: Object to the form.
                                                        12
  Ms. Jones, but your -- your clients,
                                                                     He may answer.
                                                        13
  unfortunately, are bound by the actions of their
                                                                     THE WITNESS: I did not.
                                                        14
  prior counsel and no objections were raised in the
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
                                                        15
15
  document production.
                                                                   In preparation for today's deposition,
                                                        16
16
            MS. JONES: I think we'd probably
                                                           did you review the contents of any of the
  dealt the deficiency letters in some respects
                                                           plaintiff's, Jane Doe 2, Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 4,
18
  already. I understand your position.
                                                           or Jane Doe 3's medical files?
                                                        19
19
            MS. SMITH: Other than that, I do
                                                                     MS. JONES: Same objection.
                                                        20
   just have few questions for Mr. Bender as the 30B6
20
                                                                     You may answer.
                                                        21
21
  witness.
                                                                     THE WITNESS: I did not.
                                                        22
22
            MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Did you say
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
                                                        23
   that was No. 9 for Zula and Kutzler?
                                                                   In preparation for today's deposition,
24
            MS. SMITH: Yes.
                                                           did you review any of the individually named
                                                                                                        Page 164
                                                Page 162
                                                           defendants, Halcovage, Bender, Rother, Zula, or
 1
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                           Kutzler's personnel file?
  Q.
          All right.
                                                         3
                                                                    MS. JONES: Object to the form.
         I just -- I'm going to run through some
                                                         4
                                                                    You may answer.
   quick questions with you, just so I have a clear
  record.
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I did not.
         Mr. -- Mr. 30B6 Witness, at any point in
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
                                                                  Does the county conduct annual
   preparation for today's deposition did you review
                                                           Q.
   the contents of Jane Doe 2's personnel file?
                                                           evaluations of employees?
                                                           A.
   A.
          I did --
                                                                  The county, per se, no. There are
                                                        10
10
                                                           annual evaluations made for civil service
            MS. JONES: Okay. I am going to
  object to the form of the question on every one of
                                                           employees and those employee would be employees in
12
  these, so if you just want to put it all in one,
                                                           senior services, in children and youth, and in the
                                                        <sup>13</sup> drug, alcohol, and mental health disability
  it might be easier.
14
            MS. SMITH: We can just have a
                                                           services, developmental service.
                                                        15
15
                                                           O.
                                                                  Does the county conduct any interim
   standing objection.
                                                        <sup>16</sup> evaluations of any employees?
16
            MS. JONES: Well, I think I should
                                                        17
                                                           A.
17
  lodge it to the right questions. But if -- my
                                                                  Do not.
18
   question -- my point is simply, if you're going to
                                                        18
                                                           O.
                                                                  Does the county conduct any evaluations
                                                           of employees, like formal evaluations?
                                                        19
19
   say that as to each individual --
                                                        20
                                                           A.
20
                                                                  As a matter of form or routine, I don't
            MS. SMITH: Okay. If you're not
                                                        21
21
   going to object to form as to me grouping them
                                                           think so, no.
                                                        22
22
   together.
                                                           Q.
                                                        23
23
                                                                 Other than those -- just so the record
            MS. JONES: I won't.
                                                        is clear, other than those civil --
```

MS. SMITH: Okay.

Page 165 Page 167 disciplinary. A. Correct. 2 -- service positions? Q. Q. Okav. 3 Correct. That --A. A. So I would think if you want all of Q. Okay. them -- I would think that Ms. Zimmerman probably What verbal warnings has Jane Doe 1 been subjected to during her employment with the has talked to her on occasion about the timeliness of the submission of her time sheets and the county? MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to completeness of them. 9 object to the form of the question. And I believe O. Okay. 10 10 that our lengthy discussion about records would So let's go -- I don't want to say back, 11 likely assist in addressing those issues. 11 because that's not the right word, but let's kind 12 But if you want to ask him those of unpack that a little bit. 13 A. 13 Okay. things, you can. 14 O. So fair distinction, and I appreciate 14 And you can answer subject to my that, of kind of an educational instruction versus 15 objection. a documented verbal warning. And it's always a 16 BY MS. SMITH: 17 What verbal warnings has Jane Doe 1 been 17 little bit weird to say a verbal that has a subjected to during her employment with the document because essentially --19 19 county? A. I know. 20 O. 20 I think Heidi advised her about her -- it's written. A. 21 21 timeliness of her reports. A. I know. 22 O. But -- but there are warnings that are 22 Q. On how many occasions? 23 verbal, but are memorialized that the county A. That I don't know. $^{24}|0.$ So you are aware of at least one ²⁴ employees or department heads can issue to their Page 166 Page 168 occasion that Heidi advised Jane Doe 1 of the employees. And then there's what would be called timeliness of her reports? ² a written warning, where there's actually writing that says written warning on it, correct? Yes. A. A. That is correct. Q. Okay. 5 5 Then just so the clear is clear, those O. Okav. are the STEB or the State Tax Equalization Board So what I want to know is how the county reports? treats educational discussions. I don't want to Yes. call them warnings, but educational discussions or 8 A. Q. I think as you called them, educational warnings and these memorialized verbal warnings, how the 10 Any other verbal warnings that you're aware of that Jane Doe 1 has been subjected to county treats them differently, if at all? 12 12 during her employment with the county? A. A verbal warning that's more of I think Ms. Zimmerman has -- you know -educational, in my opinion, like I would -- if you know, we can get into that definition of a you're not producing a work product the way -- I verbal. There are -- there are two types of think I said you're making a lot of mistakes, I verbal warnings. You can say, well, one can be would address that as you're making a lot of just more of an educational. In other words, you mistakes, here's what I suggest that you do and know, Mrs. Smith, you're not completing your you really want to come up because we can't have 19 19 assignments on time, you got to do a better job these mistakes. That would be the end of it. 20 getting these in here. If it's something, I'd say, you know, I can -- don't take offense, I am using you. 21 But there there's an official one that 22 we would say, okay, here's a verbal warning and Q. You're fine. you have to document that you received it. So $^{23}|A.$ You know, Ms. Smith, we talked about some are just educational, some are -- are more this a number of times and it happened -- so --

 23 A.

to employees?

Not that I'm aware of. And since that

was a recent revision of that, I would suspect

Page 169 Page 171 and we'd go to HR, then it would be more a verbal that other revisions that come along would start warning that HR would be involved in with and that to have that -- that documentation in there. would be a -- a document made. 3 Q. Okay. So then as I understand it, and correct So right now it's just a O. non-memorialized, other than what's in the me if I'm wrong, this educational discussion is more of that, an education discussion, where a policies we've looked at, a non-memorialized verbal warning is more of a disciplinary action? procedure or policy of the county that someone who It puts the employer on notice that is subjected to a verbal warning of a disciplinary A. the -- you know, that they have to step up to the type, it should be documented in some manner? plate and get their -- their -- their work done. Yes. You want the employee to 11 It's not really -- you don't want to get them acknowledge that they have received a verbal 12 afraid that they're going to be disciplined, but warning. |Q|then when you go to take them over to HR, that is And the documentation that accompanies a 14 now a step in the discipline process. verbal disciplinary warning and the employees 15 Especially with union employees, because acknowledgment of that warning, where are those union employees, they do want to see that step. 16 16 documents maintained? 17 Okay. Those, I suspect, would be in their 18 Educational discussions, warnings, personnel file. 19 19 whatever, the non-verbal memorialized ones, are O. Okay. those memorialized in any way or -- or is there 20 So this is -- I'm not trying to -- to any county policy or procedure about memorializing trick you or close anything -- any avenues here. educational discussions or warnings? Educational may not be documented in any form, 23 23 correct? A. No. 24 $^{24}|_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Okav. A. That is correct. Page 172 Page 170 1 Is there any county policy or procedure O. And so there may not be a knowledge of about memorializing verbal warnings? the county as to every educational conversation a If you look at some of the -- the supervisor has with an employee, correct? A. documents here, there is a section on verbal A. That would be correct. 5 warning where the employee signs it that he or she O. Okav. has received a verbal warning. But the verbal warnings of the disciplinary sense, the county through the Q. Okay. 8 You pointed to a stack of documents personnel files of each employee, should have an before you. Do you believe that there is understanding of, correct? something in -- because we've only looked at 10 Α. That is correct. sexual harassment, antidiscrimination policies so 11 O. Okay. 12 far, that's the stack you pointed to. Do you So I -- for these next questions related 13 to the plaintiffs and their verb -- and verbal believe that one of those policies there's something about warnings? warnings, I'm simply asking not about those In the sexual harassment one? Yes, we 15 educational conversations, as you have A. know there is. We looked at that one. differentiated, but about the verbal disciplinary 17 Q. There's something about warnings? type that should be documented and in their 18 18 Yes. personnel file. A. 19 Verbal warnings that Jane Doe 1 has been 19 Q. Okay. Any other county policy that is written 20 subjected to, verbal disciplinary that are about warn -- verbal warnings being administered documented, are you aware of any, you the county?

22

24

 23 **Q**.

A.

Yes.

Okay.

I know --

 $^{21}|A.$

22

 23 A.

Q.

0

Yes.

Okay.

Sorry.

So was the one that you're speaking of

Page 173 Page 175 was -- is the one where Heidi advise Jane Doe 1 of A. I'm aware there must be because I think ² the timeliness -- the timeliness of her STEB the -- she actually received a suspension at one point. So that would have come -- so you to ³ reports? 4 A. verbal to written and then to a potential That would be correct. suspension. Q. Okav. Okay. So other than that one, are you aware of Q. So do you know what a progressive any of the verbal warnings that have been issued to Jane Doe 1? discipline policy is? 9 Yes. A. A. I am not. 10 Is -- does the county, whether in MS. JONES: I just want to object Q. 11 writing or elsewhere, does the county follow to the form. I wanted to object to the form. I progressive discipline? couldn't get it out. 13 For all union employees, yes, that is in ¹³BY MS. SMITH: A. ¹⁴|O. the union contracts. What about written warnings that Jane 15 Doe 1 has been subjected to, are you aware of any? Q. Okay. And I am differentiating them from suspensions, 16 What about for non-union employees? 17 ¹⁷|because, right, there's verbal, written, and then I don't think there is. A. suspension, and then termination, right? 18 Does the -- there's no written, is that Q. 19 19 A. Yes. So I would suspect that there what --20 20 would be a written warning in there as well. Correct. A. -- I am understanding? 21 21 Q. Okav. Q. 22 22 Does the county follow a progressive What was that for? 23 discipline for non-union employees, even if it's A. I think for the same topic. 24 **O**. not in writing? Page 174 Page 176 We certainly would encourage that, but And what about suspensions, has Jane Doe A. all non-union employees are at-will employees. 1 ever been suspended? A. Yes. Q. Okay. For how long? 4 Q. So Jane Doe 1, the verbal -- verbal, I'm not talking about written --Α. Three days. A. Okay. 6 Q. Prior to being suspended, was Jane Doe 1 afforded a Loudermill Hearing? Q. -- I am not talking about suspensions at 8 this point, verbal warnings that Jane Doe 1 has A. Yes. been subjected to during her employment, how Q. Do you know when that was? 10 many -- are there any, how many? A. 11 Well, I know there would be at least 11 Jane Doe 1 is still a county employee, O. 12 one. I don't know how many would be in there. 12 so fair to say she hasn't been terminated, right? 13 A. That is correct. Q. 14 14 Is the one -- as I think as I understood O. Moving on to Jane Doe 2. What verbal warnings was Jane Doe 2 subject -- subjected to your testimony, correct me if I'm wrong, the during her employment at the county? And, again, Zim -- Jane Doe 1 and Ms. Zimmerman's differentiating from the educational topic, but 17 conversations were more the educational type, 18 more the -- what verbal disciplinary warnings was correct? 19 19 she subjected to? A. Uh-huh. 20 Q. Is that a yes? MS. JONES: So I am going to just

²³ records.

object to the form on the same basis as my

But you may answer.

objections about the review of the personnel

Page 177 Page 179 1 Loudermill Hearing? THE WITNESS: Yes, she would have Would have been sometime in calendar for failure to be at work. A. BY MS. SMITH: year '22. How many verbal warnings? Q. 2022? Q. A. That I don't know. A. Correct. Was Jane Doe 2 -- did Jane Doe 2 ever Q. Q. Before her resignation was voted on? receive a written warning during her employment A. with the county? You're sure of that? Q. 9 I would assume she has, yes. I'm sure of -- if you -- there was --A. A. How many? there were two scheduled, let's put it that way. Q. 11 At least one. I can't -- I can't confirm that a second one was A. 12 Are you aware of any more than one? done. If she didn't show up for that, that would Q. 13 I'm not aware of any. be the same thing as a Loudermill Hearing if you Α. 14 Q. Was Jane Doe 2 ever provided -- I'm don't show up. 15 sorry -- Jane Doe 2 ever suspended during her O. Was Jane Doe 2 ever notified of a 16 employment with the county? Loudermill Hearing prior to her resignation being Yes. voted on? A. 18 18 When? A. Oh, yes. Yes. Q. I don't know the date. It would have 19 19 Q. By who? A. 20 That would have been Ms. Whalen. been calendar year '22. A. Was she afforded a Loudermill Hearing In what form? Q. Q. before being suspended? 22 A. I think a letter. 23 23 Yes. Q. By e-mail, by mail, by carrier pigeon --A. 24 24 **O**. So, I'm sorry, for Jane Doe 1 we talked A. I think by mail. Page 180 about Loudermill Hearing. Was Ms. -- Jane Doe 1 Q. -- by fax? only subjected or, I don't want to say I think by mail. A. subjected, did she only have one Loudermill 3 To what address? Q. Hearing during your employment? A. That I don't know. That I don't know. O. A. Does the county have a copy of that Q. Are you aware of any more than one? letter? A. A. I'm sure we do. 8 8 Same question for Jane Doe 2, you she Q. Was it sent certified mail or regular Q. had at least one Loudermill Hearing. Are you mail? 10 aware of any more than one Loudermill Hearing for A. That I don't know. Jane Doe 2? Other than those two Loudermill Hearings 12 that you just discussed, is there any others that A. Yes. How many? Q. you were aware of that Jane Doe 2 was --Two. participated in? 14 A. 15 15 Okay. Not that I'm aware of. Q. A. 16 16 That I'm aware of. Was Jane Doe 2 terminated? Q. A. 17 Q. So one was before she was suspended in 17 She was not. A. the calendar year 2022; is that what you testified 18 Has Jane Doe 2's resignation been voted 0. 19 to? on by the commissioners? It doesn't get voted on at the board. 20 A. Yes. It goes through as informational only. 21 Q. And when was the other one? 22 Well, Jane Doe 2's resignation was 22 The other one was a Loudermill Hearing O. ²³ listed on the commissioners agenda for a vote at a prior to termination or resignation. 23 When was Jane Doe 2 afforded a public meeting, was it not? O.

Page 181 Page 183 1 I think it was information only. Q. A. Okay. 2 So you are aware of some sort of So Jane Doe 2 is not a county employee Q. currently, correct? disciplinary action? A. Yes. That is correct. A. 5 Jane Doe 2 was a member of the union. Q. By the county that Jane Doe 4 was Q. subjected to, roughly in the time range of correct? March of 2021, that Ms. Zula documented regarding A. That is correct. Jane Doe 4's interaction with an Anthony Alu; am I Q. Moving on to Jane Doe 4. understanding you correctly? What verbal warnings has Jane Doe 4 been 10 10 subjected to during her employment with the A. Yes. 11 11 county? And, again, just the verbal disciplinary O. And other than that, you are not aware 12 type, not the educational. of any other verbal disciplinary warnings issued 13 13 to Jane Doe 4 by the county? I'm not aware of any for Jane Doe 4. A. $^{14}|A.$ I am not. 14 Q. Well, written --Well, nevermind. She -- she had to get 15 15 O. Okay. A. 16 What about any other written warnings 16 a verbal. Yes, I think there was at least one. 17 issued by Jane Doe 4 -- to Jane Doe 4 by the Okay. Q. 18 When was that? county? That would have been in -- probably 19 19 A. A. I'm not aware of any. 20 20 O. sometime in March of 2021. 21 21 So whether that Alu one is a verbal or a Who administered that? Q. 22 written, that's the only type of warning --22 Ms. Zula. A. 23 Was it documented in anyway? 23 A. As -- as far as I know, yes, ma'am. Q. 24 Q. 24 Yes. A. Page 182 Page 184 1 Q. In writing? Was Jane Doe 4 ever provided a Yes. ² Loudermill Hearing during her employment with the A. Where would that document be maintained? county? Q. A. That would be in her personnel file. No. A. Did Ms. -- Jane Doe 4 acknowledge 5 O. Jane Doe 4 -- was Jane Doe 4, other than Q. receipt of that documentation? her current ongoing, unpaid suspension, ever suspended by the county? A. I'm sure she did. 8 A. No. 8 Q. What was the incident which resulted in Q. her receiving a verbal warning? Jane Doe 4 has been and is currently -has been suspended and is currently still 10 I would have to look at the verbal warning. I know it was insubordination and indefinitely suspended by the counsel, correct? 12 12 Α. That is correct. disrespect. 13 O. Was she afforded a Loudermill Hearing Q. Are you referring to the Tony Alu situation? 14 before that suspension? 15 15 A. She probably would have been. I don't A. Yes, I am. 16 know. 16 Okav. Q. 17 17 If I told you that that was a written Q. The county commissioners voted on Jane 18 warning, that -- that that write up or that 18 Doe 4's termination at some point, correct? disciplinary action indicates written warning. 19 She was not -- yes. 19 A. Are you aware of anything other than that, that 20 Q. They voted on it? 21 you believe is a verbal warning that Jane Doe 4 Yes. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. Α. 22 was subjected to? Q. Okay. If there wasn't one before, no, I'm not 23 How many times? 23 A. ²⁴ then if she... 24

	•		
1	Q. Neither for passed by majority vote,	1	county's own independent report, Ms. Zula's, were
2	correct?	2	done after Commissioner Hess requested them and
3	A. That is correct.	3	done by Eckert Seamans?
4	Q. Do you recall when those two times were?	4	•
5	A. No, I don't.	5	You may answer.
6	Q. Okay.	6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	Has Jane Doe 4 been terminated?	7	BY MS. SMITH:
8	A. She has not.	8	Q. What verbal warnings has Jane Doe 3,
9	Q. What's the status of Jane Doe 4's	9	again, for verbal warnings, I mean the
10	employment?	10	disciplinary type, not the educational, has Jane
11	1 2	11	
12		12	the county?
13	Q. If the votes did not pass by majority,	13	A. I know of at least one.
14		14	Q. Okay.
15		15	And when was that one?
16		16	A. Probably around the same time as Jane
17	double negative, it wasn't a no vote, he wanted to	17	Doe 4's.
18		18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. When was that vote taken?	19	And for what reason did she receive a
20	A. I don't know.	20	verbal warning?
21	Q. Has that additional investigation been	21	A. I think that was also disrespect and
22	conducted?	22	insubordination.
23	A. There were two, yes.	23	Q. Is that, again, an interaction with
24	Q. They have both been concluded?	24	Mr. Alu?
1	Page 186 A. No.	1	A. It is.
2	Α. 110.	2	Λ. π 15.
3	A. I don't know.	3	Q. Okay. So, again, similar to Jane Doe 4, I'm
4		4	going to represent to you that that was a written
	I'm sorry. Strike that.	5	warning.
6		6	
7	investigation to be conducted by any definitive	7	I
8		8	a written, are there any other warnings, verbal
9	A. I'm unaware of anything.	9	disciplinary or written disciplinary that Jane Doe
10	Q. And just so the record is clear, those	10	3 received, other than the one regarding her
11	investigations that were conducted at the request	11	interaction with Mr. Alu?
12		12	A. Not that I'm aware of.
13	•	13	Q. Okay.
14	A. And Ms. Zula, there were	14	Was Jane Doe 3 ever suspended, again,
15	· ·	15	other than her current, unpaid, indefinite
16	•	16	suspension, other than that one, was she ever
17	A. That is correct.	17	suspended while employed by the county?
18	MS. JONES: Object to the form.	18	A. No.
19	BY MS. SMITH:	19	Q. Was she ever provided a Loudermill
20		20	Hearing during her employment with the county?
21		21	A. I would think so, but I don't know that
22	_	22	specifically.
23	Q. Okay.	23	Q. How many times did the county vote on
24	So the ones that were additional, so the	24	Jane Doe 3's termination? The county
21	bo the ones that were additional. So the		

	•		
1	commissioners, I should say.	1	assessment office, there was some criticisms of
2	A. Two.	2	
3	Q. Okay.	3	Q. Okay.
4	Do you know when those were?	4	A. And reporting where she was.
5	A. I do not.	5	Q. Okay.
6	Q. Has Jane Doe 3 been terminated?	6	Were those documented in any way?
7	A. She has not.	7	A. If they were, they would be in the file.
8	Q. What is the status of her employment?	8	Q. In her personnel file?
9	A. She's on suspension.	9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. And why has she not been reinstated?	10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Because the results of the investigation	11	And same question for Jane Doe 1, other
12	have not been concluded.	12	than documented disciplinary
13	Q. Are you aware of any other criticisms of	13	A. Let's go back to to Jane Doe 2 still.
14	the work performance of, let's start with Jane Doe	14	Q. Sure. Yeah.
15	3, other than what we've just discussed?	15	A. Because there's been other criticisms on
16	So let me be clear. So the for Jane	16	her work performance in terms of turning in her
17	Doe 3, we talked about the Alu issue, which there	17	reports from the field, not completing assignments
18	was some sort of discipline and her current	18	in the field.
19	suspension. Other than those, are you aware of	19	Q. Okay.
20	any criticisms of Jane Doe 3's work performance	20	Anything else?
21	during her employment with the county?	21	A. No.
22	A. On her work performance, I would say no.	22	Q. Okay.
23	Q. Same question for Jane Doe 4, so other	23	So moving on to to Jane Doe 1, other
24	than the Alu disciplinary action of some sort,	24	than documented disciplinary actions, are you
1	verbal or written, and the current unpaid	1	aware of any other criticisms about her work
2	suspension, are you aware of any other criticisms	2	performance that exist?
3	of Jane Doe 4's work performance during her	3	A. No. I think Ms. Murray had mentioned
4	employment with the county?	4	that when it came time for the STEB reports, it
5	A. I'm unaware of any.	5	was always you had keep giving her consistent
6	Q. Okay.	6	
7	Same question for Jane Doe 2, so Jane	7	
8	Doe 2 we talked about two Loudermills, one for	8	A. Just recently not turning in the
9	suspension, one for her resignation. You believe	9	things that were documented, I guess, but we
10	at least one written warning. And you believe	10	also she also received some, I guess,
11	and I think a verbal for failure to be at work.	11	educational warnings in terms of we went
12	Other than those, and correct me if I didn't	12	through this a few minutes ago, on completing the
13	recall them all correctly, are you aware of any	13	time sheets and
14	other criticisms?	14	Q. But those were educational
15	So so let me put it this way, other	15	educational
16	than documented disciplinary issues with Jane Doe	16	A. You said undocumented. Those were
17	2, are you aware of any other criticisms regarding	17	those would be undocumented.
18	her work?	18	Q. Okay.
19	A. Yes. When she was in the treasurer's	19	Those were not disciplinary in nature or
20	office, she had an inability to to get to work	20	were they?
21	on time.	21	A. Not all of them, no.
22	Q. Okay.	22	MS. SMITH: Okay. Can we go off
23	Anything else?	23	the record for just a second.
24	A. Same thin when she moved down to the tax	24	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now

Q.

Has Mr. Roth received any evaluation,

Page 195 Page 193 whether annual or interim during his employment? 12:18 p.m. and we're going off the record. A. Not to my knowledge. Any specific instances that could rise (Whereupon, brief recess was held off 3 0. to an evaluation or criticism or any criticism of the record.) Mr. Roth's work performance during his employment with the county? VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now A. 1:25 p.m. and we're back on the record. No. BY MS. SMITH: Q. Defendant Zula, Heidi Zula, was she, during her employment with the county, ever 9 All right. Q. 10 Before the break we were discussing the subjected to any type of disciplinary action, 11 plaintiffs evaluations, criticisms, job verbal warning, written warning, suspension, ¹² Loudermill Hearing, or attempted termination? 12 performance, things like that. I am going to go 13 A. 13 through some of the -- or I am going to go through No. 14 Q. Any evaluation conducted of Ms. Zula the defendants now. 15 while she was employed by the county, whether But before I do so, did you discuss your deposition testimony at all during the lunch 16 annual or interim? 16 17 break? A. No. 18 18 A. We did not. O. Any specific incident that you can think of or any criticism -- specific incident that 19 Q. So let's start with -- Defendant Bender. gives rise to an evaluation or criticism or any 20 I'm going to ask about him now, but I'm going to ²¹ criticism of Ms. Zula's work performance during be asking you, Mr. Bender, as the county about --22 Real standup guy. her employment with county? 22 A. 23 23 -- Defendant Bender. A. No, not by management at all. O. 24 Q. 24 And I am going to -- if we need to break Page 194 it down, we can, but I'm just going to do a 1 Defendant Kutzler, during her periods of general to save some time. contracting with the county as acting or interim During his employment with the county, HR director, was she subjected to any type of has Mr. Bender ever been subjected to any type of disciplinary action, verbal warning, written disciplinary warning, whether verbal, written, warning, suspension, Loudermill Hearing, or suspension, Loudermill, attempted termination? attempted termination? A. I have not. A. 8 O. Was Ms. Kutzler ever -- did she ever 8 Q. Okay. Has Mr. Bender ever received any type of receive an evaluation, whether annual or interim? 10 written evaluation, whether annual or interim? Not by the county, no. 10 A. 11 O. And any instances that give rise to a Have not. criticism or any criticisms that she received for 12 Has Mr. Bender received any criticisms Q. of his work performance? her work performance? 14 Not through management. 14 I have not. A. A. 15 15 For Defendant Roth, same questions. So you just said, I think, for Ms. Zula Q. Q. Again, if we need to break it down, we can, but 16 and Ms. Kutzler, not through management. first generally, has Defendant Roth during his 17 A. Yes. 18 employment with the county been subjected to any 18 O. Were there, like, non-management type of disciplinary action, verbal warning, 19 19 employees who complained? She would get criticized at public written warning, suspension, Loudermill Hearing, A. 21 attempted termination? 21 meetings. 22 22 A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay.

23

A.

Which is not really -- that was a

criticism, but it was through management, it was

Page 197 Page 199 through somebody from the public or... Twigg? 2 Yes. A. Q. Okay. Any -- other than at public meetings, 3 Q. Okay. did was there any criticisms of Ms. Zula or Ms. So other than that, no evaluation of his Kutzler's work performance? work performance in his position as commissioner? A. No. A. No. O. Any criticisms of his work performance Q. Okay. or his -- his performance as a commissioner that So anyone -- would -- when you say you can think of? public meetings, were those all commissioners 10 10 Outside of that report, no. meetings? A. 11 11 Yes. Q. Okay. A. 12 12 So now we are going to go through some Okay. Q. 13 other individuals who have done work for the Are commissioner meetings recorded? 14 They are. county. Going to start with Ms. Deborah Twigg. A. 15 By the county? During her employment with the county, Q. did she ever receive any -- was she ever subjected 16 They are. A. 17 to any type of disciplinary action, whether Okay. Q. 18 And does the county maintain copies of verbal, written, suspension, Loudermill, or 19 those recordings? attempted termination? 20 | A. I think until the official minutes, they 20 No. ²¹Q. become the unofficial -- I would have -- we do, Was her work ever criticized in anyway, 22 but I think once the recording is there and you Ms. Twigg's, during her employment with the make the minutes, then the minutes become the 23 county? ²⁴A. ²⁴ official document. No. I wouldn't say criticized. We had Page 200 Page 198 disagreements from time to time. But I guess I 1 Q. But is the recording still maintained or preserved? wouldn't define that as a criticism for work, it's iust... A. I would believe so. Is it audio, visual, or both, the 0. And so the record is clear, and I know Q. recording? it's awkward and I don't judge you for this, but A. Audio. you were saying we had disagreements. When you say we, are you saying we --Q. Okay. 8 So there's no visual recording? Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Twigg and I A. No. sometimes had --A. 10 You as in Mr. Bender? 10 Q. Okay. Q. Defendant Halcovage, during his term, 11 A. Yes. 12 12 election with the county, has he ever been Q. Okay. 13 subjected to verbal -- any type of disciplinary So other than Mr. Bender, did the county action, verbal, written, suspension, Loudermill, or any of its employees, other than Mr. Bender, or attempted termination? that you know, have any disagreements with Ms. $^{16}|A.$ No. Twigg? 17 17 Q. Any evaluations ever conducted of A. Not that I'm aware of. Defendant Halcovage's work performance while he 18 Q. Okay. I just -- because the you and the 19 I --19 has been a commissioner? 20 20 No. Unless you consider that report A. A. I got you. 21 that was done as a -- an evaluation. O. I do want to clarify. Thank you. 21 But, again, you said the disagreements 22 22 Q. That's -- and the report, just so the ²³ Mr. Bender had with Ms. Twigg, you would not 23 record is clear, is that May 2020 report of Ms. characterize as criticisms?

Page 203

A. No.

Q. Okay.

And was Ms. Twigg ever evaluated during her employment, whether annual or interim?

No. A.

Q. Next we are going to discuss

Ms. Virginia Murray.

Are you aware of any disciplinary action that Ms. Murray was subjected to during her employment with the county, whether verbal, written, suspension, a Loudermill Hearing, or an 12 attempted termination?

13 A. I am not.

11

14 Q. During her employment with the county,

Ms. Murray's work ever criticized in any way?

16 No, not that I'm aware of. A.

17 Was Ms. Murray ever evaluated, whether Q. annual or interim?

19 A. No.

20 Ms. Deborah Dash, was she ever subjected O.

to any type of discipline, verbal, written,

suspension, Loudermill, or attempted termination

during her employment with the county?

 $^{24}|_{A}$ Not that I'm aware of.

Page 202

1 Q. Was she ever -- did she ever receive an evaluation of her work, whether annual or interim, during her employment with the county?

Not that I'm aware of. A.

And was she -- was her work ever Q. criticized in any way?

Not that I'm aware of. A.

Did the county ever -- I'm sorry. 8 O.

Colleen Warmkessel, was she ever subjected to any type of disciplinary action while she was employed

by the county, whether verbal, written,

suspension, Loudermill, or attempted termination?

13 A. Yes.

17

14 Q. Okav.

So for Ms. Warmkessel, which of those 15 types of disciplinary action Ms. Warmkessel 16 subjected to?

18 She received a verbal warning, a written A. 19 warning, and she did have a Loudermill Hearing.

20 And then she was terminated? Q.

No. No, there was --21 A.

22 Q. Oh, does she --

She changed jobs in the meantime. She 23 A.

had -- it was a Loudermill Hearing. There was

Page 201 going to be a -- I forget what they call it -- a

> suspension was going to be recommended, but then she has filed a complaint.

With who? Like, an internal complaint? O.

5 With HR. Yeah. A.

6 Q. Okay.

> And as a result of her filing that complaint, her suspension was not enforced?

9 It was not. We held off on that as A pending the outcome of that report. And then in the interim, she has changed departments.

12 Q. Okay. 13

So that was the Loudermill. Let's go back to the written warning.

15 Α. Okav.

14

16 Was that separate and distinct from the Q. issues this led up to the Loudermill?

18 A. No. They're all related.

19 Q. The verbal, the written, and the

20 Loudermill?

A. That is correct.

22 O. Okay.

23 So what was the underlying issue with

²⁴ Ms. Warmkessel's work performance that led to

Page 204

disciplinary action, this disciplinary action? 2

Too many errors in -- in her documents, A. which lead to lot of confusion, a lot of mistakes being put on deeds. And her failure then to take corrective action to fix those. She was offered some training for a period of time. She didn't complete that training and continued to make mistakes.

Q. And this resulted in one disciplinary action or it resulted in a verbal and then a written at a separate time and then a Loudermill?

12 Α. I think that is correct. That's the pathway. There was a verbal warning, a written warning, and then the Loudermill hearing proceeded, a possible suspension.

16 So she was -- was there time in between 0. 17 each of those?

18 A. Yes.

19 O. Okay. Okay.

So it was for the same issue with her 20 work performance, but at different periods in 22 time?

A. Correct. And there were a number of ²⁴ what we called this morning, those educational

23

_	F		
1	Page 205 warnings.	1	tax she had struggle in the tax claim office as
2	Q. Okay.	2	well, but there were no written warnings that I
3		3	could recall when she was in the tax she went
4	verbal warning?	4	from tax claim office over to tax assessment.
5	A. It would have been Ms. Whalen.	5	Q. Okay.
6	Q. Was it documented?	6	So you think, and correct me if I'm
7	A. Oh, yes.	7	wrong if I'm not understanding your testimony,
8	Q. Did Ms. Warmkessel sign the receipt	8	Ms. Warmkessel had issues in the tax claim office
9	or sign acknowledging receipt of the	9	when she was employed in that office?
10	documentation?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. Yes. I think she did, yes.	11	Q. But they were not documented?
12	Q. Same with her written warning, who	12	A. I don't think so.
13	administered that?	13	Q. Disciplinary actions?
14	A. Ms. Whalen.	14	`
15		15	
16	Q. Was that also documented? A. Yes.	16	Q. Gray.
17			And then she transferred at some point, you believe, in 2021, to the tax assessment
18	Q. Did Ms. Warmkessel also sign for that? A. Yes.	18	-
19		19	office. And you believe she had issues making too
20		20	many errors, mistakes there as well?
21	documentation for the Loudermill?	21	71. Concet.
22	A. That is correct.	22	Q. Okay.
23	Q. Okay.	23	A. And it could have been 2020. We
24	Other than the issues and the	24	Q. Okay.
	disciplinary actions that resulted thereafter, was	-	So but Page 208
1	Ms. Warmkessel's work ever criticized in any other	1	A. She wasn't in for a long period.
2	way?	2	Q. Whenever she transferred
3	A. Only in the number of errors, but that	3	A. Correct.
4	was a longstanding problem going on for quite a	4	Q into the tax assessment
5	while.	5	A. Yes. Okay.
6	Q. When would you say those errors began?	6	Q office, you believe she had issues in
7	A. I think when she transferred into the	7	the tax assessment office regarding her work
8	assessment office, there was some it was a	8	performance?
9	complicated job and I did have concerns when she	9	A. Yes.
10		10	Q. Okay.
11		11	Were those documented?
12	1	12	
13	she had difficultly doing that.	13	them were just educational, those educational
14	Q. Do you remember when she transferred	14	warnings that we had and then we offered they
15	into the assessment office?	15	offered training for her and so they tried to work
16	A. I do not.	16	with her on that.
17	Q. Do you remember the year?	17	Q. When what office was Ms. Warmkessel
18	A. '21.	18	in when Whelan documented the verbal warning?
19	Q. Okay.	19	A. Tax assessment.
20	A. 2021.	20	Q. Okay.
21	Q. So you think around '21, at least around	21	So she was still in assessment?
22	the time she transferred into the assessment	22	A. Yes.
23	office, her errors and mistakes began?	23	Q. Okay.
24		24	And she's you said she transferred
_	110. I diffic they were over in the		And she's you said she transferred

Page 211 Page 209 offices. Where is she now? that when he opened up a letter that was on a desk ² that was addressed to Jane Doe 1. It was in A. She's in the clerk of courts. Q. ³ county, but Kent had opened that and she felt Okay. Has she had criticisms of her work that -- she had told Jane Doe 1 about -- Jane Doe performance in the clerk of courts? 1 about that and they worked it out, but she felt A. Not that I'm aware of. that he was retaliating against her. So that's what that current investigation is about. 0. And no disciplinary action since being in the clerk of courts? Q. Okay. 9 A. Not that I'm aware of. It was a long answer, I get that. Α. 10 10 O. Q. Moving on to Mr. Hatter, Kent Hatter. No, it's okay. Mr. Hatter, during his employment with the county, 11 So other than that kind of complaint by 11 has he ever been subjected to any disciplinary Jane Doe 1 and Ms. Warmkessel and the kind of dual action, verbal warning, a written warning, complaint by Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1, are there suspension, Loudermill Hearing, or attempted any other instances you can think of where Mr. termination? 15 Hatter's work has been criticized? No. He -- he did have an educational 16 A. 16 A. No. 17 17 O. warning, I would say. Okay. 18 18 Q. About what? And has he ever, Mr. Hatter, received an evaluation of any type, interim or annual? 19 A. That was the time when he went down to 20 meet with Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1 and -- and A. He has not. 20 21 O. then they accused him of -- of some harassment. And Mr. Anthony Alu, during his And when he was in my office, I chastised him that employment with the county, was he subject to he should not have gone down by himself. That was any -- or his contract with the county, was he subject to any discipline, verbal, written, silly to do, he should know better than that. Page 212 Page 210 1 Q. But he didn't -- this wasn't documented suspension, Loudermill, attempted termination? in any way, correct? 2 A. No. 3 Q. A. No. Was his work ever criticized in any way? Other than that, has Mr. Hatter's work Q. A. His work, no. ever been criticized in any time during his O. And was he ever -- did he ever receive employment with the county? an evaluation of any type, annual or interim? Not by management. Of course, in that A. A. 8 complaint he was. O. Okay. Q. Okay. I'm going to move to another topic about 10 Other than that? Mr. Alu, just since we're talking about him. 11 He was a contractor with the county, No. A. 12 12 And just so the record is clear, by Q. correct? complaint, you mean Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1's 13 A. That is correct. complaint you just referenced? 14 O. What was the reason or purpose of the 15 15 Correct. county contracting with Mr. Alu? A. 16 Mr. Alu was brought in to help us, Q. 17 So other than that complaint by Jane Doe assist us in the reorganization of the tax 18 2 and Jane Doe 1, he has received no criticism of assessment office, and as well as he initially his work performance? 19 was -- had the discussion on helping us maybe complete the STEB reports. 20 Well, again, that the complaint was against Mr. Matter, but by Ms. Warmkessel in terms 21 When did his contract begin? Q. 22 of -- she felt his actions were retaliatory A. In, I think, March of 2021. |Q|because of her work being overly criticized. And When did it end? 24 also I think I mentioned earlier this morning. Trying to think. We extended that, I

Page 215 Page 213 think it was, until the end of the year, each year that they worked for the county, as far December 31st. And we maybe extended that a ² back as the -- it was the Pennsylvania? little bit when we got into reassessment, so --3 A. Historical Museum Commission. It's terminated now. Q. Historical Museum Commission requires? Q. A. Yes. Okav. So let's start with that. So it's 0. Okay. terminated now. There was -- it sounded like Has Jane Doe 1 -- had Jane Doe 1 and based on your testimony, there was an initial Jane Doe 2's compensations to what they've earned, contract, which was extended at least once? decreased since May of 2020? 10 MS. JONES: Object to the form of A. Yes. 11 11 O. that question. Was it extended more than once? 12 12 I think so, yes. You can answer if you can. A. 13 ¹³BY MS. SMITH: How many times? Q. 14 14 Just one -- two times. So let's start for what they earned in A. 15 2019, versus 2020. We can start with Jane Doe 1. Q. Two times. Okay. 16 And the first one -- am I understanding 16 Did Jane Doe 1 earn less in 2020, less you correctly that the first contract was from in earnings from the county? Obviously I'm not March of 2021 until December 31st of 2021, and going to ask you about any other job she had. then extended or was there an extension between 19 But for the county, did she earn less in 20 20 those two dates? 2020 than she did in 2019? 21 21 A. Yes. A. I'm not sure. 22 22 Q. Okay. Q. Did Jane Doe 2 earn less in 2020 than 23 23 she did in 2019? Okay. Was there a written contract? 24 24 A. Yes. Page 216 Page 214 1 1 Q. 2021 versus 2020, did Jane Doe 1 earn A. Yes. Q. What was Mr. Alu's hourly rate? less? I think it was \$40 per hour. A. A. I wouldn't think so only because in 2020 she was furloughed for a period of time? The extensions, whether one, two, or Q. more, were those written extensions? 5 Q. Okav. 6 A. Yes. But do you know? Do I know if she was furloughed? Q. Does the county maintain records of A. 8 payroll compensation for employees? No. Do you know if she earned less in O. A. Yes. 2020 or --10 I don't specifically, no. 10 How long are those records retained? Q. A. Do you know if Jane Doe 2 earned less in Again, I think they would adhere to the 11 O. 12 retention policy of the Pennsylvania Historical 2020 or 2021? ¹³ Museum Commission at a minimum. 13 In '20 she would have earned less as well, she was furloughed as well. 14 What do those records include? Like, is 15 it W-2s, is it also, like, time sheets? Is it --Q. But do you know that for sure? 16 what's in the payroll records that the county That she was furloughed? A. maintains for employees? 17 Q. No. That she earned less? 18 18 Yes, certainly there would be the -- the Α. Oh, yes. Yes. Yes, I know the A. W-2, W-4s that get produced. And our employees 19 compensation in '20 would have been less than '19. 20 all submit time sheets, and they also would be Q. So you know --21 part of that record. 21 A. I do not know in '21, but I would bet. So then based off your testimony, the 22 22 Q. Q. Okay. county should have payroll compensation records, 23 Well ---24 W-2s, W-4s, and time sheets for the plaintiffs for I know.

```
Page 219
                                                Page 217
 1
  Q.
          I -- I, no offense, but I don't want
                                                           in pay or suspensions occurred in 2020, correct?
  bets here. I'd like factual information.
                                                         2
                                                           A.
                                                                   Correct.
  A.
          Right.
                                                         3
                                                           O.
                                                                   Okay. All right.
          But the county would maintain W-2s for
                                                                 Since 2012, has the county resolved any
   Q.
  those years, correct?
                                                           claims made against any -- either -- either
   A.
          That is correct.
                                                           against the county or any county employee or
          And they would be the best evidence of
                                                           elected official arising out of employment-related
   0.
  what the individuals earned for those years,
                                                           issues?
                                                         9
  correct?
                                                           A.
                                                                   Okay. Go through that again for me.
10
          Absolutely.
                                                        10
  A.
                                                           Q.
                                                        11
11
          Same question for Jane Doe 2 -- I mean
                                                                 Since 2012, has the county resolved any
  Q.
  Jane Doe 1 -- I mean Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4.
                                                           claims made against it or its employees or elected
<sup>13</sup> They were suspended in -- no. They were suspended
                                                           officials, arising out of employment-related
<sup>14</sup>|September 2021, correct? So fair to say that in
                                                           issues?
                                                        15
  2022, they earned less, significantly, by the
                                                                 And let me clarify claims. I am not
  entire salary less, in 2022 than they did in 2021?
16
                                                           asking for grievances, like union grievance. I am
17
          Correct.
                                                           asking about EEOC claims, federal complaints,
  A.
18
  Q.
          And in 2023, they have earned nothing,
                                                           state complaints, like state civil complaints,
  correct, Jane Doe 3 --
19
                                                           federal complaints, civil complaints?
                                                        20
          That's correct.
20
  A.
                                                           A.
                                                                   No.
                                                        21
21
                                                                   Okav.
  O.
          -- and Jane Doe 4?
                                                           Q.
                                                        22
         So 20 -- so 2023, they earned nothing.
22
                                                           A.
                                                                   Not that I'm aware of.
   2022 they earned nothing, correct?
                                                        23
                                                                   There are claims against the county
                                                           O.
24
          Correct.
                                                           currently regarding overtime issues, correct?
                                                                                                        Page 220
                                                Page 218
 1
  Q.
          2021 they had -- were paid -- on -- on
                                                         1
                                                           A.
                                                                   That is correct.
  unpaid suspension beginning in September of 2021,
                                                                   It's still pending though, correct?
                                                           Q.
  correct?
                                                           A.
                                                         4
  A.
          Correct.
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Okay. I am going to
          So they would definitely have earned
  Q.
                                                           mark for today's purposes --
  less in 2021 than 2020, correct?
                                                                     THE WITNESS: Could I just add
   A.
          Yes.
                                                           something?
 8
   Q.
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
          Okay.
         And they were also -- their salaries
                                                                   Sure.
                                                           Q.
                                                        10
   were reduced in March of 2021, correct?
                                                                   When you talk about compensation for
10
                                                           Α.
                                                           Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2, their hourly rate
          That is correct.
   A.
12
          So they also would have earned less in
                                                           didn't change. In other words, if they had less
  Q.
  that sense, correct?
                                                           pay it would be because they worked less hours.
14
          Yes.
                                                           They were hourly employees, not --
  A.
                                                        15
15
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Okay. Yeah. No. No. No. I
   Q.
          Okay.
16
         Do you know from Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe
                                                           appreciate that.
                                                        17
17
  4, did they earn any less in 2021 than 2020 --
                                                           A.
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        18
18
  2020 than 2019?
                                                           Q.
                                                                   That's a fair distinction. Thank you.
                                                        19
          No, they would not.
19
   A.
                                                                     MS. SMITH: I am going to mark for
                                                           today's purposes Exhibit-328. It is Doe
20
  Q.
          Okay.
         Because they were salaried --
21
                                                           Supplement 46 through 49.
                                                        22
22
          Correct.
   A.
          -- no matter how many hours they worked
                                                        23
23
                                                                  (Doe 46-49 marked as Exhibit-328 for
  Q.
                                                        <sup>24</sup> identification.)
```

and nothing -- no demotions in pay -- reductions

Page 223 Page 221 1 Seamans, what was the reason or purpose of the county contract with Eckert Seamans, whenever they BY MS. SMITH: The county has contracted with Eckert originally entered into a contract, again, Q. regarding the plaintiffs' claims? Seamans, correct? A. Yes. MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Can you Q. And how many time has the county just repeat that? contracted -- contracted with Eckert Seamans since BY MS. SMITH: 2012? So when -- I'm asking questions about 9 the contract with Eckert Seamans only as it MS. JONES: I am just going to object to the form of question. relates to the plaintiffs' claims, not any other 11 But you can answer it. contracts with the county with Eckert Seamans for 12 THE WITNESS: I think we have a other things. What was the reason or purpose behind the county entering into that contract? 13 standing contract with them for an hourly rate. 14 MS. JONES: Object to the form and And that hourly rate can change from year to year. object to the extent it includes communications 15 BY MS. SMITH: 16 16 with them. Q. Okay. 17 17 So other than more recently, like let's But I think you can answer that since 20 -- let's say since 2020, did the county 18 auestion. 19 19 ever contract with Eckert Seamans previous to THE WITNESS: Well, we contract 20 that? with them to review Ms. Zula's investigation in 21 the LexisNexis case. A. Yes. 22 BY MS. SMITH 22 Q. Okay. 23 Are there any issues related to the 23 Do you know when that contract began? O. 24 plaintiffs claims prior to May of 2020? MS. JONES: Can I just -- I am Page 222 Page 224 1 No. going to object to the form. I think the word A. Q. contract is misleading and I think he's -- I just Okay. Since May of 2020, the county -- as I don't want -- I don't want there to be understand your testimony, correct me if I am misunderstanding. You know what I mean? Just wrong, the county has entered into one standing from a standpoint of a lawyer, it's not like contract with Eckert Seamans for an hourly rate every -- like I will make a contract to do this particular investigation, it's not a contract, for work conducted? 8 MS. JONES: Object to the form. it's like you retain a firm and then you hire them You can answer. every once in a while. BY MS. SMITH: BY MS. SMITH: 10 Meaning, I'm just trying to figure out, 11 Was there a written contract with Eckert 12 Seamans? is there -- was there one contract and it ended and then they entered into another one or has it 13 A. A separate one, no. 14 14 been one --Q. What do you mean a separate one? 15 15 I think we have an open-ended contract In other words, there's a contract out A. Α. 16 with Eckert Seamans. Some of the law firms we do there, we have an agreement with Eckert Seamans and we just -- we do a contract with them for and probably at the -- not probably, at the time 18 either labor things and we just extend that we said we have this issue coming up, could you ¹⁹ handle this. So there was no separate contracts 19 contract out, the rate changes maybe from year to ²⁰ there. We have a contract with Eckert Seamans to year based on -- they will send us something in ²¹ do this investigation. It's not that where we January that their rates have changed. 22 Q. Okay. give them some work, they assign us, and we get a 23 23 As it relates to the plaintiffs' claims rate. 24 0 and your -- and the county's contract with Eckert Okav.

Page 225 So is there -- is the county's, I don't know the right word to say, contract with Eckert Seamans, is it for a period of time and then throughout that -- for that period of time, the rate remains the same and you assign or provide them work to -- to receive advice, legal advice on?

- A. No. I think mostly it's an open-ended contract. Put of them we put in for, let's say, a 10 start date, but not a stop date. And --
- 11 O. Okay.
- 12 -- the compensation then would change A. 13 perhaps yearly. If their -- if the cost lowers, costs go up. We do that with engineering contracts sometimes as well.
- 16 Q. Okay.

17 When the services of Eckert Seamans were engaged as it relates -- when you engaged the services of Eckert Seamans as it relates to the plaintiffs' claims, what was the reason or purpose 21 for that?

- 22 A. To review Heidi's investigation.
- 23 Q. Okay.

2.4

10

And the services of Eckert Seamans.

Page 226 again, were requested or retained for -- as it relates to the plaintiffs' claim -- plaintiffs' claims on a later date, correct?

- That is correct. A.
- Q. Okav.

What was the purpose or reason for that?

- A. To conduct an independent investigation.
- 8 Okay. Q.

And there is no written documentation about what services they are to provide as it relates to that second engagement of their 12 services?

- No. They did -- on the first one they did a review of Heidi's investigation and then they just send us a bill with -- you know, as lawyers do, the time we were here, the time we spent on it, and here's the hourly rate.
- 18 Okav. Q.
- And we pay that bill. 19 A.
- 20 The first work they did for that bill Q. that you received, did the county rely on that report in making any employment-related decisions 23 regarding any of the plaintiffs?
 - MS. JONES: I am going to object to

Page 227 the form of question. I think it calls for information that's privileged. I don't know how there individual -- I think as an individual he can answer what he knows. I am not sure that question can be answered for the county as a 30B6 because the entity doesn't rely, a person relies. So I think it's an improper question for the 30B6.

MS. SMITH: I disagree. But he can answer it -- are you allowing him to answer?

MS. JONES: I don't think he can 11 answer that question. I don't think a representative for an entity can answer about an entity's decision when the decision has to be by individuals.

15 MS. SMITH: Right. But the individuals are employees or elected officials of the entity. And the entity under 30B6, to what acknowledge they have or should have regarding what actions their employees took. So if their ²⁰ employees or elected officials, the county's, relied on information provided, the county should have knowledge of that. 23

30(b)(6) witnesses to have prepared for a deposition of the 30(b)(6) deposition, in Page 228

speaking with fact witness individuals so that it can either bind or not bind the county with a -or bind the county to a particular answer. So I think -- let me ask a question up to -- leading up to that question. I will strike that question and ask another one.

BY MS. SMITH:

- 8 Sir, did you speak -- in preparation of 0. today's deposition, did you speak with anyone who reviewed, first, Eckert Seamans' report to the county and who made decisions related to the plaintiffs regarding that report?
- 13 A. For today, no.
- 14 O. Same question for the second report. There was a second record by Eckert Seamans, correct? Or, I'm sorry. I this you said earlier there was not?
- 18 Α. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay.

So then it's just the first report.

MS. SMITH: So I am going to say that he's not the proper witness or he's an ²³ ill-prepared witness --

MS. JONES: We'll have to -- go

20

21

ahead. You can finish.

MS. SMITH: This will have to be addressed with a judge, because I do believe that he -- in that there is limited individuals who were involved in the decisions, he could have spoken with to them to be able to bind the company as to what was relied upon and what was not relied upon.

So I'm going to ask the question to make my record. If the answer is I don't know, it's I don't know.

BY MS. SMITH:

17

19

20

21

10

14

16

17

13 But did the county rely on the O. reports -- on the report of Eckert Seamans in making any employment-related decisions regarding 16 any of the plaintiffs?

MS. JONES: I am going to object to the form of the question. I am also going to object to the extent his answer would call for communications with counsel.

MS. SMITH: Are you --

MS. JONES: However, this witness 22 may be one of the people, so -- who was involved in the process and he's already testified as an

Page 230 individual about that process. So this was one of the issues that I raised in preserving my objections on how certain topics weren't proffered with a 30(b)(6) deposition. And I don't think that question can be answered by him on behalf of the county. I think it has to be answered as you have asked, frankly, the persons who had to make that decision.

MS. SMITH: We disagree on that. But as it relates to your objection regarding advice of -- communications, I think, with counsel is how you phrased it, are you waiving the advice of counsel defense?

MS. JONES: We have never relied upon an advice of counsel defense.

MS. SMITH: Okay. I just want to -- I want -- would like to my record.

18 Are you waiving the advice of counsel defense?

MS. JONES: I am not waiving anything. I am not asserting the counsel -- the advice of counsel device.

BY MS. SMITH:

Sir, what was the -- I think we went

through that.

Page 229

Other than the bill for the first Eckert Seamans' report, has the county received a second and subsequent bill from Lexis -- from Eckert Seamans?

A. I'm not aware of any.

How much was the first bill for? Q.

8 I don't have that information. A.

9 Q. When was that received?

10 A. I would say sometime in '21.

11 O. Let me come back to one question on that 12 topic, but I'm going to move on. 13

The county contracted with a Joan R.

14 Price, esquire, correct? 15

A. We do have a contract with her, yes.

16 O. Okay.

17 Is hers a written contract in the traditional sense or is hers similar to Eckert 19 Seamans?

20 A. You know, I'm not aware of that. I would think it would be a separate contract, but I 22 don't know that.

23 Is that -- do you know if the contract, whether it's a traditional contract or the type

Page 232

Page 231

like Eckert Seamans, is it open ended or was it finite time?

3 A. That was open ended.

4 Q. Okay.

Is it still open ended?

6 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. 8

And what was the reason or purpose of the county contracting -- contracting with Attorney Price?

11 To provide consultation on the 12 litigation concerning reassessment.

And when was -- when did her contract begin as it relates to the reassessment of negotiation?

16 I don't have that information. A.

Q.

18 What services did she provide to the 19 county?

A. Advice and counsel and handling the 21 lawsuit.

So other than the litigation on O. reassessment, she provided no other services related to the tax assessment office?

17

22

23

Page 233

A. Not that I'm aware of.

The county has a contract, a traditional Q. contract with LexisNexis, correct?

We have several agreements, yes. A.

Q.

Is there an individual contract for each office who has access to LexisNexis?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. 10

And that's what you mean you have several --

12 A. Yes.

11

13 -- contracts? Q.

Yes. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. A.

15 The several contracts is a contract for Q. 16 each office that has use of it?

17 Yes. A.

18 Okay. Q. 19

What are those offices?

20 Law library, adult probation, the district attorney, domestic relations, and tax claim.

23 Those contracts, who -- let -- let me 0. strike that. Do the heads of any of those

Page 234 departments that you just named, do the heads of those departments receive a copy of the county's contract with LexisNexis for their office?

A. I would think so, yes.

So today, unfortunately, is not about Q. thinking so. I am trying to determine facts and what you do or do not know.

Do you know, do you have knowledge that the off -- any of those offices received a copy of the contract?

Yes. A.

12 Q. Okay.

There are contracts in the system. A.

14 What do you mean the system? Q.

15 In our -- in our contract system on Α.

Smart Term.

17 Q. And so, for instance, the law library, 18 can they access the Smart Term --

19 Yes. A.

20 Q. -- contract?

21 A. Yes.

22 And they can access -- can they access,

for instance, any contract, so like the LexisNexis

²⁴ contract for a adult probation?

Page 235 MS. JONES: Who -- who -- who is they, when you say --

MS. SMITH: The law -- I'm sorry.

I was speaking of the law library.

BY MS. SMITH:

O. So for -- can one department who has a LexisNexis contract access another department's LexisNexis contract on that Smart Term system?

A. Yes. Departments heads generally have access to what we call accounting, where the contracts are kept and they can enter the name of the contract or the number of the contract and ¹³ look at that.

14 0. Okay.

15

18

19

So other than on the Smart Term system 16 and it being available, is one provided by the county directly to a department head?

MS. JONES: Object to the form.

But you can answer.

20 THE WITNESS: Well, they contract with them. They get it approved by the board, but the contract goes to them. There's a contract -a copy of that contract with the commissioners, with the controller, with the office, and with the

Page 236

vendor.

So who signs the LexisNexis contract on Q. behalf of the county?

A. The county commissioners.

5 Q. 6

The department heads don't sign them?

A. No.

8 Q. Okav.

So when, if at all, does the department head receive a copy of that, if they actually receive one, not if they just have one available 12 to view?

So, for instance, county policies are also on the county's website, correct?

15 A. Uh-huh.

16 Is that a yes? Q.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And employees can access them at their leisure if they want to look one up, that they don't -- that they no longer have in their possession, correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 But they also receive a physical copy, Q. ²⁴ whether it be at their hiring, at the trainings,

Page 237 if one is newly issued, they receive by e-mail or in a paper, a copy of that, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okav.

So that's what I am trying to figure out. Is it just that smart term has these contracts available for the department heads to view at their leisure or do they actually -- does the county or someone -- does someone on behalf of the county actually go to the department head and say, we've entered into this contract, here's the 12 contract?

- 13 A. No. That's not quite how it works.
- ¹⁴ Q.

contract.

11

22

23

15 So if I am the department head and I A. wanted to have a contract with LexisNexis, I reach 16 out to them and I say, here is what I want to do. 18 They send terms -- we send our terms and conditions. They sign the contract, we send it 19 back. We make four copies of that contract. I, as the department head, make a copy of that

So I take -- I take one down to the commissioners office to go on the agenda. I take

Page 238 one to the controllers office for them to store.

² I send one -- well, after it's signed, I will take it back to the vendor, and I keep one in my department.

Q. Okay.

So for the tax claim office specifically, was Jane Doe 3 -- was the LexisNexis contract entered into while Jane Doe 3 was the department head, before or after?

10 While she was the department head, yes. A.

11 O.

12 Was she provided a copy of the county's contract with LexisNexis?

- 14 Yes, because she would have put it A. 15 together.
- Who provided it to her, once it was 16 O. signed and entered into? 17
- 18 Well, the commissioners' office. A.
- 19 Q. Who at the commissioners' office gave
- 20 Ms. Copy a -- gave Jane Doe 3 a copy of the
- contract, the county's contract with LexisNexis?
- As I said, she would prepare four 22 A.
- contracts. She would take it down -- LexisNexis 23
- would have them sign, the commissioners that have

Page 239 not yet. They go down to the chief clerks office, they get put on the -- if they get approved, the ³ chief clerk stamps them, she sends one -- two copies up to the -- to the tax claim, in this case, and tax claim would send one to LexisNexis.

We would send one to the controllers office and we keep one in our file.

- So you're saying there's a LexisNexis Q. contract that is stamped by the chief clerk?
- The names of the commissioners are 11 stamped on by the chief clerk after the commissioners approval at a -- a board meeting.

13 O. Okay. 14

16

1

8

And the chief clerk would have sent the contract to Jane Doe 3?

MS. JONES: That's not what he said.

BY MS. SMITH:

19 I thought you said the stamped copy 0. was -- it was stamped by the chief clerk and then the chief clerk provided a copy to Jane Doe 3, who -- or two copies maybe, and one -- tax claim would send it to LexisNexis, correct?

24 A. Correct.

Page 240

Q. Okay.

2 So the chief clerk provided the stamped copy to Jane Doe 3?

I get you. Okay. I understand. I think we're talking about the same thing, Ms. Smith. It's just we're going about it different ways.

All contracts are initiated by the department. They bring them down. When they are approved by the agenda, they get stamped with the commissioner's seal. Once they're approved by the commissioners and then we send copies back to the department, the controllers office, and we keep for our -- the commissioners file.

15 So it's your testimony that Jane Doe 3, Q. on behalf of the county's tax claim bureau, initiated the LexisNexis contract?

18 A. Yes.

19 And that then it was submitted for approval by the commissioners?

21 A. Yes.

And that then a stamped copy, which was 23 approved by the commissioners, was returned to ²⁴ Jane Doe 3 by the chief clerk?

22

22

Q.

Doe 4 received that, correct?

I don't know exactly, no.

De	Deposition of Gary Bender 30(b)(6) - Revised			Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.		
_		age 241	_	Page 243		
1	11. 100.		1	MS. SMITH: We're just going to		
2	Q. Boes the county have any written poncy	,	2	take a five-minute break.		
3	of written procedure regarding the training of		3	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now		
4	employees in the use of LexisNexis?		4	2:12 p.m. and we are going off the record.		
5	A. The county has no poncy, no.		5			
6	Q. So written of otherwise:		6	(Whereupon, brief recess was held off		
7	A. No.		7	the record.)		
8	Q. Does the county provided any training		8			
9	related to the use of Lexistrexis for those		9	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is		
10	employees who have access to LexisNexis acco			2:19 p.m. and we are back on the record.		
11	through a county contract with LexisNexis?		11	BY MS. SMITH:		
12	A. The county does not.		12	Q. All right.		
13	Q. Does the county secure any outside		13	Mr. Bender, I think you have 328 in		
14	entity, including but not limited to LexisNexis,		14	front of you; is that correct?		
15	to provide training to employees, county		15	A. Yes.		
16	employees, who have access to LexisNexis acco	ounts	16	MS. SMITH: Okay. Matt, can you		
17	through a county contract with LexisNexis?		17	put 328 up on the screen. Thank you.		
18	A. Yes. LexisNexis does provide that		18	BY MS. SMITH:		
19	training.		19	Q. This is the county's social media policy		
20	Q. Did Jane Doe 3 receive that training?		20	as implemented in January of 2016, correct?		
21	_		21	A. That is correct.		
22	when you first sign up.		22	Q. Has this has the county made any		
23	Q. Did Jane Doe 3 receive that training?		23	revisions to this policy since it was implemented?		
24	A. That I don't know.		24	A. Not that I am aware of, no.		
1		age 242	1	Page 244		
2	Q. Did Jane Doe 4 receive that training:		2	Q. Does this policy apply to elected officials?		
3	71. I don't know that.	2	3			
4	Q. Do you know what that training chians	I	4	A. To some. And I'll qualify that		
5	11. Tes. How to log on and now to diffize	•	5	statement, when this policy was introduced, the		
6	the system.		6	president judge refused to accept it. And so		
7	Q. Does the county provide any training t	I	7	anybody falling under the the court system		
8	his employees related to the legally permission	I	8	would not be obligated into this policy.		
9	uses of LexisNexis, to its employees who have	I	9	Q. Okay.		
10	access to LexisNexis accounts through the co	- 1	10	A. I know if you're looking at the		
11	contract with Lexisteris:		11	signatures, but the judge really can weigh in on		
12	A. The county did not provide that			what we do. Any time a policy is implemented, we		
13	uanning, no.		12	send it up to president judge for his review. It		
14	Q. Does the county secure any outside		14	was odd in this case that he had issues with this		
	chitty, including but not infinited to Lexist texts			policy based on first amendments, the individual		
15	to provide training to its employees who have		15	court members court employees under him would		
16	access to Lexisteenis accounts unough the con	• 1	16	not be effected by this policy.		
17	contracts with Lexisteenis regarding the regard	- 1		Q. Okay.		
18	permissible uses of Lexistrexis:		18	But courthouse, not court, but		
19	A. That is provided by Lexistectis.		19	courthouse elected officials, for instance, the		
20	Q. Is that during that same training:		20	treasurer is an elected official, correct?		
21	A. Yes.	.	21	A. Correct.		

22 Q.

 $^{|23|}$ A.

 24 Q

Would this apply to them?

We would expect it to, yes.

We, the county, correct?

And you don't know if Jane Doe 3 or Jane

Page 247 Page 245 to -- per this sentence, are supposed to construe A. The county would. this policy or -- I'm sorry -- social network as Q. Okay. Does it apply to commissioners? broadly and reasonably as possible within its discretion? I would think so, yes. A. Α. Correct. And I would think the Q. Okay. And does it apply to -- so any elected departments they are referring to here would be official of the courthouse, that's not the court the departments under the -- an elected official, like the treasurer's department things like -because they have the right to hire, fire, and A. Correct. -- it would apply to? discipline. Q. 11 11 O. Yes. Okay. A. 12 12 So they have sole discretion because Q. Okay. 13 13 How does the county enforce this policy they have those 1620 rights? if it's violated by an elected official? A. Correct. 15 15 That's a good question. And I don't O. Whereas a department head of a non-elect 16 know that we have disciplined anybody under this official, it would be the county's sole policy because of these first amendments rights. discretion? 18 But, clearly, I think my guidance to employees A. That would be correct. 19 19 that I deal with saying you needed to be careful O. Okay. Understood. 20 what you do on social media. Can it -- it can and Going back to that other sentence about may be used against you at some point. I don't the blogs, the networking sites, all those things, 22 know that we ever have. does that include radio shows? 23 23 MS. JONES: Does what include radio Q. Okav. 24 2.4 If we look to the second page, it -- it shows? Page 246 Page 248 1 tells us the definition of social -- of social BY MS. SMITH: networking. O. That prior sentence, the blogs, the Do you see that? networking sites, the examples of Internet-based A. Yes. social networking sites? That's a good question. It's a media Q. So about midway through that paragraph, 5 A. it says examples of these -- of the types of outlet. I'm not sure that's what this was meant Internet-based social networking sites include to address, but... 8 blogs, networking sites, photo sharing, video Well, in that, those are examples and O. sharing, micro-blogging, podcasts, as well as that sentence -- that last sentence we looked at 10 comments posted on these sites. says, it should be construed as broadly and 11 Do you see that? reasonably as possible. 12 $^{12}|A.$ Uh-huh. Yes. Does the county believe that this policy And then the final sentence of this O. relates to statements of employees made on radio paragraph states: The definition of social shows or radio broadcasts I guess I should call networking will be construed by the county and the 15 them? 16 department as broadly as reasonably possible A. I do --17 17 within its sole discretion. MS. JONES: Object to the form. 18 18 What is the department in that last But you can -- you can answer. 19 19 sentence mean? BY MS. SMITH: 20 A. I would think the department head. Well, you today, you're county administrator, Mr. Bender, for the county. But 21 Q. Okay. How he or she would interpret it. you here today, as well, you also the county, you 22 A. 23 are not Mr. Bender. Q. Okay.

So the department heads are supposed

24

Yes.

have.

Q.

But you don't recall if the one you

reviewed was implemented?

23

Page 249 Page 251 1 1 Q. So does the county, and I think your A. I don't. 2 answer was I do? Q. Does the counsel have an implemented I do. And I think the commissioners A. outside employment policy then? would agree with me, it's that the radio does Yes. A. count. You shouldn't get on the radio. It's Q. Okay. another social outlet and you have to be careful Is it different than this one? what you say. A. No. Okay. All right. You can put that Q. Q. Okay. 9 aside. So this is the county --10 10 MS. SMITH: Matt, I think Alyssa Oh, I'm sorry. A. named it outside employment policy and I will mark That's what I am trying to figure out. 11 11 Q. 12 it for today's purposes as 329. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. We go back -- I Α. 13 think we're on the same path, Ms. Smith, we 14 (Policy marked as Exhibit-329 for iust... 15 15 identification.) So what -- this policy has been Q. 16 16 implemented by the county? BY MS. SMITH: Correct. A. 18 18 This is the county's outside employment Q. Okay. 19 19 policy implemented in November -- well, I'm sorry. This one is -- is an unsigned copy, and This is not a signed policy. that's why I was trying to just make sure that 21 this has, in fact --Do you know whether this policy, outside 22 employment policy actually implemented by the A. That there is a signed -- yes. 23 That this has, in fact, been implemented county? O. 24 A. I don't have that information. and put in place by the county? Page 252 Page 250 1 Q. Did you -- you reviewed the notice of A. Yes. Q. And was that in November of 2005? deposition today -- for today, correct? 3 Uh-hum. A. Yes. A. 4 Q. Q. Is that a yes? Okay. Has there been any revisions to this Yes. Sorry. A. 0. And the list includes the implementation policy since it was implemented, so since November 2009? of policies and/or procedures regarding Schuylkill 8 A. County's outside employment policy, correct? No. 9 A. Q. All right. 10 10 In the first paragraph there under the MS. JONES: What was your question? bolded policy statement, it states: The county of BY MS. SMITH: 12 Did you review this policy in advance of ¹²|Schuylkill prohibits employees from holding two Q. county jobs if the combined work hours exceed 40 today for preparation for today's deposition? 14 14 hours weekly. A. Yes. 15 15 Does that mean that the actual hours Q. Okay. 16 So was it signed and implemented? work cannot exceed 40 or the possible hours 17 I don't know. worked? A. 18 18 But you reviewed it for today before --So, like, someone, if they're full time, Q. could work up to 40 in one job and they, if 19 you reviewed a copy of this before today in employed in a second position, could work up to 40 preparation for today? in another job, but let's say they work five at 21 I did. Could have been the same copy we A. ²² Office A and 35 at Office B, they could work up to 22

²³ 80, but they are actually only working 40? Does

that make -- so I am trying to figure out which it

²⁴ in the coroner's office, correct?

Page 253 Page 255 1 1 is. A. That is correct. 2 MS. JONES: Object to the form. Q. She committed to the field appraiser position for 35 hours a week, correct? But you may answer. THE WITNESS: My interpretation of A. 5 the county is that if you have a full-time job Q. And she worked at the same time in the that's 35 hours a week, so if you took a second coroner's office for more than five hours per job in the courthouse, I don't know of a job out week, correct? there that's only five hours per week. A. That is -- that is correct. I think she But what if the person isn't working any Q. had, yes. 10 10 hours in their current full-time job? Q. Okav. 11 11 Why aren't they? That would be my So did the county not enforce this 12 12 question. If your job -- so if you're a clerk in policy against Ms. Detweiler? 13 an office and you're expected to be there 35 hours A. We did. 14 a week, so you take another job for 15 hours a Q. And how did you do so? 15 week, no, then you couldn't take that job. They had to give up those positions. A. 16 16 What if they're on unpaid suspension, Q. Q. When was she forced to give up that does this policy prohibit that individual who is position? 18 not working any hours from taking a job in an A. In 20, I want to say, '16. elected official's office who has 1620 rights? 19 19 Q. How long did she hold both positions 20 20 I would say, no, that they can't have for? 21 two jobs. And that was Mr. Marshall's A. Longer than that. I don't know when she 22 interpretation as well. started at the coroner's office. 23 23 How -- would it be more than a year that Q. Okay. Q. 2.4 24 But as the County of Schuylkill, as you she held both positions? Page 254 Page 256 sit here today, is it your testimony that an 1 A. I would think so, yes. ² individual cannot hold two county jobs if -- even Q. Would you say more than two years? 3 if they're not working any hours in one of those A. That I don't know. two jobs? O. Why did the county decide to enforce Yes, because they signed -- committed to this policy and have her pick between the two A. a certain number of hours in excess of 40. iobs? So it's -- the policy prohibits an A. The county at one point probably just individual from holding two positions which could ignored that. Well, not probably, just ignored potentially be worked more than 40 hours? it. When I came on board in 2016, this was 10 Correct. addressed to me with the HR officer, Martina A. 11 Okay. Ouasak. And I think inform Dr. Moreland that Q. 12 people cannot work more than five hours in his So it's not the actual hours worked, it's the potential hours they could work? office and he assured me that's what he would do. Correct. We discovered then he wasn't doing that, which is 14 A. 15 All right. now some litigation. Q. 16 When did that litigation begin? 16 You know who Deborah Detweiler is, Q. 17 17 correct? A. I don't know specifically. 18 18 Q. I do. Was it in 2016? A. 19 19 Q. Ms. Deb Detweiler worked full time in A. No. 20 20 the assessment office as a field appraiser, Q. Okay. 21 correct? It was -- it was after that, right? 21 22 22 A. She was. It was after that, yes. A. 23 And she also, at the same time, worked 23 What's the county's definition of a Q. Q.

full-time employee hours wise? Like, how many

Page 257 Page 259 1 hours, when someone's full time, do they have to Q. A tax collector is an elect official? 2 commit to? Α. It is. It depends on the office. Most offices 3 Q. Does she receive payroll from the county A. in the courthouse are 35 hours a week. We have as a tax collector? some offices in the -- in the county that are 37 A. I do believe so. and a half hours a week. We have some that are 40 O. And the Schuylkill County payroll system, Payroll Statistics, would have her listed hours a week. as a part-time employee as a tax collector, Q. Okay. correct? So none less than 35? 10 A. Don't know that for sure. Probably. Full time, no. A. 11 11 Q. Is there a ceiling on the number of Q. Okay. 12 And what about part time, is there any hours that a tax collector can work? set number of hours a part-time employee has to 13 13 A. A tax collector's hours are set by the 14 work? tax collector. 15 15 Between 15 and 20 hours per week. How many hours a week does Ms. -- how A. many hours a week does Heather M. work as a tax 16 So no less than 15? Q. 17 We try to keep the -- the part timers collector? 18 below a certain number of hours to avoid health A. I don't know. 19 19 benefits. O. Okay. 20 20 Okay. But there's a set number of hours? Q. 21 21 So under a certain number, but no less Not really. That's up to the collector. A. So if the tax collector says, I'm going to have my 22 than 15? 23 office open from 9:00 to 2:00 on a Saturday, I would think so. Α. that's when I'm collecting taxes. 24 **Q**. Okay. Page 258 Page 260 1 So you know who Heather Matascavage is? Q. Okay. I am going to say --A. Those are her hours. 3 So she herself, as the tax collector, Matascavage. A. O. Matascavage. I always say it wrong. determines her own hours? Q. Thank you. A. That is correct. You know who she is, correct? Q. So her potential hours as a tax collector are as many as she desires? A. So is a Clerk Typist 2 at the But she's not paid by the hour. She's Q. paid be the amount of taxes she collects. courthouse? 10 10 She is. A. Q. Okav. In what department or division? 11 But she is listed as county part-time Q. 12 employee on the payroll statistics form, correct? A. Tax claim. She's full time there, correct? Α. That I don't know. O. 14 14 O. Is the tax collection office different A. Correct. 15 15 Is she a 35, 37, 40-hour a week? than a tax collector? Q. 16 16 Thirty-five. MS. JONES: I am going to object to A. the form of the question, I think it answers 17 She also is a tax collector for the Q. 18 18 itself. county, correct? 19 But you can answer if you can. 19 A tax? A. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, because 20 Q. Collector. the office is an office and the tax collector is 21 Collector. That is correct. A. 22 As a part-time employee, correct? Q. the tax collector. 23 We don't classify it as that. She's an BY MS. SMITH: A. elected official. Okay.

24 O

Okay. All right.

Page 263 Page 261 And do you know how many hours a week So the tax collection -- a tax collection office employee is not -- is an actual Alicia Beach worked as an acting tax collector, as per the county's payroll statistic form? employee, not what you said, was an elected official as a tax collector, correct? A. I do not. MS. JONES: I am going to object to Q. Okay. the form of that question. A. I would have to -- we are getting into an area I'm very uncomfortable with because the --If you can answer it -each tax collector has to have someone that's THE WITNESS: Could you repeat it? available to collect taxes when they are not --BY MS. SMITH: 10 like if they're on vacation, so that they have --So let's go -- strike that. Q. 11 Ms. Alicia Beach, you know who that is, ¹¹ I didn't know if that was an acting or an correct? alternate, that's by law. So I don't know if ¹³ Melissa -- if Alicia Beach is one of those or not. 13 A. I do. ¹⁴|O. 14 So she is an employee of what office at Okay. Q. 15 the county courthouse? Α. We do know that Heather Matascavage is a 16 County treasurer's office. tax collector and she's not paid by the hour by A. 17 the county, she's paid based on the taxes she Q. Okay. 18 So could that potentially be listed as collects. 19 19 the tax collection office? Q. Okay. 20 20 MS. JONES: I object to the form. Α. She has an independent office, not paid 21 for by the county, that she sits in. And it can MS. SMITH: On the -be in her home or it can be in the office of the 22 MS. JONES: I object it's beyond the scope of this -- this -- this list of topics, municipality where she collects municipal taxes. 24 All right. Let's move on to someone who too. Page 264 Page 262 MS. SMITH: Well, it's is not a tax collector. implementation of this outside employment policy. 2 Do you know who William Burke is? Trying to figure out who they actually implemented A. I do. Is he a county solicitor? against and who they enforced it against and who Q. they choose not to enforce it against. Α. He's a solicitor. He's a solicitor for MS. JONES: Okay. the recorder of deeds office. BY MS. SMITH: Q. Okay. 8 So Ms. Alicia Beach, if the county's So he's not in the same office as 0. payroll statistic form has her listed as full time Defendant Roth, like the solicitor's office? in the tax collection office, county courthouse, 10 Α. Oh, no. No. No. I'm sorry. that would be the treasurer's office? 11 O. 12 12 That would be the treasurer's office. A. Each row office gets to have their own A. 13 solicitor. Q. Okay. 14 14 And then it has her listed as an acting Q. Okay. All right. Thank you for that 15 tax collector. Is that -- but then it has Heather clarification. 16 M. as a tax collector. Are those two different And, I'm sorry, you said he's a 17 things? solicitor for which office? 18 18 Α. Recorder of deeds. A. I don't know. I would have to know 19 19 whether Alicia Beach is an assistant tax collector Q. 20 20 in a municipality. And do you know how many hours a week 21 Well, is Alicia Beach a tax collector in part time he works for that office? 21 Q. 22 any municipality? A. They're not required to work any. I am not aware of any. 23 Q. Do you know how many hours he does work 23 A.

24 though?

.,,,,,	<u> </u>
A. I do not.	into Govern? Like how is that
Q. And he's also an assistant public	A. Someone in the assessment office.
defender part time?	Q. Do you know what position?
A. He is.	A. I don't.
Q. Do you know how many hours he a week	Q. Okay.
he works there?	Is the Govern System the only system
A. I do not.	that is used to complete required to complete
Q. Okay.	the STEB reports or are there others?
In any event, as a solicitor for the	A. No. You need to use that system, yes.
recorder of deeds office, part time, he could work	Q. Right.
up to how many hours?	But other than Govern, is there any
A. I guess it would depend on his caseload.	others?
Q. But what's he allowed to county up to?	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
What's the county permit him? How many hours a	
what's the county permit min: How many hours a week? Because you say part you said the county	Q. Okay.
tries to keep part-time employees under a certain	50 like Smart Term is a system the
amount of health coverage.	County
	A. No. Thi sorry. No. Just the Govern
A. Correct. But he's not paid by the nour.	System.
The s paid a live.	Q. Hold oil.
Q. Tor which office:	Smart Term is a system the county has,
A. Tof the recorder of deeds. The s paid	
nourly in the public describes 5 office.	Λ. 103.
Q. This now many nours a week does no can	Q. Okay.
he work this?	But there's no other systems, other than
A. I don't know in the public defender's	Govern, that Jane Doe 1's position, real estate
office.	market analyst would need to use in order to
³ Q. All right.	complete the STEB reports?
We were talking a little about STEB	A. Correct.
⁵ reports earlier, the State Tax Equalization Board	⁵ Q. Okay.
⁶ reports. The county is responsible for submitting	Can the Govern System be accessed from
⁷ those reports to the State Tax Equalization Board,	⁷ locations other than county buildings?
8 correct?	8 A. Yes.
⁹ A. That's correct.	⁹ Q. And there's deadlines for the STEB
Q. What position at the county is	reports, correct?
responsible for the STEB reports?	$\begin{vmatrix} 11 \end{vmatrix}$ A. There are.
A. The assessment office.	Q. What are those deadlines?
Q. What position?	A. I'm not aware.
A. In general. And specifically it would	Q. Were any deadlines for the STEB reports
be the Jane Doe 1's position.	stayed during COVID the COVID-19 pandemic?
Q. What position is that?	A. What do you mean?
A. Market analyst.	Q. Stayed. Like, were they paused? Did
Q. What information is used to complete	the the state say, there's normally a deadline,
those reports?	but since COVID, we're going to extend them or
A. Current sales.	pause them until we figure what's going on with
Q. Where is that information obtained from?	Pause them that we right what's going on with
A. In our Govern System at the county	A. Yeah. I'm not aware of that.
courthouse.	Q. You're not aware that the state
24 O And who impute the selection and the	Q. Toute not aware that the state

And who inputs the sales information

implemented a hiatus on the reports?

```
A.
       I am not.
```

- What happens if a deadline is not met? Q.
- That I don't know. A.
- I know we touched on electronic devices Q. earlier and I apologize if I am repeating myself. If I am, I am sure your attorney will object.

Does the county have any policies or procedures, whether memorialized in writing or not, regarding the issuance of electronic devices to its employees or -- employees or elected officials?

> MS. JONES: Object to the form. But if you can answer, you may. THE WITNESS: For a device, it

would be up to the department head whether they need that device. For instance, I think we mentioned earlier, the children and youth clerk, the caseworkers have them so they can enter data in the field. Senior services has them. Adult probation has them. So it's up to the department what their needs are.

22 Q. Okay.

11

12

13

14

16

19

23

24

10

12

So let me unpack that a little bit.

Is there any written policy regarding

Page 270 how electronic devices are issued to its employees or elected officials?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

So there is procedures in place, common procedures, but nothing in writing?

Correct. A.

8 Q. Okay.

And it's your testimony that each department head is -- is tasked with or responsible for issuing county electronic device to its employees?

- A. Yes. They decide who should be using 14 them.
- 15 So when you say -- I just want to make Q. sure that I'm clear. When you say a department head, for instance, in the assessment office, it 18 would be the chief assessor still right now, I
- 19 believe it's Mr. --
- 20 A. Hatter.
- 21 -- Hatter, correct? Correct? Q.
- 22 A.
- 23 Sorry. We just need a verbal on the Q.

Page 269 1 So it's a -- field appraiser is in the

assessment office, correct?

3 A. Correct.

O. If a field appraiser needed -- wanted a county cell phone, it would be up to Mr. Hatter to determine if that person should get a county cell phone?

A. Yes.

9 O. Where would Mr. Hatter go then? Like, would he just go to MIS or who -- who has the county devices, electronic devices, was it MIS?

12 Α. The -- those that are on -- on a -- on a data plan, that would come through me.

14 Okay.

15

So let's -- I want to kind of back up a 16 little bit and unpack that.

So if it's something that's not on a 18 data plan and Mr. Hatter says my employee, a field assessor, needs this device not on a data plan, he 20 can go where to get that device?

21 A. He would go to MIS and then MIS would order them if they're just regular -- regular iPads without a data plan.

²⁴ O. Okay.

Page 272

Page 271

And he doesn't have to have approval ² from anyone at the county, other than himself, to get that non-data plan device?

We would look to make sure that's in his A. budget. So if it's not in his budget, he would have to go to the finance department and request that he can get something off -- on that particular line item. He may have to do a budget adjustment. Mr. Buber, who is our -- my finance director, would then contact me and see if I approve that.

12 Q. When you say we will look at his budget, who is we?

14 A. I'm sorry. The finance director, Mr. Buber, and myself. 15

16 Q. 17

So what if he had the money in his budget, does that still have to be reviewed by you 19 and Mr. -- you, Mr. Bender, and Mr. Buber?

No, because that's passively approved

when -- when the commissioners approve the budget. 22 So...

²³ Q. Go ahead. Sorry.

So if he has in his budget for 2023, I'm

Page 273 going to need five new iPads in my office, I put them in my budget. When Paul and I review the budget request at the end of the year, we say, well, you know, he just got five iPads last year, we would strike that or we would call him and say, 6 why do you need five more. And then if they get included in the budget, he has the budgetary authority then to purchase those.

- So if he's planned for in his budget for Q. the year, if it's something planned, he can just go to MIS and get the device?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 **Q**. It's not a data plan. If he hasn't planned for it in his budget and something changes over the course of a year, which can happen, he then comes to you, Mr. Bender, and Mr. Buber and 16 says, I need some wiggle room in my budget to order this device and you review it and either approve or decline it? 19
- 20 Correct. A.
- 21 Q. Okav.

22

1

So that's -- go ahead.

23 Just to be clear, MIS doesn't have them sitting in their office down there.

Q. Fair.

They have to order it?

- Yeah. So he would put a purchase order through, but that purchase order has to be approved by -- and MIS may go out and get a quote for him.
- Q.
- So he knows what to put in that purchase A. order.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- I don't --A.
- 12 Yeah. No. No. It's -- and I Q. appreciate the clarification because that's what

we're trying to find out here today, how the 15 county operates.

16 So that was for non-data devices, data 17 plan devices. For data plan devices that request 18 for those devices come to you, Mr. Bender?

- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. Okay.

And -- and you and only you have to 21 review and approve it or do you have to get input or do you have to involve Mr. Buber in that?

It would have to be in their budget to

do that.

2

3

9

21

Page 274

Q. Okay.

So if it's in their budget, you, and only you, Mr. Bender, can approve it. If it's not in their budget, it, again, requires the involvement of Mr. Buber?

- A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okav.

And is there something at the county called surplus?

- 11 A. From?
- 12 0. For electronic devices, like if they're returned to surplus? Is that... 13
- 14 From time to time when -- when we were 15 there, they get surplused. Say, a computer, the hard drive will be taken out by MIS, the computer box itself and the monitor will be placed downstairs. There's a company that come in and recycle them. 20
 - 0. Okay.

So, for instance, what happens if elected official changes who is elected to position, right? Because they only serve a term, so sometimes they change, correct?

Page 276

Page: 72 (273 - 276)

Page 275

A. Correct.

O. What if someone, an elected official, has been an issued an electronic device from the county and it's still in good and working order and they either don't run the next term or someone unseats them and someone new comes into their elected position, what happens to the no longer elected officials good working order condition electronic devices?

- 10 Α We would offer that to the elected official that comes in, if he wants that. We would -- you know, you have to try to get the -with an iPad, as you well know, if they don't provide you with that Apple ID, you're dead in the water. Than does happen. That does happen and then they're useless.
- 17 Q. Okay.

18 Α. But let's say he puts his Apple ID in and we erase -- erase everything. Then it's like a brand new iPad and this elected official could use it. If he doesn't want it, then it goes to MIS and it can be re-purposed.

23 So barring the not having the Apple ID, which I understand not having that and it being

Page 277 use -- a useless paper, barring that or aside from that, if -- when the elected official is no longer a seated elected official, who do they return their device to?

A. I would say to MIS. Anybody in the commissioner's office will return them to me. since I am in the commissioner's office. But an elected official could just turn them into MIS.

Q. Okay.

11

10 And is that sometimes referred to returning a device to surplus?

12 Yes and no. It's -- it's -- when you A. say surplus, I'm interpreting surplus to mean it's no good anymore, we're going to surplus it.

15 Q. Okay.

16 And it's going to be disposed of. A.

17 Q.

18 So the better word, it would be A. 19 re-purposed.

20 Q. Okay. 21

But when a device is re-purposed, meaning changing hands between employees or elected officials, the county, I think as I understand vour testimony, clears the data or the

Page 278 hard drive or the information on it so it's a blank, new device, new in the sense it has no data?

A new old device, yes. A.

Yeah. Okay. Yes. 0.

So this -- again, this is not a policy in writing, this is just the procedures of the county, correct?

A. Correct.

14

17

18

10 So are employees -- does the county have 0. any procedure about the transfer of devices between employees -- employee to employee, employee to elected official?

MS. JONES: I will object to the form. I am not sure I understand the question, so I am a little hesitant to let him answer.

MS. SMITH: I can clarify it.

BY MS. SMITH:

19 Do you need me to clarify it or do you Q. 20 understand?

21 No, I think I know what you mean. A.

22 Q. Okay.

We -- we have asset reports. Each 23 A. department has what devices they have. So if I'm

Page 279 in my office and I am not using mine and you're in a different office, I say, okay, Ms. Smith, I am going to give you this device. I will then, on the next report, delete that and it was a transfer to whatever your department is. And you on your report, would have added to or transferred into.

Q. Okav.

8 So we track where that -- where that A. device goes.

O. Who is in -- like who is possession and 11 using it, correct?

12 Α. Yes.

13 O. Right.

14

22

1

So that -- that report is -- those notations are made so the county knows who is supposed to be in possession and responsible for that county-issued electronic device?

18 A. That is correct.

19 Q. Okay. 20

And those notations on those reports include a serial number or something regarding the device?

23 A. They do. And the original value of the ²⁴ model.

Page 280

Q. Okay.

When that situation happens, when a department to department -- when a transfer of a device occurs in that matter, does it go to MIS for the data to be wiped, similar to the elected official scenario we were speaking of?

A. It should, yes.

8 Q. Okay.

So MIS should also have some 10 documentation as to that transfer?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay.

Well, they have -- because they get copies of those reports.

Q. Okay.

15

16

So they have the -- the report that each of those departments who transfer the devices do?

18 Α. Yeah. And most times what will happen, say if it's my device and you're going to get it, I would enter my Apple ID, I would erase it, and give you my iPad, and then you've got a clean 22 iPad.

23 Q. Well -- so in that scenario, MIS wasn't involved. So does it go to MIS or does --

Page 281

 1 A. It doesn't have to.

Q. Okay.

So the county procedure, it doesn't have to go to MIS?

- A. Right. So if you have a department, if I am going to transfer to you, then we already know -- if I don't have a use for it, then I take it to MIS and say another departments wants it, you have one available, we can re-purpose that machine.
- Q. Does the county have any policy or procedure, written or not written, about returning devices to surplus, by your definition of surplus, so no longer going to be used, that are subject to a litigation hold?
- A. Well, in that case, they -- they need to go to MIS.
- Q. And then is MIS to wipe them clean and return them to surplus or is MIS -- what -- what are they supposed to do with the county --
- A. If they are in a litigation hold, they are probably in a -- an area down there that's -- that's secure.

Q. Okay.

1

10

11

14

18

19

Are all iPads that the county has issued to Defendant Halcovage in MIS's possession? I'm sorry. Strike that.

Are all electronic -- county-issued electronic devices that have been issued to Defendant Halcovage since May of 2020, in the county's possession?

MS. JONES: I object to the form.

Like, today?

MS. SMITH: Uh-hum.

MS. JONES: Does MIS have them

¹² today, is that your question?

MS. SMITH: Uh-hum. Yes.

THE WITNESS: I think they're

accounted for, yes.

¹⁶BY MS. SMITH:

 17 Q. Okay.

When you say accounted for, whose possession are they in?

- A. The one iPads Commissioner Halcovage still has. There's an iPad that's in MIS. And the Surface is taken back to MIS.
- ²³ Q. Okay.

So MIS has possession of two and

Defendant Halcovage has possession of one, correct?

³ A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

The one that he's in possession of, has

⁶ MIS -- I am sorry if you answered this.

Has MIS ever preserved, cloned, copied the contents of that?

- 9 A. I'm almost certain. Yeah, Stanley comes out to look and to download photos and things like that. Those were all taken off his...
- Off the one he's -- the iPad he's --

13 A. Yes.

¹⁴Q. -- currently in possession of? Photos?

¹⁵ I'm sorry. What else?

¹⁶ A. Photos are about the only thing.

Basically an iPad is used for, as you know, it's a great e-mail device. It's not a device that you

use to store documents. So it's not a big

|²⁰|document depository. But it's used for photos,

media, and -- and e-mails. And the e-mails don't really have to come off of after because they're

in a central place.

Q. We discussed that earlier.

Page 284

Page 283

What about audio recordings?

MS. JONES: What about them?

Well, you were saying things can be

BY MS. SMITH:

- taken off the iPad and it's mostly used for photos and e-mails can be obtained from another location. Were -- did MIS take any audio recordings or -shouldn't say take. Did they clone, copy, preserve any audio recordings that are on
- Defendant Halcovage's iPad that he's in possession of?
- A. I think they downloaded every -- I am pretty sure they -- I know you don't like think or pretty sure, but that was in the decree. So whatever is in decree, Mr. Nester really did a good job in making sure all that stuff was

secured.

- Q. When you say decree, are you referring to the recent consent to the county --
- A. No. No. The one that you submitted, like preserving all documents.
- Q. Okay.

You're calling that a decree. Okay.

I'm sorry. Yeah. It's -- it's --

24

Page 287 Page 285 showed them a copy of the letter and they have 1 And when was that done? Q. to -- and here's what they have to do. MS. JONES: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: That continues to be ³BY MS. SMITH: O. Okay. done. Other than the defendants? BY MS. SMITH: Q. What continues to be done? A. And Commissioners -- Commissioner In other words, they will come up Hetherington, well, he didn't have an iPad. But A. Commissioner Hess, I told him, and everybody else sometimes, like if George were to get a new computer, they would download everything on that that was connected with the defendants, this is computer, the hard drive, and that gets secured something we have to do. 11 so -- or if he gets a new iPad, they would Q. Okav. 12 Did that include the sheriff's download stuff from the old iPad. 13 **Q**. But I am talking about the iPad that department in it, anyone from the sheriff's Defendant Halcovage is currently in possession of. department? 15 A. 15 Okav. I don't believe so, no. A. 16 Q. When Ms. Kutzler came on board through 16 When was the data from that preserved or Q. the Hubric Resources agreement, was she informed 17 cloned? 18 A. That I don't know. of the county's requirement to preserve data and electronically-stored information? 19 Q. So you don't know -- do you know that 20 Α. Yes. 20 that happened? 21 I do not. Q. When Ms. Zula came on board, was she A. 22 informed of the same? 22 Q. Okay. 23 I mean, I have seen MIS in his office 23 A. Yes. Α. 24**Q**. with the iPad. And who informed them of that? Page 286 Page 288 Have you spoken with anyone who has 1 1 A. Q. I did. confirmed that they preserved, cloned, copied the Q. When Mr. Alu was working or contracting contents of that? with the county, was he informed of that? I have not. A. A. So we were -- you were talking about the 5 O. Was Mr. Hatter informed of the county's O. decree, the letter about the preservation that you requirement? received from my office. A. After receiving that, what actions did 8 O. Was Ms. Zimmerman? the county -- other than I think you testified to A. Yes. She's aware of the policy or of you instructing MIS about these electronic -- the 10 the -- of the order. electronic devices we went over earlier on in the 11 Okav. Q. 12 12 deposition. Was Ms. Dash? She's aware of it as well, yes. Is there any other actions that the A. 14 county took thereafter to preserve data and O. Okay. 15 electronically-stored information related to the And who informed these people of that? plaintiffs claims and/or the defendants defenses? 16 Α. I did. 17 17 MS. JONES: I object to the form. Q. All of them? 18 18 It's been asked and answered already. A. Yes. 19 19 But you can answer. O. 20 20 MS. SMITH: I am asking any other And when you say I in this sense, you 21 mean Mr. Bender? actions. 22 MS. JONES: You can answer it. 22 A. Mr. Bender did, ves. I just wanted to make sure the record is 23 2.3 Q. THE WITNESS: Yes. I certainly clear. It gets confusing. went to every defendant and told them that -- and

Page 289 Page 291 1 When you informed them of this, this object to the form and renew my objections to the extent that it's a duplicative request from requirement of the county's, what did you inform them specifically that they were required -existing discovery. That there's a litigation hold on all You may answer if you can. documents and all e-mails. They shouldn't be THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any deleting any documents or deleting any e-mails. written documents. And that MIS would be coming up to make copies BY MS. SMITH: with a machine. The -- the computers of Jane Doe There was testimony about, and I don't Q. 3 and Jane Doe 4 are down in -- in MIS, so they recall who, but there was testimony about 10 communications with the Johnson Controls. are not being used. 11 11 Was the -- were these instructions, any Do you know what Johnson Controls is? Q. 12 Johnson Controls installed the security of these instructions to the people we just went A. 13 13 over, in writing at all or were they all verbal? system at the courthouse. 14 14 They were all verbal. The writing part 0. Okay. 15 15 came from your letter. Was there ever any communication with Johnson Controls about preservation of 16 Q. Okay. 17 surveillance footage from the courthouse related So other than providing my letter, my 18 firm's letter? to the plaintiffs' claims? 19 19 A. I didn't write a letter, no. A. Yes. There was a -- a phone call by me 20 and by Sheriff Groody as to how long things can be 20 Q. What's that? 21 stored. I didn't write a letter, no. A. 22 22 Q. Okay. Q. Any communications with Johnson Controls 23 in writing, by e-mail, by letter? So other than you providing what was on my firm's letterhead, there was no other There would be e-mails to that effect. Page 290 Page 292 independent documentation, whether e-mail, written 1 yes. document from you? 2 Q. From whom to whom? 3 No. A. Probably to Sheriff Groody or Brian A. Tobin, probably, and probably from me. Q. Okay. Does the county have any documents Q. To Johnson Controls? related to communications regarding the 6 A. Yes. preservation or attempted preservation of any Q. Okay. 8 surveillance footage from the courthouse since May So you think there's e-mails from you, of 2020? Mr. Bender, to Johnson Controls and possibly from 10 Sheriff Groody and/or --MS. JONES: Object to the form. 11 You can answer it if you can. 11 A. I do. 12 12 THE WITNESS: Is that a yes? Q. And/or Deputy Tobin to Johnson Controls? 13 MS. JONES: Yes. A. 14 14 THE WITNESS: The one that was --Q. About surveillance footage from the was preserved was the -- the hill incident. 15 courthouse? 16 16 BY MS. SMITH: A. The extent of what we can, how long they 17 17 Q. Right. record. 18 18 My question is more so or is, is there Q. Okay. 19 19 any documents, so like e-mails, letters, text Not actually requesting specific messages, anything like that that the county has 20 preservations of dates or times, just inquiring --21 that show its efforts to preserve, whether Yes. A. 22 successful efforts or unsuccessful efforts, but Q. -- how long footage is retained? 23 23 their attempts to preserve surveillance footage? A. Yes. 24 0 MS. JONES: I am just going to Okav.

Okav.

0

Page 293 Page 295 1 And how long is footage retained? So you made a determination that it The length of time they can be stored? wasn't useful, you, Mr. Bender, made a A. Q. Uh-huh. determination that it wasn't useful, so you didn't preserve it? Two months. A. O. There's been testimony in this case A. I think it was Sheriff Groody. about video surveillance from the courthouse being Q. Did you ask the plaintiffs if they viewed. I know we talked today about one where agreed if they wanted -- that they -- let me there was nothing allegedly useful on it and it strike that. 9 was not preserved. But there was testimony about Did the plaintiffs view it? 10 Jane Doe 1's office being entered into by an A. That I don't know. 11 unknown woman. Was any video surveillance O. There was testimony in this case that 12 preserved from that incident? Defendant Halcovage was walking through the 13 MS. JONES: Object to the form of courthouse unescorted and encountered the the question. I'm not sure how that specific plaintiffs, Jane Doe 3, I believe, and Jane Doe 4. incident fits within the list and it's consistent Do you -- do you -- are you aware of that? 16 16 with my other objections. A. That's factually not correct. He was 17 If you're able, you may answer. being escorted. 18 MS. SMITH: Just for the record, 29 Q. Go ahead. 19 19 is actions taken by SC to ensure preserve of data A. No, that's what I know. 20 and electronically-stored information related to Q. How do you know that? 21 the plaintiffs' claims. Because the woman that escorted him told A. 22 22 BY MS. SMITH: me. 23 23 But I believe your attorney told you Q. Did you take a statement from her? 24 that you can answer. A No. Page 294 Page 296 1 So was there any effort or was that Q. Was there video surveillance reviewed? video footage preserved? 2 A. No. That I don't know. I would have -- I O. If there was testimony that a county A. employer reviewed that video -- or either Ms. Zula did know there was video that people looked at. So if somebody downloaded it, it is probably on a or Ms. Kutzler reviewed that or Deputy Tobin flip drive somewhere. reviewed that, would that be incorrect? Q. Who looked at the video footage? A. I don't have knowledge of that. 8 O. Brian Tobin. Other than the hill incident, have A. Anyone else? any -- has any video surveillance been preserved Q. 10 I think I did up in his office as well. by the county since May of 2020, related to the A. 11 plaintiffs' claims? Q. 12 12 And you, Mr. Bender, and Mr. -- and MS. JONES: Object to the form of Deputy Tobin were both aware of the county's the question. 14 requirement to preserve data including video You can answer if you can. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. surveillance, correct? 16 16 Correct. BY MS. SMITH: A. 17 Q. When -- and I should be clear, you were 17 O. Mr. -- I'm sorry. Mr. Bender. Okay. 18 aware of it when that video surveillance was So now I want to talk about grading 19 19 viewed, correct? scales for employees. So as I understand it, the 20 A. county has a -- a range and a step designation for Yes. Was -- and you believe it was preserved? purposes of salary determination; am I accurate? 21 Q. 22 22 No, because we found out what was -- it A. For exempt employees, yes. ²³ Q. 23 wasn't anybody trying to enter her office.

24

For exempt employees, right.

 $^{21}|Q.$

²² A.

Okay.

So sometimes it can be arbitrary, but

it's based on the HR determination of what their

skill level is and what level they're coming in.

Page 297 Page 299 1 And so how is an exempt employees's pay O. Okay. So let me make sure I understand range decided? Meaning, because there's a range you correctly. 3 and a step, not their range of how they're paid, There's no written policy delineating like the range on that -- that scale, how is that certain criteria that the county has for ranges of decided? exempt employees? MS. JONES: I am objecting to the MS. JONES: Object to the form. I don't believe that's what he said, so I'm form. I don't understand your question. Can you repeat it so I can understand objecting to the form. 9 You can answer that question. it. 10 10 MS. SMITH: So let me rephrase it. MS. SMITH: Sure. BY MS. SMITH: 11 BY MS. SMITH: 11 12 12 So I -- as I understand it, Mr. Bender, Q. Is there a written policy --Q. 13 there's -- each employee who is exempt is assigned A. 14 a range, which is one column on a scale, and a 0. -- regarding assignment of a range to an 15 step, which is another access. And then they are emplovee -followed up to the box where they overlap and that 16 MS. JONES: Wait for the question, determines their salary; am I correct? please. 18 A. Correct. BY MS. SMITH: 19 19 Q. Okay. Is there a written policy of the county 20 for assignment of a range to an exempt employee? MS. SMITH: Does that? 21 MS. JONES: I object to the form. MS. JONES: It helps. 22 22 MS. SMITH: Okay. You can answer. 23 BY MS. SMITH: THE WITNESS: No. 24 So there's two accesses, one is range, ²⁴ BY MS. SMITH: Page 298 Page 300 one is step. I'm trying to figure out how the O. Is there any written document that the employee who is subjected to that, accesses are county has regarding how ranges are assigned for determined. So how their range number is an exempt employee? determined and how their step number is Not that I'm aware of. A. 5 determined. O. Okay. So I want to start with range. Is there So while there may be a procedure in a formula? Is there a written policy? Are there place for certain considerations, such as criteria? Is it discretionary? experience in the field, some other things that It is to a degree discretionary. When you mentioned, those things are procedurally how someone comes in like that, the HR director would the county acts, along with some discretion -look at the particular job, would examine other discretion by the HR office to assign a range to 12 an exempt employee? jobs in the courthouse that are somewhat similar, and then look at the skill level of the person A. Correct. 14 coming in, does she have a little more experience O. Okay. 15 with -- in another company or somewhere else in How about the step, where does the 16 the department. So that might put her at a higher step -- how does that getting assigned? 16 level. 17 It would -- that would be decided on what the final salary -- what the final hourly 18 And sometimes you will look and you say, rate would be. If you're on Range 8, Step 2 and well, we think she should be making X number of 19 you say, well, this person we think should be on a 20 dollars a year. That puts her at Range 8, Step 2.

O.

Step 4, that's a little bit higher of a salary.

new applicant is applying for a position, just

because they are a new applicant, they are not

So for instance, if an employee -- and a

Page: 78 (297 - 300)

Page 301 Page 303 automatically assigned Step 1; am I understanding hourly, it can be \$26.7365. Q. you correctly? But is there one number in that box of Sometimes they should be, yeah. They -the two meeting access? A. they are when -- they should start at Step 1. But A. Yes. 5 if they come in with some different skill levels, Q. Not like this -- somewhere in between 6 we may move them up. this and then county then has discretion to --So -- so not all new employees must be A. I don't think so, no. Q. assigned to Step 1; am I understanding vou Q. Okay. 9 correctly? A. That's why you go up to the next step. 10 10 Q. A. They are not necessarily all assigned Right. 11 Step 1. 11 Because that's why there's a finite 12 Okay. number and if you think they should make more, you Q. 13 move them up a step or up a range, right? And their step -- the step level that they are assigned is somewhat discretionary; as 14 A. Right. 15 I'm understanding you? O. 15 Or down if that would be appropriate, 16 correct? 16 Yes. A. 17 And is also impacted, somewhat as I'm 17 A. Q. Yes. understanding you, by their experience in the 18 O. Okav. 19 19 field? But this step and range access document, 20 it's a physical document that the county A. Yes. 21 maintains, correct? Q. Okav. 22 A. 22 And is there any written policy that the Yes. 23 county has regarding the assignment of step O. Is it updated, like, yearly or -numbers or levels to a -because, like for instance, if it was created in Page 304 Page 302 1 1980 and the range and step still have a set A. A written policy, no. ² number of a salary, that's going to be a lot Q. Okay. different of what the cost of living is versus So pretty much step and range, sound like their conducted and the assignment is now. Is it updated? conducted in the same manner, discretionary with 5 A. One would hope, but I don't -- well, some factors such as experience? know it has to be because I know what some of the Yes. Looking at other people -- other numbers are on there, but I don't know when it gets updated. skill levels in the county, what they're getting paid, what their step and range are. And so if Q. Who updates it? 10 someone is coming in with a lower skill level you A. In HR. don't -- and they're a Step 6, you don't want to 11 O. Okay. 12 bring this person in at a Step 8 if they don't But there is a physical document? 13 A. have the same skill level. 14 14 Q. MS. SMITH: Okay. Just take a Okay. 15 couple-minute break. I think I'm pretty much It's a bit arbitrary, I understand what A. 16 vou're saying. done, so... 17 17 But this access, the two lines with the VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now Q. 18 numbers that you match up and find a range or a 3:14 p.m. and we're going off the record. 19 19 salary, is it a range -- when you match up the access, the box which they overlap at, is it a 20 (Whereupon brief recess was held off the 21 range like 20,000 to 30,000 or is it a -- a finite record.) 22 22 number like 30,000? No. It can be anywhere in between. It 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 23 A. could be \$30,267. And you can get -- if it's 3:24 p.m. and we are back on the record.

```
Page 305
                                                                                                          Page 307
                                                            timing when Boots Hetherington became a county
   BY MS. SMITH:
                                                          <sup>2</sup> commissioner?
          All right.
   Q.
         Mr. Bender, so during the break you did
                                                            A.
                                                                   Probably around March the 2nd. I would
   inform me that you did want to supplement one of
                                                            say it was about two weeks, around the 14th -- it
                                                            was two weeks before the 20th, because he was only
   your answers, so you can go ahead and do that.
                                                          6 in office two weeks.
   A.
           Yeah. I just wanted -- on that -- on
   that range, there's a step zero that everybody is
                                                            0.
                                                                   Of May?
                                                          8
                                                            A.
                                                                   Of may of 2020.
   supposed to start at. But, again, it all depends
                                                          9
                                                            O.
                                                                   So to the extent Mr. Hetherington had a
   on the skill level they're coming in at and if our
   row officer comes down, she's always beating us
                                                            cell phone that was county-issued at the time of
  about coming up maybe to a Step 1.
                                                            notice of any claim, would it be your best belief
                                                            that it was about a two-week period that he had
12
          Okay.
   Q.
                                                         that phone, if -- if at all?
13
         So Step 0 and then do you know what the
                                                         14
                                                                   I'm not sure when he acquired it. He
   highest step range is?
          I do not.
                                                            didn't have a county cell phone at first. He got
15
   A.
                                                         16
                                                            one later -- a little bit later on.
16
          Okay.
   Q.
17
                                                         17
         What about range, where does range
                                                                   Okay.
                                                         18
18
   start, is it a 0 or a 1?
                                                                  Ms. Smith asked you about a -- what I
19
   A.
          No. Range 1.
                                                            will call an organizational chart for the county.
                                                            And you indicated that there may be on that is
20
   Q.
          Okay.
21
                                                            slightly different, either today or then what that
          And that would go up to, maybe, Range
   A.
                                                            looked like. Can you identify what those
22
   15.
23
                                                            difference are?
   Q.
          Okav.
                                                         ^{24}|_{A}.
24
         So there's no Range 0?
                                                                   Well, just the one on the tax claim.
                                                 Page 306
                                                                                                          Page 308
 1
                                                            But if you looked at her -- the job descriptions
   A.
          No.
   Q.
          Okay. All right.
                                                          <sup>2</sup> that get printed out for the tax claim they report
            MS. SMITH: With that, I don't have
                                                            to the county administrator.
                                                                    And what was the issue on how it looked
  any further questions for you, Mr. Bender. I
   think, just for the record, off -- during the
                                                            on the organizational chart?
                                                                    Well, when Bob Fritzman was here, Bob
   break, Mr. Lees and Mr. Geiger and Ms. Wynkoop
                                                            A.
   said that guys don't have any questions for your
                                                            Fritzman was a -- one of the assistant county
   client, correct?
                                                            solicitors. And at one point, he was head of tax
            MR. LEES: That is correct, no
                                                            claim and so the, I guess, moved tax claim under
                                                            solicitor. But in his role as the tax claim
10
   questions.
            MR. GEIGER: Yes, no questions.
                                                            director, he would have reported it to the county
            MS. WYNKOOP: No questions.
12
                                                            administrator, but not in as role as the
13
            MS. SMITH: So then that leaves
                                                            solicitor.
                                                         14
                                                            Q.
                                                                    Okay. Thank you.
14
   Marie.
                                                         15
                                                                    Me, being Gary Bender, the
15
            MS. JONES: I just have a couple of
                                                            A.
                                                         16
   follow and I am sure my voice will get me very far
                                                            administrator, but not the county.
16
                                                         17
17
                                                                    While there may not, from your earlier
18
            But, Paul, it wasn't a rave, it was
                                                            testimony, have been a written policy on the
                                                            process for conducting investigations on
19
   a really fabulous wedding.
20
                                                            complaints of discrimination or harassment, was
                                                            there a practice at the county about what an
21
               Examination
                                                            employee should do to make a complaint, and then
                                                         <sup>23</sup> if so, what would happen with that complaint?
  BY MS. JONES:
23
```

Can -- can you identify, Mr. Bender, the

Yes. And if there are complaints of any

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

	T		
1	nature, that should go to the immediate	1	to retaliation to them, there were not specific
2	supervisor. If not resolved, then it goes to the	2	investigations with interviews on every instance,
3	department head and it goes to HR. There's	3	were there?
4	there's certainly a chain of command as complaints	4	A. No.
5	move up the system. Say, if you say if you	5	Q. I think you did identify some responses
6		6	that you were aware of. I think one I recall was
7	then he would go to the director, I could see, and	7	you said Mr. Marshall even responded?
8		8	A. Yes.
9		9	Q. But were you aware, for example, that
10		10	Ms. Zula also responded to some e-mails to them?
11		11	A. Yes.
12		12	Q. You described what you called kind of
13	_	13	educational counseling or educational warnings.
14		14	
15		15	educational warning or a verbal warning for the
16	· ·	16	matter in which Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 sought
17	•	17	to meet with the commissioners about their
18	·	18	concerns in dealing with the county administrator?
19		19	A. What was my response to the
20		20	commissioners?
21	Q. Okay.	21	Q. What what was your understanding of
22	And is that the matter that was	22	the county's response to that?
23	described involving Ms. Twigg and you and a number	23	A. That they should not have a meeting
24	of interviews?	24	without the county administrator present.
1	Page 310	1	Page 312
2	Λ. 105.	2	Q. And did you understand a meeting occurred?
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	A. Yes.
4	Jane Doe 3 or Jane Doe 4 made any complaints about	4	Q. Would you characterize that in the
5	discrimination, harassment, or retaliation. Are	5	category of an educational session like you've
6		6	described earlier, as opposed to a formal verbal
7	*	7	warning of any kind?
8		8	A. To me.
9	as there as being based in their belief, on a	9	Q. No. To Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4?
10		10	A. Yes.
11		11	Q. And and were these educational
12	_	12	discussions or sessions in part because the
13	1 -	13	supervisor might communicate with an employee on a
14		14	more regular basis than, say, HR or someone at a
15		15	higher level, and so that the employee could be
16		16	made aware when something happens as to whether
17		17	the supervisor thought it was good or bad?
18		18	A. Sure. Everybody makes mistake and
19		19	everybody needs to have maybe some guidance
20		20	sometimes. So if a department head doesn't
21		21	necessarily want to let it let it rise to the
22	_ ·	22	level of an official warning, they just some
23		23	people are sheepish and they don't want to give
24	the county and, therefore, they made a connection	24	
_			

Page 313 confusion down the road if the behavior continues.

- You were asked questions by Ms. Smith Q. about criticisms that may have been issued about various people. And I think in one instance or two you identified criticisms that members of the public may have made at public meetings.
- A.
- Q. Are members of the public at virtually all the commissioners' meetings?
- 10 They have been for quite sometime. A.
- 11 And in that capacity, do members of the Q. public make criticisms about a lot of the public 13 officials?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Are those kinds of criticisms identified Q. as a complaint that the county believes the HR 16 department has to investigate?
- No. Most of them are derogatory and the 18 A. 19 commissioners don't have the backbone to -- I chastise my commissioners all the time. They allow people that work under me to be denigrated at these meetings. That's not fair. It's not right.
- ²⁴ **O**. So -- so the criticisms that you

Page 314 describe or the lack of criticisms that you described to Ms. Smith were based on the county management or the county leadership criticizing or not criticizing when you answered those questions, versus the public, for example?

- A. Could you repeat that again? I didn't hear you.
- Q. Yeah. It was kind of a confusing question.

10 So you -- you answered that in many instances, there were no criticizing -- criticisms of some of these people, either the plaintiffs or the defendants. And -- and those answers were based on the county criticizing, as opposed to some member of the public.

- 16 Okay. Yeah. A.
- Okay. 17 Q.

18 You were -- you were asked some questions about LexisNexis. What is your 19 understanding of who was trained on LexisNexis?

- My understanding that certainly Jane Doe 21 A. 3 and Jane Doe 4 took the training. When you get on the LexisNexis -- and I did have access to it
- after all this happened, because I want to see

Page 315 what takes place when you log on to that. The first thing you're required to do is take the training. So on the training it tells you to set up users. You set up administrator and then you set up users. And the administrator then dictates what the user may or may not access.

- So is it your understanding that any of the departments that you described that had availability to use LexisNexis in the county, would have had to have that training by 11 LexisNexis?
- 12 Α. Yes. And if you go onto the site, there are opportunities for further training are there on that site.
- 15 O. So when Ms. Smith was asking questions about LexisNexis training to Jane Doe 3 or Jane Doe 4, based at least in part on your own personal use of that process, the training that you said you went through, is it your understanding that each of those two would have had to have received ²¹ the training because they were able to log on and 22 access the system?
- 23 A. I would think so, yes.
- 24**Q**. Okay.

Page 316 And did the training describe the appropriate uses or the -- the nature of the uses of the system?

- Not -- no, not to that degree. What -what they -- I took that to mean, you -- you set up an administrator and a user and what the administrator sets up the parameter of what the user can see, that means that everybody shouldn't see everything.
- 10 O. Okay. 11

So by having restrictions on who can see what in its system, it's -- is it your understanding that that was how any particular department would limb who can access --15

- A. Yes.
- 16 -- this system? 0.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. Okay.

19 So I can understand the tax collector area of inquiry that we heard earlier. Is a tax collector a municipal, not a county position? 22

- A. That is correct.
- 23 O. And so in the case of Heather
- Matascavage, she worked at the county as an

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com **Everest Court Reporting LLC**

Page 319

Page 317 employee, but she held a separate position as an elected official in some municipality in which she lived?

- A. And the school district.
- I'm sorry. The school district in which Q. she lived?
- A. Yes.

12

Q. Okav.

And the county -- do you -- do you believe the county can prevent somebody from running for office as a tax collector in their municipality?

- 13 A. I do not think so, no.
- 14 Q. So they're not being paid as a county employee when they receive funds as a tax 16 collector, are they?
- It is my opinion that they are not, because they also receive money from the 19 municipality and from the school district, many schools, not all the districts.
- 21 I think you said that the office they O. have is either their own paid office or their home, but it's not a county office?
- A. Correct. In Porter Township, we allow

Page 318 our tax collector to use the township building on a Saturday if she wants to collect taxes. She's used that from time -- not all time. And then she has an office at her own home.

Q. Okav.

With respect to the video evidence, to the extent the county was made aware by any of the plaintiffs of an incident, is it your understanding that the extent to which there might be video of that area was reviewed with the assistance of the sheriff's department?

- $^{12}|A.$
- And if that was reviewed and there was 13 O. nothing on the video to reflect information connected to the complaint, is it your understanding that that's why video evidence may or may not have been retained?
- 18 Correct. A. And, finally, so I understand the access 19 issue a little better, it's like a chart, if I'm not misunderstanding this, where it kind of has an L and the initial hire is where the first decision has to be made on where someone is placed. But once they're placed, do they then follow a range

and step to change their income or salary?

That -- that's an interesting point, because it is not used that way. When you start at the county, let's say you start as a clerk and you remain a clerk, you basically get a 3 percent raise each year. But there's -- the only other avenue for you, a lot of times, is to become a clerk -- you have to start as a Clerk 2, to try and get to a Clerk 3 position.

It's very difficult in the county to make more money outside of assuming a responsibility. So if you're a Clerk 1, you will tend to get those raises.

You, you know, I've always viewed that, that range and step has -- so if you had progressive incentive raises from time to time, that you could go up a step or two, that's not what happens.

O. Okay.

11

19

20 Most of the time. And sometimes they Α. do, they will come in, oh, this person has taken on more responsibilities, I like to see them get a raise. That has to be reviewed and then what HR does, we look at -- HR would look at what they're

Page 320 doing versus watching the job. Is it -- is it -is it more work or is it higher-level work? There's a difference. And just for more work, that maybe doesn't cut it sometimes. But higher-level work certainly does.

- O. And how does that play in with a collective bargaining agreement that might exist? 8
- A. Yes.
- How does it play in? Like, how -- does Q. the -- does the union agreement dictate how that chart is utilized in any way?
- 12 A. Their are start rates in the union that are separate from that grid, that range of step.
- 14 O. Okay.
- 15 A. These are also for non -- for non -- or 16 for exempt employees.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. For non-union non-exempt employees.
- 19 O.
- And so union has the start rate. You come in that office and on the ASFCME union. here's the starting rate. And so you go up from there. And sometimes they say after six months,
- ²⁴ you get an increase. After a year you get an

Page 321 Page 323 1 increase. Maybe up to three years, I think in the THE WITNESS: That's a long answer clerk of courts office after three years you get to it, it only required a short answer. Sorry. 3 MS. JONES: That's all right. an increase. But outside of that, it's very difficult Thank you very much. to -- to get raise races. It's -- it's a problem MS. SMITH: I don't have any further questions based on that. we face at that county all the time. I think you testified there was no VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now Q. formal evaluation process at the county whereby 3:44 p.m. and we're going off the record. every employee got an evaluation on a certain 10 increment of time? (Whereupon, deposition concluded at 3:44 11 11 A. That is true, we do not. p.m.) 12 12 Q. Is there a less formal method whereby 13 13 department heads may evaluate their employees for 14 things like you've just described, trying to 15 determine if because they're a good employee, they 16 16 can get paid more? 17 Yes. So what you do is -- like I have 17 18 one going on with -- with my chief clerk. My 19 19 chief clerk when she came on board had a certain 20 level she had to do. She's taken a lot more 21 responsibilities, and so I'd like to get her a 22 higher salary. That's a very difficult thing to 23 do, even for me, at the county because 24 commissioners are hard on that. But she has put Page 322 in a lot of extra time, not only just time, but different skill levels of work. So do department heads have some ability O. to advocate for their employees --A. Yes. Q. -- within the system? Okay. A. And we just went through a top down at senior services or children and youth, I'm sorry, that they were -- we were losing a lot of people down at children and youth, and not just us, statewide. So we instituted an intensive pay or a retention bonus for them to try to keep employees. ¹³ It's a struggle, not just for counties, for everybody right now. And so my goal and in our last contract, is to start raising the base rates. 16 I think sometimes -- if I am getting wordy, just 17 stop me. 18 You're -- you're -- the people that do 19 union contracts are generally long-term people and they have less concern about the base rate then they do about something that's going to effect them. So we're trying. 22 23 MS. JONES: That's all the ²⁴ questions I have.

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, COLEEN TRIFUN, RPR and Notary Public,
5	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of the stenographic notes
7	taken by me in the aforementioned matter.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	DATE:
22	COLEEN TRIFUN, RPR
23	
24	

EXHIBIT P5

```
_____
1
2
    JANE DOE, et al.,
                              : UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              Plaintiff
                              : MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
3
           v.
    SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
                               : CIVIL DOCKET NO:
4
    COURTHOUSE, et al.,
                               : 3:21-CV-00477
              Defendants
5
6
7
                             * * *
8
                          VOLUME I
9
                             * * *
10
               TRANSCRIPT MARKED CONFIDENTIAL
                             * * *
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
              VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF HEIDI ZULA taken
18
19
    at the U.S. Attorney's Office, Middle District of
20
    Pennsylvania, 228 Walnut Street, Harrisburg,
21
    Pennsylvania 17108 on Wednesday, October 19, 2022 at
22
    9:16 a.m. before Coleen Trifun, RPR and Notary
23
    Public.
24
```

1	APPEA	RANCES:
2		DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC BY: CATHERINE SMITH, ESQUIRE
3		1835 Market Street Suite 2950
4		Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 catherine@dereksmith.com
5		Counsel for the Plaintiff
6		
7		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION
8		BY: AMBER FOX, ESQUIRE ALLAN TOWNSEND, ESQUIRE
9		150 M St. NE Room 9.932 Washington, District of Columbia 20002
10		amber.fox@usdoj.gov allan.townsend@usdoj.gov
11		Counsel for the Plaintiffs
12		NEWMAN WILLIAM, P.C. BY: GERARD J. GEIGER, ESQUIRE
13		P.O. BOX 511 712 Monroe Street
14		Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18360 ggeiger@newmanwilliams.com
15		Counsel for George Halcovage
16		MCNERNEY PAGE VANDERLIN & HALL BY: NICOLE IPPOLITO, ESQUIRE
17		433 Market Street Williamsport, Pennsylvania 17701
18		nippolito@mpvhlaw.com Counsel for Glenn Roth
19		
20		JONES PASSODELIS MARIA N. PIPAK, ESQUIRE
21		Gulf Tower, Suite 3410 707 Grant Street
22		Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 mpipak@jonespassodelis.com
23		Counsel for Gary Bender and Heidi Zula
24		

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC Docutoential Office O

Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised

1	DICKIE MCCAMEY
2	BY: PAUL G. LEES, ESQUIRE 190 Brodhead Road, Suite 310 Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18017
3	plees@dmclaw.com Counsel for additional parties
4	counsel for addressed
5	ALSO PRESENT: ALYSSA DEBISE, PARALEGAL
6	JANE DOE 1 JANE DOE 3
7	JANE DOE 4(via Zoom) GEORGE HALCOVAGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

			•	
1				
2		INDEX		
3				
4	WITNESS	INTERROGATION BY	PAGE	
5	HEIDI ZULA			
6		By Ms. Smith	10	
7				
8		EXHIBITS		
10	EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
11	Exhibit-86	Zula 24	15	
12	Exhibit-87	Zula 13	23	
13	Exhibit-88	Zula 35-36	25	
14	Exhibit-89	Zula 23	27	
15	Exhibit-90	Zula 37-38	29	
16	Exhibit-91	Zula 25	32	
17	Exhibit-92	Zula 40-42	38	
18	Exhibit-93	Zula 48	42	
19	Exhibit-94	Zula 49-52	42	
20	Exhibit-95	Zula 817-820	95	
21	Exhibit-96	Zula 46	63	
22	Exhibit-97	SC1254-1259	65	
23	Exhibit-98	Zula 237-243	66	
24	Exhibit-99	Zula 473-474	72	

1	Exhibit-100	Zula 448, 441-442	75
2	Exhibit-101	Zula 55-138	78
3	Exhibit-102	Zula 139-236	79
4	Exhibit-103	Zula 244-250	86
5	Exhibit-104	Zula 47	86
6	Exhibit-105	Zula 251-257	100
7	Exhibit-106	Zula 258-260	111
8	Exhibit-107	Zula 855-857	116
9	Exhibit-108	Zula 2759-2760	135
10	Exhibit-109	Zula 707-708	139
11	Exhibit-110	Zula 709-710	141
12	Exhibit-111	Zula 318-319	150
13	Exhibit-112	Zula 575	151
14	Exhibit-113	Zula 630-632	156
15	Exhibit-114	Doe 575	167
16	Exhibit-115	Doe 583	183
17	Exhibit-116	Doe 596-600	199
18	Exhibit-117	Zula 399-402	208
19	Exhibit-118	Zula 413-415	217
20	Exhibit-119	Zula 451-453	261
21	Exhibit-120	Zula 390	272
22	Exhibit-121	SC633	293
23	Exhibit-122	Zula 268-270	295
24	Exhibit-123	Zula 420	314

1	Exhibit-124	Zula	426	316
2	Exhibit-125	Zula	2481	318
3	Exhibit-126	Zula	550-551	322
4	Exhibit-127	Zula	274	330
5	Exhibit-128	Zula	271-273	339
6	Exhibit-129	Zula	800-801	341
7	Exhibit-130	Zula	470-472 & 454-455	347
8	Exhibit-131	Zula	475-477	352
9	Exhibit-132	Zula	460-463	354
10	Exhibit-133	Zula	288-291	356
11	Exhibit-134	Zula	555-556	362
12	Exhibit-135	Zula	571-573	363
13	Exhibit-136	Zula	886, 292-293	366
14	Exhibit-137	Zula	673-674	382
15	Exhibit-138	Zula	685-687	390
16	Exhibit-139	Zula	701	395
17		-		
18	PREV	TIOUSLY MARKEI	O EXHIBITS MENTION	ED
19	Exhibit-43	Page 45 Line	e 13	
20		-	-, -	
21				
22				
23				
24				

1		DIRECTION T	TIW OT	NESS NO	г то	ANSWER		
2	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE		PAGE	LINE	
3	(None)							
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11		REQUEST FOR	PRODU	CTION O	F DOC	CUMENTS		
12	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE		PAGE	LINE	
13			(N	one)				
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

Page 10 1 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like and privilege only, correct? 2 a copy of the transcript? (All parties agreed.) 3 MS. IPPOLITO: Yes. 4 HEIDI ZULA, having been first duly sworn, MS. PIPAK: Yes, for the county was examined and testified as follows: 5 defendants. 6 MR. LEES: I'm not going to order. 7 MR. GEIGER: I'll have one in ASCII Examination 8 format. 9 MS. FOX: Yes. BY MS. SMITH: 10 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the Good morning, Ms. Zula. As you know, my O. record. My name is Alecia Katz of Everest Court 11 name is Catherine Smith and I represent the 11 Reporting. The date today is October 19, 2022, plaintiffs in this matter. I am going to go and the time is approximately 9:16 a.m. This through some instructions here at the beginning deposition is located at 228 Walnut Street in and ask you some questions. 15 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The caption in the case Have you spoken with your attorney is Jane Doe et al versus Schuylkill County 16 16 regarding the procedures for the taking of your Courthouse et al. deposition? 18 18 The name of the witness is Heidi MS. PIPAK: Objection. 19 19 Zula. At this time, will the attorneys identify BY MS. SMITH: 20 themselves, the parties they represent, and after I am just asking if -- not the contents which our court reporter, Coleen Trifun, will of the conversation, just have you spoken with 22 22 swear in the witness and we can proceed. your attorney? 23 23 MS. SMITH: On behalf of the A. Yes. 24 plaintiffs, Jane Doe through Jane Doe Four, O. Okay. Page 11 Catherine Smith from the Derek Smith Law Group. And you understand that you've now been And present in the room are plaintiffs Jane Doe 3 placed under oath and that you have an obligation and Plaintiff Jane Doe 4. Appearing by Zoom is to testify truthfully? plaintiff Jane Doe 1. Also present on behalf of A. Yes. the Derrick Smith Law Group is my paralegal Alyssa O. You understand that even though we are DeBise. in an informal conference room, that your testimony has the same force and effect as if MS. FOX: Amber Fox, plaintiff intervener, United States Department of Justice. you're testifying in a court of law before a judge MS. TOWNSEND: Allen Townsend, also or a jury? 10 with the Department of Justice. 10 A. 11 MS. PIPAK: Maria Pipak for the 11 You understand that the court reporter O. witness Heidi Zula, Gary Bender, and the county. to your left is going to be taking everything that 12 MR. LEES: Paul Lees for defendant is said down during the deposition and your testimony will later be transcribed? 14 Kutzler. 15 15 MR. GEIGER: Gerry Geiger here for A. Yes. 16 Defendant Halcovage and also Mr. Halcovage is 16 Do you understand that the court 17 present with me. reporter cannot transcribe inaudible responses 18 MS. IPPOLITO: Nicole Ippolito for such as the nod of a head, and therefore, you must 19 19 Defendant Glen Roth. make audible responses? 20 20 MS. SMITH: And, Counsel, there's a Α. 21 stipulation that this transcript in its entirety O. 21 Do you understand that you should wait for the complete question to be asked before 22 will be marked confidential. 23 responding and I similarly will wait for you to (All parties agreed.) MS. SMITH: Objections as to form ²⁴ completely answer the question before I ask my

Page 14 Page 12 Plaintiff Jane Doe One if I refer to Jane Doe 1? next? $^{2}|A.$ 2 Yes. A. Yes. Q. If you do not understand a question or 3 O. Okay. if you think it was ambiguous, please let me know And Jane Doe 2 or Jane Doe 2, that I am and I will rephrase the question. referring to Jane Doe 2 or Jane Doe Three -- or I'm sorry -- Jane Doe Four -- sorry -- Jane Doe Do you understand? Two. I can't get this right. Jane Doe Two? A. Yes. Do you agree that if you do not Q. A. 9 otherwise indicate, I will assume that you've Q. Okay. understood my question? 10 And Jane Doe 4 or Jane Doe 4, that I am 11 A. Yes. 11 referring to Ms. Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe Four? 12 12 Q. If at any time you realize that an A. Yes. answer given earlier in your deposition was 13 13 Q. And Ms. Jane Doe 3 or Jane Doe 3, that I inaccurate or incomplete, please let me know that am referring to Jane Doe Three? you wish to correct or supplement your answer. 15 15 A. Yes. Do you understand? 16 16 Q. Okay. 17 17 Yes. And when I refer to the county, I am A. 18 If you do not know or remember the referring to defendant Schuylkill County. O. 19 19 information necessary to answer a question, please Do you understand that? 20 let me know. I may ask you to generalize if you A. Yes. 21 can give me a year or a month, but if you don't Ms. Zula, your employment with O. 22 know a specific date, please don't guess. Schuylkill County began on January 11, 2021, 23 Do you understand that? correct? 24 24 Yes. A. Yes. A. Page 13 Page 15 Have you recently consumed any 1 Q. O. And periodically throughout the medication, alcohol, or any other substance which deposition I will be marking exhibits. I will impairs your ability to understand and testify provide you with a copy, as well as others with truthfully here today? copies. No. A. O. Is there any reason that you can think marked as Exhibit for of that renders you inable -- unable to testify identification.) truthfully here today? MS. SMITH: This is going to be A. No. 10 If at any time you need a break, please Zula-24, Exhibit 30 -- I mean 86, but Zula-24. 0. let me know. The only requirement I have or BY MS. SMITH: ¹²Q. request I have is that you answer any question When I reference the Zula or others, posed to you and then we'll take a break. it's this number down the bottom. It's just what 14 Do you understand? we've marked it for identification purposes. 15 15 So I'm going to ask if you recognize A. Yes. 16 this document? 16 Have you understood the instructions? Q. 17 17 A. Yes. A. 18 18 This is what's called a personal action Do you have any questions? Q. Q. 19 request form for the county? 19 No. A. 20 Q. All right. A. Yes, that's correct. 21 Ms. Zula, periodically throughout the Q. And it's commonly referred to as a PAR? 2.1 deposition I may be referring to individuals by 22 Yes. A. 23 Who can complete a PAR? 23 names, Jane Doe 1 or Jane Doe 1. Q.

Do you understand that I'm referring to

24

The PARs can be completed typically by

You're good.

Page 16 Page 18 the supervisor. BY MS. SMITH: 2 Anyone else? Q. On the top right of this form there's Q. They can be completed by HR or anyone in two stamps, one is approved by the commissioners A. the chain of command typically. and one is approved by the salary board. And this is a PAR completed by Defendant Do you see those? O. Gary Bender, who at the time it was completed, was A. Yes. county administrator, correct? O. This is when your actual appointment to A. Yes. the position was voted on by the commissioners, And it was created November on 13, 2020, correct? Q. 10 10 A. correct? Yes. 11 11 Yes. Q. Prior to -- and that would be A. December 9, 2020, which is when their stamp is, 12 Had you applied to the county for Q. 13 employment before November 13, 2020? correct? 14 14 Yes. A. Yes. 15 15 And there's a section for -- it's called Were you placed on an agenda for a vote O. Q. 16 prior to December 9, 2020? 16 recommend -- recommended status. 17 Yes. Do you see that about the middle? A. 18 Yes. 18 O. Do you remember when that was? A. And there's full time, part time, 19 19 Q. A. It was the end of November of 2020. I 20 temporary, or per diem? don't remember the exact date. 20 21 Yes. O. A. Okav. 22 22 Q. Who makes that selection? But do you remember -- so there's -- as 23 they are listed on the county's website, there's Whoever is completing the form. A. 24 And then there's exempt or non-exempt ²⁴ commissioners meetings and executive sessions, O. Page 17 Page 19 Do you see that? correct? 2 A. Yes. No. A. 3 Who completes that portion? O. There's commissioner's meetings and work Q. Whoever is completing the form. sessions; is that what it is? A. Down the bottom there's a section for 5 Α. Yes. Q. human resources recommendation. 6 Q. Okay. Do you remember if the one that you were Do you see that? initially placed on the agenda for was a work A. Yes. And on this part, it circled approved session or a commissioner's meeting? Q. 10 10 I don't recall. and it looks like there's initials DWK or A. 11 something along those lines. 11 O. Do you remember if it was October 24, 12 12 2020? Do you see that? 13 A. Yes. I don't recall the exact date. A. 14 14 Q. Defendant Halcovage was a commission on Q. Do you know whose initials those are? December 9, 2020, correct? 15 They're Doreen Kutzler's. A. 16 16 She was the interim human resources A. Yes. O. 17 17 director at the time, correct? Q. Do you know if he voted on your 18 appointment to the position of human resources A. Yes. Do you know what county policy or 19 19 director? Q. 20 20 procedure is if the disapproved selection is A. I'm not exactly certain of the vote. 21 circled in that portion of the PAR? O. There's -- we talked about the stamp of 21 the salary board. It's the same date and the vote 22 No. A. on your salary was done on December 9, 2020, 23 MS. PIPAK: Objection.

²⁴ correct?

 $^{23}|A.$

²⁴ Q.

Yes.

The second one was just with the

DC	position of Heidi Zuia voi. I - Revised		Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuyikin County Courthouse, et al.
1	A. Yes.	1	commissioners?
2		2	A. No. Doreen Kutzler was there, Gary
3	of 79,000?	3	Bender, and the commissioners.
4	A. No.	4	
5		5	
6		6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	prior to your offer of employment regarding your	7	Q. Okay.
8	salary?	8	A. He was present for the interview, but he
9	A. Yes.	9	was not present physically.
10	Q. With whom:	10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Doreen Kutzler is the person that I	11	A. He was on the phone.
12	dealt with.		Q. Understood.
	Q. Any other discussions with anyone cisc	12	When, if you recall, did this let's
13	regarding your safary amount:	13	start with the first one. When did that take
14	A. NO.	14	place?
15	Q. Was that the limital safary that was	15	A. That one happened at the end of
16	offered to you of did you negotiate?	16	September in 2020.
17	A. I negotiated.	17	Q. And then when did the second interview
18	Q. Okay.	18	with the commissioners present take place?
19	What was the initial salary that was	19	A. I don't exactly recall. I believe it
20	offered to you?	20	was in the end of October of 2020.
21	A. I don't exactly recall. I don't I	21	Q. Did they both occur at the same
22	don't exactly recall what it was.	22	location?
23	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	A. Yes.
24	_	24	Q. At the courthouse?
1	Page 21	1	Page 23
2	A. 168.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay.		Q. Prior to interviewing, did you know
	Trow did you learn of the position of the	3	Defendant Halcovage?
4	human resources director with the county?	4	A. No.
5	A. It was an indeed posting.	5	Q. Prior to interviewing, did you know Gary
6	Q. Did anyone alert you to it of did you	6	Bender?
7	just come across it on your own?	7	A. No. Well, let me just say, I knew of
8	A. Two, I came across it on my own.	8	George Halcovage. And did not know of Gary
9	Q. Did you interview with anyone prior to	9	Bender.
10	being offered the position?	10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Yes.	11	A. And I did not know them personally.
12	Q. Who?	12	Q. Did you fill out an employment
13	A. It was Doreen Kutzler was in my	13	application?
14	interview, Gary Bender, I believe Lisa Mahall, and	14	A. Yes.
15	Elaine Gilbert were a part of the interview panel.	15	Q. Do you recall when?
16		16	A. I don't recall the exact date, no. I
17		17	believe it was in September, sometime, of 2020.
18		18	Q. Do you believe a copy of your
19		19	application is maintained in your personnel file?
20		20	A. Yes.
21		21	MS. SMITH: Going to mark this
22		22	Exhibit 87.
23	A 37	23	

23

24

(Zula-13 marked as Exhibit-87 for

Page 24 Page 26 1 identification.) BY MS. SMITH: Do you recognize this document? MS. SMITH: Zula 13. O. A. BY MS. SMITH: Ms. Zula, do you recognize this Q. Did you receive this letter from then O. document? interim director Heidi Zula -- I'm sorry -- Doreen Kutzler on or about November 12, 2020? A. No. You didn't complete this? A. Q. Q. And this letter indicates that you would A. 10 Have you ever seen this type of new hire report to Defendant Gary Bender, county 0. 11 administrator? checklist? 12 12 No. A. Yes. A. 13 13 This indicates your employment Q. Q. And that was your -- it was your 14 application was received 9/17/2020. understanding that Mr. Bender was your direct supervisor, correct? 15 Does that sound about right? 16 16 Yes. A. Yes. A. 17 So you've never utilized this type of 0. This letter indicates that the position Q. form with new hires with the county? offered to you was a full-time exempt position, 19 19 I did not hire handle the new hire correct? 20 20 paperwork when I worked at the county, so, no, I A. Yes. 21 did not utilize this form. If we look back and -- I'm sorry I O. forgot. Okay, I'll ask you to refer back to 22 Q. So in your entire employment with the county, you never handled any new hire paperwork? exhibits. Your PAR indicates a full-time non-exempt position. No. I'm not saying that, but I'm saying Page 27 Page 25 1 that I did not use this checklist. Do you know why that is? 2 0. Okay. A. I do not know. 3 So you have done new hire paperwork with Q. Were you exempt or non-exempt? new hires, but in doing so, you have not utilized A. I was -- I was exempt. 5 this form? O. Okay. If we look -- sorry -- back to the one MS. PIPAK: Objection. in front of you, there are -- if you look to the You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: I was not -- I did top of Page 2, which is Zula 36, the first portion of that states: This job offer is contingent upon not do it. I have assisted doing new hire paperwork when one of the staff members in HR was 10 the following and it has passing a drug test and not available, but that was not part of my regular physical exam, satisfactory reference checks, and course of business and I did not compile personnel execution of an employment non-complete ¹³ files or do the checklists at all. I didn't even confidentiality agreement. 14 know this existed. Do you see that? 15 15 BY MS. SMITH: A. Yes. 16 16 Did you understand that these were the Q. only three things upon which your job offer was 17 Who, during your employment, was tasked contingent? 18 with compiling new hire documents? 18 Ann Craft, the administrative assistant 19 19 A. A. Yes. 20 20 in the HR office. Q. You can put that one aside. 21 MS. SMITH: Zula 23. Exhibit 89. 2.1 MS. SMITH: Look at Zula 35 and 36. 22 2.2 23 23 (Zula 35-36 marked as Exhibit-88 for (Zula 23 marked as Exhibit-89 for ²⁴ identification.) ²⁴ identification.)

	Page 28		Page 30
1		1	submitted to the county?
2	BY MS. SMITH:	2	A. Yes, that's correct.
3	Q. Do you recognize this document?	3	Q. Is this different than the application
4	A. Yes.	4	for employment that you submitted?
5	Q. Did you receive this letter from interim	5	A. I don't recall what my application of
6	director Doreen Kutzler on or about December 11th?	6	employment said, but it would have been based upon
7	A. Yes.	7	my resume.
8	Q. Do you know why you received this letter	8	Q. So I guess my question is: It was a
9	if you had received another offer letter on	9	different form, right, it wasn't just your resume
10	November 12, 2020?	10	that was given in?
11	MS. PIPAK: Objection.	11	A. When I applied, I applied through
12	Go ahead, you can answer.	12	directly through Indeed, and so I submitted my
13	THE WITNESS: This is the standard	13	resume through Indeed. I don't recall if I
14	letter that's given to every, I think, county	14	actually filled out the county paper application
15	employee when they start when you go through your	15	or not. That I don't recall. But when I applied
16	new you're pre-orientation paperwork. This	16	for the position, it was directly through Indeed,
17	was Exhibit-88 was the letter that I requested	17	through the submission of my resume.
18	to confirm my conditions of employment upon my	18	Q. Is everything in this document true and
19	acceptance of the offer.	19	correct?
20	BY MS. SMITH:	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay.	21	Q. If we look to the position you held
22	So 88 was something sent to you at your	22	right before the county, it was Middletown Area
23	request?	23	School District; is that correct?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Yes.
1	Q. Okay.	1	Q. And why did you leave Middletown Area
2	~	2	School District?
3	testimony and correct me if I'm wrong, was given	3	A. To take the position at the county.
4	to you during, like, your initial orientation new	4	Q. Why did you want a different position?
5	hire documents?	5	A. I Based upon my family circumstances,
6		6	I was looking for a position closer to home.
7	going to do your drug test and physical screening,	7	
8	you would come into the HR office, sign off on	8	District, were you subject in any disciplinary
9	paperwork to include the releases and things, to	9	action?
10		10	A. No.
11		11	Q. Before that you held a job at the
12	· ·	12	, ,
13	1	13	correct?
14		14	A. Yes.
15	·	15	Q. Were you subject to any disciplinary
16		16	action there?
17	(Zula 37-38 marked as Exhibit-90 for	17	A. No.
18	`	18	
19	,	19	Corrections to go to Middletown?
20		20	A. It was a better opportunity.
21		21	Q. And prior to that you worked at the
22		22	
23	A. Yes.	23	A. Yes.
		24	
24	And this is rious society that rives	44	(1) Any disciplinary action there?

1	A. No.	1	A. The background check that's completed is
2		2	a check of the it's an online check and I don't
3	,	3	
4	Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole in a	4	know it's the municipal system where you go in
5	different position, correct?	_	and put the name and do searches for criminal,
	A. 165.	5	like, docket reports, things like that. That's
6	Q. Any disciplinary action in that	6	the background investigation that's completed.
./	position?	'/	And to my knowledge, that was not done until after
8	A. No.	8	I signed this form.
9	Q. And then finally right before that was	9	Q. Right.
10	the Pennsylvania Department of Banking.	10	So you hadn't yet given them consent?
11	Any disciplinary action there?	11	A. Correct.
12	A. No.	12	Q. Okay.
13	MS. SMITH: This is Zula 25, marked	13	But if we look back to the PAR, that was
14	as Exhibit-91.	14	voted on on December 9, 2020, correct? And you
15		15	can look back if you need to. It's Exhibit
16	(Zula-25 marked as Exhibit-91 for	16	A. Yes.
17	identification.)	17	Q. Okay.
18	identification.)	18	So the commissioners voted on your, I
19	BY MS. SMITH:	19	l
20		20	guess, qualifications or they're confident in you
	Q. Do you recognize this document?	21	in the position without having had your background
21	A. Yes.		check; would you agree?
22	Q. Tou signed this consent to buckground	22	MS. PIPAK: Objection to form.
23	check and reference on December 11, 2020, correct?	23	But you can answer.
24	Α. 103.	24	THE WITNESS: Yes. My background
1	Q. Is the consent to background and	1	check, I can tell you, they did check
	TO. IS THE COUSEIL TO DACKSTOUTIG ANG		
2		2	,
2	reference check something that every new hire with	2	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to
3	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs?	3	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made.
2 3 4	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes.	2 3 4	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH:
4 5	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected	4 5	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a
4 5 6	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials?	2 3 4 5 6	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on,
4 5 6 7	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know.	4 5 6 7	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say
4 5 6	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials?	4 5	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on,
4 5 6 7	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know.	4 5 6 7	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say
4 5 6 7 8	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the	4 5 6 7 8	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you
4 5 6 7 8	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes	4 5 6 7 8	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer.
4 5 6 7 8 9	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern?	4 5 6 7 8 9	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that
44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not. BY MS. SMITH:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me. Q. The reason I pointed and had you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So let me make sure I have the timing	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me. Q. The reason I pointed and had you pause
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So let me make sure I have the timing correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me. Q. The reason I pointed and had you pause A. Yup. Sorry.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So let me make sure I have the timing correct. Well, based off this, this was signed	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me. Q. The reason I pointed and had you pause A. Yup. Sorry. Q. Just remember she has to take both of us
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So let me make sure I have the timing correct. Well, based off this, this was signed 12/11/22. So your background check was not	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me. Q. The reason I pointed and had you pause A. Yup. Sorry. Q. Just remember she has to take both of us down.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reference check something that every new hire with the county signs? A. Yes. Q. Do you know, does that include elected officials? A. That I don't know. Q. Do you know what happens or what the process or policy is if a background check comes back with an issue, a conviction or something that would cause concern? MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: It happened, I believe, one time during my employment and I discussed it with my supervisor, Gary Bender, to determine if we would continue with the offer or not. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So let me make sure I have the timing correct. Well, based off this, this was signed	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	references were checked prior to my hire, prior to the offer being made. BY MS. SMITH: Q. So when you say hire, because there's a date of PAR is completed, a date it's voted on, and a date you actually start. So when you say date of hire, which of those three dates are you referring to? A. The date of the offer. Q. I'm sorry. Just remember A. The date Q. No. No. No. A. Sorry. The date of the offer would have been the November 12th. I do know that Ms. Kutzler did do a reference check with my existing supervisor at the school district prior to that offer letter coming to me. Q. The reason I pointed and had you pause A. Yup. Sorry. Q. Just remember she has to take both of us

Page 36 Page 38 1 You'll get it by the end of it. Just January 11th, is when the actual new hire Q. let me finish my question before you start paperwork was completed. answering. MS. SMITH: Can mark as Exhibit 92, I apologize. A. it's Zula 40 through 42. Q. You're okay. You're going to anticipate my questions, (Zula 40-42 marked as Exhibit-92 for so try and remember that. identification.) But -- so -- so your references may have been checked prior to the offer letter, but you BY MS. SMITH: 10 would agree prior to the commissioners vote, your Do you recognize this document? Q. 11 background check was not done? 11 A. 12 12 That's correct. O. And in fact, there is some of the dates A. 13 to the right of your signature are 1/11/2020, Q. Okay. You were describing the location of, 14 which was your start date, correct? 15 like, the backgrounds checks of, like, the website 15 A. Yes. of the municipal search. 16 16 O. There's a couple others that are Do you know, is that the docket sheets for 17 1/13/20 -- I'm sorry. I think I said 1/11/2020. 18 the State of Pennsylvania? It's 1/11/2021, correct? 19 19 A. Yes. A. 20 20 Do you know if background checks outside O. Q. And then there are some that are 1/13/2021? of the State of Pennsylvania are conducted? 22 22 A. Not to my knowledge. A. Yes. Then after you -- the commissioners 23 23 O. O. And you signed and dated each of -- next to each of these policies and procedures at the voted and your start date being January 11, 2021. Page 37 Page 39 you did complete new hire paperwork, correct? county, correct? Yes. 2 A. A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall what paperwork? And that's because you had received O. them, read them, and understood them? It would have been a -- like an A. information sheet, like personal demographic A. Yes. information, the W-4 form, the I-9 form, the sign 6 Q. Do you know who created this form? off on policies and procedures, the local services A. 8 tax form for the -- to determine where you live, Did you ever utilize this form in your O. position as director of human resources with the where your taxes should come out of. I believe there was retirement paperwork that was completed, 10 10 county? 11 and new hire benefits paperwork. A. Yes. 12 12 When did you complete this paperwork? Is this a form that is to be used for Q. Q. all new hires with the county? 13 That I -- I don't exactly recall the A. 14 exact date. It would have been -- I believe it A. 15 was sometime in December of 2020. Q. Obviously you were coming on as director 16 of human resources, correct? 16 And do you recall where you were? Q. 17 17 A. I completed it in the HR office. A. Yes. 18 Do you recall with whom or who gave it 18 O. When you received and read the policies, Q. to you? did you believe they were up to date and 19 19 20 sufficient? And Craft met with me initially to go 21 over the initial paperwork and then I -- I met MS. PIPAK: Objection. with Elaine Fucci. And actually, that would have 22 You can answer.

23

been -- now that I think about it -- that would

have been completed my first day of employment.

THE WITNESS: No. There were

²⁴ certainly some policies that were outdated and

 $^{23}|A.$

Yes.

MS. SMITH: Zula 48, Exhibit-93.

Page 40 Page 42 could use to be updated that was one of the tasks 1 that I was asked to look at. (Zula 48 marked as Exhibit-93 for BY MS. SMITH: identification.) Q. Okay. Did -- do you remember any specifically BY MS. SMITH: that you believed were outdated? Q. Do you recognize this document? I don't recall off the top of my head A. A. which ones. Q. You signed and dated the receipt of the job description for human resources director on And then at some point during your Q. employment, you did revise or suggest revisions to 1/11/2021, correct? 11 any -- to some of these policies? Α. Yes. 12 12 A. Yes. O. And by signing, you understand that you 13 Are you aware, did each of these listed acknowledging that you understood the essential Q. items apply to all county employees? job duties and responsibilities required and confirmed that you were qualified to perform them? 15 Yes. A. 16 A. 16 Do you know, did that apply to elected Yes. Q. 17 officials? O. 17 Does every new employee receive a job 18 A. I would say no, as we're not responsible description when they start with the county? 19 for their -- we have no control over their A. Yes, they should. 20 And are they all required to sign an O. employment because they're not truly employed by acknowledgment form like this one? the county. 22 22 Q. So when a new individual is elected to a A. Yes. 23 position, they do not receive these policies? MS. SMITH: Going to look at Zula A. I don't -- I know because we did not 49 to 52, Exhibit-94. Page 41 Page 43 hire -- or put it this way, we did not have a new elected official come on board when I was at the (Zula 49-52 marked as Exhibit-94 for county, so I am not certain what exactly they are identification.) provided. BY MS. SMITH: Q. Okay. Well, as you were director of human O. And I'm going to go through some resources, had a new individual been elected to a specific questions on this document. position, would you have provided them with these My first one is just going to be policies? generally, do you recognize this document? 10 10 MS. PIPAK: Objection. A. You can answer if you --11 If we look to the last page of this O. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I would have. document it indicates a date of November 7 --BY MS. SMITH: 2017, on Zula 52. 14 14 And you would have asked them to sign Do you see that? Q. 15 and date this acknowledgment form? A. Yes. 16 Yeah. Potentially some of the policy Is this the job classification 16 would not have applied to them. But, yes, we description for your position of human resources would have provided them with -- I would have director that you received and acknowledged 19 provided them with the policies if that had receipt of in the last document we looked at? occurred. 20 A. Yes. I believe so, yes. 21 O. Do you believe that this is a fair and 21 Did you receive a job description when Q. accurate representation of your job duties and 22 you started with the county?

the county?

responsibility as the human resources director for

9

14

17

18

22

Page 44

Page 46

- ¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything that you were required to do or responsible for which is not included in here?
- A. No. I believe this accurately reflects the responsibilities of the position.
- Q. And everything that's included in this description is something for which you were responsible for?
- ¹⁰ A. Yes.
- 11 Q. There's a section on the first page all the way down, essential duties and responsibilities.

Do you see that?

¹⁵ A. Yes.

14

Q. If you look to No. 2, it indicates that one of your job duties was analyze organizational structure.

Do you see that?

- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever receive an organizational structure document while you worked for the county?
- A. We did have an organizational chart,

yes. that was available on the county website.

Q. Okay.

Did you ever suggest any revisions to it?

- ⁵ A. Not specific revisions to the organizational chart, no.
- Q. Do you believe that the organizational structure of the county that existed that you saw, was an accurate representation of organizational structure?
- ¹¹ A. Yes.

15

MS. SMITH: For our tech it's Zula 2473. For all others, it's previously marked 43. (Previously marked exhibit.)

BY MS. SMITH:

Q. Ms. Zula, you now have in front of you what was previously at a different deposition, marked Exhibit-43 or Zula 2473.

Is this the organizational structure that you were just referring to?

- A. Yes. This is the organizational chart that's found on the county website, yes.
- Q. And that's as recently as January 2021?

Q. Okay.

If we look back to -- I'm sorry -- the
Exhibit-94, back under essential duties and
responsibilities, Paragraph 4, it indicates that
one of your job duties was to process required
documents through payroll and insurance providers
to ensure accurate recordkeeping and proper
deductions.

Do you see that?

- ¹⁰ A. Yes.
- Q. In layman's terms, does that mean that human resources was responsible for payroll?

MS. PIPAK: Objection. THE WITNESS: No.

BY MS. SMITH:

¹⁶ Q. Okay.

What does that --

MS. PIPAK: You can answer.

MS. SMITH: Sorry.

THE WITNESS: No. Payroll was processed from the controller's office.

BY MS. SMITH:

- Q. What does that mean to you?
- A. That we would not -- notify that the

payroll office, the payroll processor of any changes as it related to insurance coverages or deductions that needed to be done for employees. That was our interaction with the payroll process from a benefits perspective.

Q. Okay.

So each department within the county submits time sheets for their employees, correct?

- ⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. Do you get submitted to the controllers office or to HR?
- A. No, they go directly to the controllers office after they are signed off on by the supervisor.
- Q. So HR has no oversight in the time sheets of employees?
 - A. We do -- we do not review them on a regular basis, no. There are -- if there's questions by the supervisor, we get involved. But we do not review every single time sheet on every single pay period.
- Q. So are you familiar with the Fair Labor Standards Act?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.

17

Page 48 Page 50 1 Q. And you understand that it requires claims against the county? employers to keep accurate records of an 2 A. employee's hours? 3 Q. After being offered the job position, MS. PIPAK: Objection. but before your start date, did you ask or did You can answer if you know. anyone tell you anything about the plaintiff's THE WITNESS: Yes. claims? A. BY MS. SMITH: No. Q. When is the first time you learned Q. Okay. 9 Do you -- is that for the county -about -- from the county, about the plaintiff's 10 those records, are they maintained by the controls ongoing issues with the county? 11 office, the HR office, or something different? 11 MS. PIPAK: Objection. 12 12 A. They are maintained by the controllers To the extent it doesn't involve 13 conversations with counsel, you can answer. 13 office. 14 14 Q. Does HR have access to those records if MS. SMITH: Well, when is not a they need them? 15 context of a conversation. So I'm just asking 16 16 Yes. when. A. 17 17 THE WITNESS: When I came on board, Q. Are they -- the record of hours employees worked maintained by any one other than probably in January. I was apprized of some of 19 the controllers office? the ongoing concerns. I think the first day that 20 The official record, no. The official I was an employee of the county was the first day that Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 came back to work record is maintained by the controllers office because that's what pay is based upon. I don't at the 410 Building. So Ms. Kutzler informed me, know if supervisors keep records of time sheets or kind of, what was going on regarding that issue. 24 24 not. Page 49 Page 51 1 Q. But there's no third-party payroll 1 BY MS. SMITH: 2 O. company for --Okay. 3 So you had conversations with Ms. No. We do not -- the county did not use A. Kutzler about, at least, Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe a third party. 5 |2?We may come back to this, but you can O. 6 put 94 aside for now. A. Yes, as return are their return back to work on January 11th, my first day that was... In November of 20 -- well, go back a 8 O. Did she, at that point, Ms. Kutzler, little before that. In September of 2020, when you applied inform you of any background as to why they were being moved to the 410 Building? 10 10 and you believe you were first interviewed for the 11 position, what, if anything, did you know about A. Yes, she did. 12 12 the plaintiff's claims against the county? Q. Okay. 13 The only thing I knew was what was Do you remember what she informed you 14 written in the newspaper. Based upon those of? 15 A. I don't recall the exact discussion. I articles, that's the only thing I was aware of. ¹⁶ know it involved that -- their claims against Mr. And truly not much, as I don't really read the newspaper often. I just knew that there was Halcovage and that they were working from home for 18 allegations made and that's it. an extended period of time and that they were now ¹⁹ being brought back to work and their office was 19 O. And that was prior to you being hired by ²⁰ being moved so that there would be no interaction 20 the county? between Jane Doe 2 and Ms. Kutzler and Mr. 21 A. Yes. When you were interviewed at either the 22 22 Halcovage. 0. $^{23}|_{\mathbf{Q}}$. first or second, did you ask anyone or was Did Ms. Kutzler at that time explain to

vou how the 410 Building had been selected?

anything shared with you about the plaintiff's

Page 52

A. No.

Q. Did she explain to you or discuss with you whether the -- any of the plaintiffs were -- strike that.

At that point, did you know that Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 had been Jane Doe 1 -- or were Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2's supervisors?

- A. Yes, I was made aware of that.
- Q. Did Ms. Kutzler inform you if there had been any conversations held about the accessibility of the 410 Building?
- ¹² A. No.
- Q. Did you ask if this was something that was a collective decision?
- ¹⁵ A. No. I did not.
- Q. Other than that conversation, can you think of any others regarding the plaintiff's claims early on in your employment?
- A. As we -- as I progressed through my employment and worked with Doreen, there were a number of issues that came up, that there was a number of, like, allegations made against Mr.
- Halcovage. That as I got more involved in the position, I was then made aware of some of the

things that they had indicated during their complaint.

Q. So let's talk about that early time period in your employment.

When you started on January 11, 2021, was Ms. Kutzler still a contractor?

A. Yes.

10

⁸ Q. Was she -- because she was interim human resources director.

Did her title change when you started?

A. I don't think it changed immediately. I
don't think it kind of -- I don't think there was
anything official to change it immediately. I
mean, I was the HR director, but she was there for
pretty much the first fourish months of my
employment to do transition. There's contract
negotiations going on, so we did like a transition
period. And then I kind of, for lack of a better
term, took over the HR responsibilities and then
her focus shifted to training her staff.

Q. And just so I'm clear, you were talking about the first four months.

Was that -- when she transitioned -- when Ms. Kutzler transitioned from kind of

transitioning the role to your duties to training duties, was that during the four months or was the four months when she transitioned to training?

⁴ A. That was all inclusive. So the transition was probably six weeks maybe.

⁶ Q. Okay.

A. Somewhere around there and then she started working on updating policy and doing training for staff.

Q. And then after about four months or so is when she -- her contract or human resources contract with the county ended?

A. I don't know if the contract ended, but she no longer was doing work for the county at that point.

Q. Let's talk about the first portion of the transition period.

Was it your primary responsibility or Ms. Kutzler's for personnel issues?

A. I think we dealt with them together. I

don't think we had one primary over the other. We

kind of worked together during that time period.

She obviously had the background knowledge of
things that have gone on, things that were kind of

still in the works. And then I kind of stepped in, we worked together on issues, and then I transitioned to kind of doing those things on my own.

Q. So for that first four month or so period until Ms. Kutzler stopped doing work with the county, did you have any access to her e-mails?

⁹ A. Not during that time frame, no.

Q. After she ended her -- or stopped doing work with the county, did you then have access to her e-mails?

A. Yes. I think her e-mails were forwarded to me when she left her employment.

Q. So e-mails then moving forward that were sent to her or ones that had been sent to her in the past?

¹⁸ A. No, just moving forward.

Q. So you never, during your employment with the county, had any access to her past received or sent e-mails?

A. Not that I can recall, no.

Q. Okay.

A. They were forwarded to -- directly to my

Page: 19 (52 - 55)

in box. So if she got an e-mail sent to her e-mail address, instead of -- like I didn't go into her in box, it just forwarded it and it hit my in-box.

Q. The tech division in the county --

A. Yes. They -- they did that.

 $^{7}|\mathbf{Q}$. Okay.

Did you ever have any conversations with Defendant Gary Bender about the plaintiff's claims against the county?

¹¹ A. Yes, we did.

 12 Q. Do you recall when that was?

A. I don't exactly recall when the first

time was, no. I know as we were dealing with

issues, we would discuss things that had occurred.
At times he would fill me in some of the kind of

background. But that was pretty much it. I don't

specifically recall when any of that occurred or

¹⁹ what -- what he said.

22

Q. What about Defendant Glen Roth, did you ever talk with him about the plaintiff's claims?

MS. PIPAK: Objection.

To the extent it calls for

4 conversations you had with counsel, I'm going to

answer -- I am going to advise her not to answer.

BY MS. SMITH:

Q. Again, not asking about the contents, just did you have any conversations with him?

A. There were at times, yes, when I did have conversations with Glen.

Q. Do you remember the first time you had a conversation with him?

⁹ A. It was probably pretty late in my tenure at the county. Well, I shouldn't say late.

Probably within like mid year 2021, somewhere around there.

Q. So you would say probably after Ms.

Kutzler's employment, stopped doing work for the county?

¹⁶ A. Potentially, yes.

¹⁷ Q. About.

What about Defendant Halcovage, did you ever speak with him about the claims against him?

²⁰ A. No

Q. After you started with the county, did you ever speak with the plaintiffs to get an

²³ understanding of what issues they were dealing

with or their claims against the county?

Page 56 A A. I spoke with them regarding the issues

that occurred during my tenure of employment. I did not go back and speak to them about any of the

prior issues that occurred, no.

Q. So from what we just went through with each of the defendants, it sounds like as issues arose, you gathered information and dealt with it, but didn't have any independent conversations about the facts of the plaintiff's claims?

10 A. Yes, I -- I didn't.

Q. When you spoke with Defendant Kutzler about the claims of -- the plaintiff's claims, was she in support of the plaintiffs? Was she -- did she feel that they were -- let me strike that.

Did Ms. Kutzler ever tell you how she felt about the plaintiffs or their claims against the county?

¹⁸ A. No, we didn't discuss that.

¹⁹ Q. Never made any remarks about them?

A. I guess for clarification, what claims are we referring to? I guess that would be my question to understand if I'm answering it

correctly.

Q. Well, so you understand that the

Page 59

Page 58

plaintiffs are suing the county because George
Halcovage sexually assaulted Jane Doe 1, correct?

³ A. Yes, I'm aware of that.

Q. And that they are -- the other three are suing the county because George Halcovage sexually harassed them?

A. Okay. So those are the claims we're referring to?

⁹ Q. And then that the county failed to act appropriately regarding that sexual assault and sexual harassment.

MS. PIPAK: I am just going to object to the form.

But go ahead.

THE WITNESS: No. Ms. Kutzler and I didn't discuss her feelings regarding the claims of sexual harassment, no.

¹⁸BY MS. SMITH:

¹⁹ Q. Okay.

What about retaliation?

MS. PIPAK: Objection.

Can you rephrase?

²³ BY MS. SMITH:

Q. Did you and Ms. Kutzler ever discuss the

12

14

20

21

Page 62

Page 63

plaintiff's claims of retaliation?

Yes, we did, based upon, you know, the A. actions that were occurring, we discussed those actions, yes.

And did Ms. Kutzler ever make any Q. remarks to you about her feelings regarding those claims?

A. I can't recall.

What about Mr. Bender, did he ever make Q. 10 any comments about how he felt about the 11 plaintiffs?

12 About the plaintiffs? A.

13 Q.

Can you clarify what you mean about the 14 A. plaintiffs? Like --

16 Did he ever say how he felt about any of Q. them, whether he hated them, liked them, loved them, anything like that?

19 A. Mr. Bender did make a -- has made -- has 20 made comments that, you know, based upon specifically Jane Doe 3's actions towards him, that he was, you know, not going to -- based upon her failure to communicate with him, he wasn't

²⁴ going to communicate with her based upon that. Page 61

1 Q. Do you recall when that was?

I don't exactly recall, no. A.

Q. Was it in 2021?

Yes, it would have been 2021. A.

Was it when Ms. Kutzler was still doing Q. work for the county?

That I don't remember. A.

In January of 2021, the county revised 8 Q. its sexual harassment policy?

10 I don't recall. I don't believe it was in the January, but I don't recall the exact date. 12 But, yes, the policy was revised.

Actually, sorry. Going to go back real 14 quick just because I want to check on something that we chatted about.

16 MS. SMITH: I am going to mark Zula 17 817 to 820 as 95.

(Zula 817-820 marked as Exhibit-95 for 20 identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

18

19

2.1

Just because we were just talking about 23 it, so I want to keep it fresh in your mind.

Page 60 We were talking about payroll and time

sheets. These are the time sheets that employees of different departments submit to their supervisor, who then signs off on it and submits

it to the controllers office, correct?

A. Yes.

O. Are these the same time sheets that are utilized by every department or does each department have its own?

This time sheet is utilized by most 11 departments --

12 O. Okay.

A. -- within the county. I believe the prison does something different to report their time. I don't -- each employee doesn't submit their own time sheet. I'm not sure how it works at the prison. But for the vast majority of departments, yes, they utilize this time sheet. 19

O. Okay. Perfect. Sorry. I just had that question.

So we were talking about January 2021, and the revision to the sexual harassment policy.

MS. SMITH: Going to mark Zula 46

24 as 96.

20

23

(Zula 46 marked as Exhibit-96 for identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

Q. Do you recognize this form?

A.

8 You signed acknowledgment of having O. received, read, and reviewed a copy of the county of Schuylkill's anti-harassment and

non-discrimination policy as revised January of ¹² 2021, correct?

 $^{13}|A.$

14 O. And you signed it on February 23, 2021, 15 correct?

16 Α.

17 Q. Did you, in fact, read, review --

receive, read, and review a copy of a revised 19 policy from 2020 -- January 2021?

I guess it was from January 2021. Yes, this was signed after the training that we completed.

23 Okay. All right. Q.

If we can look back to Exhibit-92. Yes,

24

Page 6	4	Page 66
that one, perfect.		No. 50 of 92, correct?
There is no anti-harassment and	2	A. Yes.
³ non-discrimination policy on this list, correct?	3	Q. And it's got that revision date of
⁴ A. Correct.	4	September of 2013, correct?
⁵ Q. There is a sexual harassment policy	5	A. Yes.
⁶ A. Yes.	6	Q. Okay.
⁷ Q on No. 50, correct?	7	
⁸ A. Yes. Sorry. Yes.	8	January 2021 anti-harassment and
⁹ Q. I'm sorry, going back to 92.	9	
The policy that you signed for on 92,	10	Document 97?
indicates you received a sexual harassment policy	, 11	So my question is, so 97 is called
and then it has 2015-18, that's the policy number,	12	sexual harassment. You're signing on 96, I
correct?	13	
¹⁴ A. Yes.	14	non-discrimination.
Q. And then in parenthesis, REV9-13.	15	Was part of the revision a name change?
That's when it was last revised, correct?	16	
17 A. Yes.	17	Q. Okay.
¹⁸ Q. Okay.	18	
So when you started, the last revision	19	
to that policy had been September of 2013,	20	98.
correct?	21	
²² A. Yes.	22	(Zula 237-243 marked as Exhibit-98 for
Q. And then after you were hired, there was	23	· ·
a revision to the policy?	24	
Page 6		Page 67
A. 165.	- 1	BY MS. SMITH:
Mis. Sivil III. Okay. I apologize ii I		Q. Do you recognize recognize this
am double marking this. It might have been used		document:
before, but I'm going to mark it as Exhibit-97.	5	A. Yes.
⁵ It's Schuylkill County, it's SC1254 to 1259.	6	Q. Okay.
7 (SC1254-1250 marked as Exhibit 07 for	"	so this has that same policy number,
(SC1254-1259 marked as Exhibit-97 101		2005-18. And this has anti-harassment,
identification.)	8	non-discrimination policy as the name, correct:
	10	11.
BY MS. SMITH:	10	Q. This one indicates revised
On you recognize this document?	11	residury 2021, und their supercedes september 2013.
12 A. Yes.	12	Do you see that:
Q. Okay.	13	11.
Just looking quickly back at 92. We	14	Q. Do you know why this policy does not
were talking about the policy number.	15	supersede the sundary 2021 revision.
The policy number on 92 has 2015-18.	16	71. I don't believe there was one
The policy number on 97 has 2005-18.	17	that was approved in sandary 2021. I believe that
Do you see that?	- 1	this was the first revision to the 2005-18 policy
¹⁹ A. Yes.	19	that supercoded 5/15. I think potentially it was
Q. Is that just a typo?	20	going to be on the agenda in sundary, but it
A. I would believe so, yes.	21	washt actuary approved until 1 cordary.
Q. Okay.	22	Q. Okay.
Because this indicates as this being	23	Thi going to represent to you that in
²⁴ 97 indicates the sexual harassment policy, as does	$ ^{24}$	this document production in this case, that

Page 68

January 2021 has not been produced.

So does that sound right to you?

- Yeah. I didn't think it was revised in A. January 2021. It may have originally been intended to be revised in January 2021, but it actually wasn't approved until February.
- Q. Okay.

Then if we look back at 96, who drafted this document?

- 10 This document? A.
- 11 O. Yup.
- 12 This is part of the policy that's in the A. 13 Exhibit-98, that was drafted by Ms. Kutzler.
- 14 Q. Okay.

15 And if we look to Page 6 or Zula 242 and 16 98, it is that page and it has a January 2021, correct?

- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. But this is a February 2021 revision that was signed off and approved by the 20 commissioners, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Any reason why you didn't tell Ms. O.
- Kutzler that that January 2021 should be updated? Page 69
- 1 A. I didn't even see it, honestly.
- These -- the revisions made to the O. September 2013 policy, specifically the -- what was the sexual harassment policy, were you involved in that?
- A. No. Well, I reviewed -- I read through the policy once it was revised, that was it. But I did not -- was not involved in the actual writing of the revised policy.
- 10 After -- well, strike that. Q.

11 When you reviewed the policy, do you recall if it was before the commissioners had voted on it?

14 A. Yes.

12

20

22

23

- 15 Did you offer any suggestions, changes, Q. or critiques?
- 17 No, I don't believe I made any changes. A.
- 18 Do you believe -- strike that. Q. 19

Earlier you testified that when you received some of the policies on your initial day or week of hire, that you thought that there were some that could use some revisions.

Do you recall testifying to that?

Yes.

- Page 70 1 After reviewing the revised copy of this O. policy before you, so 98, did you believe it was sufficient?
- A.
- O. At the time this policy was revised,
- in-person training was held, correct?
- After it was revised, yes. A.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 Did you attend?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you recall when?
- 12 A. Based upon my signature on Exhibit-96, I 13 would say it was February 23, 2021.
- 14 0. Okay.

15 So based off your testimony, is it your recollection that you were provided with a copy and asked to sign the acknowledgment form, which is Exhibit-96, on the day of your in-person 19 training?

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okav.

22 Were you provided with any materials --

- 23 A.
- 24 O. -- at the training?

Page 71

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who conducted the trainings?
- 3 They were conducted by Doreen Kutzler A. and I believe for the supervisor trainings, Tom Hubert, I guess, is his last name. He also came and conducted some of the trainings as well.
- So there were two training sessions, one for managers or department heads and one for
- just -- I don't want to call them regular employees, but non-managerial staff?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And at the non-managerial staff trainings, it was just Doreen Kutzler. And at the managerial it was Tom Hubert and Doreen Kutzler?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. Which one did you attend?
- 17 A. The managerial one.
- 18 O. Did you have any involvement with the 19 scheduling of employees attendance at the training?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Was it your understanding that training O. 23 was mandatory for all employees?

23 Q.

A.

Yes.

Are you aware of how the county was able

to require elected officials attend this training?

Page 72 Was it your understanding that it was --A. officials to attend the training. that it was mandatory for elected officials? 3 Q. A. Yes. occurred had they refused? MS. SMITH: Going to mark --That I don't know. sorry -- Zula 473 to 474 as 99. A. Q. (Zula 473-474 marked as Exhibit-99 for identification.) the e-mail, but... A. No, I do not see his name. BY MS. SMITH: 11 11 Do you recognize this e-mail? Q. Q. 12 Yes. A. session in February of 2021? 13 And the first page is an e-mail from you Q. 14 Yes, I believe he did. to Defendant Halcovage, correct? A. 15 15 O. Yes. A. 16 A. 16 And indicates there's an attachment? Q. 17 with him. A. 18 18 Is the second page, Zula 474 of this 0. Q. 19 19 document, the attachment? 20 Yes, I believe so. A. 21 Q. Okav. 22 Why were you sending a list of attendees for the manager training sessions to Defendant ²⁴ training, so... Halcovage? 1 A. He had questioned who was -- he needed Q. Okay. to schedule himself for training and he questioned who was going to be in what training sessions, as 3 A. he did not want to attend the training sessions Q. with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4. A. O. There are numerous individuals listed on we did get that. this training session, correct? 8 Yes. A. Training schedule, I guess I should call Q. 10 am going to mark that as 100. it. 11 It includes Commissioner Hess and Commissioner Hetherington, correct? They are -for identification.) Hetherington is on the top right box, it's listed 14 as Hetherington. 15 15 Do you see that? BY MS. SMITH: 16 16 O. A. 17 e-mails? 17 And then Hess is in the middle left box, Q. 18 18 No. 3? A. Yes. 19 19 A. So elected officials were required to 20 Q. attend this training? overlapping in order to save pages, I condensed it

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 74 It was a request made of the elected And what, if anything, would have Commissioner Halcovage is not listed on this document, is he? Or I should say, the second Page 474 of this document, because his name is on Do you know if Mr. -- Defendant Halcovage ever attended the manager training And were you in the training with him? I don't recall being in the training Where does your knowledge come from that he was -- he did attend the training? I think I had a conversation with Doreen about it because I had to get the listing from her of the manager attendee training and I think she then worked with George to schedule him for the Page 75 So you're aware that he was scheduled? Are you aware that he ever attended? I believe we got a sign off of his sheet that everybody had to turn in. Yes, I do believe MS. SMITH: I am going to mark Zula 448, 441, and 442. They are out of order, but I (Zula 448, 441-442 marked as Exhibit-100 Ms. Zula, do you recognize this chain of And they are kind of out of order and there is two Page 1s because there is some

If we look at Page 441, the second page,

Jane Doe 3's e-mail to Defendant Ms. Kutzler.

into one.

Page 76 Page 78 which you're CC'ed on, indicates that the county schedule them on the same day? canceled the Friday, February 19, 2021, training. MS. PIPAK: Objection. 3 Do you see that? You can answer. Where does it say that? THE WITNESS: Ms. Kutzler did work A. The very last sentence on Page 2, it with Gary and it was -- he was rescheduled. I Q. didn't think there was anything further that I says: The session scheduled for today, which she's sending the e-mail -- I'm sorry, I might would need to do since he was rescheduled for a have said February 19th. different day. Yeah, I was going -- okay. Yes. BY MS. SMITH: A. 10 Were canceled by the county and you Q. But do you -- so I guess the question Q. 11 informed me yesterday, Gary Bender is now 11 then is: Do you agree with Ms. Kutzler's decision 12 attending the session we are scheduled to attend. that he shouldn't have attended the same day? 13 Do you see that? Correct, yes. Α. 14 A. Yes. MS. SMITH: Okay. Sorry. These are large documents, we are not going to go 15 The training was canceled due to Q. inclement weather, correct? 16 through the contents of them, but I just want to make sure I understand what they are. I am going To my knowledge, yes. A. 18 Did you have any involvement in the to mark Zula 55 to 138. Q. 19 rescheduling of Defendant Bender's training day? 19 20 20 No, I did not. A. (Zula 55-138 marked as Exhibit-101 for So you never discussed with anyone the 21 identification.) Q. fact that Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were already 22 scheduled for that session? BY MS. SMITH: 24 **Q**. No. I receive a copy of the Do you recognize this document? Page 77 Page 79 1 communications, that was all. A. And you are CC'ed on this chain of Q. 0. Do you know if this -- the PowerPoint that was presented at the sexual harassment e-mails, correct? Yes. training in February/March of 2021? A. Did you ever provide your opinion as to A. Yes. This is the PowerPoint. I just Q. the fact that Defendant Bender was attending or don't know if this is the supervisor one or the potential being rescheduled to attend a session in employee one. 8 which Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were attending? Q. Okav. A. No. So we'll take a look at another one, because there is two. That's why I'm trying to 10 Do you see that as an -- an issue? Q. I wasn't responsible for the scheduling. figure it out. 12 I didn't handle the scheduling. I believe based upon the -- well, I 12 A. Well, you were the HR director, right? Q. shouldn't say that. I don't... 14 14 Let me give you the other one. A. 15 And you were responsible for personnel MS. SMITH: I will mark Zula 139 to Q. matters, correct? 236 as 102. 17 17 A. Yes. 18 18 (Zula 139-236 marked as Exhibit-102 for Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4's work Q. 19 19 environment would be a personnel matter, correct? identification.) 20 A. 21 You didn't think it important to give 21 Q. MS. SMITH: And just for the ²² input as to, hey, these two ladies have an EEOC record, Jane Doe 2 has joined the Zoom call. ²³ charge against this individual for sexual BY MS. SMITH: harassment or retaliation, maybe we shouldn't All right.

Now, having looked at 101 and 102, do you recall which, if any, PowerPoint was for the managers?

- 4 A. 102 was for the managers.
- ⁵|Q. Okay.

And 102 -- 102 is for the non-managerial staff?

- 8 A. For the -- yes, the employees.
- ⁹ Q. Did you attend both trainings given that you were human resources director or only the managerial training?
- A. I attended the full managerial training and then I did go into a few of the sessions for the employees, but didn't stay the whole time.
- ¹⁵ Q. Okay.

So just periodic pop ins when you had time?

- ¹⁸ A. Yes.
- Q. Were copies of these PowerPoints given to staff, either managerial or non-managerial?
- A. Yes. It was my understanding that they were provided in hard copy to those who attended in person. I think for a lot of the employee sessions, there was virtual sessions being held

due to social distancing and space and then they
were e-mailed, I believe, copies of the
presentation.

- Q. So for staff that attended in person, they received hard copies. For staff that appeared virtually, they received e-mail copies?
- 7 A. Yes.
- ⁸ Q. Okay.

10

And I think you testified to this earlier, but you, at the training, also received a copy of the revised sexual harassment -- well, I think it was then called antidiscrimination, anti-harassment policy, correct?

- A. Yes. You received a copy of that policy at the training.
- Q. Were any other documents provided at the training?
- A. There was a quiz form that was to be turned in, as well as -- I don't know if it was a separate form for the sign-off sheet or if you just ripped it out of the policy that you got. I don't recall that, but --
- Q. Okay.
- A. -- that was the form that needed to be

turned back in to indicate your attendance.

- Q. Was the form turn -- the acknowledgment form, was that turned in at the training or was it turned in thereafter?
- A. It was -- if you were in person, it was turned in at the training. If you were virtual, you needed to forward it to the HR office and then
- they were tracked.
 Okay.

The quiz form, was that a quiz that was taken at the training or after the training?

- ¹² A. At the training.
- Q. And it was turned in at the training?
- ¹⁴ A. Yes
- ¹⁵ Q. Was there then discussions held about
- $|^{16}|$ the accurate answers?
- ¹⁷ A. Yes.
- Q. Was that before you handed in your
- ¹⁹ answers or after you handed in your answers?
- A. That was before you handed in your answers.
- ²² Q. Okay.

And so you reviewed your answers in comparison for what they were saying was accurate?

Page 83

Page 82

- ¹ A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know, were the quiz and answers discussions in the training covered in the PowerPoint?
- ⁵ A. Yes.
- ⁶ Q. So the accurate answers were in the ⁷ PowerPoint?
- ⁸ A. Yes.
- ⁹ Q. Was it a video? Was it --
- ¹⁰ A. Was what a video?
- ¹¹O. The accurate answers?

So if look to like 102, there's some -obviously this is not a video, but there is
some --

- A. Yeah. There was a video that was played as part of the training, as well -- as well as discussion regarding scenarios. Yes, there was a video as part of the training.
- ¹⁹ O. Okay.

So if we look to 102, if you go to Zula

- ²¹ 232, should just say quiz, right?
- ²² A. Yes.
- Q. Is that when they paused for a person to answer the question?

A. Yes. From what I recall, people were given an opportunity to answer and then there was discussion following that.

Q. Okay.

If we look to the few pages after that page, I don't see anything about -- in this PowerPoint, about the accurate responses. It would have come after the opportunity to take the quiz, correct?

- ¹⁰ A. Yes.
- ¹¹ Q. Okay.

Do you see anything in those pages that is what you recall as being the accurate answer discussion?

- A. No. It was a discussion, it wasn't part of the policy -- or wasn't part of the presentation.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay.

So the accurate answers were not displayed on the screen?

²¹ A. No.

23

²² Q. Okay.

If you had gotten any answers wrong on the quiz, was there discussions held either

collectively or individually as to why your answers were wrong or any information regarding the wrong answers or incorrect?

A. I didn't lead the training. However,
when I attended, I know that we went through each
question of the quiz. You know, we kind of -- how
many had this answer, raise your hand. How many
had that answer, raise your hand. And then there
was a discussion, okay, this is the right answer
and then discussion why the other one wasn't
appropriate.

- Q. And Doreen Kutzler and Tom Hubert were both in your training session?
- A. I believe, yes. The one I attended both of them were there.
- 16 Q. Was that at the courthouse?
- ¹⁷ A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you remember what room that was in?
- ¹⁹ A. It was in the commissioner's board room.
- ²⁰ Q. Do you know if all training sessions
- were held in the commissioner's board room?
- ²² A. I don't believe all training --
- ²³ potentially the manager training sessions were
- held in the commissioner's board room. But the

employees sessions were held, potentially some there, some in the children and youth building.

There were sessions held at the prison.

- Q. After this training, the county's -what was now called the anti-harassment and
 non-discrimination policy was again revised in
 May of 2021, correct?
 - A. Yes.

9

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

MS. SMITH: I am going to mark as 107, Zula 244. 103, sorry. 103, 244 to 250.

(Zula 244-250 marked as Exhibit-103 for identification.)

MS. SMITH: Sorry. We're going to come back to that one in a second. I don't want my documents out of order. You can still leave it marked as 103. I'm going to mark as 104 and we will talk about that first, it's Zula 47.

(Zula 47 marked as Exhibit-104 for identification.)

²⁴ BY MS. SMITH:

Q. So looking at 104, is this the quiz that we were just talking about?

A. Yes.

⁴ Q. All right.

And you signed that these were the answers that you had circled prior to being informed of the correct answers; is that how I understand it?

- ⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall if you got any of them incorrect?
- ¹² A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you find any of the questions confusing or ambiguous?
- A. Yes. There are some -- the situations, depending on all of the facts could be confusing if you don't have all the facts regarding the specific question.
- Q. Did you ever suggest to anyone at the county including Doreen Kutzler or Mr. Hubert, that maybe these questions should be flushed out a little more or any revisions to them should be made?
- A. No, I did not make any suggestions.

Page 87

Page 90

 $^{1}|Q$. Put that one aside.

So now going back to 103. We were discussing that after this training in February and March there was another revision made.

This document in front of you, 103, has what -- it's black and white, but appears to be redlined throughout it.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Were you involved in the red lines and revisions to the policy in May of 2021?
- ¹² A. Yes. I prepared the red-line revision.
- Q. Okay.

Was Doreen Kutzler still employed with the county at that point?

- A. I -- I don't recall exactly. I don't -- 17 I'm not sure.
- ¹⁸ O. Okay.

Do you remember if she had any involvement in these revisions?

A. I believe I discussed with her the issue regarding the change was a result of Chris Hobbs has not wanting to be named as an EEO officer for

the county, so I did have a discussion with her as

to how he became named as that in the policy because I wasn't part of that discussion, initial discussion.

¹|Q. Okay.

So we can either look back at the other policy or we can look at the red lines in this. Chris Hobbs was in the February 2021 -- 2021 policy, a named EEO -- EEO officer for the county, correct?

- ¹⁰ A. Yes.
- Q. And at some point did you come to learn that he had said that he had not consented to
- 13 that?
- ¹⁴ A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And that he wanted to be removed?
- ¹⁶ A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And as result of that, it sounds like
- you then spoke with Ms. Kutzler and decided to
- ¹⁹ make some revisions?
- ²⁰ A. Yes.
- ²¹ Q. What was the conversation with Ms.
- ²² Kutzler about why Chris Hobbs, someone who did not
- want to be named or consented to it, why he was
- ²⁴ included?

Page 88

A. He was included based -- she indicated that she had gone to the solicitor's office because it made sense to have someone in the solicitor's office serve in that capacity. And it was decided by the solicitor's office that he would be to person to serve in that capacity as the assistant solicitor.

- ⁸ Q. Did she indicate whom in the solicitor's office decided that?
- ⁰ A. No. I don't recall her...
- Q. Okay.

Did she indicate why she thought it would be prudent to put a solicitor in as an EEO officer?

- ¹⁵ A. No.
- Q. Did she indicate if she had ever spoken with Mr. Hobbs?
- ¹⁸ A. No.
- Q. When did the revisions begin to be made on this policy? Was it in the same month of May or did it start earlier than that?
- A. I don't recall the exact date, but it was a result of Jane Doe 3 contacting Mr. Hobbs.

 1 think it was Jane Doe 3 contacting Mr. Hobbs to

indicate that she was contacting him as an EEO officer. And then that's what transpired from there, resulting in the change to the policy.

Q. Other than discussing this with Ms. Kutzler, did you discuss these suggested revisions with anyone else?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who?
- ⁹ A. Mr. Bender.
- Q. And what was Mr. Bender's position on it?
- A. We discussed that I didn't think it
 would be appropriate to add -- keep the names in
 because, you know, people change positions, so it
 would make more sense to just have the position
 listed, so then the person who is in the position
 would serve in that role. He agreed. And then we
 also discussed what the other option would be as
 far as who the other EEO officer could be. And
 then we, you know, after our discussion, came up
 with the additional language that was added there.
- Q. So in the -- I shouldn't say original.

 In the February 2021 policy, there were two individuals named. It was you specifically and

Page 92 Page 94 able to investigate other complaints by someone Christopher Hobbs specifically, correct? who had made a previous complaint against them? A. ³ If the --Q. In this policy, there is only -- well, A. in the red lines of this policy there is only one I'm not following. individual not by name, but by position, that O. Let's say Jane Doe says Heidi Zula, I would be the human resources director, correct? don't know, let's say something very blatantly unlawful, raped me. And then subsequent to that, A. Yes. she has a complaint against John Doe completely Q. And then there is no second individual unrelated, do you believe that you as the human except with the county's sole discretion; would resources director, could still investigate or you agree. 11 should investigate her future complaints Yes. A. 12 against unrelated -- in unrelated matters? Okay. Q. 13 13 MS. PIPAK: Objection. Why was a second named individual not 14 included without the county having discretion? You can answer. I -- we -- that's just what we decided 15 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe in 16 to put in the policy as a recommendation. 16 certain instances that would be appropriate. ¹⁷BY MS. SMITH: And that's you and Mr. Bender? Q. 18 18 O. Okay. Yes. A. 19 19 Okay. It then say, comma, the county, comma, Q. 20 within its sole discretion. Whose discretion The sentence regarding the sole 21 discretion reads: In the event that the human within the county would that be? 22 resources director is unable or precluded from A. I don't know. I would assume either -serving as an EEO officer, let's start with that I would believe most likely the county ²⁴ administrators, as he supervisors -- that position portion of the sentence. Page 93 Page 95 1 What in your mind would render the human supervisors the court or -- I mean, excuse me, the resources director unable or precluded from ² county human resources officer. This was language serving as an EEO officer? that was reviewed and provided by our attorneys, A. If the complaint was against that so... person. Q. So your attorneys had input into this? Q. Okay. 6 A. Yes. Anything else? MS. PIPAK: Objection. 8 No, I believe that's pretty much it. I'm going to advise you not to talk A. So if the E -- if the human resources about what you talked about with counsel. Q. 10 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. director had been -- if allegations had been brought against the human resources director that BY MS. SMITH: 12 they were engaging in aiding and abetting the Q. Okay. 13 county in unlawful acts or retaliating, do you So earlier you said it was you and Mr. believe that then they would be permitted to Bender? 15 A. continue to review complaints by the accuser in Yes. 16 16 the future? There were also discussions held with counsel regarding it? 17 MS. PIPAK: Objection. 18 18 Α. Yes. You can answer. 19 19 THE WITNESS: So if the specific Q. And which counsel was that? 20 20 complaint is against the HR director, then, no, Typically it would either be solicitors they shouldn't be involved in that specific from the county and/or our outside counsel that we investigation. worked with regarding a lot of these EEO-related ²³ issue. BY MS. SMITH:

24 O

But you believe that they would still be

And again, I am not asking for any of

```
Page 96
                                                                                                          Page 98
   the contents, but I am just trying to figure out
                                                            requests an alternative EEO officer serve?
                                                         2
  for this -- these revisions, do you recall which
                                                                    MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form.
                                                         3
  counsel it was?
                                                                    You can answer.
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I don't believe -- I
          I don't recall specifically which
  A.
  counsel, no.
                                                           believe potentially either could apply.
   Q.
          Okay.
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
         Do you recall if it -- it was Defendant
                                                                  So is your understanding of this
                                                           revision that if an employee asks the human
  Roth?
          No. Those -- I would not have discussed
                                                           resources director to be precluded from serving as
   A.
                                                           the EEO officer, that then an alternative officer
  it with him. I would have discussed it with Al
   Marshall or -- okay. Tom.
                                                           would be appointed or is it that then the county
                                                         would review that and determine if an alternative
12
            MS. PIPAK: Can we move away from
                                                        <sup>13</sup> EEO officer should serve?
  this line of questioning. I think this is
13
                                                        _{14}|_{A}.
  inappropriate.
                                                                  I think the second situation would be
15
                                                           more accurate, that if the employee raised on
            MS. SMITH: Who is involved in a
                                                           objection to the HR office director serving in
16
  conversation is not the contents of a
                                                         that capacity, that the request would go to the
  conversation. Only the contents of conversations
  are involved. Who advises her is completely
                                                           county and then they would make the determination.
                                                         19
19
  irrelevant and permissible questioning.
                                                           Q.
                                                                  Okay.
                                                         20
20
            Again, I have instructed her, as
                                                                  And, again, you believe that that is a
                                                           request that would go to the administrator for
  have you, that the contents of the conversations,
                                                         22
                                                           determination?
  it's simply whom, because to determine what is
                                                         23
  privileged or what is not privileged, there is a
                                                           A.
                                                                  Yes.
                                                        24 Q.
plethora of case laws to certain investigations
                                                                  Based off -- well, let's -- there's one
                                                 Page 97
                                                                                                         Page 99
  and decisions, so I need that line of questioning
                                                           more, I believe, red-line revision to this and
  and I am permitted to ask that line of
                                                         <sup>2</sup> it's on Page 3 in BV. It changes either to any,
   questioning.
                                                           correct?
            MS. PIPAK: Okay. It's getting
                                                           A.
                                                                   Yes.
  very close to what was discussed. So I am -- I am
                                                           O.
                                                                   Do you know who suggested that revision?
  just being careful.
                                                           A.
                                                                   I don't recall.
            MS. SMITH: Again, she has been
                                                           O.
                                                                   Do you remember having any discussions
   instructed by. I -- I've instructed her, I am not
                                                            about it?
  even her counsel. I'm not asking for the contents
                                                                     MS. PIPAK: I am going to object to
                                                         10
  of the conversation. I am simply asking for the
                                                           the extent it relates to any conversations you had
  names of the counsel that were involved.
                                                         11
                                                            with attorneys.
                                                         12
12
                                                                     MS. SMITH: And, again, I am just
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                           asking who, so I am trying to figure that out.
          Was it a solicitor? If so, who or was
  it outside counsel? If so, who? Again just
                                                            BY MS. SMITH:
                                                         15
15
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Do you recall who?
  names.
                                                         16
16
          I believe it would have been -- well. Al
                                                                   I don't recall that specific change.
                                                            A.
   Α.
                                                         17
  Marshall and/or Tom Highbock.
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Okay.
          But you don't recall which?
                                                         18
18
                                                                  I'm sorry. And I -- just so I don't
   Q.
19
          I don't.
                                                           misrepresent for the record, on Page 7, the last
   A.
20
                                                           page, the as revised January 2021 was also taken
  Q.
          Okay.
         When -- so the language to me is
                                                           out, correct?
21
```

23

A.

Yes.

somewhat unclear. Is it the county who decides

that they shall appoint an alternative EEO officer

or -- and/or, I guess, is it when a employee

MS. SMITH: So now we are going to

look at the actual policies implemented, 105, it's

Page 100 Page 102 1 going to be Zula 251 through 257. O. And in the event that an individual reported something to their supervisor or county (Zula 251-257 marked as Exhibit-105 for administration, to where would those individuals then report the issues? identification.) Typically they would come to the HR A. office. BY MS. SMITH: And if you need to look back at the Q. O. Okav. other one, obviously it's in front of you. But So everything, again, would still the red-line revision -- the red-line revisions on trickle to the HR office? the first page under EEO -- EEO statement 10 Α. Yes, potentially. 11 Q. regarding the sole discretion of the county that So if an employee was uncomfortable with the human resources director investigating their we looked at, those were, in fact, adopted, 13 claims, what alternatives did the county provide correct? $^{14}|A.$ Yes. for them? 15 They would go to the next chain in 15 A. Q. Okay. 16 command and the -- the decision would be made as I saw you turn a couple pages later. Were you looking to see if it had been signed by to who would investigate the claim. 18 Well, the next chain of command from the the commissioners? O. human resources director would be the county 19 A. Yes. 20 administrator, correct? Q. Okay. 21 21 A. And it was, in fact, signed by them, Yes. 22 And the county administrator's next 22 correct? Q. 23 chain of command would be the commissioners, Yes. A. ²⁴ O. So this became the county's correct? Page 101 Page 103 anti-harassment and non-discrimination policy in 1 A. Yes. May of 2021? And if -- you said if an individual 0. reported it to a county administrator, it would A. Yes. Based off of this policy, if an employee trickle down to the HR director, correct? Q. wanted to report that they believed or suspected Α. I'm sure we would have a conversation, that the rights under this policy had been but ultimately the county administrator had the decision-making process to make a decision as to violated, but were not comfortable reporting it to the human resources director, who do you believe who would investigate the claim if it wouldn't be that they could report it to? the HR director. 10 They could report it to their 10 O. Okay. supervisor. They could report it to the county 11 But, again, it's within that administrator. They could report it to the individual's sole discretion as the policy reads? commissioners, I suppose. A. Yes. 14 14 Q. Well, where does it allow for that? O. All right. 15 15 It doesn't say that in the policy. So I want to look at that other change A. 16 Advising employees of to whom they could that we looked at in the red line on Page 3 in B. report violations of this policy would be It went from either to any. 18 18 important, correct? Do you see that? Yes. They were included in the training 19 19 A. A. Uh-huh. 20 20 that was provided to staff. Q. That change was adopted, correct? 21 21 0. The alternatives? A. Yes. Any would imply more than one EEO My recollection, the training did 22 22 A. O. provide, yes, that you can report to your 23 officer, correct? 24 ²⁴ supervisor, you could report to HR. Yes.

A.

0

Yes, they do.

So wouldn't it be prudent to put the

Page 104 Page 106 1 And it indicates officers whose offices revision date in this document so that the Q. ² employee knew which revision policy they were are located at a specific address, correct? acknowledging receipt of? A. Yes. Apparently I didn't find it important Again, indicating more than one EEO Q. enough to do that since it was taken out of the officer? A. Yes. red line -- out of the document. Looking back on it now, do you believe O. But you would agree that there was, in that it would be prudent to include that, to fact, only one EEO officer for the county in May of 2021? ensure that the employee was signing an 10 acknowledgment of the most up-to-date revised copy A. Yes. 11 And then looking at the last change that 11 of a policy? O. 12 was proposed on Page 7, this policy, the revision A. I -- again, I don't believe it's 13 necessary. If we have the date that the employee date was removed as the red line in this previous exhibit that we looked at, correct? signed it, the county policies are updated based upon -- and their revision date is included. So 15 Uh-huh. A. ¹⁶ if you signed it after that date, I would make 16 Is that a yes? Q. 17 Yes. Sorry. Yes. that determination that you signed the most recent A. policy. 18 You're okay. Q. 19 Do you know who suggested that revision? 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. And to go back, as I'm reading through 20 A. I don't recall. 21 this, it does indicate if an agreed person does Okay. Q. not wish to communicate directly with the offended 22 If there's no date on the policy person or if communication is not successful or acknowledgment form, how was it that the county was to keep records or know if certain employees ²⁴ appropriate to the employee, an oral written Page 107 Page 105 had completed or received -- I'm sorry -- certain complaint can be delivered to a whole host of a revised policies? number of people, the individual's complaint to MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. direct supervisor, any supervisor, the county administrator, the county commissioners, or the You can answer. THE WITNESS: I would base it off county EEO officers, so it is included in the of the date that the employee signed the policy. But, again, the investigation would be acknowledgment form. Q. done by the EEO officer, correct? BY MS. SMITH: But you wouldn't know which policy they A. Yes. Unless it was determined that that Q. 10 10 were handed, would you? EEO officer cannot serve in that capacity. It indicates that they've reviewed --11 And that would be at the county received, read, and reviewed a copy of the County 12 administrator's sole discretion? of Schuylkill's anti-harassment and Well, at the county's sole discretion, non-discrimination policy. And then based upon yes. So I don't know who or if there's any their date, would be the date of this revision. further discussion that the county administrator would have with anyone regarding that decision, 16 Well, we looked at your acknowledgment 17 form that had said that you acknowledged receipt but I would take that to the county of a January '21, policy, which you had, in fact, administrators, the HR director as that position 19 19 not received because there was no January 2021 was the direct supervisor of the HR director. policy, correct? 20 MS. SMITH: Okay. All right. I think we're going to take a couple-minute break. 21 Uh-huh, yes. A. I think everybody --22 So -- so mistakes happen, correct? Q.

23

VIDEOGRAPHER: It is now

²⁴ 11:02 a.m., and we're going off the record.

Page 108 Page 110 1 1 A. Then it would not be -- yes. Then it would -- sorry -- it would not be implement then. (Whereupon, brief recess was held off the Sorry. record.) Q. Okay. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time now is Let me just get my question out so the 11:13 a.m. back on the record. record is clear. ***part 1*** If two or three dissented, two or three commissioners dissented, the policy would not be BY MS. SMITH: implemented, correct? Q. All right. 10 Ms. Zula, you have in front of you A. Yes, that's correct. Exhibit 105, it's the May 2021 revised policy, 11 O. 11 And then would HR in connection with 12 12 anti-harassment and non-discrimination policy. others, make revisions to have the policy then ¹³ implemented in a different type? So like if the 13 If we look to that signature page that you briefly looked at earlier, Zula 225, there is 14 commissioner said we won't -- we're dissenting, 15 they would tell you why they are dissenting, a signature line for the chief clerk. 16 Do you see that? right? This is an issue or that's an issue? 17 Yes. I would believe they would, yes. A. A. Yes. 18 18 Q. And then there would be revisions made Does the chief clerk have to approve any Q. 19 policies or revisions to policies before they are 19 to conform with their requests? 20 implemented? Yes or the existing policy that was in 21 place prior would remain. A. No, not to my knowledge. 22 22 O. Okay. Q. Okay. 23 23 Are -- I'm sorry. One more question. Do you know why there's a line for the 24 Are the revisions or implementations of chief clerk's signature? Page 111 Page 109 policies something that's voted on during A. I believe she's attesting to the fact commissioner's meetings or is this an executive that the others signed it. Okay. session topic? Q. And then there's a signature line for A. No, they are voted on publicly at the each of the then sitting commissioners, correct? meeting. A. Yes. MS. SMITH: I want to look at Q. Do all of the commissioners have to another policy, Zula 258 through 260, going to be Exhibit 106. approve a policy or revision to a policy before it's implemented? 10 10 No. Not -- I believe it's just by (Zula 258-260 marked as Exhibit-106 for A. identification.) majority vote. 12 12 Q. Okay. Do you know what happens if they don't BY MS. SMITH: 14 vote in the majority on a policy? Ms. Zula, this exhibit includes two 14 15 I believe then they don't sign it. pages of a red-line version and then an unsigned A. 16 non-red-line version. Q. Okav. 17 17 And then it's not implemented, correct? This is the county's jury duty/subpoenas 18 If -- if a majority votes to approve the 18 policy, correct? A. 19 19 policy, yes, it would be implemented. But if a A. Yes. 20 commissioner dissents, they would not sign the Q. All right. 21 policy. The other two commissioners would sign Well, first let's look at the last page, which is the unsigned non-red-line version. 22 the policy. 23 Do you know, was this ever signed and --Okay. Q.

And if two or three dissented --

by the commissioners and implemented?

- 1 A. Yes.
- ² Q. Okay.
- So there should be a signed copy
- 4 somewhere?
- ⁵ A. Yes.
- ⁶ Q. Okay.

Were you involved in the revisions to this policy?

- ⁹ A. Yes.
- |Q| Who else was involved?
- ¹¹ A. The controllers office.
- ¹² Q. Okay.
- Anyone else?
- A. No. The -- well, after I prepared the revision based upon my discussions with the controller, it was reviewed by my supervisor, Gary Bender.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay.

What initiated the decision to revise this policy?

A. The issue -- the issue was that county
employees were being called to county jury duty
and so we provided jury duty leave to county
employees, which is paid time off. And then they

were then getting cut a check by the controllers

- ² office for their attendance at county jury duty.
- ³ So essentially then, the county was paying them
- ⁴ for their time away. The county was then cutting
- them, I don't know what it was, \$9 or something in
- ⁶ a check for jury duty and then they're having to
- come turn around and reissue the check back to the county, so it's all kind of the county's money and
- 9 it was just wasting time from the perspective of
- the controllers office. And so they asked to
- revise the policy to reflect that they need to
- inform the court that, you know, if they're a
- county employee, so that payment wasn't issued.
- Q. So you made revisions, based off someone coming to you and asking you to make revisions?
- ¹⁶ A. Yes.
- ¹⁷ Q. Whom specifically came to you?
- ¹⁸ A. Sharyn Yackenchick.
- Q. Do you remember when -- I'm going to call her Sharyn -- Sharyn came to you and asked
- ²¹ you to revise the policy?
- A. It would have been sometime prior to the revision date, so prior to March of 2021. I don't
- ²⁴ exactly remember the date.

Page 112

- Q. Did you speak with her in person or did she e-mail you?
- ³ A. In person.
- 4 Q. In March of 2021, do you recall that
- Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe 1, and Jane Doe 2 all requested time off to attend interviews with
- ⁷ the EEOC investigator?
- A. I don't recall that being in March of
- ⁹ 2021. I think that was later in the year. I
- don't recall it being in March.
- Q. Okay.

Later in the year was the attorney general's investigation.

Do you recall that?

- ¹⁵ A. I know -- I don't know whose
- ¹⁶ investigation it was, but there was time that they
- | had requested off, but I don't recall them
- request -- I don't recall them requesting time off
- $|^{19}$ in March. I think it was later in the year.
- ²⁰ Q. So it's your testimony that their
- | request off for -- they're meaning the plaintiffs
- | 22 | request off to attend matters related to their
- claims, had nothing to do with the revision of
- this policy?

Page 115

- A. Yeah. The revision of the policy had nothing to do with the claims that were
- requested -- filed or requested or whatever by any
- of the plaintiffs.
- Q. And all of the red-line revisions are ones that you made and suggested?
- ⁷ A. Yes. I prepared the red-line document.
- ⁸ It was reviewed by my supervisor. And then
- ⁹ typically after discussion with my supervisor, we
- would then forward it to the commissioners for
- would then follward it to the commissioners for
- review to -- and presentation at the agenda and I
- would provide a copy of the red-line document, so
- they could see the changes, as well as a copy of,
- like, the clean document that would be placed on
- the board agenda.
 - me board agenda
- Q. Okay
- Do you recall when you presented your red-line document to Mr. Bender, if he had any
- changes that he thought should be made?
- ²⁰ A. I don't recall.
- ²¹ Q. During your employment with the county,
- are you aware of any other policies that were
- ²³ revised?
- ²⁴ A. Yes.

19

22

23

24

Page 116

Do you recall which ones? 1 Q.

2 We revised the retiree health care A. policy.

Q. And if you need to look at that list, it

A. I don't -- I can't -- I mean, I know the retiree health care policy because it took a very long time to work through that. I mean, during my tenure at the county, I mean, obviously the anti-harassment and non-discrimination, the jury duty policy, the retiree health care. We enacted a policy, and it's not on here because it was after -- for medical marijuana, that was a brand

of my head. I think those... 15 16 Q. Okay.

17

18

22

MS. SMITH: Going to mark as Zula 855 to 857 as 107.

new policy. I can't think of anymore off the top

19

20 (Zula 855-857 marked as Exhibit-107 for 21 identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

Do you recognize this chain of e-mails?

Page 117

1 A.

Q. Who decided to implement the Stop It Solutions, as it calls it, a technology platform?

That was -- we had conversations with C Cap I think is who presented the program to us. It was reviewed by Ms. Kutzler and myself, as well as Gary Bender. And then it was recommended to the commissioners for approval.

Q. Was it approved by the commissioners?

10 Yes. To my knowledge, yes. A.

Okay. Stop It Solutions is not included Q. 12 in the anti-harassment, non-discrimination policy, correct.

14 Not in the policy, no. A.

15 Do you know why? Q.

16 It's -- and it's -- I don't know why

it's not. I mean, I don't think it's appropriate

to be in the policy because it is -- it applies to a number of different types of -- like anyone can

use the solution to report any kind of issue that

they're having. Like for example, I had an

employee who -- or a claim that came in or an --

an injury that came in about an employee who was

²⁴ abusing leave, for example, that didn't have

Page 118 anything to do with like an EEO issue. So it's a solution that applied to multiple different kinds of facets in the county and people can report fraud, all sorts of different types of things. So it's not specific just to anti-harassment.

Q. Okay.

But anti-harassment can be reported through it, correct?

A. Yes.

10 Q. And it can be reported anonymously 11 through it? 12

A. Yes.

13 Q. And does it -- does Stop It have its own 14 investigators or is it just a platform in which information can be transmitted from the -- from 16 the reporter to the county?

17 It's a platform that information is 18 transmitted?

Q. Okay. 20

So it's really just a new wave type of application on the phone that then an individual can make an HR report, correct?

Yes. Α.

O. Okay.

Page 119

And, again, sexual harassment, retaliation claims can be reported through it?

A. Yeah. Potentially, yes.

Q. So your only reason for saying it shouldn't be -- you don't believe it would be appropriate for it to be in the sexual harassment policies because it's over inclusive?

8 A. Yeah. It's -- it includes a lot more issues than just the sexual harassment or harassment. It -- it can be utilized for just about anything.

12 Q. So wouldn't including it in any policy be an appropriate way to address that concern?

14 I mean, the information was put out to all county employees through the training, through communications, through posters that were put up. So the information was communicated to employees, 18 it's just not part of the policy.

19 Q. Was a mass e-mail sent out?

20 **A**. Yes.

21 Q. Okav.

22 And you said posters were put up?

 $^{23}|A.$

 24 O. Where were they put up? A. I believe they were put up in -- on different bulletin boards throughout the county.

So in the courthouse, in the different office buildings. There's flyers that are -- that were provided, from what I can recall. And then an e-mail blast was sent out to everybody.

- Q. Do you know where in the courthouse the poster was?
- ⁹ A. I don't exactly know the locations, no.
- Q. Do you know if one was put in the 410 Building?
- A. I would assume so because they were -they were sent to all buildings, but I don't known
 exactly where it would be.
- Q. And you didn't hang the posters, correct?
- ¹⁷ A. No, I did not.
- Q. So you have no personal knowledge of the poster being in the 410 Building?
- ²⁰ A. No, I don't.
- Q. During your employment, had you ever visited the 410 Building?
- ²³ A. Yes.
- Q. How many times would you say?

¹ A. Maybe ten.

- Q. Do you know, does the county maintain copies of all Stop It Solution submissions?
- A. That I don't know. I'm not sure if the county maintains those or not. We would get a notification that there was one there and you would log into the solution to look at it, but I don't know if copies are actually maintained in the system once they're closed out. I don't know.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$ Q. Okay

12

14

17

And the Stop It Solutions would be a --well, let's turn to Page 2 actually of this document, it's Zula 856.

Just below the -- the little lady there, the second -- third -- I'm sorry -- bullet point is access two-way anonymous communication to follow up on your reports.

This mean an employee can submit an anonymous communication and be responded to through the Stop It program, so that, for instance, they then don't have to provide their e-mail to get correspondence regarding their complaint, correct?

⁴ A. Yes. That can occur.

Q. So if an employee had a concern about
the human resources director and they wanted to
remain anonymous, this would be an alternative to
the human resources director, as the EEO officer,
in that they could remain anonymous and that
concern could be alleviated?

A. Yes. They can file a complaint, an anonymous complaint directly through this Stop It, yes.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$ Q. Okay.

So if a supervisor came to you and said
I have an employee who has a concern, but
they're -- they want to remain anonymous, the Stop
It Solution would be a viable suggestion to that
supervisor to tell the employee about, correct?

¹⁶ A. Yes.

Q. So at the time that you began your employment with the county, Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 4, Jane Doe 1, Jane Doe 2 were all already employed, correct?

²¹ A. Yes.

Page 121

Q. At the time you were employed, Jane Doe 3 was the chief assessor and tax claim director, correct?

Page 123

Page: 36 (120 - 123)

¹ A. When I started, yes.

² Q. Yes. Okay.

And at the time you started, Jane Doe 4 was the assistant tax claim director and assistant -- or what I think is also called the deputy chief assessor, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Jane Doe 1 was a market -- a field market analyst or a -- I'm sorry -- real estate market analyst? I am combining the two.

¹¹ A. Yes.

Q. And Jane Doe 2 at the time you were hired was a field appraiser?

¹⁴ A. Yes.

¹⁵ Q. And Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 were -their positions were both in the tax assessment
office, correct?

18 A. Yes.

Q. When you were hired, do you know how many employees there were in the tax claim bureau?

A. I don't exactly know. I can probably count. One, two, three, four, five, six. Seven maybe. Seven. Six, seven, somewhere around there.

Page 124 And does that include Jane Doe 3 and Q. Jane Doe 4?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

How about in the assessment office?

- A. There was turnover in the assessment office, so I don't know exactly how many field appraisers there were when I first started. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight. Seven or eight, I think, were employed when I started.
- 11 And, again, is that including Jane Doe 3 Q. 12 and Jane Doe 4?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Okay. Q.

15 Does -- do the numbers that you just provided, the six and seven, seven and eight, do those includes, I think they are termed, contractors or per diems?

19 A. No.

16

21

20 Q. Okay.

> So you think that in addition to those numbers, there may have been others who were contractors or per diems?

Yes. We did bring -- I think there were

Page 125 some, like, former employees who left and were

rehired on the per-diem basis to provide assistance and training, yes. But my numbers did not include them.

5 0. Okay.

Prior to your employment, did you -well, during your employment, did you ever come to learn how many employees those offices operated with prior to your employment?

I was -- I was aware there were 10 vacancies in this tax assessment office due to --¹² I don't know if they were retirements or resignations in specifically the field appraiser position.

15 Do you know how many vacancies? Q.

16 No. I don't -- I don't recall. I would say two or three, but I don't know if that's 18 completely accurate.

Do you know if other than vacancies in 19 the field appraiser position, there were vacancies in any other position such as clerk or typist?

I believe their clerk typist were 22 A.

filled, from what I can recall. And I think the

tax claim office was full as well.

1 O. Do you know how many of those

employees -- again, this is at the time you were ³ hired, so we are talking the January 2021 time frame, held valid CPE licenses?

A. At the time I was hired, I don't exactly know who all had the license, no.

And let me ask you this: When you say you don't know, is it that you don't know now or is it that you don't recall? Do you understand the difference? Let me -- let me strike that. 11 Let me rephrase it. 12

Is it that you never knew or that you don't recall?

A. Well, I -- at the time I was hired, I don't answer know who had it or who didn't. I mean, after the fact I did learn that -- who had ¹⁷ their license and who didn't. I believe that Jane ¹⁸ Doe 3 had her license. Jane Doe 4 was going through the process. Tiffany Keel, who was one of the field appraisers, I think she was going through the process. I don't think we had any field appraisers when I was hired who had the CPE 23 license.

24 O. Okav.

Page 127

Page 126

So the record is clean, Ms. Keel's last name is also Mayer, right?

Yeah. I -- I know she got married. I wasn't sure what's her appropriate name, but I know we still --

Q. Okay.

A. -- refer to her as Keel, I think, when I was there.

9 Q. Just wanted to make sure we understood 10 she was the same person. 11

Do you know, historically, how many CPE licenses have been -- strike that.

Do you know historically how many employees who have held CPE licenses have staffed the assessment office?

16 A. I'm not sure I understand the question.

17 Q. Like, how many is an optimal number of 18 CPE-licensed employees?

So my understanding is that the CPE license is required of all field appraisers. They have a certain number of time to obtain that license. And that was the requirement for that position and then as well as the chief assessor ²⁴ and the deputy.

12

13

15

19

21 Q.

24 exist?

Page 128 1 Do you know what a field appraiser does? A. Q. Yes. 2 Q. A. 3 Q. Okay. And what do they do? 5 So my understanding is they, in general correct? A. 6 terms, go out, they review properties to determine 7 assessed values to put them on the tax roles. They review -- I know they go out and they review different, like, permits and things that were issued to go and see if there's additional 11 properties that need to be picked up to added on 12 to the tax roles. 12 O. 13 13 And generally, what's your understanding Thank you. O. 14 of what the assessment office does? 15 So they're the office that would set the 16 assessed values for the county properties and then they would issues the tax bills. 18 Α. 18 0. So to dumb it down a little bit even Yes. more, tax assessment says you Mr. Homeowner are 19 19 Q. 20 going to own this based off our field appraiser's A. 21 work and then the tax collectors and the O. 22 treasurer's office collect those taxes annually for the property owner, correct? 24 Yes. A. Page 129 1 Q. Okay. So based off that really dumbed-down O. version that I just gave, assessment -- the assessment office cannot complete its duties without field appraisers, correct? A. Yes, they need field appraisers to do and say -that work. A. Okay. Q. And, again, I don't know if you answered this question, optimally how many field appraisers 10 should there be in the tax assessment office? 12 A. That I don't have -- that's -- I'm not certain. I know -- I mean, if I had the compliment, I would look at how many complimented positions we have. I don't know if that's the optimal number or not. 17 Q. What's a compliment? 18 So the staff compliment, so the number of positions that each office has assigned to 19 them.

So there's a document that the county

open and should be filled to know which vacancies

maintains that says, this is what positions are

Page 130 Okav. So there's essentially a document that says what the optimal numbers for the office are, MS. PIPAK: Objection. You can -- you can answer. THE WITNESS: It's -- the document indicates this is how many authorized positions are given to each particular office. BY MS. SMITH: Okay. That's fair, the distinction. So for instance, if an office has approved positions, but the director or the -- the head of the department thinks more might be needed or changes, they can be modified, correct? With the approval of whom? The commissioners. And only the commissioners? The commiss -- well, it would be -positions are created at the salary board. I guess it would be the salary board who actually Page 131

approves the creation of new positions.

So let's walk through, hypothetically a department head says, I need a new position. I need another field appraiser. I've got four, but I need a fifth. They would go to the salary board

Well, they would submit a request through -- typically through a PAR. They would submit a PAR form requesting a new position, typically prior to that PAR form being submitted. However, there would be conversations with -typically HR would get involved to determine, okay, you know, here is the additional work, is this the appropriate, like, classification of the position. And then it would also typically be reviewed by the county administrator, as well as finance would get involved to make sure that we had a budget available to pay for the position.

And then a PAR request would be put in. It would be added on to the salary board agenda. And then the salary board would review that. Potentially a supervisor department -- department head an elected official, because if they would ask for a new position, they could come to the salary

Page: 38 (128 - 131)

```
Page 132
                                                                                                           Page 134
  board and provide, you know, their justification as
                                                            not sure if the treasurer -- I don't believe the
  to why if there's any questions as to why the
                                                            treasurer. I think it's the commissioners, the
   position should be added.
                                                            controller. I'm just thinking who would get a
          In addition to the salary board, do the
                                                             vote. And then if it's an elected official's
   Q.
   commissioners then also have to vote on it?
                                                            office, they would also get a vote as well on the
   A.
          The commissioners are part of the salary
                                                             salary board. But if it was a county position, it
                                                             would just be the commissioners and the
   board.
   Q.
          Okay.
                                                            controller, I believe.
                                                          9
          So the salary board, I believe, approves
                                                            Q.
                                                                    Okay.
   A.
   the new positions. Any changes to the positions,
                                                         10
                                                                    I don't think the treasurer is part of
                                                            A.
                                                         11
  that's the salary board function. The
                                                            the salary board.
                                                         12
   commissioners do the hiring of people into those
                                                            Q.
                                                                    Okay.
                                                         13
13
   positions.
                                                            A.
                                                                    That I can recall.
                                                         14
14
   Q.
          Okay.
                                                            Q.
                                                                    Okay.
                                                         15
15
         So then -- let me make sure, I think I
                                                                   So at -- at some point, Jane Doe 3 and
                                                         16
                                                            Jane Doe 4 were demoted, correct?
16
   understand.
17
         The salary board, which includes the
                                                                    Yes.
                                                             Α.
18
   commissioner, approves the creation or changing of
                                                         18
                                                            Q.
                                                                    And that was, if you recall, March 2021?
                                                         19
19
   positions, the commissioners vote on who fills
                                                             A.
                                                         20
20
   that then position?
                                                            O.
                                                                    After they were demoted, obviously their
21
                                                            jobs were -- were filled. And at some point Deb
  A.
          Yes.
22
   Q.
          Okay. Got it.
                                                            Dash became the interim director of tax claim,
23
         So we -- you just talked a lot about the
                                                            correct?
                                                         24
   process. It kind of involves -- there was a lot
                                                            A.
                                                                    Yes.
                                                 Page 133
                                                                                                          Page 135
   of people involved.
                                                            O.
                                                                    Do you recall if she was the interim
                                                            director of tax claim in August of 2021?
         What would happen if, let's say, the
                                                          3
   county administrator didn't agree with a creation
                                                            A.
                                                                    Yes.
   of a new position?
                                                            Q.
                                                                   Okay.
            MS. PIPAK: Object to the form.
                                                                  So Ms. Dash as the interim -- I'm sorry.
            You can answer.
                                                             She was -- was she the interim director of tax
            THE WITNESS: Either, one, it
                                                             claim or the interim assistant director?
                                                          8
   wouldn't be brought -- put on the agenda for
                                                            A.
                                                                    The interim assistant.
                                                            Q.
   review or it -- I don't know what his process
                                                                   Okay.
  would be to inform the commissioners or the salary
                                                         10
                                                                  And in that, she had the authority to
  board regarding such a request and why he did not
                                                            allow employees of the office she supervised, to
                                                         12
  believe it was something that would be appropriate
                                                            work from home as needed?
                                                         13 A.
                                                                   She could, yes. She -- she could have
13 to add.
                                                         14
<sup>14</sup>BY MS. SMITH:
                                                             that recommendation come through and then it would
          So then, I guess, based on your
15
                                                            be reviewed by myself and then I would review
  Q.
                                                            those with my supervisor as well.
   testimony, is it fair to say that there's no
   written policy or procedure regarding this
                                                                     MS. SMITH: Going to mark 2759 and
                                                            2760 as 108.
18
   process?
                                                         18
                                                         19
19
   A.
          Not to my knowledge.
20
   Q.
          Okay.
                                                                  (Zula 2759-2760 marked as Exhibit-108
         Who sits on the salary board, it's the
                                                            for identification.)
2.1
                                                         22
   commissioners?
                                                         <sup>23</sup> BY MS. SMITH:
23
          So, let me think. It's the
   A.
                                                         24 Q.
   commissioners, the controller, and I don't -- I'm
                                                                   Ms. Zula, do you recognize this
```

Page 136 Page 138 worked from home, correct? document? Α. No. That didn't -- that decision A. Yes. Q. This is an e-mail chain from -didn't -- I mean, that -- those qualifications played into whether or not they could be at work, including you, Ms. Dash, and Mr. Bender, correct? physically at work. And then based upon, you A. know, the situation with each particular employee, Q. Do you see Ms. Dash's e-mail at the we would review based upon the request of the bottom of Page 1, on Friday, August 27th, stating that this will confirm that Denise Burke worked supervisor, as to whether or not there was work form home on Wednesday, Thursday, Friday of this and if the employee was able to work from home week. She plans to continue working from home given their condition. 11 I believe hers was COVID related. I could 11 next week as well? 12 A. Yes. be wrong, but I believe it was COVID related. And 13 so the request was made that there was work to do. Do you recall, was there any prior Q. ¹⁴|She was feeling up to doing work, she just couldn't approval of Denise Burke working from home Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday that week? be in -- physically in the office to do it and 16 therefore we approved it. 16 Yes. A. 17 17 How did that come to you? O. Okay. Q. 18 18 Ms. Dash had come to us to explain the Other than a verbal conversation, there A. 19 situation regarding Ms. Burke's personal was no paperwork submitted? 20 If it was COVID related, we typically circumstances and indicated that there was work 21 got to test results, so -- but... that she was able to do from home and requested 22 that we permit her to do so. And after that O. Other than that? 23 discussion, we did permit Ms. Burke to work from But other than that, no, there would have been no paperwork submitted, to my knowledge. home for that limited period of time. Page 139 Page 137 1 Q. Who was the we? 1 Q. Okay. 2 Are you aware that in March 2021, A. Mr. Bender and I. Christine Zimmerman was permitted to work from the Q. And this is a verbal conversation you had with Ms. Dash? field/home Monday through Friday? 5 A. Yes A. I don't recall that particular Q. What day did that occur? situation. I don't recall. A. MS. SMITH: Zula 707 and 708, it's How -- was it the same week that she 8 Q. going to be Exhibit-109. worked from home or was it before that? 10 10 Yes, it would have been -- it would have (Zula 707-708 marked as Exhibit-109 for A. been before she actually worked from home. identification.) 12 Q. Was it the same week or a week prior? I think it was the same week, if I'm 13 A. BY MS. SMITH: 14 thinking of the correct situation. I think it was Do you recognize this document, Ms. 0. 15 Zula? 15 COVID related, I believe. 16 A. 16 You believe that this August 2021 Yes. I've seen -- well. I have seen the approval to work from home was related to COVID? 17 form before. I don't recall seeing 18 A. I believe so. Ms. Zimmerman's form, but I do -- I am familiar Was the county not utilizing COVID 19 with the telecommuting agreement, yes. 19 quarantine at that point? 20 O. 20 21 And what's your understanding of when Yes. 21 Α. And if an individual was vaccinated one of these forms, one of these short-term 22 Q. telecommunicating agreements needs to be versus non-vaccinated, symptomatic or

²⁴ completed?

²⁴ non-symptomatic played into whether an individual

Page 140 Page 142 This was a process, my understanding, Ms. Mayers says her vehicle? 1 A. From home, from the vehicle. They had when COVID first hit that the county put into A. place that if an employee would need to work from ³ iPads that they could upload their work or submit home on a limited basis, that they could make that their work. I'm not exactly sure of the process. request. O. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. And so we talked about this a little bit And so why was this form not utilized earlier, prior to your employment, the county had for Ms. Dash? made a decision to have Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 For Ms. Burke, you mean? relocated from the courthouse to the County's 410 A. 10 I'm sorry. Yes. Ms. Burke. Building, correct? Q. I don't recall. I mean, it was just not 11 11 A. Yes. A. 12 something we did on a regular basis, and so we O. And it was, I think you said, on your didn't -- I didn't have her fill the form out. 13 first day of employment that they started in 14 Q. Okay. that -- physically started in that building, 15 Page 2 of this document, Zula 708, correct? indicates human resource's signature. 16 A. 16 Yes. 17 17 Do you recall if this was ever approved? O. I know you said you had a conversation with Ms. Kutzler about it. Can you tell us a 18 I don't recall. I don't recall this A. particular situation. little bit more of what exactly Ms. Kutzler told 19 you as to why they were relocated? 20 Q. Okay. 21 21 Do you know if Tiffany Mayer, Tiffany She told me based upon the claims that A. Keel was permitted to work from her vehicle/home were filed, that they were to not have or limit --Monday through Friday in March of 2021? limited contact or in an effort to limit their ²⁴ contact with Mr. Halcovage, that they were going 24 That I don't recall either. Page 141 Page 143 MS. SMITH: 70 -- Zula 709 to 710, 1 to be placed -- their offices placed -- moved to a it's going to be 10 -- 110. different location. 3 Q. Okay. 4 Did you have any conversations with her (Zula 709-710 marked as Exhibit-110 for identification.) about why that particular building was selected? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Did you have any conversations with her BY MS. SMITH: about the fact that prior to that, Jane Doe 2 and This is a similar form, but this one is Q. for Tiffany Mayer, correct? Jane Doe 1 had requested to work from home? 10 It was my understanding that she did --10 A. Q. Do you know if this one was ever she informed me that, yes, they were indeed approved? 12 working from home prior to coming back to the work 13 A. I don't recall. at the 410 Building. 14 14 Do you have any reason to believe that Did she indicate to you that they wanted Ms. Zimmerman and Ms. Mayer were not permitted to to continue to work from home and not go to the work from locations, other than the courthouse. ¹⁶ 410 Building? 17 the field, a vehicle, and their home Monday A. Yes. That become abundantly clear through my employment, that they did not want to 18 through Friday March of 2021? I know in their positions as field 19 be at the 410 Building. 19 But in that -- those kind of initial appraisers, they did a vast majority of their work 20 O. out in the field. And there were times where they conversations with Ms. Kutzler, did she inform you did then finish up their paperwork from a of that? I don't recall if she actually said different location, yes, I was familiar with that. $^{23}|_{A}$. 23

Meaning from -- I mean, I think

that. I don't recall, but...

1	Q.	Did she tell you why they had been	moved
^			

- ² from the work from home status to a county ³ building?
- Ms. Kutzler specifically, I don't recall A. if she informed me why.
- Do you recall having any conversation Q. withs Ms. Kutzler about the accessibility of the 410 Building to the public?
- Not that I recall, no. A.
- 10 Are you aware that the 410 Building is Q. 11 accessible to the public without a county-issued 12 keycard?
- 13 Yes. I believe you can walk in the A. door, the main door, yes.
- Because the 410 Building houses the 15 election bureau, correct? 16
- 17 Yes. A.
- 18 And the public needs to access the Q. 19 election bureau?
- 20 Yes. A.

21 Q. Okay.

You're obviously familiar with 410

Building; fair to say?

24 Yes. A.

Page 145

- 1 Q. Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1 each were assigned unique offices within that building?
- A.
- Q. And Jane Doe 1's is not -- is accessible by the public if the door is open, correct?
- Yes. From -- Jane Doe 1's office was A. located, you walked in the main door by the election bureau and there was a long hallway. The
- drug and alcohol, I think it was, mental health
- was on the right. There's a stairwell and then
- Jane Doe 1's office was located outside of the --
- on the opposite side of the mental health and drug and alcohol office.
- 14 Q. And it's a singular office, correct?
- 15 Yes. Her office was a singular office. A.
- 16 So she either had to have her door shut and locked in order for privacy or open and she
- 18 would be accessible to the public, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Jane Doe 2's was located inside of a
 - larger office space behind a key door, correct?
- I don't know if it's a keyed door. The 22 A. human services office, there's like a receptionist
- desk area there and so -- but I don't -- I'm not

- Page 144 certain if the door leading into the human
 - services office is locked all the time. That I
 - don't know.
 - O. Okav.
 - I don't recall that. A.
 - 0. When is the first time after January 11,
 - 2021, that you viewed either Jane Doe 2 or Jane
 - Doe 1's office spaces in the 410 Building?
 - 9 The first time would have been -- I A.
 - believe I had a meeting with both Jane Doe 1 and
 - Jane Doe 2 in Jane Doe 1's office in the 410
 - Building. I don't exactly recall when that was,
 - but that would have been the first time.
 - Do you know, was it in January 2021? 0.
 - 15 Α. No. I think it was later than that.
 - 16 Q. Later than February?
 - Probably even later. Yeah, March, April Α. time frame maybe.
 - 19 Were you ever made aware that a O.
 - Qualified Cleaning Company was allegedly hired to
 - clean Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2's 410 office 22 space?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - $^{24}|_{\mathbf{O}}$. When were you made aware of that?

Page 147

Page 146

- I believe when they returned to the ² offices, that both Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2
- reported that their offices -- they weren't happy
- with the conditions of the offices. And then
- after that report was made, Ms. Kutzler and Mr.
- Bender and I had discussions that they did have
- the office professionally cleaned by SERVPRO. And
- 8 I believe then Ms. Kutzler also when down to
- view -- well, she was actually there to view the
- offices when they arrived on January 11th.
- 11 O. So on January 11th, it's your
- understanding Ms. Kutzler went to the 410 Building
- with Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 and gave them their
- keys, correct? 15
- A. Yes. She met with them on their first 16 and day back.
- 17 Q. And it's your understanding she viewed their offices that day? 18
- 19 Yes, I believe so. A.
- 20 O. Okay.
 - So there's a conversation between you,
- Mr. Bender, Ms. Kutzler after Jane Doe 2 and Jane
- Doe 1 made complaints about the condition of their
- ²⁴ offices?

March and April -- March or April?

Okav.

That happened before.

23

A. 24 O.

Page 150 Page 148 1 Do you recall when that conversation --A. Yes. 2 Q. A. It would have been right -- right around And what was the content of that conversation? the time that they may made the complaints. MS. SMITH: Okay. Mark this as A. That you -- they weren't -- well, that they didn't understand why the conditions of the Exhibit 111, it's Zula 318 and 319. office were not acceptable because they were 6 professionally cleaned. That those offices were (Zula 318-319 marked as Exhibit-111 for occupied by other county employees with no issues identification.) in the past. And that we discussed what, you know, remedies we could do to alleviate their BY MS. SMITH: 11 11 concerns or complaint. Ms. Zula, do you recognize this O. What were those options to alleviate document -- this e-mail chain? 12 Q. A. 13 their concerns and complaints? 14 So I think one of the complaints was O. I -- I see that you're your not CC'ed on the first e-mail, but given how it's formatted, it 15 that there were ceiling tiles that had black, like, spots on them. So we had contacted the -appears that when Ms. Kutzler sent an e-mail, her well, the maintenance department was contacted to e-mail on January 13th at 8:36, it was including the -- Jane Doe 3's January 13, 7:23 a.m. e-mail. replace the ceiling tiles. There were additional boxes, I think, that were left in one of the -- I 19 Is that a fair representation of this document? 20 think it was Jane Doe 2's office that were from --A. Yes. 21 ²¹ I don't know, whoever the person was in there O. Okay. 22 So is it fair to say then as of prior. I think there was some IT equipment potentially. So we made arrangements with the IT January 13th, you were notified, as well as others at the county, were notified as to the conditions department to go down there and clean that -- that Page 149 and the -- the dissatisfaction with the conditions 1 stuff out. of Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2's offices? Q. Okay. Was any other cleaning company brought A. Yes. We were made aware of their in to clean the offices after the SERVPRO company dissatisfaction. 5 came in? O. Okay. 6 Did -- to your knowledge, did anyone A. I'm not certain. ever contact SERVPRO to contest how the offices Q. Okay. Did you -- you -were cleaned and the payment made to them? A. I'm not aware. A. 10 10 You are not certain as you sit here Q. MS. SMITH: Going to look at Zula today or are you just -- you had no involvement in 515. Exhibit 112. 12 12 it? 13 I had no involvement in it. I know that (Zula 515 marked as Exhibit-112 for there is a cleaning company for that building, but identification.) 15 what areas of the building it cleans, I have no 16 16 idea. BY MS. SMITH: 17 17 Q. Okay. Q. Do you recognize this e-mail? 18 18 The conversation that -- that you were A. just describing between yourself, Defendant 19 This is an e-mail from Jane Doe 2 to 19 Bender, and Defendant Kutzler, did that happen numerous individuals at the county, including before or after you viewed the office spaces in yourself, correct?

22

23 Q.

A.

And it's dated February 26, 2021,

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is that a yes?
- Yes. A.
- Her first sentence she states that 0.

sometime between October and December of 2020, I

- was informed by the county that my office/work
- space was being relocated to a vacant room within another department in the 410 Building.
- Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Did you come to learn that prior to Q.
- January 11, 2021, the county had attempt to have
- Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1 work from the 410
- Building?

1

10

11

- 15 I was not privy to any of those A.
- conversations and I did not get any of that 16
- information. I was just told that they were being
- returned back to work from working from home in
- January of 2021. 19
- So I understand that at time in 20
- January of 2021, you may not have known this, but
- at any point, or is today the first day, did you
- learn that there was efforts prior to January
- ²⁴ 2021, that efforts were made to locate them there?
 - Page 153 No, I was not aware -- made aware of A.
 - that.
 - So this is the first time? Q.
 - A. Yeah. I was not aware that they -there were -- what efforts were made prior to January of 2021.
 - Okay. Q.

Jane Doe 2 goes on in Paragraph 1 to indicate that there are still concerns regarding her office that need to be addressed.

Would you agree?

- $^{12}|A.$ Yes.
 - And one of those is the water-stained ceiling tiles, which she indicates that pieces of
- tile are falling on her or falling, containing
- mold spores and other issues including supplies and documents still being in her office, correct? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 If we look back to Exhibit -- if we look O. back to 111, which is Zula 318 and 319, these
- issues were listed in Jane Doe 3's e-mail,
- correct? If we look to the first --
- 23 Yeah, I'm reading. A.
- 24 **Q**. Okay.

Page 152 1 I just was going to point you to the

section.

- 3 A. Yes.
- O. And in fact, in Doreen Kutzler's e-mail,
- she suggests that -- or asks, is it possible to
- switch them out, the ceiling tiles out, as well
- as -- I'm sorry.

She says why don't -- she asks, is it possible to switch them out, correct?

- 10 A.
- 11 Q. Do you know why between January 13th of
- 2021, and February 26, 2021, these issues were not
- addressed?
- 14 A. No, I don't.
- 15 O. Did you, between those dates, January
- 13, 2021, February 26, 2021, take any action to
- ¹⁷ have those issues corrected?
- 18 Α. As I indicated earlier, Ms. Kutzler and
- I did have conversations with Mr. Bender that we
- were going -- that we wanted to have that action
- ²¹ and my understanding was the maintenance
- department was made aware of those requests.
- 23 What was your understanding of whom
- made -- of who made maintenance aware of those

Page 155

Page 154

- requests?
- I don't know. I believe it was Gary. $^{2}|A.$
- I'm not exactly certain though. I know I did not.
- O.

5 So where did your knowledge or belief

- that someone had made maintenance aware come from?
- A. Based on our conversations.
- 8 O. So did someone say, I made maintenance
- aware or did -- what happened? How did you come
- 10 to --
- 11 A. Well, yeah. We talk about Paul
- ¹² Federooff, he was the maintenance manager, that he
- was made aware that we need to make these changes
- and to resolve the issue.
- 15 Q. Okay.

16 But you don't know who told Paul

- 17 Federooff?
- 18 A. I don't.
- 19 Q. Do you know if a work order was put in?
- 20 A.
- 21 MS. SMITH: All right. We're going
- to look to Zula 630 to 632. It's going to be
- marked as Exhibit-113.

Page 156 Page 158 1 (Zula 630-632 marked as Exhibit-113 for O. Did you ever ask her if she wanted to move offices? identification.) 3 A. No. That was a discussion that I had BY MS. SMITH: with Mr. Bender about potentially moving her office into Elaine Gilbert's area, which is the Do you recognize this e-mail chain, Ms. Q. drug and alcohol, mental health. Zula? Q. Her office was never moved, correct? A. Yes. A. Again, I -- I see that you're not CC'ed No, it was not. Q. on the first e-mail in the chain, which is the 9 O. Why wasn't it moved? second and third page, but you are -- it appears 10 Mr. Bender made the decision not to move A. Jane Doe 3 forwarded that first e-mail to you in 11 her office. 12 the second e-mail, which begins on the first page; Q. Do you know why? ¹³ A. 13 is that correct? 14 O. 14 A. Yes. Did he instruct you to speak with or to 15 not to speak with Jane Doe 2 about that option? Q. Okay. 16 And again, Jane Doe 2 in her e-mail, the 16 A. No, he did not. first in the chain on Page 2 onto 3 on Friday, 17 O. Did you ever ask him if you could speak with her to figure out what she would want? March 5, 2021, again, addresses issues that are --19 No, I didn't ask him that question. 19 that she is still having in her office as of that A. 20 20 date, correct? In January of 2021, so let's start Can you repeat that? I'm sorry. 21 specifically January 11, 2021, when you learned A. about Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1's relocation to So Jane Doe 2 e-mailed Jane Doe 3 on 22 Q. 23 the 410 Building, what was your understanding or March 5, 2021. ²⁴ knowledge of parking assignments at that building? 24 Would you agree? Page 157 Page 159 1 A. At that point in time, I had no knowledge of parking assignments at that building. Q. And in it, she indicates that as of 3 Q. March 4th, the tiles were just getting replaced, correct? 4 At some point, did you come to learn Α. Yes. about parking at that building? And Jane Doe 2, in her e-mail, also Q. A. Yes. addresses other concerns regarding who accessed O. Do you recall when that was? 8 her office, correct? Oh, that was -- I don't recall the exact A. A. Yes, correct. date, but it was much later in my employment 10 10 And when Jane Doe 3 forwards her e-mail, Q. tenure. she raises concerns as well from Jane Doe 2 that 11 Okay. O. 12 Jane Doe 2 is not being treated in a friendly If you recall we met at a -- as sexual manner by those in the office to which she was violence protection order --14 reassigned, correct? Α. Yes. 15 15 Yes. She made those indications. Q. -- hearing at the courthouse, correct? A. 16 16 And she indicates that this is only O. A. 17 adding to the daily stress that Jane Doe 2 was O. I'm going to represent to you I believe 18 already experiencing, correct? that was in May of 2021. Do you recall if Yes. That's what she indicated. prior -- because you and I had discussions, along 19 A. 20 with others, about parking at the 410 Building, Q. Did you ever speak to Jane Doe 2 about these concerns, either the ones raised by her or 21 correct? the ones raised by Jane Doe 3? 22 Yes. That would have been probably the 22 A.

²⁴ 410 Building.

I don't recall specifically speaking to

 23 A.

her about these, no.

²³ first time I knew about parking situation at the

Page 162 Page 160 Q. You believe that was the first time? provided the information that was requested. 2 A. Q. Okay. 3 Q. Was Glen Roth involved in your Okay. As a result of that conversation in discussions with Mr. Bender at all? which Mr. Roth was present, correct? I believe he was there, yes, because --A. 6 A. Yes. MS. IPPOLITO: I am going to object 7 Q. Did you take any action or conduct any investigation to figure out if Jane Doe 2 or Jane 8 MS. PIPAK: To any conversations Doe 1 could be assigned a space at the 410 you may have had with Mr. Roth. Building? THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 A. 11 BY MS. SMITH: 12 12 Q. What action or investigation did you Again, I am not asking for the contents, O. 13 but he was there? take? 14 14 A. Well, based upon your request at the A. I believe he was there, yes. 15 hearing, I did discuss it with Mr. Bender, that Did he provide any input? Q. 16 16 the request was made for a parking space directly MS. PIPAK: Objection. outside of the 410 Building. And he made the 17 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't determination that a space would not be provided. 18 recall. 19 Q. Mr. Bender made the determination? BY MS. SMITH: 20 20 A. Q. Okay. 21 Q. Do you know if he consulted with anyone? A. I don't recall. 22 Q. 22 I don't know. What was your understanding -- strike A. 23 23 Is it your understanding that Mr. Bender Q. that. 24 has the authority to assign county -- strike that. In January 11th of 2021, when you Page 161 Page 163 1 started with the county, did you learn if -- what Let's start with this: The 410 Building is a county-owned building, correct? or if any restrictions were placed on Defendant Halcovage's access to the courthouse? A. Q. And the parking lot is a county-owned I don't -- I don't recall if -- what the parking lot, correct? specific restrictions were back in January. I do A. I believe so, yes. know that I recall being told, and I don't know if this happened -- I think this happened prior to me Q. starting, that Mr. Halcovage had to be wanded in 8 So do you know who has the authority, by the sheriff's office. I think he was met down whether it be one or multiple individuals, the by the back entrance of the courthouse. There's authority to assign parking spaces at the 410 10 Building? an entrance where employees park, he was wanded $^{12}|A.$ I believe the parking assignments are and then he was permitted to come up into the managed by -- and I don't know her title, within ¹³ courthouse. I don't know if that was the the human services office. I think her name was restriction that was in place right when I Sharon Love. She kind of managed the parking started, but I know that kind of morphed over the situation at that building. But she reported to next several months. 17 Mr. Bender, so I'm assuming if he would have made Q. Were you aware that Defendant Halcovage a determination to issue parking to someone, that was outright restricted from entering the 410 19 Building? 19 it would have been done. 20 20 A. Yes. There was discussion with -- I Q. Did you provide your input as to whether know Mr. Bender had advised that there was 21 discussion that Mr. Halcovage was not to be going parking spaces should be assigned to Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1 at that building? down to the 410 Building unless he was accompanied I don't believe I provided my input. I by another individual.

	Page 164		Page 166
1	Q. When you started, do you know, was	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
2	Defendant Halcovage to be escorted by another	2	12:20 p.m. and we're going off the record.
3	individual when in the courthouse as well or just	3	
4		4	(Whereupon, brief recess was held off the
5	A. I don't know if that was in place when I	5	record.)
6	first started, but that eventually became the	6	
7	the protocol. And I think that actually was in	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
8	place when I started, that if he was going to	8	1:09 p.m. and we're back on the record.
9	travel throughout the courthouse, he would be	9	MS. SMITH: Matt, I uploaded
10	escorted.	10	another document to the exhibits. It should be
11	Q. And do you know whose decision or	11	Doe supplemental 575.
12	agreement that was, where that came from?	12	Sorry, for those who wanted paper
13	A. I knew the sheriff was involved. I	13	copies, I don't have copies of this just because
14	don't snow exactly know I'm not exactly sure if	14	it was added on the fly.
	that was just an agreement I don't I don't	15	MS. PIPAK: Sorry. 575?
16	know who was involved in that.	16	MS. SMITH: Uh-huh.
17	Q. So your understanding is that or	17	THE TECHNICIAN: Will you be
18		18	marking this, Counsel?
19	At the time that you were hired	19	MS. SMITH: Yes. This is going to
20	January 11, 2021, was Commissioner Halcovage still	20	be Exhibit 114.
21	_	21	
22	A. I believe so, yes. I believe he was.	22	(Doe 575 marked as Exhibit-114 for
23	Q. And do you recall was were Jane Doe 3	23	identification.)
24	and Jane Doe 4 parking in the upper lot?	24	,
1	Page 165	1	Page 167
1	A. I believe they parked in the I	1 2	BY MS. SMITH
2	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting	2	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the		BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one
2 3 4	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot.	2 3 4	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at
2 3 4 5	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and	2 3 4 5	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at BY MS. SMITH:
2 3 4	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your	2 3 4 5	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at BY MS. SMITH: Q. I'm going to ask you to just take a look
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that	2 3 4 5 6	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at BY MS. SMITH: Q. I'm going to ask you to just take a look at at this document.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at BY MS. SMITH: Q. I'm going to ask you to just take a look at at this document. MS. FOX: Can you read out the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at BY MS. SMITH: Q. I'm going to ask you to just take a look at at this document. MS. FOX: Can you read out the Bates numbers out again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am MS. SMITH: So I have the same one on my screen, just so she can look at BY MS. SMITH: Q. I'm going to ask you to just take a look at at this document. MS. FOX: Can you read out the Bates numbers out again? MS. SMITH: It's Doe supplemental 575.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something that that was in place immediately right when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something that that was in place immediately right when I hired, but I when I was hired, but I know that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something that that was in place immediately right when I hired, but I when I was hired, but I know that was the restriction that was then placed on him on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something that that was in place immediately right when I hired, but I when I was hired, but I know that was the restriction that was then placed on him on his access card to the courthouse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something that that was in place immediately right when I hired, but I when I was hired, but I know that was the restriction that was then placed on him on his access card to the courthouse. MS. SMITH: All right. Now is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I believe they parked in the I believe their spots were moved prior to me getting there. And that Mr. Halcovage was parking in the lower lot and they were parking in the upper lot. Q. And I think you testified to this, and just so I am clear, you it was your understanding in January of 2021 then that Commissioner Halcovage could park in the lower lot and then enter the commissioner's entrance in in that lower lot after being wanded by a sheriff; is that correct? A. Yeah. He had to be met by a sheriff, yes. Q. Do you also recall if Commissioner Halcovage was restricted Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 p.m.? A. I don't know if that was something that that was in place immediately right when I hired, but I when I was hired, but I know that was the restriction that was then placed on him on his access card to the courthouse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. SMITH Q. Ms. Zula, I am

Page 168

A. It would have been that day, Ms. Kutzler discussed the situation with me and then I did participate in some of the discussions.

Q. Okay.

And when you say in some of the discussions, let's start with who was involved in those --

A. So it was Ms. Kutzler.

Q. Sorry. Just let me -- it's okay.

Who was involved in those discussions?

A. It was Ms. Kutzler and I, and I believe we spoke with Jane Doe 3, based upon the e-mail that she had sent to Ms. Kutzler. We then attempted to reach out to Jane Doe 2 to discuss the situation with her and she would not speak to

us. And we were informed that she would be providing us with a statement regarding her

concerns and the statement was never received.

Q. So you're saying you never received a statement regarding this incident?

A. No. The only time I seen a statement regarding incident from Jane Doe 2 was when -- and forgive me because I'm not the lawyer, so whatever

was filed in March of -- I think it was March,

Page 169

was -- that's when I was told the statement was submitted, whatever paperwork and exhibits or -- or supplements or whatever they're called was submitted. And I think her statement was part of that documentation. But I'm not exactly certain. But what was the first time. She never submitted a statement to us directly.

⁸ Q. When you're referring to March, are you referring to March of 2020?

A. March of 2021. I think there was some sort of documents filed in March of 2021 or maybe later or maybe it was even later, I don't exactly recall what -- because I wasn't involved in that first step of the process. So -- but I believe one of those filings is where the statement appeared and that was the first time that I've ever seen this statement from Jane Doe 2 about this particular incident.

¹⁹ Q. Okay.

20

21

23

Okay.

Are you aware that Thomas Heinbach, Esquire, through me, requested a statement from Jane Doe 2?

 24 A. Yes.

Q. And are you aware that I provided Thomas
Heinbach, Esquire a statement --

A. No, I was not because I did not -- I did not get it. So if it was provided, I didn't receive it.

Q. Did Ms. -- do you know if Ms. Kutzler ever received a statement?

⁸ A. I -- to my knowledge she didn't because I think we've had those -- we had those discussions.

 11 Q. That question why she never --

A. Yeah. We never received a statement.

We did speak with Mr. Halcovage regarding the incident as well and received his side of the story.

Q. Do you recall what his side of the story was?

A. He indicated that he was going to a funeral, I believe it was, in St. Claire. I believe he -- from what I can recall, he denied going to Walmart. I don't think he was at the Walmart in that parking lot. He did see Jane Doe 2 on the highway and then he made the turn to go off of the highway into the town to the -- to the

Page 171

funeral home he was going to for the funeral.

Q. So do you recall who you -- you said you spoke with Jane Doe 3, you attempted to reach Jane Doe 2 and you spoke with Defendant Halcovage. Do you know what order those occurred in?

A. I think it was Jane Doe 3 first because I think -- well, Doreen did the -- the discussion, I just sat in.

⁹ Q. Okay.

A. And then we attempted to reach out with Doreen -- Doreen and I attempted to reach out to Jane Doe 2. I believe she was directed through Jane Doe 3 to go file a police report with -- I think it's, I guess, the St. Claire police department. I am not aware if that was ever

completed. And then when we reached out to her, we were told she would provide a statement and I

don't know if that came from your office or whom, that a statement would be provided. We never got

the statement. And then we did question Mr.

Halcovage regarding his interactions with Jane Doe 2that day.

Q. So let's start with Jane Doe 3's -- the conversation you had with Jane Doe 3. Was it just

Page 172

Page 173

Page 174

you, Ms. Kutzler, and Jane Doe 3 present?

A.

Q. And was that in person?

No. I believe it was on the phone. A.

O. And then you attempted to reach Jane Doe

2 by phone, correct?

By phone. A.

That was just you and Ms. Kutzler? Q.

A. Yes.

10 And then -- then you spoke with Mr. O.

11 Halcovage. Was that you and Ms. Kutzler again?

12 Yes. That was a day later maybe. It

wasn't that same day. I don't -- I don't believe 13

it was that same day.

15 So the conversation with Jane Doe 3 and Q. the reach out to Jane Doe 2 were the same day as 16 the incident, so January 13th?

18 A. Yes, I believe so.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. Yes.

21 When you spoke with Jane Doe 3, did you Q.

take any notes, either you or Ms. Kutzler?

23 I can't recall. I don't know. A.

24 **Q**. When you spoke with Defendant Halcovage,

did you take any notes?

I -- I don't remember. I don't know. A.

Is it typical county policy that in an

HR investigation, that notes should be taken?

Yes. I would typically take notes when A. I do meet with employees. So, yes, that would be my typical process. I can't recall if I did or I didn't though.

Q. Did you ever ask Jane Doe 3 to write a 10 statement?

A. I don't believe we asked her to write a 12 statement, no.

O. Did you ever prepare or do you know anyone who prepared a statement for Jane Doe 3 to

sign based off what she report to you?

No. We utilized the e-mail that she 16 A. provided. 17

18 Okay. Q.

19

Is it something -- is it -- is it

20 typical that HR would write a statement for an employee with a grievance or that they'd ask the

employee to write the grievance?

As far as grievance, meaning? 23 A.

24 O Sorry. Yeah, because you guys have a union.

A. Yeah. So like that's what -- yeah.

3 Q. Understood. Let's clarify that.

So if an employee has a complaint or reports something such as this incident, a safety concern, let's say, would it be typical for the county to draft a statement for them to sign based on their reports or would they say, hey, can you draft a statement and provide it to us?

A. During my tenure there, we would utilize

11 the documentation that we received from the

employee. The employee would provide us with that

13 information. So is this case, we would utilize

¹⁴ her e-mail, which outlines what occurred.

15 So let's say her e-mail was just vague O. 16 and you needed more information, would you just then ask her in an e-mail to provide more?

18

Α. Potentially, yes. Yes, I would.

19 So in your time as an employee with the Q. county, was there ever a time that statements were asked or requested of individuals with issues such

22 as a safety concern?

23 Α. Formalized statements? I'm just trying

to think. I mean, yeah, they -- I believe there

Page 175 were -- on occasion, we did have employees prepare ² their own statement and sign it. But typically if the employee provided something in writing such as an e-mail, I would utilize that, unless there was more information that was needed.

6 Q. Okay.

But it would be the employee writing a statement and providing it to you?

A. Yes.

10 O. Okay.

11

12

Do you recall any of those instances?

A. We had a situation in our MIS department where there were some employees making complaints about another employee. So, yes, I did ask each of them to write a statement and provide it to me 16 in writing.

17 After you spoke with Defendant Halcovage Q. did vou ever confirm if there was, in fact, a

19 funeral?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And was there?

22 A. Yes.

23 **Q**. Did you confirm he was present at it?

Jane Doe 2?

We didn't speak with Jane Doe 2.

Page 176 Page 178 1 Q. And it was in St. Claire? 1 Q. I'm sorry. 2 $^{2}|A.$ Was that before or after you reached out Yes. Q. Did you ever -- or to your knowledge, to Jane Doe 2? did anyone on behalf of the county ever reach out A. After. to the St. Claire police department to obtain a Q. Was it before or after you spoke with police report or a copy of the police report? Defendant Halcovage? I did not, no. Before. A. A. Q. Are you aware of anyone who did? Q. Did you ever follow up with Mr. Heinbach to determine if he had received any information? A. I'm not aware. 10 MS. PIPAK: I'm going to object to Q. Do you know how it came that Tom Heinbach contact -- contacted me for Jane Doe 2 to 11 that on attorney-client privilege. 11 12 12 write a statement? MS. SMITH: It's not asking for the contents, it's asking if she had a conversation. 13 13 MS. PIPAK: Objection to the extent 14 your answer reflects any communications you had MS. PIPAK: You are asked about -with counsel. 15 you are asking about a specific thing, so you're 16 16 MS. SMITH: Given that Mr. Heinbach asking about the contents of it. MS. SMITH: It's not. It's whether reached out to me to ask to write a statement, 18 that privilege has been waived. a conversation occurred is not contents. A 19 MS. PIPAK: It's the privilege for conversation can occur and it can say a million her reaching out. If -- I asked -- I said any 20 things in -communication she had with counsel. So I'm saying MS. PIPAK: The way your question any communication she had with counsel -was -- was asked, did you ask a question about --23 MS. SMITH: Given that Mr. Heinbach or did you reach out to him about this --24 contacted me as result of reports made and asked MS. SMITH: Did you have -- you can Page 177 Page 179 my statement -- my client to write a statement, read back my question. It's did you have a ² conversation. I am not asking for the contents of would be a waiver of the privilege of the contents the conversation, it's just simply whether she had of the communication. action to speak with him is not a privileged --MS. PIPAK: That wouldn't be a ⁵ it's her act of doing something. It's not a waiver. MS. SMITH: Yes, it would. conversation that's occurring, therefore there is MS. PIPAK: No, it wouldn't. I -no contents to it. I -- I really -- I don't know any authority that MS. PIPAK: Okay. Ask the question that would be a waiver. again. 10 BY MS. SMITH: 10 So I'm going to direct you not to 11 11 Did you -- after speaking with 12 Mr. Heinbach initially, did you ever reach out to MS. SMITH: Okay. I'm going to 13 him -- did you ever have a conversation again with reserve my write to -- to ask those questions and 14 we can brief it with the judge. him about this issue? 14 15 15 MS. PIPAK: Objection. MS. PIPAK: Okay. 16 16 BY MS. SMITH: BY MS. SMITH: 17 Without disclosing the contents of the 17 Q. Did you ever take that action? 18 18 conversation, did you have any conversation MS. PIPAK: Objection. 19 regarding this incident with Thomas Heinbach? Do not answer this. 20 20 A. Yes. MS. SMITH: Then we can get the judge on the phone because this one is 100 21 Q. Okay. 22 Was that before or after you spoke with percent -- it's her act, it is not the contents. The contents -- the reason a privilege exists --

MS. PIPAK: But you're asking about

²⁴ Friday, or the following week?

Page 180 Page 182 1 1 Probably the following week because we A. MS. SMITH: I'm not asking about still hadn't received anything. the conversation. I'm asking what she did, what 3 Q. Okay. was her act. Did she reach out to him. And in this -- I'm going to have you MS. PIPAK: Ask that question then. look at the last paragraph of this e-mail. Ask that question. You said you thought you had received BY MS. SMITH: this e-mail, correct? Did you reach out to Thomas Heinbach I don't recall receiving this e-mail. Q. about this incident? I'm familiar with its contents based upon my 10 discussions. MS. PIPAK: No. Ask her what she 11 did. 11 Q. So --12 12 MS. SMITH: What she did is -- is a A. I don't know if I have got this 13 question that is so open ended. What did she do? communication or not. 14 She could have had a party at her house that 0. Okay. 15 15 night. Are you aware of the contents of the 16 second paragraph of this e-mail? 16 MS. PIPAK: Right. Ask her that question, do not ask her about the conversation Yes, I was made aware of that. 18 Did you, or to your knowledge, did she had with the attorney. O. anyone on behalf of the county speak with Jane Doe 19 MS. SMITH: I don't care what she ²⁰ 3 or Jane Doe 4 regarding their assigned -- I'm 20 did on her own. I am asking her about this. BY MS. SMITH: sorry -- this newly designate commissioner parking 22 22 Q. What did you do about this? This -vehicle spot? after you spoke with Mr. Heinbach the first time 23 I did not speak with to Jane Doe 3 or A. and then Defendant Halcovage, what else did you do Jane Doe 4. I'm not sure if anyone else did Page 181 Page 183 1 regarding this incident? Q. Okay. I did follow up with Mr. Heinbach and he Do you know who designated the spot of A. was aware we never received the statement. commissioners vehicle in January of 2021? And so after speaking with Mr. Heinbach, Yes. We did -- Doreen and I did look Q. you still believed that -- you still had never into this issue with Mr. Bender. That was -- the seen a statement? parking spot was supposed to just say reserved because there -- it was to be utilized for A. I never saw the statement. vendor -- a specific vendor and I don't recall 8 Q. Okav. Do you recall when that was? which vendor, so that they can come into the 10 It would have been shortly thereafter courthouse and go quickly. A. because we spoke with Mr. Halcovage that same --11 However, when the maintenance department that very next day, I believe it was. And so I issued -- put the sign up, they thought it would be believe it was probably even a few weeks later more appropriate that nobody would park there if it when we were dealing with some other issues that said commissioner's vehicle, and therefore, that's 15 we had that conversation with Mr. Heinbach. what they put on the sign when the sign was put up. 16 MS. SMITH: If we can put Doe 16 Q. Okav. 17 17 So this e-mail indicates that supplement 583 and 584 on the screen. 18 18 January 13th was a Wednesday. You said you thought you spoke with Mr. Halcovage the next day, 19 19 (Doe 583-584 marked as Exhibit-115 for 20 so likely Thursday, January 14th? identification.) 21 Yeah. Most likely Thursday, yes. 21 A. And then do you know if the conversation 22 Q. BY MS. SMITH: with Mr. Heinbach occurred on the Thursday, the 23 Just before we look at this one, did you

or did Ms. Kutzler ever write an investigation

2

21

22

Page 184 report regarding Jane Doe 2's allegations that --Jane Doe 3's relayance of those allegations that Defendant Halcovage had followed her?

A. I did not.

Q. Do you know anyone who did?

A. No.

MS. SMITH: 115.

BY MS. SMITH:

Q. If we look at the second page to supplement 584 of this, you send Jane Doe 3 an e-mail on January 15th of 2021. It says: Please 12 see the attached correspondence on behalf of 13 county administrate Gary R. Bender.

Do you see that?

15 Yes. A.

14

17

18

19

23

16 Okay. Q.

This doesn't appear to have an attachment to it. But was there an attachment to the e-mail?

20 I believe, yes, it would be this 21 document.

22 Q. Okay.

And so you did send Doe Supplemental 583, the first page of this exhibit to Jane Doe 3,

Page 185

correct?

A. Yes.

O. Did you have any input in the drafting of this letter?

Ms. Kutzler drafted it. I think I did A. review it upon her drafting it. And then it was provided to Mr. Bender for his review and signature.

And then he did review, sign it, and Q. then had you send it to Jane Doe 3? 10

Yes. A.

12 Q. Okay.

14

When you reviewed Ms. Kutzler's draft of this, what was the reason you reviewed it?

15 I was part of the conversation that A. occurred regarding why the tax assessment employees were not utilizing that entrance to 18 limit the potential of running into Mr. Halcovage.

19 Q.

20 So that's the second paragraph contained in this letter?

22 Uh-huh. A.

23 Is that a yes? Q.

Yes.

Q. Okay.

And it talks about January 13th,

correct?

A.

O. So the conversation you were just testifying that you were part of occurred on January 13th; is that what I'm to understand?

Yes, I believe so. A.

9 O. Do you remember at time?

10 A. No, I do not.

11 Q. Okav.

12 So it says: While in conversation with ¹³ interim human resources director and new human resources director, that would be Doreen Kutzler and you, correct?

16 A. Uh-huh, yes.

O. The question was posed as to why the tax assessment employees have not utilized the north entrance when exiting -- entering and exiting the 20 courthouse.

Who was the question posed by and to whom?

23 A. I believe this situation occurred in that Mr. Halcovage was going to a press conference

Page 187

Page 186

upstairs in Courtroom 1. And this question was posed by Jane Doe 3 because they were made aware that he entered the building through a different door.

5 O. Well, January 13th was the day that Commissioner Halcovage was allegedly at the funeral, correct?

8 A. That's the day I believe we spoke to Jane Doe 3. I don't recall if that's the exact same day that the press conference was. That I don't recall. But he was at the funeral on the 13th, based upon the original e-mail.

13 O. Okay.

So my -- my reading of this, and correct me if I'm wrong, is that Commissioner Halcovage attended a press conference in Courtroom 1 on January 15th, as it says in the last sentence, in the very last part, which is exactly what occurred 19 today?

20 A. Yes, that would make sense.

So the January 13th question --O. conversation in Paragraph 2 occurred two days prior to this issue with Commissioner Halcovage ²⁴ and the press conference, correct?

1 A. Yes.

Q. Okav.

So then what was -- this conversation in Paragraph 2, what was -- why was that conversation occurring and whom was involved?

6 A. I believe the conversation was based upon -- it included Doreen Kutzler, myself, and Jane Doe 3. I don't know why the question was posed. I don't recall that, but this outlines 10 what was discussed.

11 Okay. Q.

15

1

2

So let's talk about, at least then, 12 January 13th, 14th, and 15th. What was the 13 understanding of where Defendant Halcovage was to enter the courthouse?

At that point in time, I don't believe 16 A. it was restricted as far as that he could only be coming in through the bottom door. He would then -- he would -- had to be escorted, as was 19 indicated here. So my recollection of this is that he met Gary Bender at one of the other entrances of the courthouse and he and Gary Bender walked upstairs to Courtroom 1 to attend the press conference.

Q. Okay.

And then on January 13th, 14th, and 15th, what was the understanding of where the tax assessment employees were to enter the courthouse?

A. Well, the tax assessment employees could have entered the courthouse from any location, as their badge would have let them in. But based upon the prior discussions, it was suggested that they enter through the north entrance because typically Commissioner Halcovage would come in through the bottom entrance of the courthouse. ¹² And if you enter the bottom entrance of the courthouse, come up the elevator or the steps, you don't actually enter the courthouse building.

You're kind of on the outside of that. So the north entrance would allow employees to come down 17 the steps and go right into the tax offices.

18 Okav. Q.

19 So -- but the tax assessment employees had no requirement regarding --20

21 Α. No.

-- what door they had to enter? 22 Q.

You are correct. 23 A.

24 O Okay. Page 188

Page 189

And this e-mail, if we look to the second page again, it's sent at 4:07 p.m. on the day of this late, January 15th, which based on the first paragraph where we just established is, if my math is correct, about six hours after -- five 6 hours and about ten minutes or so, after Jane Doe 3 raises her concerns regarding what occurred; would you agree? So Jane Doe 3 --

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okav.

11 In that five hours, did you conduct any investigation as to whether, as Paragraph 2 at the end states, encountered any of her employees upon entering, traveling through, or leaving the courthouse entrance today?

16 A. Yes.

17 O. What investigation did you conduct?

18 Α. We reviewed the cameras to determine where Mr. Halcovage was in the courthouse through the sheriff's office. And his whereabouts were tracked down each of the hallways and he was accompanied by Mr. Bender the entire time upon his return back to the commissioners office and at no point in time were there any other tax assessment

Page 191

Page 190

employees viewed on the cameras.

Q. How many cameras did you view?

3 A. I don't recall.

Were you aware that a preservation of Q. evidence letter had been sent at that point?

6 A. At that point, I don't think I was aware of any of that, no.

Who did you view the video with? O.

A. It would have been done with the sheriff's office, I don't know exactly who it was that viewed the video, but it was done in the sheriff's office because they are the only people who have access to -- had access to the videos. 14

Other than someone from the sheriff's office, one or more people, was there anyone else present?

17 A. Doreen Kutzler and I.

18 Q. Anyone else?

19 A. No.

Was that video preserved, do you know? 20 Q.

21 A. I do not know.

22 Do you think -- so Halcovage, during O. 23 this video, was escorted by Defendant Bender,

10

A. Yes.

Did you know at that time in January of O. 2021, that both Halcovage and Mr. Bender were named as respondents in an EEOC charge by the plaintiffs?

A. That was my first week of employment, I don't know exactly -- I knew that there was a charge, I didn't know who it was against or what. So I don't know if I knew at that particular point 10 in time.

11 Did you speak with Jane Doe 3 regarding O. 12 her e-mail of February -- I'm sorry --

January 15th, 10:51 a.m.? 13

I don't recall speaking to her regarding the e-mail. 15

16 Did you speak with her regarding her Q. instruction for her employees to leave their work locations?

19 A. I believe we had that conversation 20 during the January 13th meeting, based upon this letter.

22 Q. Well, on January 13th, Jane Doe 3 hadn't instructed her employees to leave their work ²⁴ locations, correct?

Page 193 Oh, you're -- I'm sorry. I thought you A. said through the north entrance.

No. Sorry. Q.

To leave the -- so in Paragraph 3, it says: As you stated in your e-mail, which I take it referring to that January 15, 10:51 a.m. e-mail because that's what the e-mail referenced in this you. You directed your employees to leave their work locations early based on the situation and you left work -- work early as well.

Do you see that?

12 A. Yes.

10

Did you ever speak with Jane Doe 3 about 0. what she said to them, what she actually instructed, or what happened?

No. I believe that was all based upon 16 A. 17 the e-mail that she sent.

18 Anyone ask her if she told her employees Q. they have to use available accrued time to cover 19 their absences?

21 A. No.

22 In the next sentence it states: In 0. 23 addition you do not have the authority to direct vour employees to leave their work site without

Page 192

appropriate usage of leave.

Did you consider that Jane Doe 3 was an exempt employee at this time?

I'm not sure I understand the question. A.

5 Q. So in January of 2021, January 15th,

specifically, was Jane Doe 3 an exempt employee?

A.

8 Q. And did you take that into consideration regarding what authority she has regarding the direction of her employees?

11 So she may direct the work of her 12 employees, but her employees are still bound to ¹³ follow the protocols of the county. And when you're not present at work, you need to be taking some sort of accrued leave or leave without pay in 16 order to be off.

17 Well, what if Jane Doe 3 instructed them O. to work from home?

> MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Again, that was not permissible based upon this information. BY MS. SMITH:

²⁴ Q. This letter?

Page 195

Page 194

1 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

23

O. Well, is there a county policy or procedure that says it's not permissible for a department head to allow their employees to work ⁵ from home?

A. That, again, would be something that would need to be discussed through the chain of command.

9 Q. Is there a policy that states that?

10 A.

11 O. Are you aware if Jane Doe 3, prior to January 15th of 2021, was ever -- had ever been permitted to allow employees to work from home on a discretionary basis?

15 A. I'm not aware.

16 O. Do you think that historical precedent of what Jane Doe 3 was or was not permitted to do 18 would be relevant in the writing of this letter?

Α. In this particular situation, no. It was based upon the information that we had in the ²¹ e-mail, that she allowed her employees to leave work early. And, therefore, we -- it was directed in the letter that she was to have her employees ²⁴ utilize appropriate leave in order for their

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com **Everest Court Reporting LLC**

11

12

17

20

21

23

Page 197

Page 196

absence from work.

Was the January 13th incident, as O. reported by Jane Doe 2 and forwarded by Jane Doe 3 -- I'm sorry. I don't think it was forwarded. The one we looked at that you said you spoke with ⁶ Doreen and then Jane Doe 3, Mr. Halcovage had

tried to reach Jane Doe 2 about, was that considered when writing this letter? I believe this -- this letter addressed A.

the e-mail that was sent by Jane Doe 3 regarding Mr. Halcovage's attendance at the press conference. It was not related to the situation 13 with Jane Doe 2 that was reported on the 13th.

14 Q. Right.

15

16

1

But on the 13th, Jane Doe 2 reported to you -- or reported to Jane Doe 3, Jane Doe 3 reported to you that she believed Defendant Halcovage had followed her, correct?

19 A. Yes. That's the information that was reported. 20

21 Q. And you testified that you didn't think it was until the following week that you followed up with Mr. Heinbach about Jane Doe 2's statement, correct?

A. Yes.

0. So within five hours of Jane Doe 3 allowing individuals to go home, whether accrued time or work from home or whatever, to go home, this letter was issued, but you had yet to receive a statement from Jane Doe 2 as to those safety concerns, correct?

Jane Doe 2 and Ms. -- the information A. that was provided in the e-mail, Jane Doe 2, nor Jane Doe 1, nor Jane Doe 3, nor Jane Doe 4 even came in to -- encountered Mr. Halcovage while he was in the courthouse. They were informed by someone else that he was in the courthouse.

14 Well, did you speak with any of them to O. 15 find that out?

16 That was based upon our review of the information, based upon the e-mail that we 18 received.

19 Q. Again, did you speak with them to find that out? 20

21 No, I did not. A.

22 Q. Okay.

23

If individuals had -- who had reported sexual harassment and retaliation by an individual

Page 198 learned that -- believed that that individual had ² followed one of them and then believed that he was walking unaccompanied throughout the courthouse, wouldn't those two things go hand in hand to you? MS. PIPAK: I'm going to object to the form.

And if you understand it, you can answer it.

THE WITNESS: Can you restate that? BY MS. SMITH:

O. Let me strike that.

Let's just go with this: So is it your testimony that the January 13th incident that Jane Doe 2 reported was not taken into consideration regard -- when drafting this e-mail?

16 A. That's correct.

MS. SMITH: Okay. I'm going to mark Doe Supplement 596 through 600 -- I'm sorry -- 601. It's going to be Exhibit-116.

(Doe 596-600 marked as Exhibit 116 for identification.)

²⁴BY MS. SMITH:

Page 199

0. I'm going to actually have you focus and ² flip to the page that's Bates stamped 600. Do you recognize this document? Again, sorry. I should say: Do you recognize what is Doe Supplement 600 ⁵ and 601?

A. 6 No, I don't.

So is it that you don't recognize the Q. document as it's dated before your employment or do you not recognize the form in and of itself?

10 I don't -- I never -- I don't -- I've never used this form. So I'm not aware of what it was utilized or what was the purpose behind it. I ¹³ don't know.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. But if it --

16 O. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

17 A. No. I don't know.

18 O. This form is titled executive

19 exemption -- executive exemption, Jane Doe 3, correct?

21 Α. Yes.

22 And you stated that you do believe Q.

that -- you understood Jane Doe 3 was exempt in

²⁴ January of 2021, correct?

19

1 A. Yes.

Q. If we look to the management section of this, it indicates in the third, I'll call them bullet points for lack of a better word, directing the work of employees.

Did you take the fact -- did you take into consideration the fact that Jane Doe 3's executive exemption test allowed her to direct the work of employees when writing that January -- or reviewing that January 15th letter?

11 A.

14

12 Q. If we look to the last one, providing 13 safety and security of employees or the property.

Did you take that into consideration when reviewing the January 15th letter?

I'm not sure I understand the question. 16 A.

17 The last point on the first page --Q. well, this is Doe Supplement 600. It says her management duties include providing safety and 19 security of employees or the property, correct,

that's what it states?

22 A. That's what it states, yes.

23 Do you believe that she had the O. authority and that was one of her management

Page 201

duties?

Yes, from the perspective that she can A. do that. However, it needs to be done within accordance with county policy.

Well, what policy are we talking about? O. Because you stated there was no written policy that she had to get approval to have her employees work from home.

A. So --

10

12

MS. PIPAK: Object to the form.

But you can answer.

THE WITNESS: So to my

recollection, she didn't indicate she was having her employees work from home. She indicated she sent her employees home. And, therefore, we informed her that should her employees have been sent home, she was to have the appropriate leave 18 charge, which is in accordance with the county's 19 policy.

BY MS. SMITH: 20

Did anyone ask her if they were working 21 O. from home?

23 I don't recall. A.

24 O Did anyone ask her if there was a -- she

Page 200 Page 202 had a concern for safety -- her employee's safety?

> 2 A.

3 Q. Were you aware that Defendant Bender, prior to January of 2021, had informed Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 that they were to -- they were authorized to use their discretion and independent judgment in the management of employees in their offices?

9 A. No, I'm not aware of any of those 10 conversations.

11 If Mr. Bender had told Jane Doe 3 that, would that change your opinion as to whether she should have been issued that letter, the January 15th letter, Exhibit-115? 15

A. That letter was issued at the direction of Mr. Bender, as a response to the e-mail that was provided and that's why we issued the letter.

18 O. I understand that.

But what I'm saying is, is Ms. Bender --20 Mr. Bender had been disingenuous and had, in fact, previously informed Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 that they could use their discretion and independent judgment in the management of their employees, would that change your opinion as to whether this

Page 203

letter should have been issued? In Exhibit-15, when I say this letter, 115.

Α. No. I think the letter was issued based upon the in -- in response to the e-mail, so it was a response to the e-mail. So I believe we would have still responded to her e-mail based upon the information she provided.

8 O. Well, if we look to probably the -well, it's the third line down in the third paragraph, it says: In addition, you do not have the authority to direct your employees to leave their work site without appropriate usage of 13 leave.

If they have the independent judge -- if he had told them they have the independent ¹⁶ judgment and discretion, wouldn't that be contradictory to what he had previously told them?

18 I'm not aware if he told them that or Α. 19 not. I don't know.

O. It's a hypothetical.

If he had told them that, this sentence would be in contradiction to that, correct?

MS. PIPAK: I'm going to object to

the form.

14

17

20

21

But go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I -- if --

potentially, then yes, I guess. I'm not sure I am understanding, but okay.

BY MS. SMITH:

1

Q. So if Mr. Bender had said to -- prior to this letter to Jane Doe 4 and Jane Doe 3, you have discretion and independent judgment in the

⁹ management of the employees in your office. And

- this letter is saying you don't have the authority to direct your employees to leave their work site,
- ¹² aren't those things in contradiction?
- A. No. The letter says you don't have the authority to direct your employees to leave their
- work site without the appropriate usage of leave.
- So she decided to tell her employees to go home.
- ¹⁷ I'm assuming she could do that based upon,
- apparently, the hypothetical authority that was
- given to them by Mr. Bender, but they would still
- have to utilize appropriate leave.
- Q. And again -- but there is no county policy that says that, correct?
- A. There is a county policy regarding leave usage, yes.

¹ Q. What policy would that be?

A. So there's absentee and tardy in this policy. 205-14, I believe, has language in there regarding leave. I believe there's also the conduct policy talks about, you know, reporting to work, utilizing leave. I'm not certain of any others. But we also have a vacation policy, a sick leave policy, a personal day policy.

- ⁹ Q. Did department heads have discretion to set their employees' schedules?
 - 1 A. As far as..?
- ¹² Q. Like days, hours worked?
- A. No. They were pretty much set by the hours of the courthouse. Everyone pretty much
- worked the hours that the courthouse were open.
- Q. Going back to that January 13th conversation that you were recalling with Ms.
- ¹⁸ Kutzler and Jane Doe 3, do you recall Ms. Kutzler
- confirming that the north door was the door that should be used to enter the building to avoid
- contact with Mr. Halcovage?
- ²² A. Can you repeated the question?
- Q. You were -- when we looked at one of the other documents, you had recalled a conversation

Page 204

on January 13th that occurred between yourself,

- ² Ms. Kutzler, and Jane Doe 3 about tax assessment
- ³ employees using the north door to avoid contact;
- ⁴ is that correct?
- A. Yes. I believe that was a suggestion
- ⁶ that was made to utilize that door.
- 7 Q. As a result of that suggestion, was
- there ever -- did you have any conversation or are
- ⁹ you aware of anyone who had any conversation with
- Defendant Halcovage and asked him to not use the
- 11 north door?

24

Page 205

- ¹² A. No. I -- I don't believe at that point
- in time -- so, again, I believe it was that he
- needed to be escorted when he was out in the
- building, which is what he did. And then I
- believe after the situation occurred, there was
- |17| some conversation. I don't recall if it was with
- ¹⁸ Mr. Halcovage or not, that it would probably be
- 19 best if he just entered the same door all the
- 20 time. And then if he was going to go into the
- courthouse, that he would be escorted by somebody else.
- Q. At any point -- strike that.
 - At any point during your employment, did

Page 207

- you come to learn of an incident where George
 Halcovage climbed a pretty steep, dangerous

 mbankment from the lower lot to the upper lot?
- embankment from the lower lot to the upper lot?

 A. Yes. I became -- I was made aware of
- A. Yes. I became -- I was made aware of that situation.
- Q. Was that before your employment or after you began?
- ⁸ A. The situation occurred before my
- employment and I think it was publicized in the newspaper.
- ¹¹ Q. How did you come to learn about it?
- A. I believe I learned about it through the newspaper prior to my employment, but it was referenced when I was employed.
- Q. Okay.

Did you take that into consideration when reviewing the -- the January 15, 2021, letter to Jane Doe 3?

- ¹⁹ A. No.
- Q. Pretty early on into your employment you requested to meet with Jane Doe 3, correct?
- A. Yeah. I'm sure I did meet with Jane Doe pretty early on in my employment, yes.
 - MS. SMITH: Okay. I'm going to mark

Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Page 208 Zula 399 to 402 as 117. (Zula 399-402 marked as Exhibit-117 for identification.) BY MS. SMITH: Do you recognize this chain of e-mails, Q. Ms. Zula? A. Yes. 10 Again, if we look to Page 2, Zula 400 to Q. 11 401, this is an e-mail from you to Jane Doe 3 on January 27, 2021, correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 0. If we look to the second paragraph of your e-mail, it says: As a side note, I would like to discuss this practice with you as we near upcoming contract negotiations. The practice you wanted to discuss was 19 the hourly rates for interim field appraisers and CPE completion, correct? 21 Yes. A. 22 Okay. Q. 23 Was there any other reason that you requested this meeting? Page 209 No. The meeting with requested to A. discuss the issues that were outlined. It wasn't to discuss the operations Q. generally of the tax assessment issue? We did get into that --A. Q. Sorry. Tax assessment office. I apologize. Yes. We did get into those issues as A. well. 10 Q. But was it scheduled for that purpose? No. It was scheduled for the purpose of 12 reviewing the program coordinator/field appraiser position. 14 Q. Doreen Kutzler was present at that meeting, correct? 16 Yes. A. 17 And Jane Doe 4 was present at that Q. 18 meeting, correct?

19

A. 20

Q.

Yes.

If I told you that Doreen Kutzler sent

an e-mail to Jane Doe 3 also requesting to meet

discuss tax assessment office operations, would

that change your opinion as to whether or not the

with her at this -- during this time period to

Page 210 meeting was for other reasons? A. The meeting was to discuss the field appraiser and the program coordinator position because there was a lot of issues from a contractual perspective, as to how we were paying these interim field appraiser positions that didn't exist as part of the contract. There was also discussions that were held at that meeting to discuss the operations of the tax assessment office because there were some additional things going on there as well. 12 I think the primary purpose of the meeting 13 was the field appraiser position, though. 14 0. Okay. 15 Whose decision was it to hold this 16 meeting? A. I think Doreen and I both were part of

O. Did anyone instruct you to have conversations with Jane Doe 3 and/or Jane Doe 4 about tax assessment office operations at -- in January of 2021?

23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Who?

process.

18

19

20

So Mr. Bender and Mr. Roth were part of A. conversations about the operations of the tax assessment office prior to me even getting to the county. There were discussions about how it was running that I was brought into when I started with the county. So, yes, there was some direction to determine what exactly was going on as well.

Q. When you say that you were brought into when you started with the county, when exactly were you brought into the conversations? First 12 week, second week?

A. It was probably the first week.

14 O. 15

Are you aware of STEB reports?

16 Yes. Α.

- 17 Q. And do you know what STEB stands for?
- 18 State Tax Equalization Board. Α.
- 19 O. What -- tell me everything you know 20 about a STEB report.

21 A. So the STEB --

MS. PIPAK: I am going to object to the form.

But go ahead.

22

Page 214 Page 212 1 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. So the say that you had a good understanding of the STEB ²|STEB reports are submitted on a monthly basis to reports and the real estate market analyst job determine, and I don't know the proper lingo, if duties? 4 it's a valid sale and it's not like sales between MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the 5 ⁵ like family members or some other exempt type of form. sale of property. They're submitted then and 6 But go ahead, you can answer. they're utilized to determine the common level THE WITNESS: I don't know what ratio for the county. I think that's the good is classified as. I mean, I understood what appropriate term. the responsibilities were as far as to the 10 BY MS. SMITH: submission of the STEB reports were to be done on 11 a monthly basis and at that point, they were Q. Okay. 12 When did you come to learn that significantly delinquent with the state. ¹³BY MS. SMITH: 13 information? 14 14 It was when I -- we started looking at O. Okay. 15 the operations of the tax asses office, as well as 15 Are you aware that the state allowed for we received communications -- the county received 16 a few months grace period as a result of COVID in communication that our STEB reports have not been 2020? 18 ¹⁸ filed for a number of months, I want to say it was A. Yes. probably sometime in January time frame, February 19 19 Q. Okay. 20 time frame, somewhere around there. What -- how long, do you know, did the 21 21 0. Of 2021? state --22 22 A. Yes. A. I don't know what the grace period was. 23 All I know is that we -- the county received a Q. Okav. letter stating that -- that the -- if the reports 24 Who is responsible within the county for Page 213 Page 215 1 STEB reports? were not filed timely, that our common level ratio The real estate market analyst is the would be impacted. A. position that completes the STEB reports. O. Okay. And prior to this request of a meeting Is the real estate market analyst -- do on January 27th by you, you had already been they obtain information from any other job positions within the county to complete the STEB informed that there was concerns regarding the tax report? assessment operations, correct? 8 So there's a report that's run that they A. Yes. A. review, that person reviews on a monthly basis. Q. And there was already talks of removing 10 So that information is fed into, I want to say, Jane Doe 3 from her position, correct? the Govern System, I think is what it was called. 11 There were talks about bringing in a 12 ¹² And then it's -- whatever the deeds and all of consultant to work in that role. 13 O. So the talks were just to bring a that information that's entered into the system, it pulls out a monthly report. That is then consultant in that Jane Doe 3 would retain her 15 checked by the real estate market analyst. So, position, but not -- but not as a consultant? 16 yes, it's based upon the information that's put I know that there were -- prior to me 17 17 into the system from other positions within the starting with the county, there were -- there were 18 office. talks about bringing in a consultant. And when I Including field appraisers? first started, I believe it was like the first 19 Q. I don't exactly know who puts the 20 week or so, that there was a meeting set up with A. ²¹ Mr. Alu, who was the consultant to come in and, information in. So if it's field appraises, the typist, I don't know that exactly. you know, discuss what he could bring to the 22 ²³ table. And, yes, as part of those discussions, 23 Q. Okay. there were there some discussions about So in January, February 2021, would you

Page 216 restructuring the offices back to tax assessment and tax claim as two separate offices.

- Whose suggestion was it that they be Q. returned to two offices?
- That decision was made by the A. commissioners.
- Q. All three?
- A. The commissioners had to vote on the...
- So the official vote was by all the Q.
- commissioners, but the decision -- the discussions to investigate as to whether they should be
- returned to two offices, who instructed or decided 13 that?
- 14 A. So the -- I mean, I was directed to look 15 into the issue by Mr. Bender. And, you know, I reviewed the information and provided it and then it was -- the determination was made to put the information on the agenda and voted on by the 19 commissioners.
- 20 So you're -- strike that. One second. Q. 21 MS. SMITH: We're going to look at 22 4 -- Zula 413 to 415, mark it Exhibit-118. 23

(Zula 413-415 marked as Exhibit-118 for

identification.)

24

1

BY MS. SMITH:

- Ms. Zula, do you recognize the e-mail Q. that is the first page, Zula 214?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.

It's an e-mail from you to Ms. Kutzler, correct?

- 10 Yes. A.
- 11 And there is a attachment report Jane Q. 12 Doe 3 work performance.
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Is 414 and 415, the next two pages, that Q. attachment?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Okay. Q.
- Let's look at your e-mail for a second. 19
- 20 The second sentence there, it says: I
- 21 really struggled with putting this memo together.
- Can you explain to us what you meant by 22 23 that?
- I struggled with understanding fully the

Page 218 scope of the offices and, you know, understanding each of the roles and who did what. So I did struggle with kind of putting all those pieces together because there were a lot of moving parts.

O. I understand. I'm coming to learn very slowly what those offices do, so I can understand and appreciate that.

And this is just shy of a month into your employment with the county, correct?

- 10 A.
- 11 O. In any of your prior employment history that we went over in your resume, did you ever work with STEB reports?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 O. Did you ever work with an assessment 16 office?
- 17 A. No. I never worked for a county.
- 18 O. Okav.

19 So your only knowledge of STEB reports 20 and assessment offices came from your, just shy of, a month employment?

A. Correct.

22

1

Page 217

23 And is that kind of what caused some O. struggle in putting this memo together?

Page 219

- A. Yes.
- 2 O. It then goes on to say: I really focused on the failure to submit the STEB reports as the basis to the removal from her position.

This e-mail and that sentence to me, and correct me if I'm wrong, indicates that you were instructed to come to a conclusion and to find a reason to come to that conclusion.

Is that a fair assessment?

- 10 During our discussions, yes, there was A. conversation about removing Jane Doe 3 from her position, yes.
- O. And that -- that's what the conclusion of your memo should be, correct?
- 15 My conclusion in my memo indicated that A. it is recommended that she be removed from the chief assessor position. 18
 - O. I understand that.

But I'm asking before you -- were you supposed to come up with a means to justify an ends or were you supposed to come up with an independent conclusion?

 $^{23}|_{A}$. I came up with a conclusion based upon the information that I have received.

Q. Did you feel that it was a fully informed conclusion?

A. Yes. Based upon the fact that the STEB reports were not submitted and the impact that could have on the county and the tax role, that, yes, it was an appropriate decision.

Q. So you're telling me that you were not told to come to a specific conclusion?

⁹ A. I wasn't instructed to come to a specific conclusion, no.

¹¹ O. Was it suggested that you should?

A. No. But there were talks prior to us
delving into this issue about the removal of Jane
Doe 3 -- well, I should say the separation of the
offices, which would have resulted in her removal
in being over both offices.

Q. If you struggled with putting the memo together, how can you believe that your conclusion was fully informed?

A. Based upon the information I had, that's the conclusion I made.

²² Q. Right.

23

10

11

But based upon the information you had, you came to a conclusion. But I am saving, when

you came to that conclusion, did you feel that you had all of the information and knowledge to be able to be the person to reach that conclusion?

⁴ A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

Let's look at the memo. First sentence says, on February 5, 2021, a meeting was held by the human resource office with Ms. Jane Doe 3 and Ms. Jane Doe 4 to discuss the operation of the tax assessment office.

Do you see that?

¹² A. Yes.

Q. That's that meeting you requested on January 27th in the e-mail we just looked at, correct?

¹⁶ A. Yes.

Q. It was you, Ms. Kutzler, Jane Doe 3, and Jane Doe 4 that were present?

¹⁹ A. Yes.

Q. No one else?

²¹ A. Yes.

²² Q. I thought that meeting was held to

²³ discuss the interim position, not the operation of

the tax assessment --

Page 220

A. It was. However, as part of the operation, we talked about the field appraisers, those positions, and then it morphed into the discussions regarding the overall operation of the tax assessment office. And then we specifically then got into the concern about the STEB reports.

 7 Q. Okay.

Next sentence says: During the discussion, a significant issue was revealed that caused a great concern regarding the efficient operation of the tax assessment office.

The great concern was the STEB report, correct?

¹⁴ A. Yes.

12

13

¹⁵ Q. That was an issue that you believed had already been revealed prior to this discussion, had it not?

A. Yes, I was aware of it prior to this discussion.

Q. So why do you write during this discussion, a significant issue -- issue was revealed?

A. We discussed it during -- as part of the meeting.

Page 223

Page 222

¹ Q. But revealed means for the first time.

² A. Well, it wasn't for the first time,

³ so...

⁴ Q. So that's an -- an error, correct?

⁵ A. Well, maybe a misuse of a word.

Q. Jane Doe 3 indicated that the reports that are filed with the State Tax Equalization

Board by the tax assessment office are severally delinquent. Were those words specifically used my

¹⁰ Jane Doe 3?

¹¹ A. I don't believe, no.

¹² Q. Okay.

13

So she didn't indicate that they were severely delinquent?

A. She probably did not use those words. I don't recall.

Q. When you were meeting with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 on February 5th, did either you or Ms. Kutzler take notes?

²⁰ A. I believe we did, yes.

²¹ Q. Okay.

Were those handwritten or typed?

²³ A. They would have most likely been

²⁴ handwritten.

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

- 1 Q. Were they maintained anywhere?
- I don't recall. A.
- Q. Placed in Jane Doe 3's personnel file?
- A.
- Q. The conclusion of this memo is that she
- be removed from her position, correct?
- A.
- Q. And that would be a personnel issue?
- That was the recommendation to my A. supervisor, Mr. Bender.
- 11 So being that you were recommending a Q.
- 12 demotion of an employee, don't you think that
- notes regarding your conversation with her should
- have been included -- maintained in her personnel 15
- file?

1

- 16 A. No. The resulting action would be placed in her personnel file.
- Q. It goes on to state a couple sentences
- down: Jane Doe 3 indicated that all reports for 19
- the 2020 calendar year must be uploaded by
- February 28, 2021, otherwise the Commonwealth will
- shut down the county's access to the system. 22
- 23 Well, you were meeting with her on
- February 5th of 2021, correct?

Page 225 A. Yes.

- Q. So she still had 28 -- I'm sorry -- 23
- days -- terrible math -- to submit the 2020
- calendar year STEB reports, correct?
 - A.
- Q. So if she still had 23 days, how were they severely delinquent?
- Because they were due each month A.
- following the month. Like, they were to be
- submitted on a monthly basis and they weren't
- submitted on a monthly basis, and so there were a
- number that were severely delinquent because they
- were submitted on a monthly basis as they were to
- 14 be done.
- 15 Next sentence states: She explained Q. that despite COVID, the real estate market has
- been very busy, causing the preparation of the
- 18 STEB reports to be delayed by one or two months. 19
- Do you recall having conversations during 20 this meeting with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 that -about the grace period that the state had allowed
- for COVID?
- 23 Yeah, by one to two months, based upon A. 24 that.

- Page 224 Page 226 1 O. Well, that says they were delayed by one
 - to two months. That doesn't talk about a grace period there.
 - Then I don't recall if that was A. discussed during the meeting or not.
 - 6 Q. Okay.

The next paragraph talks -- and the

- sentence specifically reads: Jane Doe 3 stated
- that Jane Doe 4 has been mentally destroyed by the
- harassment allegations made against the county.
- And it inhibits her ability to perform her work.
- Further, Jane Doe 3 also indicated that it is
- difficult for the reports to be completed outside
- of the tax assessment office and Jane Doe 1's
- placement in the 410 Building has hampered her
- 16 ability to complete the reports. 17
 - Did the county at any point at this
- time, February of 2021, consider disciplining Jane 19 Doe 1?
- 20 A. Not at this point, no.
- 21 O. Why was the -- why was Jane Doe 3
- 22 disciplined then?
- 23 She was ultimately responsible for the 24
 - operations of her office.

Page 227

- And is this memo the catalyst to what
- lead to Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4's demotion?
- I don't know if it was the catalyst. It
- was a review of some information that I was asked
- to do, but I don't know if it was the actual
- catalyst which resulted in that.
- Well, it was the start of it, correct? Q.
- 8 A. No. That -- those conversations were
- started prior to me getting to the county.
- 10 Q. Okay.

- Why was Jane Doe 4 demoted?
- 12 A. The -- the office -- the ultimate
- decision was to separate the offices. So in order
- to separate the offices, the positions of being
- the chief tax assessor and the director of tax
- ¹⁶ claim needed to be separated because the offices
- were now separated. So that is -- was the
- decision that was made, to separate the positions
- 19 and take them back to what they were before.
- And the reason for the separation of the offices was because of the delinquency of the STEB
- reports?
- 23 A. That amongst other reasons I'm sure that ²⁴ I wasn't privy to.
- 215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com **Everest Court Reporting LLC**

Page 230

Page 231

So you're not aware of any other 1 Q. reasons?

I believe that there were also other A. reasons, I just didn't make -- I wasn't involved. I was involved with the STEB report issue.

Q. Okay.

11

12

13

16

1

So my question is: As you sit here today, are you aware of any other reasons that contributed -- and, again, it doesn't mean that none exist, but are you aware of any other reasons that Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were demoted?

MS. IPPOLITO: If you only know them based upon conversations with your attorney, I recommend that they do not be answered, the questions not be answered and I would object to these questions.

17 THE WITNESS: I guess so. I'm not sure. The information I had related to the STEB reports. 19

BY MS. SMITH: 20

21 That's the only information that you have? That's what I'm trying to clarify. Are you aware of any other reasons that Jane Doe 3 and ²⁴ Jane Doe 4 were demoted?

Page 229 It was my -- my interaction was with the A. STEB reports.

Again, still I'm -- I'm just trying to O. get a concise answer here. I understand your involvement was with the STEB reports, that's what -- but do you have any knowledge? Again, let's start with just not what the knowledge was or who it came from, just do you have any knowledge for any other reason for their demotion, Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4? 10

11 A. No.

14

12 Q. Okay.

> And again, in February, March 2021, Jane Doe 1 was not disciplined by the county?

15 A. No, she was not.

16 Was there ever a consideration of relocating Defendant Halcovage to the 410 Building 18 if, as Jane Doe 3 indicated to you, reports being

completed outside the tax assessment office 20 hampered Jane Doe 1's ability to complete the reports? 21

I have no control over what an elected 22 A. official can do, so I was not involved in any

of -- further discussions regarding Mr.

Page 228 Halcovage's work location or otherwise.

> Why do you believe you had no ability to Q. control an elected official?

> Because I can't. As a county employee, I did not have any authority over his work within the county.

Q. So --

He's not an employee. A.

9 O. Who do you believe did?

10 A. Well, apparently Mr. Groody did as the 11 sheriff.

12 O. Did you ever go to Sheriff Groody and 13 say, can you move to Defendant Halcovage to the 410 so Jane Doe 1 can work from the courthouse?

15 A. No. I did not.

16 Q. Did you ever ask Defendant Halcovage, hey, do you mind working from a different building or working from home?

19 A. I did not have those specific 20 conversations with Mr. Halcovage.

This -- a memo on Paragraph 2 goes on to state: When asked what additional resources could be provided to Jane Doe 1 to complete the reports, Jane Doe 3 offered no further suggestions or

information.

Did you, before writing this report, speak with Defendant Kutzler?

So I drafted the initial memo and then it was reviewed by Ms. Kutzler.

Q. Okay.

So --

8 A. Based upon our meeting that we had.

Q. But prior to drafting it, maybe not about the writing of the report, but the information used to come up with the contents of the report, did you speak with Ms. Kutzler about 13 that?

14 A. Yes, we had conversations, yes.

15 O. Were you -- again, because this is less than a month into your employment, were you aware of any issues or concerns that the plaintiff -plaintiffs had raised regarding their work 19 environment prior to your employment?

So I am -- I was aware of the issues that they raised about working out of the 410 22 Building, yes.

23 O. Well, the ones we went over, like since ²⁴ vour employment?

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

Page 234

Page 235

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC Documenting Decimental Prize Deciment Decim Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Page 232 1 1 Yes. A. A. No. 2 O. Q. Okay. Are you aware that a PAR -- prior to your employment in 2020, a PAR was completed by But any issues --I didn't have -- not that I can recall the treasurer's office for Dana Murray's transfer A. having any specific discussions about their work out of the tax claim bureau and into the environment, no. treasurer's office with less than two weeks Q. Okav. notice? So let's -- I'm going to be go through a A. No. few of them. Are you aware that on July 15, 2020, 9 O. Are you aware that on August 12, 2020, Defendant Roth was notified that tax claim and Jane Doe 3 informed Defendant Roth that her assessment offices were understaffed, thus 11 offices were having photo issues with govern. impacting Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4's ability to They only had person doing full-time field work, one who was training, new clerk typist two, no 13 perform their job duties? No. ¹⁴ A. clerk typist one, and a program -- program 15 Were you aware that on that same day, coordinator who was new and thus still learning O. plaintiffs requested to be made aware of any her position and permits coming in volumes, 16 changes in Defendant Halcovage's access to the causing field work to be very backed up? 18 courthouse so they would feel more comfortable A. No. reporting to their work environment? 19 19 0. Are you in -- aware that in that same 20 No. e-mail Defendant -- Jane Doe 3 informed Defendant 20 A. 21 Roth that her office -- office, tax claims, was Q. Are you aware that on July 21st, plaintiffs notified Defendant Roth that Defendant understaffed -- I'm sorry -- tax assessment was Halcovage had climbed up a steep and dangerous understaffed? 24 embankment to where Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were MS. IPPOLITO: Catherine, what are Page 233 at the time? you reading from? 2 $^{2}|A.$ I was made aware of that based upon, like, external press coverage, not through my county employment. I'm asking if she's aware of them. 5 5 O. Okay. But did you specifically know that MS. SMITH: I'll give you the Bates Defendant Roth had been made aware of it? 8 No. mark them. A. Q. Were you made aware that plaintiffs had 10 10

raised concerns with safety, their safety at the courthouse, given that action by Defendant 12 Halcovage?

¹³ A. That action, no.

14 Are you aware that on July -- I'm Q.

sorry -- the same day, July 21, 2020, plaintiffs

notified that Defendant Roth and Defendant

Halcovage had entered the courthouse, gone through

18 to metal detector, as at the time he was required,

exited the courthouse, and then retrieved a bag 19

from his car and entered the courthouse again

without going back through the metal detector?

22 A.

²³ O. Are you aware that they raised concerns

²⁴ with their safety as a result of this?

MS. SMITH: I am reading from -these are all e-mails that are in the production.

MS. IPPOLITO: Do you have --

stamps, but I won't produce them, but -- I won't

MS. IPPOLITO: Yeah, give me the Bates stamps.

MS. SMITH: So it's Doe Supplemental 215, 223, 224 through 226, 240, 289, ¹³ 255 to 256, and 284.

BY MS. SMITH:

15 O. Are you aware that on August 14, 2020, ¹⁶ Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 requested that they not have to communicate with Defendant Roth, Bender, Halcovage, and to be provided alternative points 19 of contact for work-related matters? 20

I'm aware of that after my employment started, as Mr. Bender -- there was some disagreement between Mr. Bender and what the agreement was supposed to be, I'm aware of that --

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

¹ A. -- issue.

 $^{2}|Q$. Are you aware on that same day, Jane Doe

³ 1 and Jane Doe 2 requested to be permitted to

⁴ continue work from home, but with weekly access to

the courthouse without having to come into contact

⁶ with Defendant Halcovage, Bender, and Roth, and

⁷ that they be provided the equipment and tools

necessary for them to fulfill their job

⁹ requirements from home?

¹⁰ A. No.

¹¹ Q. Are you aware that in August of 20 --

¹² I'm sorry -- 2020, Jane Doe 3 informed

¹³ Commissioner Hess and Hetherington that she has

⁴ been requesting that Defendant Bender post vacant

positions in her offices and that Bend --

¹⁶ Defendant Bender did not communicate timely and

⁷ that this prolonged staffing issues with her

18 office?

¹⁹ A. No.

²⁰ Q. Are you aware the same e-mail Jane Doe 3

voiced her concern that Defendant Bender never

reached out to make the plaintiffs feel safe in

the courthouse and that she and Jane Doe 4 reached

²⁴ out to Defendant Bender to make changes so that

they could continue to run their offices, which

were struggling due to the disruption caused by

Defendant Halcovage's conduct -- conduct and that

the assessment work was piling up because they

⁵ were not fully staffed?

⁶ A. No.

 \sqrt{Q} . Are you aware that on September 4, 2020,

Jane Doe 2 and Jane Doe 1 had not been provided

⁹ safe weekly access to the courthouse, nor the

¹⁰ necessary equipment and supplies needed to perform

their job duties from home?

¹² A. No.

13 Q. Are you aware that on September 4th,

¹⁴ Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 requested to work from

home or alternatively work from home for a few

days as they believed it would be more productive

working from home?

¹⁸ A. No.

¹⁹ Q. Are you aware that in September of 2020,

²⁰ assessment appeal hearings removed last minute and

 $\frac{1}{1}$ as a result. Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 had to

²² complete additional work?

²³ A. No

Q. Are you aware that Jane Doe 3 in

Page 236

Page 238

September of 2020 had completed the necessary

² paperwork for leasing the vehicles for the

³ assessment office, specifically field appraisers,

⁴ which was submitted -- which was submitted to

⁵ Defendant Bender?

6 A. No.

Q. Are you aware that Jane Doe 3 in

8 September of 2020 informed Commissioner

⁹ Hetherington and Commissioner Hess that Defendant

¹⁰ Bender had not approved these -- the paperwork for

¹¹ the leasing of these vehicles?

12 A. No.

¹³ Q. Are you aware that Defendant Bender

¹⁴ never approved the lease of these vehicles during

¹⁵ Jane Doe 3's time as chief assessor?

¹⁶ A. I'm not aware of the request, so I'm not

¹⁷ aware of approval, no.

 18 Q. Are you aware that he approved it? I

¹⁹ don't know if it was under Alu or Hatter, I

| 20 | apologize, but he did eventually approve a

²¹ request?

²² A. I do recall there were leases done for

the tax assessment office. I don't recall when.

²⁴ I think they were put on the board agenda for

review and approval.

Q. Do you know if it was after March of

3 2021?

⁴ A. I believe it was, yes.

⁵O. So it was after Jane Doe 3's demotion.

⁶ correct?

'A. Yes.

⁸ Q. Are you aware that on October 15, 2020,

⁹ Jane Doe 3 informed Defendant Kutzler that the

¹⁰ county's denial to increase Helene O'Connor's

hourly wage by -- as a result of the county's

| 12 | denial to increase Helene O'Connor's hourly wage

by \$5, Ms. O'Connor was not willing to continue to

work for the county?

MS. PIPAK: I am going to object to the form.

16 the

15

Go ahead, you can answer.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the

¹⁹ specific situation, but did hear that there was

o some discussion about Ms. O'Connor's hourly rate,

but the specifics I'm not aware of.

²² BY MS. SMITH:

²³ Q. Are you aware that Jane Doe 3 informed

Ms. Kutzler that as a result of Ms. O'Connor not

Page 239

```
Page 240
                                                                                                        Page 242
  extending her work with the county, that the
                                                                 Do you think information regarding
  assessment office or one of Jane Doe 3's offices
                                                           requests, staffing issues, comments, concerns that
  wouldn't be able to complete the annual
                                                         <sup>3</sup> occurred prior to your employment, would have been
  certification on time?
                                                           relevant or useful to writing a report such as
 <sup>5</sup> A.
          No.
                                                           this, this being Exhibit-118?
 6
  Q.
          Are you aware that prior to October 15,
                                                           A.
                                                                  Again, my report was focused on the STEB
  2020, Jane Doe 3 had discussed the work
                                                           reports. So potential if those impacted the STEB
                                                           reports, yes, it would have been relevant. But I
  environment caused by the sexual harassment,
                                                           focused on the completion of the STEB reports.
  COVID, new staff, and three people being out to
                                                        10
                                                           O.
  CPE classes with numerous county employees?
                                                                  Okav.
                                                        11
11
  A.
                                                                 So let's unpack that in connection with
          No.
                                                        12
12
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                           what you testified to earlier.
                                                        13
13
                                                                 The STEB reports you were indicating
         I, candidly, stopped there because I
                                                        14
                                                           were completed based off information pulled out of
  thought that that was enough of 2020 e-mails. But
                                                           govern, correct?
  those, having heard those things, and assuming
                                                        16
16
  they are all true, which I'll represent to you
                                                           A.
                                                                  Yes, that's my understanding.
                                                        17
  they are supported by e-mails, does it change your
                                                           O.
                                                                  If govern -- if the information in
  opinion as to Jane Doe 3's request for additional
                                                           govern was inputted -- input by field appraisers
19
   resources to have STEB reports completed?
                                                           and Jane Doe 3 had -- I think the one e-mail she
20
            MS. PIPAK: I'm going to object to
                                                           indicated she had one field appraiser and one in
21
                                                           training?
  the form.
                                                        22
                                                           A.
22
                                                                  I don't believe that the STEB report is
            You can answer.
23
                                                           based upon information put in by field appraisers.
            THE WITNESS: No. I believe the
  STEB reports were to be done by Jane Doe 1. They
                                                        <sup>24</sup> It's based upon the deeds that are put into the
                                                                                                        Page 243
                                                Page 241
  were her responsibility. And, I mean, they are
                                                           system and that is, my understanding, done by the
  based upon whatever information was done by the
                                                           clerk typist in the office. And then the
  field assessors or the field appraisers position.
                                                           information is pulled out of the system to
                                                           validate whether or not the sales are accurate or
  So, I mean, regardless if they were out doing
   properties or if things were being done, that
                                                           if they're valid sales. So if they were like
  information was still -- whatever was put in the
                                                           family to family or other valid -- or other
   system can be pulled out of the system and that's
                                                           exemptions that would be put in. I don't believe
   what she completed her STEB report based upon.
                                                           that they have any impact on the field appraiser
            And given that the STEB reports
                                                           work.
                                                        10
10
  were severally delinquent and the potential for
                                                           O.
                                                                   As you sit here today, can you tell me
  the impact of not having those STEB reports
                                                           with certainty that the STEB reports do not
  completed on a timely basis to the county, that is
                                                           require any data to -- that the STEB reports do
  what my recommendation was based on.
                                                           not require the field appraisers to have input
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                           certain data before they can be completed?
                                                        15
15
          If you had had all the information that
                                                                     MS. PIPAK: Object to the form.
  Q.
                                                        16
  I just went over, would you have come to a
                                                                     Go ahead.
                                                        17
  different conclusion as to whether Jane Doe 3
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I don't -- I can't
18
                                                           say that with absolute certainty, no.
   should be removed from her position?
                                                        19
19
            MS. PIPAK: I am going to object to
                                                           BY MS. SMITH:
                                                        20
20
   the form.
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        21
21
                                                                 At the time you wrote this memo, could
            THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't
                                                           you have said that with absolute certainty?
   know. I -- I don't.
                                                        23
  BY MS. SMITH:
                                                           A.
                                                                   No.
                                                        24
                                                                   Okav.
          Okay.
```

Page 244 In order to decide who or what position was causing the delinquency of the STEB report, wouldn't it be important to know the complete process from start to finish?

- A. Based upon the information I had, it was based upon the work that was required of the real estate market analyst position.
- But you didn't understand the process Q. from start to finish, correct?

MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I knew that she was required to pull the report, review the report, and then make any notations and then upload it to the state and then that was not -- but that was not being completed.

BY MS. SMITH:

10

11

12

13

16

22

- 18 But you don't know the report, from Q. 19 where that information came, correct?
- 20 A. Not all of it, no.
- 21 Q. Okay.

So if -- let's say it was a field appraiser, even a clerk typist one, whoever was inputting that data from which she then -- Jane

Doe 1 then pull the report, if they weren't doing their job, so she couldn't do her job, wouldn't that be something that would be important to know?

A.

- Did you -- again, did you ever find out Q. who was inputting the data?
- No, I did not. A.
- Did you ever determine if it was them, O. the inputter, versus Jane Doe 1, the report puller, that was responsible for the delay? 10
- 11 No. A.
- 12 Q. Okay.

But you -- you determined, based off my reading of your report, that it was Jane Doe 1's issue, correct? 15

- Jane Doe 1 was -- her position was 16 17 responsible for submitting the STEB reports.
- 18 Okav. Q.

So, again, why was it then that you 19 20 believe Jane Doe 3 should be removed from her position? 21

She was ultimately responsible for the 22 A. office and the operation of that office. And it was recommended that those positions -- the

Page 246 offices be separated and as such, that Jane Doe 3 would be removed from either one of those positions.

O. If we look to the middle of the third paragraph on the first page, it starts with further. It's 414. Second page. Sorry. I might have said third.

Do you see that sentence?

9 A. Uh-huh.

8

10

11

16

17

Further, the 410 building office O. provided to Jane Doe 1 was not sufficient for her to perform her duties. Jane Doe 3 did nothing to request any additional resources for Jane Doe 1 to perform her duties, nor offered any suggestions how these reports can be completed and brought up to date.

Is that your synopsis or conclusion based off of just the February 5, 2021, meeting?

19 No. There was also an e-mail that was 20 provided by Jane Doe 3 early in January, which indicated that, yes, it would be beneficial for Jane Doe 1 to work out of the tax assessment office to complete the STEB reports. However, that she did still have the ability to do them

Page 247

from the office in the 410 Building.

- 2 Q. Did you consider the fact that in the paragraph prior to that, Jane Doe 3 indicated to you that Jane Doe 1 had been mentally destroyed by harassment, which inhibited her ability to perform her work?
- A. No, wait. Can you state the question again? I'm sorry.
- BY MS. SMITH:
- 10 You said Jane Doe 3 did nothing to request addition resources, nor offer any -- nor offered any suggestions as how these reports can be completed and brought up to date.

In the paragraph prior to that it says, Jane Doe 1 was mentally destroyed and that the placement in the 410 Building has hampered her ability to complete her reports.

Did you not consider that a suggestion that Jane Doe 1 be permitted to either work from home or work at the courthouse?

21 A. No. Based upon her e-mail that she previously provided, Jane Doe 1 should have the ability to complete the STEB reports from her office at the 410 Building. So, yes, there was

Page 248 Page 250 1 discussion about having Jane Doe 1 work out of the 0. You then, kind of just as you testified, courthouse and we certainly would have the last sentence in this paragraph states: accommodated that, but she did not want to do However, Jane Doe 3 had failed to utilize them to assist in the operation of the office. that. Where did the information for that Q. Right. Because she didn't want to work there conclusion come from? because Defendant Halcovage was still there, Α I was made aware that -- that these correct? additional retirees or former employees were hired 9 as kind of a per-diem basis and that they weren't MS. PIPAK: Objection to form. 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know why she utilized and I did confirm that with payroll records because they weren't paid. 11 didn't want to work there. I'm assuming, yes, 12 Was one of the individuals Helene that's why she didn't want to work there. O. ¹³ O'Connor? 13 BY MS. SMITH: 14 14 Q. Did you ever ask her? A. No. 15 15 No. Q. Okav. A. 16 Did you speak with the two individuals? 16 Did you --Q. 17 Actually, yes, I think we did have a A. The two..? conversation, now that I think about it. Yes, I 18 O. Per diems? 19 19 did have a conversation with Jane Doe 1 about --A. No, I did not. 20 and Jane Doe 2 about returning to the courthouse. O. Did you ask them -- strike that. 21 Let's kind of walk through how per diem And, yes, they did indicate that they did not want 22 to be there because of Mr. Halcovage. works. 23 23 Do you remember when that was? Are per diem employees voted on by the O. 24 ²⁴ A. No, I don't. commissioners? Page 249 Page 251 Do you know if it was after or before 1 1 Q. A. Yes. Q. And the salary board? February 5th? 3 It was after. A. A. Q. And it's a set number of hours that Q. I'm sorry. they -- or I should say, a max number of hours 2021. This sentence goes -- paragraph goes on that they can work? Yes. I believe they're put on the to state that Jane Doe 3 has also not availed agenda based upon up to a certain number of hours. herself to additional assistance provided to the tax assessment office by the county. Q. At a specific hourly rate? 10 10 What additional assistance did the Yes. A. county provide to Jane Doe 3? 11 O. Okay. 12 12 Who sets the schedule for those There were recent retirees and former A. employees who were hired to come back in and individuals? 14 provide assistance to the tax assessment office Α. I believe they're typically done by the 15 15 and they were not utilized in that capacity. supervisor. 16 16 Who were those retirees that --If a per diem employee can work up to, Q. let's say, 20 hours a week, if that's what the PAR 17 I believe -- I believe one was Deb A. Detweiler and the other one I can't recall her was approved for, but they only want to work ten, 19 what happens then? 19 name. They would work ten hours. 20 20 A. Q. Do you know when Deb Detweiler was 21 O. brought back to the county? So other than seeing that they -- these per diem employees did not work, is there any 22 I do not. A. other confirmation that it was Jane Doe 3's The other was a female though? 23 Q. ²⁴ failure to utilize them?

I believe it was a female, ves.

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

- A. No. Just my review of the records of payroll.
- Q. So is it possible then that these employees did not want to work those hours for other reasons?
- ⁶ A. Yes.
- ⁷ Q. Do you know, what was Deb Detweiler's position for which she was hired when she was per diem?
- ¹⁰ A. I don't know.
- ¹¹ O. Was she a real estate market analyst?
- ¹² A. No. I believe -- I believe she was a
- ¹³ field appraiser, assessor, something to that
- ¹⁴ effect. I don't -- I don't exactly know.
- Q. Do you know, did Deb Detweiler have the ability or knowledge to complete the STEB reports?
- ¹⁷ A. I do not know.
- ¹⁸ Q. Is the other individual Diane Ruscavage?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. And does Ms. Ruscavage have the ability or knowledge to complete STEB reports?
- ²² A. I don't know.
- ²³ Q. Okay.

24

So how do you know that -- even if Jane

Doe 3 had failed to utilize them, that it would

- have impacted the STEB report completion?
- A. I don't know that.
- Q. Are you aware that Ms. Ruscavage did not work during this period prior to 2021, because of COVID and she had moved?
- ⁷ A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- ⁸ Q. At any point in your -- well, at any
- point ever, did you come to learn the -- did you
- come to learn about Jane Doe 3's -- how do I
- phrase this? Jane Doe 3's improvements to the tax
- ¹² assessment office after she took over?
- ¹³ A. No.
- ¹⁴ Q. Okay.

Prior to recommending that she be removed from her position, did you speak with any tax assessment employees, current or former, and ask them what they thought of her as a supervisor?

- ¹⁹ A. No, I did not.
- ²⁰ Q. Why?
- A. I focused, again, on the STEB reports
- and I did not go and speak with the tax assessment
- employees.
- Q. Did you speak with any assessment

employee and ask them who could -- who had the ability to complete the STEB reports?

- ³ A. No.
- Q. And again, in fact, on February 5th, they were not yet late, correct?
- A. They were late. There was a drop-dead deadline of February 28th for all of the reports for the 2021 calendar year to be submitted and uploaded.
- Q. And thus, there was -- as that date, the drop-dead date had not been missed, there was no negative consequences to the county, correct?
- ¹³ A. Correct.
- Q. When you wrote this memo, did you consider that Jane Doe 1, for a number of months after the COVID grace period, had requested supplies to work from home?
- ¹⁸ A. No, I was not aware.
- Q. So Jane Doe 1, as you're understanding it, is that from -- well, strike that.

Are you aware that the county furloughed employees due to COVID?

- Yes. I am aware of that.
- Q. Are you aware that Jane Doe 1 and Jane

Page 255

Doe 2 were two of those employees?

² A. Yes.

- Q. And there was a period of time, I think it was April -- April 2020 to July 2020, that county employees who were furloughed did not work at all?
- A. Yes. I believe -- yes, I'm aware that there was a period of time where the furloughed county employees did not work.
- Q. And then there was a period of time that some of the furloughed employees were changed from furloughed status to work-from-home status?
 - A. Yes. I'm aware that certain departments did permit staff to work from home and then they also potentially worked a few days in the office or like a hybrid kind of schedule, yes.
- Q. Because that April to, like, July period was kind of the learning curve of transferring things to someone's home due to COVID, correct?
- ²⁰ A. Yes.
- Q. And then once they got up and running with that the new normal, as we've called it, some of those employees could perform their duties from home, correct?

Page 256

- ¹ A. Yes.
- ² Q. And are you aware that Jane Doe 1 was
- one of those individuals who was brought back from
- ⁴ furlough in a work-from-home status?
- ⁵ A. I don't -- I'm not aware. I know she
- 6 was furloughed. I don't know exactly whether she
- worked from home full time, if she had a hybrid,
- that I don't know when she returned or if she had
- to just come back in the office.
- ¹⁰ Q. Are you --
- ¹¹ A. That I'm not aware.
- ¹² Q. So you don't know if she ever came --
- between May of 2020 and January of 2021, you don't
- 14 know if she ever came back?
- ¹⁵ A. I don't know.
- ¹⁶ Q. Okay.

22

But prior to January of 2020, are you aware of what equipment Jane Doe 1 was provided at her home to complete her job duties?

- ²⁰ A. January of 2021?
- ²¹ Q. Sorry. Yeah.

From May of -- prior to January of 2021, are you aware of what supplies, if any, Jane Doe 1 was provided to --

- ¹ A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay.

If Jane Doe 1 had not been provided the necessary supplies to complete the STEB reports from home, would that change your opinion as to whether it was her failure or someone else's?

- A. Potentially. I don't know the situation. I need to kind of review that. But at the end of the day, the STEB reports needed to be completed by someone.
- ¹¹ O. Okay.

12

16

17

18

Looking at the next page, 415, the second to last paragraph starts with further. Further, it is noted that Jane Doe 3 has failed to communicate with, I think it should say, her direct supervisor, county administrator Gary Bender regarding the issue.

- Do you see that?
- ¹⁹ A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of, if prior to January and February of 2021, Jane Doe 3 was ever required
- to speak with Mr. Bender regarding STEB reports?
- ²³ A. I'm not aware.
- ²⁴ Q. If Mr. Bender should have been spoken

- with about this issue, why was he not included in this meeting?
- ³ A. In the meeting?
- Q. The February 5th meeting.
- ⁵ A. Ms. Kutzler and I were asked to address
- 6 the field appraiser position and then also talk
- ⁷ about -- well, it morphed into talking about the ⁸ full operations of the office. And that meeting
- run operations of the office. And that meeting
- ⁹ was just with me and her. We didn't believe Mr.
- Bender needed to be part of that meeting.
- 11 Q. Ms. Kutzler, what would you say her
- knowledge -- level of knowledge about the STEB reports was in February of 2021?
- $^{4}|A$. I don't know.

Yes.

- Q. Mr. Bender, as county administrator, was the supervisor for the tax assessment office,
- ⁷ correct?

A.

18

Page 257

- O. Did he -- did he give you any
- information regarding the STEB reports or their completion?
- A. He, I believe, was the one who provided me with the memo that was issued from the tax --
- state tax mutualization board regarding the

¹ delinquency.

- Q. But no information on, like, the start to finish process?
- ⁴ A. No.
- ⁵ Q. The next sentence says: She did not
- ⁶ seek any assistance or guidance from her
- ⁷ supervisor on how to remedy the severe delinquency
 - in the STEB reports -- STEB report filings.
 - Do you see that?
- ¹⁰ A. Yes.
- ¹¹ Q. Where did that information come from?
- ¹² A. Mr. Bender.
- O. So if there's an e-mail that is Jane Doe
- 3 seeking his guidance and supervision, then that
 - would be an inaccurate statement on his part,
- 16 correct?
- ¹⁷ A. Potentially.
- ¹⁸ Q. In addition, Mr. Bender has also
- ¹⁹ reported Jane Doe 3's unwillingness to proceed
- with other projects and recommendations that he
- has presented to her for consideration and implementation.
 - What other projects and recommendations
- ²⁴ did he present to her?

Page 260 Page 262 1 He requested interim billing to be submission by Mr. Bender, of the reports by Mr. A. considered. And based upon the information I ² Bender. received from him, that Jane Doe 3 told him that 3 Q. Okay. she was not going to proceed with that process. Jane Doe 3 then responds to you that And I believe that was a conversation with Mr. same day. We would agree that this is 13 days Bender, Ms. Marchalk as the treasurer, and Jane later, correct? A. Yes. Doe 3. Q. Obviously probably at least one weekend Q. Any others? in there; would you agree? I don't recall. A. 10 A. Yes. Q. Okav. 11 Did Mr. Bender provide you with any 11 Q. If we look at Paragraph 3 of Jane Doe 12 communication documenting that interim billing 3's e-mail on Page 1, it starts with when we met. 13 conversation? She says: When we met, I failed to 14 A. No. remind you that Jane Doe 1 was furloughed for three months and there were two months which 15 Are you aware of the fact that the Q. ¹⁶ followed the furlough in which Jane Doe 1 waited county did not being to do interim billing, I believe, until after Jane Doe 3 was demoted? for the county to provide a workspace that was 18 18 Yes. suitable A. 19 19 Q. Okay. So that would be at least five months, 20 Do you know when that was actually would you agree, that Jane Doe 1 couldn't complete 21 the STEB reports? implementing? 22 22 I don't exactly know. And I don't know A. Based upon her information, yes. A. 23 O. if it has been. I don't know. This paragraph Jane Doe 3 goes on to 24 Okav. state, the second to last sentence: Between Jane O. Page 263 Page 261 1 So you don't even know if it's been? Doe 4and I, we worked a total of 81 hours overtime I don't know. ² last pay period to meet the deadline. At this A. point, there are ten months fully approved and the Q. Okay. remain two months are to be completed, but need to A. I don't know. be uploaded and then approved. MS. SMITH: We're going to look at Zula 451 to 453, Exhibit 119. Jane Doe 4and Jane Doe 3 were exempt employees, correct? 8 (Zula 451-453 marked as Exhibit-119 for A. Yes. identification.) Q. So 81 hours of overtime they were not 10 10 paid for, correct? 11 A. That's correct. BY MS. SMITH: 12 Do you recognize this e-mail chain? 12 If we look to the first paragraph, it Q. Q. says, she had informed you, and I think in your Yes. Α. 14 The first e-mail, which is on Page 2, e-mail it says the same, January was completed and 0. uploaded. And February, March, and April STEBs Zula 452, a little bit onto 453, is an e-mail from were almost complete. you to Jane Doe 3 regarding that February 25, 17 17 2021, conversation, right? So there was only one month that had 18 been completed in January, correct? I mean in Yes. A. Who requested or did you do it on your 19 February when you met? 0. 20 20 own accord, this e-mail? A. Yes. 21 O. It was -- the only month was January 21 The original e-mail, the February 18th A. e-mail? 22 that was complete, correct? 23 A. 23 Q. Correct.

I was asked to follow up on the

24 O.

And then looking back at that third

Yes.

Page 264 Page 266 paragraph, ten months were fully approved. So 1 Q. Okay. 2 nine additional months had been completed and They're quite -- there's quite a few of fully improved, with two completed and needing them, correct, between the two offices? upload, correct? MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the 5 ⁵**A**. So she indicated January was completed, form. 6 yes. February, March sales were almost complete. Go ahead. So basically 11 months were still outstanding. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. SMITH: In Jan -- in February when you met? Q. 9 So you're saying that because -- I'm A. Yes. O. 10 sorry. If we look to your memo, your issue was Q. Right. 11 And then by 13 days later, all that was that Jane Doe 1 -- I'm sorry -- that Jane Doe 3 needed to be done was completing and uploading -wasn't ensuring that the STEB reports were done, 13 completing -- I'm sorry. Uploading and approving 13 correct? 14 two months? A. Yes. That they weren't being done on a 15 timely basis, yes. A. Correct. So everything was pretty much done 13 16 Jane Doe 3 now spends, along with Jane 16 Q. Q. days later; would you agree? Doe 4, a combined 81 hours of overtime to get them 18 The vast majority of what needed to be complete and you have issue with that? A. 19 19 done, yes. MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. 20 20 Q. Go ahead. 21 21 Is this Jane Doe 3 not -- and Jane Doe 4 THE WITNESS: My issue was the fact not completing their job duties? 22 that they were not being submitted on a timely 23 Well, they were completing the job basis, as they were supposed to be on a monthly ²⁴ basis. duties that were assigned to Jane Doe 1. And so Page 265 Page 267 from that perspective, they didn't oversee or BY MS. SMITH: provide appropriate supervision to Jane Doe 1 to 2 O. Okay. get her job duty completed. But you said that there was an issue So you're saying because they didn't with the fact that Jane Doe 1 was the -- wasn't Q. delegate it to Jane Doe 1, that was the issue? the one who completed them, correct? Well, the -- yes. Combined with the A. Well --A. MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form. fact that Jane Doe 1 should have been doing it, 8 because I think in my e-mail I said, you know, it Go ahead. generates the question, what is Jane Doe 1 THE WITNESS: That was part of the actually doing during her workday when her primary issue, yes. 10 BY MS. SMITH: focus is the completion of the STEB reports. 12 12 What's the other part of the issue? Q. Well, wouldn't that be an address to Q. 13 with Jane Doe 1 if --That the STEB reports were severely 14 delinquent, as they're supposed to be submitted on Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were the 15 supervisors of Jane Doe 1, so if they were a monthly basis. Are you aware of all the other job permitting her -- the question begs itself, what 16 Q. 17 duties that are required for the operation of the were they allowing -- was she being paid to do. 18 tax assessment office? 18 If she wasn't doing the STEB reports, quite Yes. I'm aware that there is other job 19 honestly, why would we even need the position 19 20 then. duties, other than the STEB report. 21 O. 21 Okay. Did you look at her pay stubs or her Q. Are you aware of all the job duties of 22 time sheets? 22 23 23 the tax claim bureau? A. Yes. 24 O

Was she -- how many hours was she

Page 268 Page 270 actually working? That's argumentative. ²BY MS. SMITH: I -- I don't recall, but she was being A. paid during that time period. 3 Q. That's Defendant Gary Bender, correct? But you don't know how many hours she MS. PIPAK: You don't have to Q. was paid for during that time period? answer that. 6 A. I don't know without looking. That's argumentative. When you are saying time period, what MS. SMITH: Are you serious? If Q. time period are you referring to? I'm argumentative, then you're argumentative right So during the time period in which she now. Answer a simple question. A. was going -- supposed to be submitting the 2020 MS. PIPAK: I'm not asking her the reports. She was claiming time worked during 11 questions and that question is argumentative. 12 ¹² 2020, as well as January of 2020 and February MS. SMITH: It's not, Counsel. of -- excuse me -- January 2021 and February 2021. ¹³BY MS. SMITH: So other than the time reports for Q. Was Gary Bender your supervisor? 15 January of 2021 and February of 2021, you didn't 15 Α. 16 16 look at her time sheets? Q. Was he the one who instructed you to --Not prior to that, no. No, not prior to to look into the STEB issue? 18 my start at the -- at the county. A. Yes Let me just make sure my question and 19 19 Q. Q. Okay. 20 your answer is clear so the record is clear. Did you tell Mr. Bender it wasn't part 20 21 of your job description? When you decided that there was concerns 22 regarding what Jane Doe 1 was doing because she A. No, I did not. 23 was submitting time reports, the only time reports O. Why not? 24 vou looked at were January 2021 and February of A He asked me to look at an organization Page 269 Page 271 2021, correct? and that's what I did. $^{2}|A.$ Yes. And it was discussed also with Mr. But you -- it wasn't part of your job O. description? Bender that they were continually to be paid during that time frame and they weren't submitting So it was a directive given to me by my any work, Jane Doe 1. supervisor, and so I followed the directive. Okay. All right. Q. O. Because it would be uncomfortable to So let's go back to your job tell your supervisor that you didn't want to do description. I apologize I don't remember what something they wanted you to do, correct? the number is. A. No, not necessary. 10 10 MS. SMITH: 94, going to be Zula 49 Q. Okav. 11 Did you feel that you were qualified to to 52. 12 12 BY MS. SMITH: look into this issue? Ms. Zula, please tell me where in that 13 **Q**. I did, yes. 14 job description it states that the STEB reports or MS. SMITH: Looking at Zula 390, the daily operations of the tax assessment office 15 120 is the Exhibit. are in your job purview? 16 17 17 A. They aren't. (Zula 390 marked as Exhibit-120 for 18 Why then were you looking into this identification.) Q. 19 19 issue? 20 Because I was asked to do so by my A. BY MS. SMITH: 21 supervisor. 21 Q. Ms. Zula. do you recognize this e-mail? That is Defendant Gary Bender, correct? 22 22 Q. A. MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form. 23 23 Q. What draft plan were you working on in

You don't have to answer that.

²⁴ Jan -- on January 29, 2021?

Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 274 Page 272 1 MS. PIPAK: Go ahead. employment with the county, Mr. Alu did not hold a valid CPE license? THE WITNESS: So the draft plan was we were discussing what was going to happen with 3 Α. No. I'm not the aware of that. I the tax assessment office. As I indicated, there believe he did. was discussion about bringing in a consultant to O. Would it change your opinion as to -- as to his ability to consult on assessment issues if get the operations of the office kind of back on he did not hold a CPE license? track. BY MS. SMITH: A. No. 9 O. Was a consultant ever brought in? Q. How can someone who doesn't hold a license for the chief position of the department Yes. A. 11 Is that Joan R. Price? 11 Q. 12 12 No. The consultant for the tax A. He had numerous years of experience through Luzerne County, I believe it was. He was 13 assessment office was Tony Alu. their chief assessor for a number of years and so 14 Q. Okay. 15 So you're talking about a consultant he had a lot of expertise in county tax after Jane Doe 3's demotion? 16 16 assessment. 17 Yes. But the discussions with Mr. Alu 17 O. Do you know when he retired from or left 18 Luzerne? happened much prior to that. The discussions with Mr. Alu or about 19 19 Q. A. No, I do not. 20 Mr. Alu? 20 Do you -- are you aware of any issues Both. We met with Mr. Alu, kind of jut that the Luzern County assessor's office had while like an interview in, I believe it was, my first he was employed there? or second week of employment with the county. And 23 A. No, I do not. ²⁴Q. then there were some discussions as to, you know, And are you aware as to if his -- maybe Page 273 Page 275 what plan we wanted to put in place moving previously-held CPE license was no longer valid? 2 forward. A. No. 3 And you met with Mr. Alu to discuss the O. Do you know any changes to the CPE Q. licensing requirements or compliance that had operations of the tax assessment office, correct? I was part of the meeting, I attended changed during any lapse of his license? A. the meeting, I did not run the meeting. A. So who -- so was there a draft plan ever Q. Q. But the meeting with Mr. Alu was put into writing? involving discussions about the operations of the A. Not to my knowledge, no. 10 tax assessment office, correct? Q. Okay. 11 11 It was just something discussed? A. Yes. 12 12 Do you know what, if any information, A. Yes. There were discussions that were Q. ¹³ held regarding the operations of the tax Mr. Alu reviewed in that meeting or prior to that 14 meeting to give opinions or come to conclusions? assessment office. 15 15 So why in this e-mail is the request to No. I do not. O. A. you, what do you need from me to draft the plan, 16 The department head of the tax 17 assessment office is called a chief assessor, correct? I don't -- I mean, it's not really

correct? 23 Yes. A. Are you aware that prior to his

And by statute, a chief assessor is to

hold a certified Pennsylvania Evaluators License,

18

19 A.

20 Q.

correct?

Yes.

Did you need any additional information

information from Mr. Heinbach.

office, like, if he -- we needed any additional

grammatically correct. But what did you take that

That our discussions regarding the

19

23

Q.

sentence to mean?

Okay.

23

with everybody.

MS. PIPAK: And I'll try to work

VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 3:34

Page 276 Page 278 p.m. and we're back on the record. from him? BY MS. SMITH: A. Not that I recall. Q. So Mr. Alu, yourself were in this 3 Q. All right. meeting about this draft plan. Who else is there? Ms. Zula, you were talking about a Mr. Bender, Ms. Kutzler, and Mr. Roth. meeting in which Tony Alu was brought in to A. Q. Other than Mr. Alu, who talked? discuss -- I guess, why don't you tell me, what exactly was he discussing or rising on? A. I believe Mr. Bender talked and Mr. Roth So the initial meeting I was part of was potentially. Mr. Roth, I think they kind of led we were speaking to him about his credentials, the meeting regarding kind of the operations of 10 kind of outlining what ideally we were looking for the office --11 as far as recommendations regarding the operations MS. IPPOLITO: I'm going to object 12 of the tax assessment office. 13 13 And then following that meeting was MS. PIPAK: Can we take a break. I 14 don't know -- I just want to check if I need to further discussion that I was not part of as far as, you know, what those recommendations would be. call that back. I don't know why it was -- I understand -- I just need to understand what that 16 16 Q. Okay. 17 Α. So the initial meeting was basically document is. 18 MS. SMITH: I mean, it's been introduction with him. Getting his credentials, 19 produced at this point. and determining a plan to move forward. 20 2.0 MS. PIPAK: I understand. There's Were any documents used, reviewed. 21 presented? 21 also -- I just -- I just need to check. I just 22 need to check into it. 22 A. I believe the only document would have 23 23 been his resume. MS. SMITH: We can take a minute. ²⁴ Q. 24 Okay. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now Page 277 Page 279 3:07 p.m. and we're going off the record. And during this meeting, did Mr. Alu give you advice, not you specifically, but the (Whereupon, brief recess was held after individuals in the meeting, advice on a plan or how to move forward or the operations of the tax the record.) assessment office? Not at that point. I think it was more MS. PIPAK: This is the first time A. the scope of the work that was discussed as to I have seen this document. This is the first time I've known that this document has been produced. what we would be looking for, the county would be I am not sure if it's attorney-client privilege. looking for him to do. I don't know that it is to the effect that I don't 10 10 Q. Okay. 11 know if there's legal advice given or received. And then there was another meeting 12 For the limited purposes of today, you -- that was held that you were not a part of? I just want to put on the record, she's allowed I believe there were further discussions to -- we are allowing her to answer some questions with Mr. Alu. I don't know if they were formal about it. To the extent there's conversations meetings or just discussions. But I do not recall ¹⁶ being part of those. with counsel, including Heinbach and Glenn Roth 17 17 about legal advice back and forth, I would ask O. Do you know if Mr. Alu -- strike that. 18 18 that she does not answer those questions. At some point, Mr. Alu get hired as a MS. SMITH: Okay. I think I contract per diem, I don't know what the exact 19 20 understand, but I guess we'll take it question by term is. What was he hired as? 21 question. 21 A. He was hired as a consultant contractor.

So he wasn't an employee. He was like a

like, for his terms and conditions.

contractor that we had a separate agreement with,

²⁴ correct?

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

Page 280 Page 282 1 1 So contractor consultant is what Ms. A. That's correct. Q. 2 Kutzler was, correct? Q. Was one ever discussed? 3 A. Yes. A plan was discussed on how to A. Yes. And well, I guess what, Hubert Resources move the offices forward. Q. was who sent Ms. Kutzler, correct? O. Was Mr. Alu involved in that? A. Yes. A. No, I don't believe he was involved in Probably be the correct terminology. Q. the actual discussions, he was part of the plan They contract consultants do not have though. 9 PARs, correct? Q. Okay. 10 10 Meaning that he would become a That's correct. A. They have contracts, right? contractor once Jane Doe 3 was no longer the chief 11 0. 12 Yes. assessor or what was his part? A. Yes. That his -- his part of the plan 13 A. Q. Okay. was to come in and review the operation of the 14 So are you aware of a contract that exists for Mr. Alu's consultation for the county? office and then make recommendations on how to 15 Yes. There was a contract prepared. 16 16 move it forward. A. 17 And that wasn't until, as I understand 17 O. Okav. Q. 18 it, April of 2021, correct? Who was involved in the drafting of that 19 19 A. I don't know the exact date. plan? 20 20 Q. Okay. MS. PIPAK: Objection. 21 21 It was after Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4's You can answer. 22 22 demotion was voted on, correct? THE WITNESS: The plan -- like, if 23 Yes, it was after that time frame. we're going to call it a plan, it was more or less A. discussions that were held between myself, Glenn 24 **O**. And then there was time period after Page 281 Page 283 their demotion that the office was without a chief Roth, Gary Bender, and Doreen Kutzler as to kind assessor, correct? ² of how we were going to move forward. A. I believe so, yes. BY MS. SMITH: Okay you know if between the meeting O. Are you aware that the county had a Q. that you were involved in, which I think correct consultation agreement contract with a Joan R. me if I'm wrong, you testified was one of your Price, Esquire? first few weeks in your employment? I'm familiar with the name, yes. A. 8 O. Did you ever receive any information, A. Yes, that is correct. Q. So January 2021, would that be fair to review any information regarding what assistance say? she gave to the tax assessment office? 10 11 No. The only communication I had with A. Yes. 12 And then Mr. Alu's contract -- are you her related to the STEB reports and the submission Q. aware of any advice by Mr. Alu or suggestions or of the STEB reports and understanding that recommendations by Mr. Alu to the county, process. And how to determine, you know, what regarding the operations of the tax assessment reports have been submitted, that was kind of the office? 16 only interaction that I had with her. No. Not that I can recall, no. I don't 17 A. O. So she was still a consultant contractor believe we had anything come in directly from him when you started? prior to his hire as a consultant. 19 Yes. To my knowledge, yes. 19 A. 20 20 Q. Q. 21 So was a plan ever -- you said that a And just so I understand, you were just 21 plan was never formally written down regarding the talking about a conversation you had with her. It tax assessment and tax claim bureau; is that seems like it was more informational, just

about -- learning about STEB reports, not --

Page 284

- A. Yeah.
- Q. -- legal advice?
- A. No.
- It was just to get you up to speed on 0. what the assessment office did regarding STEB reports?
- That, and as well as trying to determine A. what STEB reports had been submitted.
- How to use the systems? Q.
- No, not necessarily how use the systems. A.
- 11 Who to contact at the state --
- 12 Okay. Q.
- 13 -- to determine what has been done and A. what hasn't been done.
- 15 Okav. Q.

Prior to February 5, 2021, did you 16

contact the state?

- 18 I don't recall -- I did contact the
- 19 state. I think it was after February 5th, though.
- 20 Q. Okay.

21 Being that there was already a

contractor consultant for the assessment office,

- do you know why it was determined or thought that
- another contractor consultant would be any

different?

- No, because I wasn't -- I'm not sure A. what Ms. Price's role was. I don't know.
- In the month or so period between January 11th and February 5th, did you ever observe Ms. Price enter the assessment office?
- A. I don't know who she is, so no. I don't know who she is.
- Q. I thought you said you spoke with her?
- I did speak with her on the phone. 10 A.
- 11 Oh, okay. Q.

12 You mean you don't know what she looks

- like?
- I don't -- yeah, I don't know -- yeah, I A.
- don't know, like --
- 16 Q.
- -- who she is to see. Like, I have no 17 A.
- idea if she entered the office or she didn't
- 19 because I've never seen her before.
- 20 Q.

During your employment from January 11th 21

- to February 5th, did you ever observe Defendant
- Bender enter the assessment office?
- Yes.

- Page 286 1 How often or how many times? Let's Q. start with that.
- 3 A. I -- I don't recall how many times.
- I -- don't -- I don't --
- O. Was it more than ten in that less than a month period?
- A. Oh, in that month period of time?
- Q.
- 9 I don't know if I seen him enter the A.
- assessment office during that month period of 11
- time, no.
- 12 O. Was -- in this -- I'm just using
- Mr. Heinbach's words, this draft plan to -- for
- the tax offices, was there any discussion about
- hiring a second real estate market analyst?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Was there any discussion about moving
- Jane Doe 1 back to -- Jane Doe 1 back to the
- courthouse or asking her simply if she would move
- back to the courthouse?
- 21 A. Not part of the -- the plan, no.
- 22 Q. Was there any discussion about maybe
- 23 reassigning STEB duties to Jane Doe 4?
- 24 No.

Q.

Page 285

- Page 287 Was there any talk about asking Jane Doe
- ² 3 or Jane Doe 4 if there was somebody else who
- could complete the STEB duties?
- A. No.
- O. Was there any talk about -- well,
- actually let's look at real quick, I think it was,
- 90 something, your job description.
 - Looking at the first Page 49, No. 2
- says: Perform job analysis and prepare changes to
- classification of descriptions. That would be --
- mean that you would prepare changes to that job
- description form that -- this form that we're
- looking at, correct, for other positions within
- the county?
- 15 A. So typically the supervisor drafts the job descriptions and then they would present them
- to the HR office for review and then we would
- ensure that those job duties would be
- appropriately assigned to that classification of
- position, position classification based upon kind of the salary plan that's been outlined, so in the
- contract, like the different collective bargaining
- agreements.
- So was there any conversation with Jane

Page 290

Doe 3 and/or Jane Doe 4 to ask them, hey, let's look over the assessment office and let's discuss if maybe some of these job classifications within your office might need to be changed around?

- A. The only conversation was in reference to the field appraiser position and the use of the interim field appraiser positions, that was the only conversation.
- ⁹ Q. Okay.
- ¹⁰ A. About positions within that office.
- ¹¹ Q. Was there any thought, hey, if Jane Doe
- 12 3 -- Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 can get STEB done in 13 days, maybe we just put the job duty on them and get rid of the real estate market analyst?
- ¹⁵ A. There was some thought about that, yes.
- ¹⁶ Q. Okay.

Why was it chosen instead to demote Jane Doe 3?

A. It wasn't necessarily chosen to demote
Jane Doe 3. It was the overall operation of the
office and the separation of the office between
the tax assessment and the tax claim offices that
ultimately resulted in Jane Doe 3 demotion. We
did not go down the line of eliminating Jane Doe

1's position as the real estate market analyst.

 $^{2}|\mathbf{Q}.$ Why?

10

11

12

A. Based upon some of discussion, was based upon, you know, that our -- we didn't believe that it would be appropriate given some of the claims that she made to eliminate her position.

Q. You just testified that based on the overall operation of the offices --

MS. SMITH: Actually, can have it read, her -- before my last question, her answer.

- - -

(Whereupon, court reporter read back last answer.)

- -

¹⁵ BY MS. SMITH:

- Q. Sp your testimony there was that it was the overall operations of the tax claim and tax assessment offices?
- A. The ultimate -- the results of changing the positions of Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were a result of the separation of the offices. My
- recommendation was based upon the completion of
- the STEB reports. However, that was just my
- recommendation. I didn't make the ultimate

decision.

So based upon my information, plus
whatever other information was available to others
in the county who had the authority to make those
decisions, it was decided that the offices would be
separated. And they were separated and as a result
of that, that resulted in the elimination of the
deputy chief assessor/director of tax claim position
and the -- or I'm sorry -- the chief assessor and
the -- the tax claim director position and the
combined position of the deputy chief assessor
assistant tax claim director.

Q. So you're saying you are essentially a piece of the puzzle, the STEB reports was part of a larger decision, correct?

¹⁶ A. Yes.

Q. Were you involved in that larger decision?

A. I made the recommendation based upon the report I provided to my supervisor, Mr. Bender.
And then from there, he ultimately directed me to put the PARs through to separate the offices.

Q. So in between those two things, the submission of your memo and the PAR, were you

Page 291

involved at all, discussions or anything like

that?
A. I mean, we had discussions about my

memo, about the completion of the STEB reports.
There was discussion, as I said, about the plan of bringing Mr. Alu on board to evaluate the office.

We did have discussions about Jane Doe 3's communication, I believe that was part of my memo,

⁹ with her supervisor. And that's pretty much what

⁰ I can recall as far as the discussions.

¹¹ Q. Okay.

You were saying that you didn't go down the road of terminating Jane Doe 1's position because of the claim she had made.

What claims are you referring to?

A. The claims of the sexual harassment claim. And I think that is discussion that I had directly with our attorneys, so I don't believe it's appropriate for me to divulge that information.

Q. Are you aware of any issues with the STEB reports being submitted timely, post Jane Doe and Jane Doe 4's demotion?

²⁴ A. Yes.

15

Page 292 Page 294 1 They were -- Jane Doe 1 failed submit chief assessor, correct? O. 2 timely under Mr. Alu. correct? A. Yes. I don't know if it was under Mr. Alu, 3 O. And during that time, Jane Doe 1 failed A. but definitely under Mr. Hatter, she did not to submit timely STEB reports, correct? submit them timely. A. Yes. Q. Mr. Hatter is still the chief assessor, Q. In fact, Mr. Hatter has written her up, correct? I think she was even suspended, correct? A. To my knowledge, yes, I believe he still A. 9 is. He was when I was there. Q. Has Mr. Hatter been demoted? 10 Q. Okay. No. he has not. Α. 11 So I don't know if something changed 11 Do you know why? A. Q. 12 12 since then. No, I don't. A. 13 Did Mr. Bender ask you to look into the 13 Q. When you -- so for the record, you have Q. ultimately since your employment, left the county, operations of the tax assessment issue under correct? 15 Mr. Hatter? 16 16 That's correct. A. A. No. 17 That was in May of this year? Has anyone, to your knowledge, O. Q. 18 My last day was June 3rd, I think it questioned the operation of the tax assessment A. 19 was -issues under Mr. Hatter --20 I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 20 Q. Okay. A. 21 21 -- of 2022. I think I said issues. A. Q. 22 22 Q. And when you left, Mr. Hatter was still A. Yes. the chief assessor? 23 O. Has anyone -- has anyone, to your knowledge, questioned the operation of the tax 24 Yes, correct. A. Page 293 Page 295 1 Q. Mr. Hatter was appointed the chief assessment office under Mr. Hatter? assessor in June-ish -- May of 2021? A. As far as his direction? 3 I don't know the exact date. Q. A. Correct. Q. I have it. A. No. Does May 12, 2021, sound familiar? O. So taking you back to early on in your MS. SMITH: This one might have employment, February of 2021. Shortly after you been marked at Roth's. I can't remember. I started, you received a physician's medical review apologize if it was, but I'm going to mark SC633 form for Jane Doe 1 -- from Jane Doe 1's medical provider, correct? as 121. 10 10 A. Yes. (SC633 marked as Exhibit-121 for 11 MS. SMITH: Okay. Mark it as 122. It's 26 -- Zula 268 through 270. 12 identification.) 14 (Zula 268-270 marked as Exhibit-122 for 14 BY MS. SMITH: 15 identification.) Q. Okay. 16 So this actually refreshes both of our 17 recollection. It says this PAR is for Mr. Hatter, BY MS. SMITH: 18 18 correct? Do you recognize this document? Q. 19 19 A. That's correct. A. Yes. 20 Q. And his effective date was May 24, 2021, Q. The top of the document is some -- it looks like fax information. Is that fax correct? 22 information that was received by the county? A. Yes. 23 23 So mr. Hatter was the chief assessor for A. I don't know exactly --Q. 24 O at least a year, if not more, if he still is the Okav.

²⁴ correct?

```
Page 296
                                                                                                         Page 298
          -- if that's from when it was received
                                                         1
 1
                                                           A.
                                                                   Not specifically about this.
   A.
                                                         2
                                                           Q.
                                                                   Did you ever speak with Jane Doe 3 -- so
  by the county or not. I don't know that.
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                         3
                                                           strike that.
         Do you know if this -- so this document
                                                                 In February of 2021, Jane Doe 3 was
  is dated, if we look to the back, it's 2/5 of
                                                           still Jane Doe 1's supervisor, correct?
  2021. I -- I was assuming that that fax was from
                                                           A.
                                                                   Yes.
  the county's fax information. But does around
                                                           O.
                                                                   Did you ever speak with Jane Doe 3 to
  2/16/2021, sound about when you received this?
                                                           ask for her input regarding this reasonable
                                                           accommodation request?
          Yes. Yes.
   A.
                                                                  I don't believe I spoke with her. I
10
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                           A.
                                                        11
11
         Is this, do you know, the first
                                                           believe and I don't -- well, I should probably say
                                                        <sup>12</sup> I don't recall because I know I had some
   paperwork regarding Jane Doe 1's, what we'll call,
13
  reasonable accommodation request?
                                                           communication with Jane Doe 3 via e-mail, but I'm
14
  A.
          Yes.
                                                           not certain whether it was regarding Jane Doe 1 or
15
          To work from home?
                                                           Jane Doe 2.
   Q.
                                                        16
16
          To my knowledge.
                                                           Q.
  A.
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        17
          That you received?
                                                                 Was that e-mail communication, if you
17
  Q.
18
          Sorry.
                                                           recall, communicated initiated by yourself or Jane
   A.
                                                        19
19
   Q.
          It's okay.
                                                           Doe 3?
                                                        20
          Yes, to my knowledge.
                                                           A.
                                                                   It was initiated by her.
20
   A.
                                                        21
                                                                   Jane Doe 3?
21
                                                           Q.
  Q.
          Okav.
                                                        22
22
         After you received this paperwork, what,
                                                           A.
                                                                   Yes.
                                                        23
  if anything, did you do?
                                                           O.
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        24
  A. I attempted to reach out to Jane Doe 1
                                                                 In February of 2021, what level of
                                                Page 297
                                                                                                        Page 299
  to discuss the documentation, to go through, you
                                                           understanding would say you had as to Jane Doe 1's
  know, the interactive process under the ADA. And
                                                         <sup>2</sup> job duties and responsibilities?
  I contacted her via phone. I believe it was via
                                                           A.
                                                                   My understanding was she did the
  phone to set up a meeting with her. She
                                                           completion of the STEB reports, which -- and then
  indicated, yes, that would work great. And then
                                                           she also did, like, market analysis reviews, which
  we set up a time. And then subsequent to that, I
                                                           did at times require her to go out into the field,
  received communication that I was not to
                                                           was my understanding.
                                                         8
  communicate with her, I believe, from your office.
                                                           O.
                                                                   Well, sorry, maybe that was a bad
          I didn't send you any e-mails, did I?
  Q.
                                                           question.
                                                        10
10
          I believe so, yes.
                                                                 I guess my -- my question is: Do you
  A.
11
                                                           feel that in February of 2021, you had enough
          Okay.
   0.
12
         Did you then speak or do -- speak with
                                                           knowledge of Jane Doe 1's job duties to make a
  anyone else or do anything else?
                                                        determination as to a -- her reasonable
14
          Yes. I believe we did review -- I
                                                           accommodation request to work from home?
                                                        15
  reviewed this documentation and then I did consult
                                                           Α.
                                                                   Well, the reasonable accommodation
                                                        request indicated that she was to be working in a
  with our attorney regarding the documentation,
  specifically Mr. Heinbach. And then based upon
                                                           safe or separated area or working from home. So
  the documentation received, we believe we have
                                                           based upon her doctor's documentation, we met the
                                                           reasonable accommodation to have her work in a
  already provided a reasonable accommodation to
  Jane Doe 1 based upon her office being placed at
                                                           safe or separated area.
                                                        21
  the 410 Building.
                                                           O.
21
                                                                   Okay.
                                                        22
22
  Q.
          Okay.
                                                                 So my question though is: Do you feel
         So you never spoke with Jane Doe 1,
                                                           that in February of 2021, you had enough
23
```

information and knowledge of Jane Doe 1's job

Page 300 Page 302 duties to make a determination regarding a and you can look it up. 2 reasonable accommodation request by her, whatever MS. PIPAK: All right. I'm not the accommodation request was? going to look this up. Yes, based upon my consultation with our A. MS. SMITH: Okay. Then we'll call attornev. the judge. Q. And that would be Mr. Heinbach? MS. PIPAK: She's not -- okay. You can call the judge on that question. You are A. Yes, Mr. Heinbach. asking what legal advice she sought from her Q. Do you know if Mr. Heinbach has any STEB counsel. That's covered by privilege. knowledge, information? 10 MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the MS. SMITH: I'm not asking her what extent you had any conversations with him about 11 legal advice she sought from her counsel. This is 11 12 STEB knowledge. exactly what I'm not asking her. I am asking her if she gave him a document. It's a physical act. 13 So if you can answer, other than ¹⁴ There's no words -- I am not asking what words 14 conversations you had. 15 THE WITNESS: I know that were spoken. I am asking if she e-mailed, handed, 16 Mr. Heinbach works directly with counties pretty sent in the mail, sent by carrier pigeon, a extensively. So I believe that, yes, he did have ¹⁷ document, if he ever reviewed that document. I am this necessary knowledge to advise me. not asking for the contents of conversation, what 19 BY MS. SMITH: his advice was, what was rendered there from it, 20 Did you ever provide Mr. Heinbach with what decisions were made. All I'm asking is if O. 21 Jane Doe 1's job description? she gave him a job description. Did she hand it 22 MS. PIPAK: I'm going to object on 22 to him, mail it to him? 23 the basis of attorney-client privilege to the MS. PIPAK: And I'm telling you, extent you were seeking legal advice. she can't answer if she did -- took any action for Page 303 Page 301 1 the purpose of seeking legal advice. MS. SMITH: It's an action. What MS. SMITH: That's not accurate. she did with a job description has nothing to do with the contents of a conversation. Counsel. It's -- she -- what her actions were --MS. PIPAK: If she was doing just as if, if I ask the question as a result of that -- and I'm -- and I'm advising her that if Mr. Heinbach -- your conversations with she was doing that for the purpose of seeking Mr. Heinbach, what did you do, the act is separate and distinction from the conversations and the legal advice. MS. SMITH: Counsel, even if she's legal advice that is therein rendered are not doing something for the purposes of seeking legal privileged. It's the acts before and the acts advice, it's the legal advice that is the after that do not constitute privilege. 11 privileged communication. Her --MS. PIPAK: You can ask that 12 MS. PIPAK: Her asking for legal question. You can't ask --13 advice is. MS. SMITH: That's what I'm asking 14 14 MS. SMITH: No, it's not. Asking her. 15 for legal advice is not privileged. Getting the MS. PIPAK: That's not the question legal advice is priveledged. you asked. You can specifically ask her a 17 MS. PIPAK: I don't think that's question, what did she do. 18 18 MS. SMITH: Counsel, think about correct. MS. SMITH: Look up -- then let's 19 what you're saying. Please think about what 20 take a break and please look up what privilege is, you're saying. If I asked you -because it's privileged communication. Her act of MS. PIPAK: She's not answering that question. You can call the judge on it. giving someone a document is not legal advice. It's the legal advice that is rendered therefrom BY MS. SMITH:

that would be privileged. So I will take a break

Ms. Zula, did you send -- did you put in

```
Page 304
   the mail, e-mail, regular mail, a job description
                                                           deposition of one of the named defendants and it's
   to Mr. Heinbach?
                                                          come up a few times as to whether acts by an
            MS. PIPAK: You can not answer that
                                                         <sup>3</sup> individual, such as sending a document or
                                                           something of that nature before and/or after
   question.
                                                           attorney client communications are privileged as
            MS. SMITH: All right. let's call
                                                          well. So for instance, if we have a conversation,
   the judge.
                                                           you asked me to send something, you're my
            MS. PIPAK: Okay.
                                                           attorney, and then I do the act of sending it to
            MS. SMITH: We can go off the
                                                           you, is a question regarding the act
   record.
10
                                                           attorney-client privileged?
            VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now
                                                        11
                                                                    MS. PIPAK: Your Honor, this is
11
   4:03 p.m. and we're going off the record.
                                                        12
12
            MR. GEIGER: Just put another spin
                                                           Maria Pipak. I represent Heidi Zula. She is the
13
   on this, if Tom Heinbach asked for a job
                                                           deponent today. I have to say I disagree with
                                                        Ms. Smith's assessment or summary of the issue.
  description with the idea that he needed that as
                                                          The question posed dealt with my client asking --
  part of the legal advice he was giving, then I
                                                           seeking legal advice from her attorney on an issue
16
  think it does quality as privilege.
            MS. SMITH: That's not what the
                                                          and she refused to provide any further
18
  testimony is here. And I am not asking if Tom
                                                          information. And the follow-up question related
19
  Heinbach asked for a job description. I'm asking
                                                           to whether a specific document was sent to him for
                                                        20
  if she sent one. It is purely her action. It's
                                                           the attorney to provide --
                                                        21
  just as if -- it's the actions before and the
                                                                    MS. SMITH: No. No. No. No. it
                                                        22
  actions after by individuals do not constitute the
                                                           was not.
                                                        23
  legal advice. It is the legal advice that is
                                                                    MS. PIPAK: -- the consultation.
                                                        24
being rendered to an individual and --
                                                                    MS. SMITH: The question was
                                                                                                       Page 307
                                               Page 305
 1
            MR. GEIGER: I mean, why is it
                                                           simply, was this document provided to the
  relevant what Tom Heinbach reviewed in giving his
                                                          attorney? I never asked as to the question of
                                                           whether there was con -- attorney consultation
   advice?
                                                           given, whether legal advice was rendered. I, in
            MS. SMITH: Because I am not --
  that's my work product as to how I think it's
                                                          fact, put on the record, I'm not asking what
  relevant. Whether you think it's relevant or not
                                                          happened thereafter. I'm simply asking, did you
  has nothing to do with this. This is my
                                                           e-mail this document or send this document or give
   deposition and I get to ask my questions. It's
                                                           this document to this attorney.
                                                                    MS. PIPAK: We do have a court
   not privileged.
10
            MS. PIPAK: You're asking -- your
                                                           reporter here, so we can read it back if either of
   question was in the context of she reached out --
                                                           us are wrong.
                                                        12
12
   she spoke to counsel on this issue and you asked
                                                                    THE COURT: Well, since there seems
                                                          to be dispute as what the question was and you're
14
                                                           asking me to try to mediate the outcome, I
            MS. SMITH: If she -- if she took
                                                           probably should have a clearer sense of what --
   an action.
16
                                                          what the exchange is and what the context of it
            MS. PIPAK: -- what she -- what she
17
   provided to counsel for the purpose of that legal
                                                           was. So I'm gathering that there was some sort of
18
   advice. That's subject to attorney-client
                                                           a question about a conversation with counsel and a
                                                        19
                                                           question regarding whether something was sent to
19
   privilege.
20
                                                           counsel.
                                                        21
21
         (Whereupon, brief recess was held off the
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Yes. And I can -- even
                                                           if this question was one different than I'm
22
   record.)
                                                          saying, I will agree to limit it to, did you send
23
```

MS. SMITH: Your Honor, we are in a

this document in anyway -- or give this document

```
Page 308
                                                                                                        Page 310
   to your attorney in any way, shape, or form.
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Understood. No, I
            MS. PIPAK: And this is Maria
                                                           understand. I am just asking --
                                                         3
  Pipak. I advised that if -- that she should not
                                                                    THE COURT: If you let me finish,
                                                           I'd appreciate it. Is that okay with you,
  answer the question to the extent she provided any
  document to counsel for the purpose of seeking
                                                           Ms. Smith?
  legal advice.
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Sure. I apologize,
            THE COURT: And you'll forgive me.
                                                           Your Honor.
   The document itself, since you all seem to know
                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. No, that's okay.
   what it is, what was it?
                                                           That's okay.
10
            MS. SMITH: It's a job description.
                                                                    You called me up and you are giving
11
            THE COURT: Okay. Recognizing that
                                                           my horseback sense of the law. I welcome briefing
  I don't have the benefit of a full context of your
                                                           on this, so you should feel free to brief this
  conversation and deposition, but given what you've
13
                                                           issue. How much time would you need for a brief?
  described to me, it would seem to me that the --
                                                                    MS. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, we
15
  the nature of the exchange back and forth between
                                                           have plenty time in discovery and I have motions
16
  the deponent and her counsel could make a
                                                           for summary judgment due. I would just request 30
  discussion regarding what she said to counsel,
                                                           days. We can always revisit this during --
                                                        18
                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. Do it. No, that
  also breaked by the privilege. So I would sustain
19
   an objection to that specific in particular.
                                                           would be fine. Do you want me to put an order on
20
            MS. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, I'm
                                                           the docket or do you just want to just get
21
                                                           something to me in 30 days?
  not asking about the conversation. I'm simply
                                                        22
22
   asking --
                                                                    MS. SMITH: I think we can just get
23
            THE COURT: Well, no. I -- I think
                                                           something to you in 30 days.
<sup>24</sup> I actually understood what you said. But -- and
                                                                    THE COURT: All right. And that's
                                                Page 309
                                                                                                        Page 311
                                                           fine. And then I certainly look forward to being
  let me repeat it back so that we're clear that I
  did, in fact, understand what you said.
                                                         <sup>2</sup> further informed on this issue and perhaps having
                                                           some deeper factual context with which to consider
            I understand that you were asking
                                                           it in a more thorough and proper way. But -- so
   whether she sent the document?
            MS. SMITH: Yes. Took an action.
                                                           please feel free to submit such a brief. If I
                                                           receive such a brief from you, Ms. Smith, how much
  no conversation held.
                                                           time would you want to respond to the brief on
            THE COURT: Yes. Yes, but -- but I
                                                           this issue?
   also was led to understand that that action that
                                                         9
   you were asking about, would have taken place in
                                                                    MS. PIPAK: Ten days is fine, Your
                                                        10
  the context of communications that she was having
                                                           Honor.
                                                        11
  with her counsel. And in that context, it seems
                                                                    THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So that
  to me that asking what one sent to counsel begins
                                                        12 is what we will do. And I'm pleased to just make
  to tread into areas that may be covered by
                                                        some sort of brief docket notation that we can
  privilege and that's why I was sustaining the
                                                           just put there on the docket so the folks have a
15
  objection.
                                                           timetable should it be end of the deposition and
16
                                                           upon reflection, you feel that it would be an
            MS. SMITH: Your Honor, would you
                                                        17
17
  allow us to brief this issue because there is
                                                           issue worth briefing.
                                                        18
18
   substantial case law regarding this issue.
                                                                    I certainly would look forward to
            THE COURT: All right. Sure. You
                                                        19
                                                           receiving those and having a chance to consider
19
20
   can feel free to brief the issue.
                                                           this issue in the month or so away.
                                                        21
                                                                    Is there anything else I can do for
21
            MS. SMITH: Okay.
            THE COURT: What happened is, as
                                                           you all?
   you may a recall from a few minutes ago, you
                                                        23
23
                                                                    MS. SMITH: No, Your Honor. Thank
                                                        24 vou.
  called me up.
```

Page 312 Page 314 1 1 MS. PIPAK: Thank you, Your Honor. O. Did you ever receive a response from Mr. ² Bender? THE COURT: Excellent. Thank you. Talk to you soon. 3 A. I'm not sure. VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 4:13 O. In the third line down it says: I am p.m. and we're back on the record. assuming that we will need to engage in the interactive process with Jane Doe 1 regarding her request. I wanted to ensure there was nothing BY MS. SMITH: Ms. Zula, is speaking with an employee's further that Tom would like for us to do. Q. supervisor to determine if a reasonable Did you, in fact, engage with Jane Doe 1 accommodation request was feasible, something that regarding her request? 11 human resources typically does? 11 Α. Yes. I attempted to. 12 12 A. It depends on the situation. O. Okay. 13 13 **Q**. What would it depend on? You attempted to, but you did not, $^{14}|A.$ 14 correct? If information was needed to understand 15 what job duties could be or what -- what needs to Α. No. because I was told not to contact be done for a specific job duty. 16 16 her. 17 Did you feel that you needed to know 17 O. O. Subsequent to this accommodation anything about Jane Doe 1's job duty in order to request, do you recall calling Jane Doe 1 on evaluate this reasonable accommodation request? 19 speaker and having a conversation with her 20 regarding medical issues? A. 21 21 You believed you had enough -- enough of This was when I contacted her, I was on 0. A. an understanding of her job duty at that time to speakerphone in my office and that was to indicate make a determination? that we received her documentation and set up a time to meet with her. And at that point we were 24 Yes, based upon the information we Page 313 Page 315 going to discuss and she told -- I was then told received from her physician, that is what we utilized to make the determination. that I can't discuss it with her. Well, it's what you received from her I disagree with you or don't recall that there was communication directly from me. physician, in conjunction with her job duty, correct? But had you received it from me or A. Yes. whomever, communication that you should not speak Her job duties, I should say? with her, did you then -- what actions did you Q. take to then try and get the information regarding Her job duties, yes. A. Jane Doe 1's reasonable accommodation request? Okay. Q. 10 10 So you would have to have information As I indicated, I review the information from her physician and enough of an understanding provided by her doctor and I did consult with my of her job duties in order to make a determination attorney regarding the matter. And it was regarding a reasonable accommodation request; is determined that we did meet her requests for a 14 14 that fair? reasonable accommodation. 15 15 O. A. Okay. Yes. 16 16 MS. SMITH: Okay. Going to mark But what I'm asking is, is you wanted to 17 Zula 420 as 123. speak with Jane Doe 1 for some reason, correct? 18 18 Α. Yes. 19 O. 19 (Zula 420 marked as Exhibit-123 for What was that reason? 20 20 To just understand a little bit more identification.) A. 21 about what she was seeking. 2.1 22 Did you ever make any attempts to better BY MS. SMITH: Q. Do you recognize this e-mail? 23 understand what she was seeking through any other 23 Q.

channels, but for speaking with Jane Doe 1?

	Page 316		Page 318
1	A. No.	1	1, correct?
2	MS. SMITH: Marked Zula 426 as	2	A. Yes.
3	Exhibit-124.	3	Q. Issue date is February 26, 2021?
4		4	A. Yes.
5	(Zula 426 marked as Exhibit-124 for	5	Q. Do you who drafted this letter?
6	identification.)	6	A. I did.
7		7	Q. Did any go ahead. I'm sorry.
8	BY MS. SMITH:	8	A. I'm sorry. Go ahead. Yes, I drafted
9	Q. Do you recognize this e-mail?	9	it.
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. Did anyone have any input in it?
11	Q. Do you know why you reached out to	11	A. Yes.
12	Heather Garrity to find out if Jane Doe 1 had	12	Q. Who?
13	requested and/or filed for leave under the FMLA?	13	A. My attorney.
14	A. I do not recail why I did that.	14	Q. Other than your attorney, anyone else?
15	Q. Do you know if it was at your own doing	15	A. No.
16	or did someone request that you find out?	16	Q. Okay.
17	A. I I really don't recall.	17	But you drafted the initial?
18	Q. After Jane Doe 1 in on February 16th	18	A. I drafted the initial letter for review.
19	after her medical provider submitted the medical	19	Q. Okay.
	form for a reasonable accommodation request, did	20	After whatever consultation with your
21	you ever speak with Jane Doe 1 regarding her	21	attorney, a copy of this was sent to this
22	rights under FMLA?	22	version was sent to Jane Doe 1, correct?
23	A. I know there was I don't know if we	23	A. I believe, yes.
24	spoke, but I believe there was communication	24	Q. Do you know it says CC to Jane Doe 3
1	because she did subsequently request to take FMLA.	1	and file. Does that indicate that Jane Doe 3 also
2	And that was handled by Ms. Garrity in my office.	2	received a copy and a copy was placed in Jane Doe
3	She tracked her well, she reviewed her time and	3	1's personnel file?
4	it was found that she was not eligible to take	4	A. A copy would have most likely been
5	FMLA because she didn't work the requisite hours	5	placed in her medical file.
6	during the preceding 12 months.	6	Q. What do you mean her medical file?
7	Q. But in February of 2021, do well,	7	A. So there's we keep a medical file
8	let's strike that.	8	which is separate from the personnel file, which
9	That topic or conversation,	9	indicate like where we would keep things such
10	communication you were just speaking of with	10	as FMLA paperwork, preemployment physical
11	Ms. Garrity, do you know when that was?	11	information, drug test information, that where
12	71. I don't recan the date.		those are kept.
13	Q. Do you know if it was in February 2021?	13	Q. Would reasonable accommodation request
14	A. I don't recall.	14	paperwork be kept in there? Something like this?
15	MS. SMITH: We have Zula 2481, it's	15	A. So the Document 122, yes, that's where
16	125.	16	that should be kept.
17		17	Q. And you would agree that this is this
18	(Zula 2481 marked as Exhibit-125 for		letter denies Jane Doe 1 the right to work from
19	identification.)		home, correct?
20	DAY MG CAMITIN	20	MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the
21	BY MS. SMITH:	21	form.
22	Q. Do you recognize this letter.	23	Go ahead.
23	A. Yes.		THE WITNESS: Well, the
24	Q. It's a letter issued by you to Jane Doe	24	accommodation requested was for her to either work

Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 320 Page 322 from home or work in a safe and separated area. BY MS. SMITH: So we granted the accommodation to work in a safe 2 Q. Do you recognize this e-mail chain? or separated area. 3 A. Yes. BY MS. SMITH: 4 O. Ms. -- Jane Doe 3 on Page 2, going on --1 going on Page 2, February 26, 2021, 233, asks --0. And did not grant her the work from home says, I have a question and then asks, is this a option, correct? denial of the request to perform some duties from We did not grant her the option to work A. home. It's in reference -- or response, I guess, from home. to your e-mail of February 26th. The letter that The last sentence of the second 0. paragraph states: These accommodations, meaning it references in your e-mail would have been the the 410 Building work location, are considered the one we just looked at, correct? 12 12 most effective given your essential job functions A. Yes. 13 Q. 13 and the operational needs of the county. Okay. 14 And you respond, yes. The accommodation 14 What essential job functions do you provided will be for Jane Doe 1 to perform her believe she couldn't complete from home that she 15 could complete from the 410 Building? duties in the office or in the field as needed. 16 17 Visiting real estate parcels, The yes is in response to, this is a denial of the request to perform some duties from home, correct? 18 monitoring -- well, that I one I'm not sure of. Visiting the parcels, but, I mean, I believe more 19 A. 19 Yes. ²⁰0. the concern was Jane Doe 1's history of her work Jane Doe 3 then questions, does this product completion when she worked from home, is mean that I do not have the authority to direct employees to work from home and work can only be what the issue was. 23 Was that an issue or concern you had? completed in the office setting, regardless of O. $^{24}|A.$ ²⁴ circumstances or does this just apply to Jane Doe That was a concern that was brought to Page 321 Page 323 my attention by county administration. 1? You respond that she does have the authority When you say county administration, who ² to direct the work of her staff, but work from Q. home requests for all staff must be reviewed with are you referring to? administration to maintain consistent compliance Mr. Bender. A. Anyone else in county administration? with legal requirements and are approved on a Q. case-by-case basis, based upon the work assigned A. I know Ms. Kutzler had made reference to -- that there was some questions as to what and performed by the position. 8 When you say all work from home requests work product they were completing. for staff must be reviewed with administration, whom When you say they, who is they? Q. are you including in administration? 10 Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2. A. 11 11 Did you ever look into what may have A. So they were reviewed with Gary Bender. Q. ¹²|Q. 12 contributed to their work product during working And then it says consistent -- to maintain consistent compliance with legal from home? requirements. What legal requirements? 14 No, I did not. A. 15 15 You don't know if they had the supplies A. I'm not certain. Q. 16 Q. needed to complete the essential job functions of Did anybody help you draft this e-mail?

18 I did not look into that issue. A. 19

MS. SMITH: Okay. Marked 550 --20 Zula 550 to 551, it's 126.

2.1

their positions, did you?

17

2.2

23

(Zula 550-551 marked as Exhibit-126 for identification.)

Then you state that these work from home requests will be approved on a case-by-case basis, based upon the work assigned and performed by this position. Who would approve on a case-by-case

Page: 86 (320 - 323)

My attorney, Mr. Heinbach.

17

18

19

21

A.

Q.

A. 20 O. Yes.

Who?

Page 324

basis?

- $^{2}|A.$ So when I received requests, I met daily with my supervisor, Mr. Bender, and we reviewed those requests and he would ultimately provide the guidance as to whether or not we could approve those requests.
- So did Mr. Bender provide guidance on Q. whether to deny, as you said yes, the work from home is denied, the work from home request of Jane 10 Doe 1?
- 11 A. Yes, he did indicate that Jane Doe 1 12 could not work from home.
- 13 Did you believe it was appropriate for O. Mr. Bender to weigh in on a matter of an individual who had accused him of sexual harassment, aiding, abetting, and retaliation? 16
- 17 In his role as the county administrator, 18 ves. They were still employees of the county and so, yes, it would be appropriate for him to review a request for a work from home requirement.
- But by an individual who had accused him 21 Q. of something so significant? 22
- 23 Yes. I reviewed it with him. A.
- 24 **Q**. I'm not asking if you reviewed it with

him.

1

I'm asking if you believe that it's -is it appropriate, not is it his job duty, not do you do it with him, is it, do you believe, appropriate for him to have reviewed this work from home request, being -- being have been accused of what he was accused of by Jane Doe 1 or do vou think he should have asked somebody else to do it?

MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the

form.

10

12

17

But you can answer.

13 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't believe he was accused of doing anything to Jane Doe 1, based upon what I understand. And so, yes, I believed it was appropriate to review these -this information with him.

18 BY MS. SMITH:

- 19 Do you believe that Mr. Bender's 20 decision regarding Jane Doe 1 was an impartial one? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- Would you agree that at time that this 23 O.
- reasonable accommodation request was made by Jane

Page 326 Doe 1, that Jane Doe 3 had more knowledge about ² Jane Doe 1's job description and job duties --

3 A. Yes.

Q. -- than you?

5 Yes, she did. A.

6 Q. Okay.

> Why didn't you defer judgment to her regarding this request?

- 9 I deferred judgment to the documentation A. 10 we received from the provider.
- 11 Q. Right. 12

13

Page 325

But her job duties and responsibilities also played a part, right?

A. Yes, they did.

15 And the person with the county who had O. the most knowledge regarding those job duties and 16 ¹⁷ responsibilities of Jane Doe 1 would have been Jane Doe 3, correct?

19 I'm not certain if she was the only --A. ²⁰ I'm not certain if she's the one who had the most ²¹ knowledge. I don't know that. She had more 22 knowledge than I did, yes.

23 So why didn't you ask for her input? O.

24 A Because I based it off of the

Page 327

Page: 87 (324 - 327)

documentation that was provided by the doctor ² indicating that either a work from home or a separated work area be provided.

That's what you relied your opinion on. Q. I'm asking, why didn't you, in addition

to that -- what was your reasoning for not just asking Jane Doe 3?

8 A. I didn't believe I needed to.

9 Q. Why didn't you believe you needed to?

10 Because I didn't. I based it off of the Α documentation we received from the doctor.

12 Q. Right.

> But Jane Doe 3, you just said, had more knowledge than you about Jane Doe 1's work duties, correct?

16 A.

13

15

17 Q. So why didn't you think that her input would be relevant? 18

19 A. I didn't believe I needed her input.

20 0. Is that -- you thought you could make a decision or you thought legally you didn't need her opinion?

 $^{23}|_{A}$. Well, as I said --

MS. PIPAK: I am going to object to

²⁴ 274 as Exhibit-127.

Page 328 Page 330 1 the form. 2 But you can answer. (Zula 274 marked as Exhibit-127 for THE WITNESS: I consulted with my identification.) attorney regarding the paperwork received. I received guidance from the attorney and I followed BY MS. SMITH: Ms. Zula, do you recognize this that guidance. Q. BY MS. SMITH: document? The 410 Building, we established through A. Yes. Q. 9 your testimony earlier, was open to the public, O. There's a stamp on the right, it says correct? received March 12, 2021, human resources. Is that 11 A. Yes. a stamp that is placed on documents received from 12 Mr. Halcovage could enter that building, outside entities, entities outside the county when Q. they're received by human resources? 13 correct? 14 A. Yes, potentially. A. Yes. Sometimes, yes. 15 Jane Doe 1 did not have a parking space, When does something get a stamp versus 15 O. Q. when does something -correct? 16 16 I don't know. I don't stamp the -- I Not to my knowledge. 17 17 18 She would have to walk from the street don't stamp the documentation in. I don't know. Q. or another location to enter the building, 19 19 Q. Who stamps it? 20 correct? Α. It's done by the administrative 20 21 assistant. A. Yes. How is it is that you came to the 22 22 Q. Q. And that's an administrative assistant conclusion that that was a safe work environment? 23 to the director of human resources? It was a separated work environment from A. Yes. Page 331 Mr. Halcovage. I can not control what happens out Q. Was there ever instruction that any on the street between Jane Doe 1 or -- and any of ² incoming paperwork from an outside source should the people she's made allegations against. I -be stamped? ⁴ in the office, Mr. Halcovage was told he is not to That was the general direction, yes. A. ⁵ be in the 410 Building and he did not go to the O. Did you receive this letter from, looks 410 Building. like, Dr. Lubinsky, L-U-B-I-N-S-K-Y, on or about Jane Doe 1's job duties included often March 12, 2021? Q. going out into the field, correct? 8 A. Yes. Yes, that's my understanding. A. Q. And did you review it? Which would mean she would have to come 10 10 Q. Α. and go from the building more often than, say, 11 As a result of this letter, what, if O. 12 12 someone who only has an office job, correct? anything, did you do? We did review the information again. 13 A. Yes. However, the decision to not allow Jane Doe 1 to 14 Did you take that into consideration Q. when determining whether an alternative location 15 work from home still stood. from the courthouse or working from home would be 16 Q. Did you, after receiving this letter, a better -- a safer or separate -- more separated 17 speak with Jane Doe 1? 17 18 18 area from Mr. Halcovage? Α. No. I did not. MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. 19 19 Q. Did you make any attempts to speak with 20 her? 20 You can answer. 21 21 THE WITNESS: I don't think I A. No, I did not. 22 22 specifically took that into consideration, no. Q. Did you speak with Jane Doe 3? MS. SMITH: I'm going to mark Zula 23 23 A. No, I did not. 24 O

Did you attempt to speak with Jane Doe

Case 3:21-cv-00477-MCC Documental Description 1:00 Page 264 of 342 Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Page 332 1 3? meeting you had -- 2021 meeting you had with Jane ² Doe 3 and Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4, that they Α. I do not -- I do not believe I did. Q. This is a request that the 410 Building ³ informed you that coming in -- that Jane Doe 1's be -- Jane Doe 1's location, work location, the act of coming into a county building is what 410 Building be reconsidered, as her medical caused her extreme anxiety and that is what provider did not believe that that was, in fact, a disrupted her work? I believe that was discussed, yes. safe and separated location, correct? A. MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the So did you think maybe if we let her Q. work from home, she won't have this anxiety and form. 10 she will be more productive, let's try that out? But you can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 11 MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. 12 question? I just was reading. Go ahead. 13 BY MS. SMITH: THE WITNESS: Prior to me getting 14 O. So the last -- the February 16 fax that to the county, she did work from home and I was we looked at, the medical provider form, that was advised that she did not submit her work product, kind of a hybrid request of either work from a hence the delinquency of the STEB reports. And safe and separated location or from home. This is ¹⁷ therefore, the determination was made when I more of a, your alleged safe and separated reviewed information with my supervisor, that she location is not, in fact, a safe and separated 19 was not going to be permitted to work from home. 20 location communication, correct? Ms. Zula, during your employment with 21 the county in January of 2022, the county again A. I don't know what you're asking me. entered into a work from home agreement with Jane 22 Q. In this letter, they are basically saying what you consider a safe and separated Doe 1, did they not? 24 location for Jane Doe 1, meaning the 410 Building, A. Yes, they did. Page 333 is not, in fact, a safe and separated location, O. And between February or March 2021 and correct? January of 2022, Jane Doe 1 still failed to timely complete her STEB reports, correct? A. That's what the doctor is stating, yes. Q. Yes, at times. Yeah, she was more And in fact, they raised the issue of timely than she was prior. But, yes, she -- she what I just raised, in Paragraph 3, namely walking was late on occasion, yes. to and from her vehicle multiple times a day to Why -- what changed if the county had complete essential job functions as a field concerns about her work product, STEB reports appraiser alone places her at risk. being late -- strike that. Did you then consider that as -- as 10 10 having an impact on safety and separation of her 12 work environment?

- 13 A. No, I did not.
- 14 Q. I'm sorry.

Why couldn't Jane Doe 1 work from home 15 and in the field, a hybrid; what was the reasoning?

16 17 18 A. We had great concerns about her 19

submission of her work product. She did not complete her work when she worked from home, so we

- believed it would be more appropriate for her to
- work in an office location at the county where her
- work can be more closely monitored.
- 24 Q. Do you recall in the February 5th

Prior to the denial of her work from

Page 335

Page: 89 (332 - 335)

home request in March of 2021, she had never been written up or disciplined for her late STEB reports, correct?

- 14 A. To my knowledge, no, she had not.
- 15 Q. After that, after she began working back at the county building, the 410 Building, she was written up and suspended for her late STEB 18 reports, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- And despite two disciplinary actions, in 0. January of 2022, the county still allowed her then to work from home, correct?
- Well, what led up to them working from home was the fact that they took what they termed

16

Page 336 or what Jane Doe 1 termed, a sabbatical, which was a completely non-approved leave, but, again, we did not take any action based upon that. So in order to get her to return back to work and after consultation with our attorney at the time, we did ⁶ enter into an agreement to allow her to come back to work from her sabbatical on a work from home basis.

Q. So again my question is: Despite two disciplinary actions in the month after this denial, so after March of 2021, after those two disciplinary actions, in January of 2022, Jane Doe 13 1 was permitted to work from home, correct?

Yes. After -- because they weren't 14 reporting to work period, so yes. 15

In those months, Jan -- March of 2021 16 Q. and January of 2022, do you recall receiving any correspondence from anyone regarding work supplies 19 for Jane Doe 1?

20 I'm not certain. I know when she came A. back in January, there was some request for supplies for her to work from home. I don't recall if there were any prior to that. I don't remember. But I know there was some discussion

Page 337 about supplies in January when she did --January 2022, when she did return working from home.

Do you know if in January 2021, when she Q. returned from the 2020 stint of working from home, did she return any county equipment that she had been utilizing at home?

I don't know. A.

Q. Okay.

10

Do you know if then in January of 2022, when she started that work from home stint, did she receive different equipment than she had received in 2020?

14 I don't know. I know she had a laptop assigned to her that she could utilize to work from home, but I don't know if it was the same 17 laptop. I don't know.

You're talking -- you said she had a 18 Q. laptop assigned to her. You mean in 2022? 19

Yes. And prior to that she worked with a laptop. She had a laptop assigned to her that she could utilize to do her work duties.

23 Was she given an additional monitor? Q.

That I'm not certain. A

Page 338 Was she given a full-sized keyboard? Q.

1

2 A. I don't know.

3 O. So do you recall if you were involved in those supplies?

No. No, I don't -- I don't -- I don't A. recall being involved. I know that there was a request for supplies in 2022. What those specific supplies were from Jane Doe 1's standpoint, I don't recall.

MS. SMITH: Okay. We will mark for 11 today's purposes as 128, it's Zula 271 and 272. 271 through 273, I apologize.

14 (Zula 271-273 marked as Exhibit-128 for identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

18 I want look at this in conjunction with what was marked as 127.

20 They are consecutive Bates numbers at the bottom right and they have that same fax information at the top. Do you recall at the time -- at the time you received this letter, are these the other pages, if we look at -- if we look

at 127, it says Page 5 of 5 and these pages say 2,

 2 3, and 4 of 5 --

3 A. Yes.

5

6

10

4 Q. -- do you see that?

Okay.

So were these received by you at the same time as --

8 A. Yes, I believe they were.

Q. -- 127?

Do you have any medical training?

11 No. And I did not review this document 12 because I'm not a medical provider. And so why it was provided, I have no idea.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. No, I did not -- I did not do anything 16 with this document, other than put it in the file.

17 Q. Well, you received it, right?

18 Α. I received.

19 You looked through it probably, right? Q.

I -- yeah. And I have no idea what that

21 is.

A.

20

22 Q. And you said I don't really understand 23 this because it's --

24 Correct.

revised statement from March 1, 2021.

So I'm confused. Are you -- because it

23

Page 342 Page 340 1 says that was provided and then it says was not --Q. -- basically Chinese? 2 Well, I think there was -- I think there 2 Yes. I'm not a doctor. Never had any A. A. medical training. I have no idea. was belief that we were going to get something Did you ever reach out to Jane Doe 1's similar to this document, revised, dated Q. doctor? March 1st --A. No. Q. Okay. -- I believe that's what I was looking O. Did you ever say, hey, can you translate A. this for me? for. 9 Q. A. No, I did not. So when you said this, just for the 10 Did you ever ask any doctor, hey, can 10 record, you were --Q. you translate this for me? 11 A. I'm sorry. 11 12 12 No, I did not. Q. -- holding up --A. 13 13 Exhibit-122. Sorry. Q. Okay. A. 14 14 Do you know if -- well, you said you 0. Okay. 15 15 didn't understand it. So you have no idea what it So I guess looking then at Exhibit-127 says, right? 16 for a second. Looking at the bottom para -- well, the second to last paragraph. The last sentence 17 No. Only that it's a summary of whatever her visit that occurred, apparently, on it says: I'm asking that you consider the 19 3/1. physician review statement dated March 1, 2021. 20 20 MS. SMITH: Okay. Mark for today's Is your sentence, the additional --21 purposes 129, it's Zula 800 to 801. based upon the additional physician review 22 statement dated March 1, 2021, that was provided, 23 (Zula 800-801 marked as Exhibit-129 for quoting this letter and you saying in the next 24 sentence, however, we have not received this identification.) Page 341 Page 343 1 statement because you hadn't received --2 A. So my -- and maybe my e-mail was BY MS. SMITH: This is an e-mail -- well, an e-mail incorrect. We got this information. chain, the first of which is an e-mail from you to When you're saying this --Q. I'm sorry. Exhibit-127 and 128 and in Jane Doe 1 on March 24, 2021 correct? Α. A. Yes. the last sentence as you indicated, I am requesting that you consider the physician's Q. So you did communicate with Jane Doe 1 after you believe I instructed you not to, review statement dated March 1st. That is the correct? statement that I am -- I am believing that we 10 Yeah. I didn't speak with her. were -- should have received a March 1st dated A. 11 medical -- physician medical review statement, Q. such as Exhibit-122 from March 1st. And I did not 12 But you communicated with her, correct? get a document such as that as was referenced in 13 A. Yes. 14 14 All right. Exhibit-127. Q. 15 15 In the March 24th e-mail from you about Q. Okay. 16 midway through it says: The most recent So if I understand correctly, what you 16 documentation received from your physician are saying in those two sentences is her doctor 18 requested that the county reevaluate the decision said he sent this March 1st thing, we didn't regarding your request for a reasonable 19 receive it and I need it -- $^{20}|_{A}$. accommodation based upon an additional physician's Yes. 21 medical review statement dated March 1, 2021, that O. -- to reconsider? was provided. However, we have no received the 22 A. Yeah. Based upon -- I wasn't sure what

was in the physician's review statement dated

March 1, 2021, because I didn't see that physician

Page 344 Page 346 review statement such as was in Exhibit-122. March 8th letter in request for reconsideration 2 Q. then was ever, in fact, reconsidered? Did you consider that this 128, which is 3 A. As I indicated, we did not grant her the a summary by a physician dated March 1, 2021, was ability to work from home at that time. the physician's review -- medical review So after the March 8th letter, there was statement? another denial of her work from home? No, I did not. Α. Yes. We did not approve her ability to A. work from home or request to work from home. Q. Okay. And it was, in fact, included with the O. And was that -- did you have the fax which says that there's a physician's review March 1, 2021, physician's review statement to statement that's included, correct? consider as her doctor requested when making that 12 $^{12}|A.$ Yes, it was. But I did not, as decision? 13 A. indicated in Exhibit-122, that's the physician's No. I did not receive the March 1st medical review statement that I was expecting to 14 document as was referenced. receive. 15 15 O. Okav. Jane Doe 1 responds -- Jane Doe 1 16 On March 8th of 2021, Jane Doe 1's ¹⁶ Q. responds that you can call her physician's office supervisor was still Jane Doe 3, correct? 18 and provides you their phone number, correct? A. Yes. 19 Did you speak with Jane Doe 3 after 19 A. Yes. Q. receiving this March 8, 2021, letter of 20 And you indicate that you would attempt O. to reach out to her physician's office to request reconsideration from Jane Doe 1's medical the additional documentation, correct? 22 provider? 23 23 A. Yes. A. No, I do not believe I did. 24 Q. 24 Q. Did you ever reach out to her doctor's Do you recall around the same time that Page 345 Page 347 you received Jane Doe 1's medical provider's office? 1 $^{2}|A.$ Yes, I believe I did. documentation, that Jane Doe 3 submitted a And did they ever provide you or tell short-term telecommuting agreement from Jane Doe you that, hey, this -- that document we faxed you 1? 5 was, in fact, the statement? A. Yes, I believe it was right around that I believe -- I don't know if I actually A. time. did speak to anyone on Jane Doe 1's behalf from the MS. SMITH: Okay. I'm going to office, the doctor's office. I'm not certain if I mark 470 to 72 -- 470 to 472 and 454 and 455 did or I didn't. I don't remember. collectively as 130. Well, do you know if you, in fact, 10 10 Q. reached out then? 11 (Zula 470-472 and 454-455 marked as 12 I believe -- yes, I did reach out. But Exhibit-130 for identification.) ¹³ I don't -- I am not certain if I talked to them 13 about Jane Doe 1 or not. BY MS. SMITH: If we look -- Ms. Zula, I am going to 15 15 Do you know if you reached out more than Q. 16 draw your attention to -once? 17 A. I don't recall. MS. SMITH: Matt, if you can put 18 Do you know if you ever received this ¹⁸ 470 up on the screen for right now. Q. March 1st physician review -- review statement or 19 BY MS. SMITH: 20 information that this 128 was, in fact, that 0. Okay. 21 Ms. Zula, please look to page -- the 21 statement -- statement? No, I don't -- I don't believe I bottom of Page 1 on 470 to Page 471. This is an 22 A. received anything for the March 1st indication. ²³ E-mail from Jane Doe 3 on February 18th to you, 23

Do you know if Jane Doe 1's doctor's

²⁴ correct?

Page 348

Page 350

Page 351

- ¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.

Now, if we turn to Page 2, the very last
paragraph of Jane Doe 3's e-mail, she states: If
the county wishes to continually meet the
deadlines set by STEB and remain in compliance, I
would advise that Jane Doe 1 be permitted to work
a schedule that permits a portion of her time be
spent in the 4 -- at the 410 Building, a portion
of time working from home, and a portion of the
time in the field as needed, and then she gives
you the current STEB upload dates or information.

I don't see a short-term telecommuting
agreement attachment to this e-mail, but it's my
understanding that 454 and 455 was attached, as
the date indicated on it, it was 2/18, was
attached to this e-mail. Was that your
recollection?

- A. I don't know -- I don't know if it was attached to this e-mail. That I don't know.
- ²¹ Q. Okay.

But in any event, this 50 -- 454 and 455
document was received by you around February 18th
or 19th of 2021?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.

MS. IPPOLITO: Catherine, are you making -- is that definitely going to be all part of Exhibit-130?

MS. SMITH: Yes.

⁷BY MS. SMITH:

- ⁸ Q. When you received this, from whom did you receive it?
- ¹⁰ A. I believe it came from Jane Doe 3.
- 11 Q. Okay.

And did you review it?

 13 A. Yes.

12

- Q. And as a result of reviewing it, what, if anything, did you do?
- A. I consulted with Mr. Bender, as well as my attorney, Tom Heinbach.
- Q. Were those consultations in the same communication or were they separate?
- A. They were most likely separate.
- Typically I talk to Tom separately from Mr. Bender.
- Q. Okay.
 - When you talked to Mr. Bender, what, if

¹ anything, was discussed?

A. My understanding was -- well, based upon our discussions, again, he had some great concerns

about allowing Jane Doe 1 to work from home.
Q. And he -- did he instruct you to deny

6 this?

A. Well, we asked for further clarification initially. But at the end of the day, yes, we did end up denying her request to work from home.

¹⁰ Q. Okay.

So you said you asked for further clarification, you pointed to something. Were you pointing to 470?

A. Yes. Exhibit-130, we did ask for clarification in the e-mail.

Q. So you send Jane Doe 3, in response to receiving what's 454 and 455, the telecommuting agreement, a couple days later you send Jane Doe 3 an e-mail requesting further clarification, correct?

- ²¹ A. Yes.
- Q. Did -- in your conversation with Mr. Bender, did the -- did the discussion about

requesting further information or clarification

come up?

Page 349

A. I don't believe it did, no.

³ Q. Okay.

Did Mr. Bender tell you to deny the short-term telecommuting agreement?

A. Initially -- well, eventually yes. I don't know the timing of that though, as far as when that occurred.

⁹ Q. Before you sent this February 22nd e-mail, did he tell you to deny it?

- ¹¹ A. No.
- |Q| What did he tell you to do?
- A. So he typically -- well, he -- I'm sure he told me to follow up with Tom Heinbach and that's what I did.
- Okay.

And then on February 22, 2021, you sent this e-mail to Jane Doe 1?

- ¹⁹ A. Correct.
- Q. Sorry.

To Jane Doe 3.

MS. SMITH: I'm going to mark as 131, it's 475 to 477, Zula 475 to 477.

- - -

215-341-3616 transcripts@everestdepo.com Everest Court Reporting LLC

Page 352 Page 354 (Zula 475-477 marked as Exhibit-131 for MS. SMITH: Okay. I'm going to identification.) mark as 132, 460 to 463. 3 4 BY MS. SMITH: (Zula 460-463 marked as Exhibit-132 for Ms. Zula, do you recognize this chain of identification.) Q. e-mails? A. Yes. BY MS. SMITH: This is essentially a continuation of Why don't you take a look at this. Q. last the e-mail, correct? This is also a continuation, kind of, of that chain of e-mails, correct? Yes. A. 11 11 Q. And this is Jane Doe 3's response to A. Yes. your request for further information and 12 On February 19, 2021, Mr. Bender told Q. you, do not sign, did he not? 13 clarification? Yes. A. Correct. A. 15 15 Q. Is that a denial of Jane Doe 1's home Q. Okav. 16 Why is it that you needed this 16 from work agreement? information if in February -- well -- so this No. That was just to not sign it at 18 information, was this just for the short-term that time. 19 19 telecommuting agreement? Q. He's telling you not to sign it? 20 20 A. Yes. A. Correct. 21 21 And was this -- this -- was this Q. Q. Does he tell you to request additional 22 information used for Jane Doe 1's medical information? 23 provider's response? A. No. But that was a discussion that we ²⁴ had. No, I don't -- no. I believe for the Page 353 Page 355 medical provider responses the documentation we Q. So it was Mr. Bender who told you to used from the medical provider. request additional information? Okay. All right. We had a discussion regarding her Q. I'm sorry. request for the work from home agreement and he So just going back real quick to the 47 had requested that I reach out to Tom Heinbach to one. Is that what you have in front of you? get further guidance. Why is it that you communicated with Mr. MS. PIPAK: What exhibit is that? Bender through e-mail on February -- I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: 130. BY MS. SMITH: Strike that. 10 10 Between receiving the -- and I'm sorry That conversation regarding additional Q. if you testified to this. information, was that in person or in e-mail? 12 12 Between receiving the work from the --That would have, I believe, been in A. 13 telecommuting agreement or the short-term person. I met with Mr. Bender on a daily basis as telecommuting agreement from Jane Doe 3 and asking my supervisor. for the additional information, as you did on Q. But you put everything else about this 16 February 22nd, did Mr. Bender tell you to deny the in writing, didn't you? 17 17 request? Well, we didn't meet until the end of the day, so, I mean, there -- yes, I did 18 A. No. I don't believe it was in between 19 that time frame. It was after we received the communicate with him via e-mail as well. 20 information. Q. Did you write down what additional 21 Right, because additional information information he thought you should --Q. 22 was necessary to make a fully informed decision, A. No. correct? 23 23 Q. -- ask her? Yes. No, I most likely did not.

Page 356 Page 358 1 On March 1, 2021, human resources 2? Was it a phone, did you send her an e-mail, Q. received a completed physician's medical review text -from Jane Doe 2, correct? 3 A. I believe I did make a phone call, as I don't know the date. well as I think I sent an e-mail as well, I think. A. MS. SMITH: It's going to be Zula But I know I reached out to her and then I believe I got an e-mail response back from Jane Doe 3 291 and then 288 through 9 -- 290. indicating that I was to review the information It's going to be Exhibit-133. with her, I believe that was the way it transpired. (Zula 288-291 marked as Exhibit-133 for Q. Did you ever speak with Jane Doe 3? identification.) 11 11 A. I believe we communicated via e-mail. 12 12 BY MS. SMITH: O. And what did you communicate about? 13 13 This one also has a stamp on the first I don't exactly recall the discussion. Q. A. page, correct? 14 0. So then is it fair that you never spoke with Jane Doe 2? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. I attempted to, but I don't believe I 16 Received March 1, 2021, human resources, Q. 17 actually physically spoke to Jane Doe 2. I correct? believe I did have a written communication with 18 Yes. A. 19 Q. Okay. her because I believe we did seek additional 20 I know these are out of consecutive clarification on her statement that was provided ²¹ from her physician. 21 Bates stamp order, but based off of the fax 22 information at the top, this is how it appears to 0. You understand that the interactive 23 be -- had been received. Am I correct in how I process is between the employer and the employee, constructed this? correct? Page 357 Page 359 1 Are you -- are you asking that -- this 1 A. Yes. A. is Page 2, this is Page 3, this is Page 4? O. But the information needed for the Well, this one -- see how this Bates interactive process comes from the physician or at least part of it does, correct? stamp is 291 and then it goes to 288, which obviously are not in consecutive numbering order. A. Yes. But if you look to the top, there's a fax Q. What's your understanding generally information and it all appears the same. And it's or -- let's start with generally, as it relates to a one of four and it's a two of four. employers reaching out to physicians or going Is this how it was received? through employees for medical information? 10 So typically we would go to the employee 10 Yes. I would believe so, yes. A. 11 and ask them to obtain the additional information, Q. Did you review this physician's medical 12 that's typically the process. We would provide that information to them saying, you know, we have review form? 14 Yes. a question about this, can you get further A. 15 After are you received and reviewed it, clarification or something to that effect. Q. 16 did you speak with anyone? And so an employee can just bring you I attempted to speak with Jane Doe 2 and 17 any document, altered, not altered, directly --I believe that I was informed by Jane Doe 3 that I 18 18 MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form. would have to speak with her regarding the 19 You can -request, not Jane Doe 2. BY MS. SMITH: 21 Her meaning Jane Doe 3? O. 21 Q. -- to human resources? 22 Yes. Well, I wouldn't believe they bring A. altered documents. I mean, typically we get 23 Okay. Q. How did you attempt to speak to Jane Doe ²⁴ faxes. We get official forms from doctors

Page 360 Page 362 1 directly that are signed by the doctor, so I am Was Ms. Kutzler still providing consultation to the county regarding human not... Q. I'm just confused why the county doesn't resources issues? reach directly out to medical providers to make A. Yes sure that it is, in fact, the medical provider who 5 O. You were, in fact, or had been the human provides the information? resources director just shy of two months at this point, correct? Well. I had no belief to -- I have no reason to believe that the doctor that signed off A. Yes. 9 on it isn't legitimate. O. And I don't know, refresh my 10 recollection, your resume, you have like 28 years Q. Okay. 11 Did you in your conversations or of human resources experience, is that --12 communications with Jane Doe 3 ever ask her if she A. Twenty. thought Jane Doe 2's request or Jane Doe 2's 13 O. Twenty. Okay. Sorry. I didn't mean to medical provider's request was -- should be make you older. I was just trying to remember the approved? 15 number. I don't recall my specific conversations 16 16 Why did you feel the need to have Doreen A. with Jane Doe 3. Kutzler review correspondence you were going to 18 O. Other than Jane Doe 3 and attempting to send to Jane Doe 2? speak with Jane Doe 2, did you speak with anyone 19 A. Just to get an extra set of eyes on the 20 else regarding this physician medical review form? correspondence. I just thought it was appropriate 21 Yes. I believe I advised Mr. Bender to ask for her thoughts on it. 22 that we received the request, as well as spoke Q. Okay. 23 with my attorney, Tom Heinbach, regarding the She makes an adjustment to No. 4 and otherwise says it's good to go, correct? ²⁴ request. Page 363 Page 361 1 Again, similar to Jane Doe 1, were those A. Yes. Q. conversations separate, the ones with Bender and Q. And did that correspondence go out to Tom Heinbach? Jane Doe 2? A. A. Yes, typically they would be. I believe so. MS. SMITH: All right. We'll mark Q. Okay. What did Ms. Bend -- Mr. Bender tell as 135, it's Zula 571 to 573. you, if anything, to do in regards to it? 8 That we needed to review it with (Zula 571-573 marked as Exhibit-135 for A. Mr. Heinbach to get his guidance on how to identification.) 10 10 proceed. 11 BY MS. SMITH: MS. SMITH: I'm going to mark as 12 134, Zula 555 and 556. Q. Do you recognize this e-mail chain? 12 13 A. Yes. 14 (Zula 555-556 marked as Exhibit-134 for 14 Q. Okay. 15 So on March 3rd, you, in fact, send that identification.) 16 draft correspondence from the previous e-mail with 17 BY MS. SMITH: Ms. Kutzler that we looked at, to Jane Doe 2, 18 Do you recognize this e-mail 18 correct? Q. 19 19 correspondence? A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 0. And then Jane Doe 3 -- Jane Doe 3 is not Do you recall reaching out to Ms. CC'ed on that, correct? 21 Q. 22 Kutzler regarding --A. No. $^{23}|Q.$ 23 Apparently I did, based upon this, yes. Jane Doe 3 reaches out to you on A. March 3rd, a little bit later in the day, correct? Ю

Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Page 364 1 A. Yes. Q. Is that that communication you had with Jane Doe 3 that you were referring to earlier? I believe so, yes. A. Q. Okay. Jane Doe 3, in fact, asked why she isn't being included on communications being she's Jane Α. Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2's direct supervisor; would 8 Q. Okay. 9 vou agree? 10 Yes, she did ask that question. A. 11 And if we look to Page 1 of this Q. 12 document, you write: The interactive process of 13 ADA is between the employer and the individual employee. I will be engaging in the interactive correct? 15 process on behalf of the employer. If I should A. Yes. require additional information or clarification 16 Q. from you as the department head, I will contact 18 you directly to discuss. Did you ever contact Jane Doe 3 to 19 discuss? 20 21 21 Not to my knowledge. A. A. Yes. 22 Did you ever require any additional O. 22 Q. 23 information or clarification from her? Not to my knowledge. Page 365 1 Q. Did anyone instruct you to exclude Jane A. Doe 3 from the interactive process? Q. 3 A. A. Q. It was a decision you made on your own? Q. Yes. Well -- and I don't believe I A. excluded her. I engaged in the process with the 6 A. employee. And as I indicated, would touch base 8 with Jane Doe 3 if I needed any additional O. information. A. 10 10 But she wasn't included? O. Q. 11 No. she wasn't. A. 12 12 And that was a decision that was yours Bender? Q. 13 and yours alone? A. 14 14 Yeah. I sent the e-mail and only sent O. A. 15 it to Jane Doe 2. 16 16 MS. SMITH: Going to mark 29 -- I'm Α. 17 17 sorry. 886 and then 292 and 293 Zula, as 136. Q. Okay. 18 18 19 19 (Zula 886, 292-293 marked as Exhibit-136

20

2.1

23 O.

for identification.)

BY MS. SMITH:

The first question I have about these

is -- so, like, the other ones we looked at, the

Page 366 Bates stamps are not consecutive. Obviously 886 comes after 292. But it talks about -- this e-mail talks about Dr. Michael Bradley's response and there is an attachment. Is that response and the attachment 292 and 293; am I correct? Yes. I believe so. Sorry, it's just how they were produced to me, so I am trying to piece them together and make sure that my assumptions are right. So someone named Desiree reached out or sent you what is 292 and 293 on April 1st of 2021, And the 292 and 293, is a Dr. Michael Bradley's response to those -- that request or those requests for additional information, clarification that you sent to Jane Doe 2 on March 3rd, correct? All right. This -- you were the only individual who is on the e-mail, so you did receive it, correct? Page 367 Yes, I believe I did receive it. Did you review this document? After reviewing this document, what, if anything, did you do? I consulted with my attorney regarding the next steps of the process. Did you consult with Defendant Bender? I believe after the fact, yes. So you consulted with your attorney and then after that, you consulted with Defendant Was your attorney present in the consultation with Defendant Bender? That I don't recall. What, if anything, did Bender tell you? Again, we discussed the request to work from home. And, again, the request was not 21

What, if anything, other than this

letter, did you consider in that denial, post

receipt of this letter? So you get this letter,

22

O.

permitted.

Page 368 Page 370 the request is then denied. Is there anything 1 Q. Did he give a reason? 2 I believe there were discussions again else that is considered during that time period? A. MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the with our attorney, as well as Mr. Bender, based upon -- we needed -- the belief was that we needed form. to have someone who was familiar with the But go ahead. THE WITNESS: I'm not following operations in the chief assessor position -- or what you're asking. So I received this letter. the tax assessment office, so it was decided to BY MS. SMITH: leave Jane Doe 4 there as we were planning to bring on Mr. Alu and --9 Q. Yup. 10 So correct -- wait. So I just want to This letter you got on April 1st --11 11 A. make sure I understood your testimony correctly. 12 12 Q. -- in the e-mail. And then again it's The decision was that because Jane Doe 4 had assessment knowledge, she should remain in the decided that the work from home request is still assessment office? not approved, correct? 15 15 Α. Well, she was the second in the chain of Yes. A. Did you consider anything other than command there. So it was believed that Jane Doe 16 Q. this letter in that then denial or non-approval |17|3, when she served as the director of tax claim, from the work from home request? that, you know, the office ran well. And we And again, we also considered the already had the discussions about Mr. Alu coming 19 in to evaluate the office and review information. 20 previous history regarding the working from home ²¹ So it was determined to put Jane Doe 3 back in the product, ves. tax assessment of -- or tax claim office, excuse 22 Q. Okay. All right. 23 So going now to March of 2021, we me, and leave Jane Doe 4 in the tax assessment office, so that there would be somebody there who started to talk about it briefly. At that time Page 371 Page 369 Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were, in fact, demoted, had some knowledge of what was going on in the correct? office during the time frame that they served as A. Yes. the supervisors. Were you aware that prior to Jane Doe 4 Jane Doe 3 lost her title of chief Q. O. taking on the job of assistant or deputy chief assessor and became tax claim director only, assessor in tax claim -- I'm sorry -- in correct? assessment, that she had served for a number of A. Yes Jane Doe 4 lost her title of assistant years in the tax claim bureau only? 8 O. tax claim director and became the assistant or A. 10 O. deputy chief assessor, correct? Did you know that Jane Doe 4, in March 10 11 Yes. of 2021, did not hold a CPE license? A. 12 12 Not asking who voted on them, because I A. Yes, I was aware of that. Q. Did you know that Ms. Jane Doe 3 did, in know ultimately it had to be voted on by the 13 O. commissioners, correct? fact, hold a CPE license? 15 15 A. Yes. A. Yes. 16 Are you aware of the difficulty of 16 Who made the decision that those -- not O. about the demotion, because I know you said it was 17 getting a CPE license? 18 kind of a collective decision, but who decided I'm familiar with the process, yes. A. that Jane Doe 1 was going -- I'm sorry. Jane Doe 19 19 O. It's --I don't know of the difficulty because 20 3 was going to say in tax claim and Jane Doe 4 was A.

going to go to tax assessment or stay in tax

That was -- that recommendation was made

assessment?

²⁴ by Mr. Bender.

23

A.

²¹ I've never sat through the exam, so I don't know.

is potentially two different things.

And my difficult versus somebody else's difficult

With that said, let me ask a better

question.

What I meant was, are you -- applicants for employment with the county with CPE licenses are far and few between; would you agree?

- A. Yes, because it is such a specialized certification that only applies to tax assessment offices and counties. And so unless you're hiring someone from another county who already has the CPE, yes, there needs to be a time frame in which the person can get their license.
- 11 And, again, I think we established this 12 earlier, by statute, a chief assessor must hold a 13 CPE license, correct?
- A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 15 While a deputy chief assessor has a Q. grace period to obtain their CPE license, correct? 16
- 17 I don't know if -- I'm not sure of what 18 the grace period is and I'm not sure if it even applies to the chief assessor. But in order for somebody to value or -- and I don't know, this may be the wrong term, but to approve the valuations of the properties, they have to have a CPE licensed. And so, yes, that typically the chief assessor would have to have that license.

Page 373 Q. Right.

So at the time they're hired, they have to hold it, right?

I don't know if it's at the time that they hold it, but there has to be somebody in the county that can, like, sign off and approve those valuations who has that license.

Okav. Q.

1

14

So --

10 And I am not certain if they have to A. hold it when they get there or they don't, I don't know that. I don't believe, no, because Mr. Hatter did not have his either when we hired him.

15 Q. Okay.

So they have the sign off -- the reason 16 17 they have to have the CPE license is because they have to sign off on valuations? 18

- 19 A. Yeah, of properties, is my 20 understanding.
- 21 Is that in connection with the STEB Q. 22 reports, is that where the valuations are?
- 23 No. I am talking about with the assessed values of the properties. Like -- and I

Page 372 Page 374 don't know if I am using the right terminology,

> but my understanding is the person has to be certified in order to sign off on those values that are given to the properties based upon the assessments that are done.

Q. So what --

A. Or the reviews of the property or whatever they're called. 9

- If values of properties are not -- if O. the assessed values of properties are not signed off on, what happens? Like, so if STEB reports aren't submitted, it has ramifications for taxing bodies. If assessed properties are not signed off on, what -- what are, if any, the ramifications?
- 15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. Okay. 17

Is it possibly that then taxes on that newly assessed value can't be recovered?

19 Α. I don't know.

20 O. Okay. 21

In any event, would it be fair to say 22 that if a assessor -- well, strike that. 23

Let's start with this: Are you aware that there are only certain times of year -- of

Page 375

year that the CPE class and licensing tests are offered?

A. I know that there's classes that are offered. I don't know how often they are or when the test is offered, that I don't know.

6 Q. Okay.

If a chief assessor came on by statue, which I don't believe it is, but if a chief assessor came on and did not have a valid CPE license, waiting -- having to wait in order to get that CPE license could cause some disruptions to the operations of the tax assessment office, correct?

- 14 Α. Yes. A plan would have to be put in place for somebody who has the appropriate CPE license to kind of serve in that role.
 - At the time Jane Doe 3 was demoted and removed from her position of chief assessor, was there a plan in place as to who would replace her?
 - Not the specific employee, no. The plan was that we were going to look to bring Mr. Alu on board to serve in that capacity.
- Why then wasn't Mr. Alu brought on Q. immediately after Jane Doe 3 was demoted?

17

19

Page: 100 (376 - 379)

Page 376 Page 378 1 1 Α. There was some discussion about how we Go ahead. were going to employee him, about whether he would THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't be an actual employee of the county versus a think it was pushed through quickly. As I said, contractor. And so we worked -- had to work out there was a lot of discussion. We met back in ⁵ those issues and it was ultimately then put on as February with Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 to talk a contracted employee. about the office. And, I mean, I prepared the Why weren't those issues ironed out memo, so it was quite some time since, so those Q. before Jane Doe 3 was demoted? discussions were ongoing for quite some time. I don't know. BY MS. SMITH: A. 10 Q. Do you think that Jane Doe 3 being Q. Okay. 11 11 demoted was so pressing that those issues couldn't Well, who pushed for Jane Doe 3's 12 be ironed out before she was demoted? demotion without having a replacement chief 13 MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. assessor or supervisor with a CPE license in 14 Go ahead. place? 15 15 THE WITNESS: No, I don't -- I MS. PIPAK: Objection. 16 16 don't believe that they were pressing at that Go ahead. 17 point. I don't -- I don't believe so. THE WITNESS: So we -- after much BY MS. SMITH: discussions, it was decided and I was directed to Do you think that Jane Doe 3's actions 19 Q. put the PARs into separate the two positions, as were so detrimental to the assessment office that that was -- so we were going to separate the two leaving the assessment office without a chief positions. And as a result of that, we placed assessor for a period of time or without an Jane Doe 3 in one and Jane Doe 4 in another 23 individual overseeing it with a CPE license was a office. ²⁴ BY MS. SMITH: ²⁴ better move? Page 377 Page 379 1 MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. Q. Who decided that and directed those 2 ² PARs? Go ahead. 3 THE WITNESS: So based upon the A. Mr. Bender. Q. Did you ever say to Mr. Bender, hey, decisions that were made by the people that I report to, we made the transition that specified maybe we should have a replacement in line? date and time. 6 A. No. BY MS. SMITH: MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. Go ahead. Q. Okay. I'm asking you if you thought it was a BY MS. SMITH: 10 Did you ever say to Mr. Bender, hey, 10 smart decision? O. maybe we should bring a consultant on so Jane Doe MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. 12 3 can get them up to speed on what she's been Go ahead. 13 doing and then he can transition from there? THE WITNESS: I mean, I think it 14 would probably have been a little better to have a MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. 15 plan, a fully worked out plan in place, but we Go ahead. didn't at that point in time. I know we had THE WITNESS: No. I believe that's discussions with Mr. Alu to bring him on board. why the decision was made to leave Jane Doe 4 in 18 Unfortunately that process didn't go as quickly as 18 the office. 19 19 planned. BY MS. SMITH: 20 BY MS. SMITH: O. Well, Jane Doe 4 didn't hold a valid CPE license, did she? 21 Who pushed this decision through so Q. quickly? 22 A. That's correct. $^{23}|Q.$ And Jane Doe 4 had a wealth of knowledge 23 I don't think --A. MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. ²⁴ for the tax claim bureau, correct?

23

Page 380 Page 382 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And so she could assist the new tax (Whereupon, brief recess was held off the claim director in the tax claim bureau if she had record.) been placed there, correct? 5 A. Yes, she could. VIDEOGRAPHER: Time now 5:38 p.m. Q. And Jane Doe 3 could have -- maybe if and we're back on the record. BY MS. SMITH: she was -- well, strike that. Jane Doe 3, to your knowledge, was Q. Ms. Zula, we were earlier discussing the running the assessment office efficiently except discussions about the restructuring of the tax 10 for the STEB reports, correct? claim and tax assessment offices. 11 11 A. I -- as I said, I'm not thoroughly Was Mr. Heinbach involved in those 12 12 familiar with all of the operations, but my focus discussions? was the STEB reports, but there were other issues 13 A. Yes. 14 that were beyond what I was involved in. O. And did Mr. Heinbach give legal advice So couldn't Jane Doe 3 have been left as 15 during those? O. the chief assessor, move Jane Doe 4 to the 16 16 A. I would say yes. assistant director or director of tax claim, and 17 O. Okay. 18 then provided Jane Doe 3 with, instead of an What was that legal advice? 19 19 assistant chief assessor, deputy chief assessor MS. PIPAK: I am going to object on 20 with a consultant to rectify the issues as you saw attorney-client privilege. them in the assessment office? MS. SMITH: Are you reserving the 22 right to assert the defense -- the advice of MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the 23 counsel defense at trial or are you waiving it? form. 24 Go ahead. MS. PIPAK: I'm sorry. On the --Page 383 Page 381 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, that could have MS. SMITH: The advice -- are you been an option. reserving the right to assert the advice of BY MS. SMITH: counsel defense at trial or are you waiving it? Did anybody during your employment say, MS. PIPAK: Well, we are not O. waiving anything. But for the purpose of hey, what did we spend all that money on Joan Price for if she was supposed to consult with the depositions, she's not going to answer this tax assessment office? question. We can brief this. MS. SMITH: Okay. So you're --8 MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: That was never a you're waiving -- you're not waiving the defense, correct? 10 discussion with me. 11 MS. PIPAK: No, I'm not waiving the BY MS. SMITH: 12 Did you ever find out or hear that defense. I don't believe we -- I don't think that Q. Mr. -- Ms. Price got paid \$250 an hour to consult was -- I don't think that was our answer. I don't with the assessment office? think we raised that defense in the answer. 15 15 No, I'm not aware of that. A. MS. SMITH: It's not an affirmative 16 MS. SMITH: Mark as 67 -- I'm defense. I am asking if you're waiving the right 17 sorry. I am going to mark 673 and 674 as 137. to bring in the advice of counsel defense at 18 18 trial. 19 19 (Zula 673-674 marked as Exhibit-137 for MS. PIPAK: Catherine, I think this 20 identification.) is inappropriate. I just think this is an inappropriate line of questioning. 2.1 MR. LEES: Off the record for a 22 22 MS. SMITH: I'm asking you simply minute.

VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now

24 5:30 p.m., we are going off the video record.

as her attorney, are you waiving or asserting that

Page: 102 (384 - 387)

```
Page 384
                                                                                                      Page 386
            MS. PIPAK: I'm not waiving
                                                                   MS. PIPAK: I would say it's --
  anything. I will say right now, we do not have
                                                          that's actually the opposite of the position.
   a -- a defense of advice of counsel.
                                                                   MS. TOWNSEND: So I just don't want
            MS. TOWNSEND: I missed the
                                                          to be in a position where we get to trial and
   question. The question was about --
                                                          testimony comes in that a lawyer was consulted
            MS. SMITH: Did he provide legal
                                                         before making this decision and we were precluded
                                                          because of your objection and instruction not
   advice.
                                                          to -- to the witness not to answer from finding
            MS. TOWNSEND: About what?
 9
                                                          out what those communications were with counsel.
            MS. SMITH: About the tax
10
                                                         If you're prepared to say all references to
   assessment office division.
11
            MS. TOWNSEND: So are you going to
                                                          consultations with attorneys will not be
12
   reserve the right to introduce evidence that they
                                                          introduced as evidence at trial, then -- then I
13
   made this decision based on the advice of counsel?
                                                          guess that answers the question.
                                                       14
14
            MS. PIPAK: For the purpose of?
                                                                   MS. PIPAK: Okay. Then we're going
15
                                                          to have to stop for tonight and we can bring this
            MS. TOWNSEND: Anything. Like
  bring up -- are you reserving the right to bring
                                                          up tomorrow and we can go back on the record for
16
  into trial evidence that counsel was consulted
                                                         23 minutes. I mean, I'm not making that decision
                                                       18
18
  before making this decision?
                                                          here.
                                                       19
19
            MR. GEIGER: Doesn't it matter who
                                                                   MS. TOWNSEND: Well, I mean, you
                                                       20
   made the decision, because she's not the decision
20
                                                          can -- we can --
21
                                                                   MS. SMITH: Yeah, we still have
  maker.
22
            MS. PIPAK: So as for this witness,
                                                          tomorrow. Yeah, I'll -- we'll reserve the right,
23
                                                          all parties will reserve the right to revisit that
  I --
                                                       issue tomorrow. I -- there's plenty of other
24
           MR. GEIGER: She would not rely on
                                               Page 385
                                                                                                      Page 387
   the advice of legal counsel because she made no
                                                          questions I can ask to get us through tonight.
                                                        2
                                                                   I am going to mark as 137, Zula 673
  decision.
                                                          to 674.
            MS. TOWNSEND: I don't think that
                                                          BY MS. SMITH:
   you can bring into evidence that -- you know,
  implying that you complied with the law because
                                                          O.
                                                                 Do you remember this e-mail chain?
                                                        6
   you spoke with an attorney before making a
                                                          A.
                                                                 Yes.
  decision and then prevent us from questioning
                                                          O.
                                                                 And is this March 11th e-mail from you
   about what that communication was with the
                                                          to Jane Doe 3, a request to meet with her to
  attorney.
                                                          discuss what would eventually be the division of
10
                                                          the offices?
            MS. PIPAK: As on this -- as to
                                                       11
                                                          A.
   this retaliation claim. I mean --
                                                                 Yes.
                                                       12
12
            MS. TOWNSEND: So you're asking --
                                                         O.
                                                                 Okay.
                                                       13
                                                                Jane Doe 3 replies to you that she's off
  the question here is about the restructuring?
14
            MS. SMITH: Correct.
                                                          tomorrow and Jane Doe 4had a death in the family,
                                                       15
15
            MS. TOWNSEND: Okay. So if you
                                                          so she's not available either, correct?
                                                       16
  brought into evidence at trial --
                                                          A.
                                                       17
17
            MS. PIPAK: I understand that. And
                                                          O.
                                                                 Do you recall when -- strike that.
                                                       18
18
   as of right now, we do not have an advice of
                                                                On March 11th when you sent the e-mail
19
  counsel defense as to this retaliation claim. But
                                                          to Jane Doe 3, did you know Jane Doe 4was off on
  I'm not waiving anything at this point.
20
                                                          bereavement leave for the death of her brother?
                                                       21
21
                                                          A.
            MS. SMITH: Well, at the moment of
                                                                 No. I did not know that.
                                                       22
  this deposition then, if you're -- if don't have
                                                          Q.
  the advice from counsel, then I'm permitted to ask
                                                       23
                                                                But did learn it on March 11th at 4:38,
her those questions. Unless you assert that --
                                                       <sup>24</sup> correct?
```

Deposition of Heidi Zula Vol. I - Revised Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al. Page 388 Page 390 I knew she had a death in the family, I Mr. Heinbach, as our counsel, regarding other A. am not exactly sure who it was. issues that occurred. 3 O. Do you know if those were before or Q. Okay. Jane Doe 3 -- okay. In your response to after this? Jane Doe 3 you indicate that even though Jane Doe A. They were after this. 6 4was off, it didn't matter because Jane Doe Q. Okay. 4'sattendance at the meeting would not be So given his previous advice, you on appropriate. this case, made your own decision to deny her Do you see that? request? 10 10 Yes. A. Yes. A. 11 Why did you think that Jane Doe 11 MS. SMITH: Okay. Going to mark as Q. 4'sattendance at the meeting wouldn't be 138, it's Zula 685 to 687. 13 appropriate? 14 14 Because we were going to discuss Jane (Zula 685-687 marked as Exhibit-138 for Doe 3's action that was going to be recommended to identification.) 16 the board. 17 Well, Jane Doe 4's demotion was also BY MS. SMITH: Q. 18 going to be recommended to the board, correct? This is a further chain of these 19 19 A. Yes. e-mails, correct? 20 20 And moving one to one office and one to Q. A. Yes. 21 the other office was going to impact each of --Jane Doe 3, on Page 2 of this document, 0. indicates to you that she and Jane Doe 4 learned 22 A. We were going to meet with them separately, not together. of recent retaliatory behavior that the county administration has been planning to use against 24 Well, if Jane Doe 3 wanted someone Page 389 both Jane Doe 4and herself. And they -- in light present there, why was she denied that right? We just did not feel it was appropriate. ² of that, they would consider any discussions A. regarding either office to be suspect and Q. Who is we? requested that their attorney be present at the Well, I didn't feel it was appropriate. A. She's not a union-represented employee, and so meeting regarding either office. we -- I made the decision that Jane Doe 4did not 6 Do you see that? 7 have to attend her meeting. A. Yes. 8 Well, having to attend a meeting is Why was there an issue with Jane Doe 3's O. Q. different than being able to, correct? attorney being present? 10 As I indicated in my response, the 10 A. 11 meeting was to discuss the operations of the tax And is there any county policy that says Q. that when an individual employee meets with HR assessment and the tax claim offices and that that they can't have a witness present? management has the right to request such meetings No. But there's no county policy says with our employees to discuss work-related issues. A. that they have to either. And given this scenario, that it would not be

14 15

16 Okav. Q.

17

19

And is there any -- was there anyone with whom you consulted before you denied that request of Jane Doe 3?

Not on this specific request, I don't 20 A. 21 believe so, no.

On others did you consult with someone 22 0. prior to denying a similar request? 23

Yes. There were some discussions with

O. Well, I can see what you wrote there, 19 but I'm asking you as you sit here today, what is your understanding of the reason that that -- at that specific meeting, an attorney would not be appropriate?

appropriate for the attorney to attend the

 $^{23}|A.$ Because it was to discuss work-related operational issues. So I made the determination

Page: 103 (388 - 391)

18

meeting.

Page: 104 (392 - 395)

Page 392 Page 394 1 that an attorney would not be appropriate to be MS. PIPAK: I'll object to the present. form. 3 Q. So conversations with Jane Doe 4 and/or You can answer. Jane Doe 3 that involved work-related operational THE WITNESS: I was advised to issues, it was that that was the reason that they allow them at that point in time to allow them to weren't permitted to have their attorney present? bring you as their attorney, yes. BY MS. SMITH: A. Yes. MS. PIPAK: Objection. Q. In the March 12th e-mail by Jane Doe 3, 9 at the top there she references -- she states, Go ahead. 10 there has never been one operational meeting THE WITNESS: Sorry. But yes. As ¹¹ I indicated, it was discussed, the operations of requested by the county for tax claim or tax the office, specifically the organizational assessment, except for the one in which you called structure and it was unrelated to the EEOC charges us down to discuss interim positions and then that have been filed by her and others. proceeded to inquire about all aspects of tax 15 15 BY MS. SMITH: assessment. So if it had been work related, but 16 Did you understand that or take that to 16 Q. related to the EEOC charges, would that be be the February 5, 2021, meeting? 18 something that you would think they could have A. Yes. 19 MS. SMITH: Okay. Look at 701, 19 their attorney present for? 20 MS. PIPAK: Objection to the form. which I will mark as 139 for today's purposes. 21 You can answer. 22 22 THE WITNESS: I wasn't going to be (Zula 701 marked as Exhibit-139 for involved -- I wasn't getting involved in the EEOC identification.) charges. I'm just saying that from a work Page 395 Page 393 perspective, that the attorney would not be MS. SMITH: You can go back to the permitted. We wouldn't permit that of any county other one. I apologize. Matt, if you can go back employee to bring their attorney for an to 685, 687, which is 138. operational work issue. BY MS. SMITH: BY MS. SMITH: 5 O. It's in the bottom e-mail, that Friday Q. Ever? March 12th at 12:52 a.m. e-mail. In the second sentence Jane Doe 3 says: I have already attended A. When I was there, no. one meeting in which you stated we would be Q. Ms. Zula --During -- well, during -- at this point discussing interim positions, when instead you A. in time, yeah. At this point in time. asked many other detailed questions about the 10 Did it ever change? office operations. That was also the February 5, Q. ¹² 2021, meeting, correct? When an investigation was conducted, 12 A. yes, at the advice being that I was having an 13 A. February 5th? 14 attorney present, it was indicated that I should Q. 2021. 15 15 A. allow them to have an attorney present. Yes. 16 Q. 16 The next sentence says, during that Right. Q. 17 Because I sat in on the LexisNexis -meeting there were several issues and concerns 18 that Jane Doe 4and I had, which were brought to A. your attention. Do you contest that during that 19 -- interview with them, didn't I? Q. 20 meeting there were several issues and concerns A. Yes, you did. So when the county found it appropriate that Jane Doe 4and Jane Doe 3 brought to your 21 Q. and convenient to have their attorney present, attention? then Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 were permitted to $^{23}|_{A}$. I don't exactly recall. I don't know. 24 O. have theirs present? So it could have been?

Jane Doe, et al. v. Schuylkill County Courthouse, et al.

Page 396 Page 398 1 Yeah. Potentially, yes. A. yes. 2 Q. Q. Did you think to check if she was still Okav. on bereavement leave before telling her she'd be And then she says: None of which have been addressed. Do you know if any of the issues demoted? and concerns that Jane Doe 3 and Jane Doe 4 raised 5 A. We wanted -- I -- we wanted to get her during that meeting had been addressed? the information prior to hitting it -- hitting the I don't recall exactly what they were, board agenda the following day. So based upon her A. no, to respond to that. not being in the office, we -- I sent the e-mail. 9 She goes on to state about an incident O. Did you ever say -- after Jane Doe 3 Q. where an HR lured an assessment employee to a notifying that Jane Doe 4 was out for the death of meeting, indicating that they were going to offer a family member, did you ever say to anyone, hey, ¹² let's push this back a week, she had a family her good news about returning to a position which she previously held -- which she previously held, 13 member die? 14 when, in fact, they blindsided her by telling her MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. 15 to side an affidavit that was presented by THE WITNESS: No. respondents in the EEOC charge of Jane Doe 2. ¹⁶BY MS. SMITH: 16 That would be Heather Matukewicz. Did anyone ever say, hey -- did you ever O. 18 M-A-T-U-K-E-W-I-C-Z. hear any discussions, hey, let's take into consideration Jane Doe 4's feeling about the death 19 You were involved in the prep -interviews, discussion, investigation, whatever you of her family and push her demotion back? 21 want to call it, of Heather Matukewicz regarding A. 22 MS. PIPAK: Object to the form. Jane Doe 2, correct? 23 23 THE WITNESS: No. A. No, I was not. BY MS. SMITH: 24 Okay. O. Page 399 Page 397 1 Were you ever informed about it? Q. Does Mr. Bender hold a CPE license? I was told about it after I started with 2 A. Not to my knowledge. A. 3 The vote at the commissioner's meeting the county, but this happened prior to me being O. on Jane Doe 4's and Jane Doe 3's demotion was on employed. 5 Okay. March 17, 2021, correct? Q. Did Jane Doe 3 discuss that matter with A. Yes. And do you know who -- because the way a you at that February 5, 2021, meeting? O. I don't recall that being a discussion. commissioner's meeting, as I understand it works, A. I don't know. is someone has to recommend something to the 10 Do you know how many vacancies were in commissioners, kind of like make a motion and then Q. the office, the tax assessment office March of they vote, correct? 12 A. 12 2021? Yes. I read -- I would have read the 13 A. ¹³ PAR report with those actions on it. No, I do not. 14 14 Going to back to Zula 71, which is 139. But it's your testimony that the only Q. This is an e-mail from Jane Doe 4 to you on reason that you read the PAR report is at the March 15th, correct? instruction of Defendant Bender? 17 I was instructed to issue the PARs based 17 A. Yes. 18 I'm sorry. You to Jane Doe 4, I had it upon the recommendation to restructure the Q. 19 backwards. offices. And, yes, they were put on the PAR 20 report that my office prepares and submits to the You sent this to Jane Doe 4, correct? commissioner's office. 21 A. Correct.

22

24 O

Q. $^{23}|_{A}$.

Was Jane Doe 4 still on bereavement

I'm not the certain. I believe she was,

22 Q.

23

leave at this time?

And whose direction was that?

Mr. Bender.

Okav.

Page 400 Page 402 1 Did you have an opinion as to whether A. Yes. 2 the offices should be restructured? Q. Why couldn't that person have been A. I mean, no, I didn't really have an Ms. Zimmerman? opinion. I reported the information based upon I guess it could have, but it was not that I -- well, I guess, yes, I guess I did have made -- that recommendation was not made. an opinion on it based upon that memo that I Q. Okay. prepared, yes, that they should be separated. Was that discussed? Did you have an opinion as to whether 8 Q. A. No, not to my knowledge. 9 Jane Doe 3 should hold the chief assessor or tax O. Were any of the commissioners ever claim director position? involved in any of the restructuring 11 conversations? 11 A. No, that I did not. 12 12 Q. Did you have an opinion as to whether --Α. So I believe we did meet in executive 13 which assistant position Jane Doe 4 should hold? session with the commissioners to advise them of I did discuss -- I remember I did 14 the information that was found, because ultimately discuss potentially putting both of them back in they were the ones that received the STEB doc --15 tax assessment -- I mean, sorry tax claim because the document from the state indicating the 16 that's basically where they came from prior to the ¹⁷ delinquency of the STEB reports. 18 combining of the offices. And it was decided by O. Were all three commissioners present? ¹⁹ Mr. Bender that we needed to have somebody else --19 A. I don't recall. Typically, yes, they somebody still in tax assessment who had some day 20 would all be present during executive session. ²¹ But, I don't exactly if -- exactly who was there to day working knowledge, and so that was why Jane Doe 4 was kept in the tax assessment office. 22 at the meeting. 23 23 Who -- when you left the county, who was O. Okay. 24 the assistant chief assessor? Do you recall Commissioner Hess asking Page 403 Page 401 Christine Zimmerman. 1 A. any questions or challenging anything? O. 2 A. I don't recall. Ms. Zimmerman was in the assessment office at the time of the demotions in March 2021, O. Do you recall anybody bringing up the fact that now Commissioner Stottlemyer had told correct? A. Jane Doe 3 that should the offices ever be Yes. Why couldn't Mrs. Zimmerman have become Q. disbanded, you will or should remain in tax the deputy chief assessor at that time? assessment? 8 No, I was not made aware of any of those She was a field appraiser at that time, A. A. so she wasn't in a supervisory role. conversations. But she was a field appraiser when she 10 10 Q. Never heard that comment? was promoted to the deputy assessor in -- whenever 11 A. 12 12 it was, correct? MS. SMITH: Okay. Going to mark Yes, that's correct. 715 ---13 A. 14 14 So what's the difference of March 2021 Q. MS. PIPAK: I think -- are we at and when she was promoted? 15 seven hours? Because the position wasn't posted at 16 16 MS. SMITH: Okay. 17 that point in time, so we believed -- so Mr. MS. PIPAK: We can just pick up Bender made the decision to put one in one office, 18 tomorrow. one in the other office. 19 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is now 6:01 20 Q. Right. p.m. and we are going off the record. 21 But your testimony was that Mr. Bender 21 22 made the decision because someone with some (Whereupon, deposition concluded at assessment knowledge needed to remain in the 23 6:02 p.m.) ²⁴ assessment office, correct?

1	CERTIFICATION	
2		
3		
4	I, COLEEN TRIFUN, RPR and Notary Public,	
5	do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and	
6	accurate transcript of the stenographic notes taken	
7	by me in the aforementioned matter.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	DATE:	
22	COLEEN TRIFUN, RPR	
23		
24		

WORD INDEX

<\$> \$250 381:13 \$5 239:13 \$9 113:5

<1> **1** 3:6 9:4 13:23 14:1 50:21 51:4 52:6, 7 59:2 108:7 114:5 122:19 123:8, *15* 136:7 142:8 143:9 145:1 146:10. 21 147:2, 13, 23 151:2 152:13 153:8 160:9 161:2*3* 187:*1*, 16 188:23 197:10 226:19 229:14 230:14, 23 236:3 237:8 240:24 245:1, 9, 16 246:11, 13, 22 247:4, 15, 19, 22 248:1, 19 254:15, 19, 24 256:2, 18, 23 257:3 262:12, 14, 16, 20 264:24 265:2, 5 266:11 267:4, 7, 9, 13, 15 268:22 269:5 286:18 292:1 294:3 295:8 296:24 297:20, 23 298:14 314:6, 9, 18 315:17, 24 316:12, 18, 21 318:1, 22 319:18 321:10 322:5, *15* 323:*1* 324:*10*, *11* 325:7, 14, 20 326:1, 17 328:15 329:2 331:14, 17 332:24 333:15 334:23 335:2 336:1, 13, 19 341:5, 7, 21, 23 342:19, 22 343:24 344:4, 16 345:14 346:10 347:4, 22 348:7 350:4 351:18 356:1, 16 361:1 364:8, 11 369:19

1/11/2020 38:*13*, *17*

1/11/2021 38:*18* 42:10 **1/13/20** 38:*17* 1/13/2021 38:21 **1:09** 166:8 **10** 4:6 141:2 **10:51** 192:*13* 193:*6* **100** 5:6 75:10 179:21 **101** 80:*1* **102** 79:16 80:1, 4, 6 83:12, 20 **103** 86:10, 18 88:2, 5 **104** 86:18 87:1 **105** 99:24 108:11 **106** 111:8 **107** 86:*10* 116:*18* **108** 135:18 **11** 14:22 32:23 36:24 53:5 146:6 152:*12* 158:*21* 164:20 264:7 **11:02** 107:24 **11:13** 108:6 **110** 141:2 **111** 5:7 150:5 153:20 **112** 151:*11* **114** 166:20 **115** 184:7 203:2 **116** 5:8 198:21 **117** 208:*1* **119** 261:6 **11th** 28:6 38:1 51:7 147:10, 11 162:24 285:5, 21 387:7, 18, 23 **12** 26:7 28:10 234:9 293:5 317:6 330:10 331:7 **12/11/22** 33:23 **12:20** 166:2 **12:52** 395:6 **120** 271:*15* **121** 293:9

122 295:11 319:15

123 313:17

125 317:*16*

1259 65:5

126 321:20 **127** 338:19 339:1, 9 **128** 338:*11* 343:*5* 344:3 345:20 **129** 340:21 **12th** 35:15 394:8 395:6 **13** 4:12 6:19 16:9, 13 24:3 150:18 154:16 262:5 264:11, 16 288:13 **130** 347:9 353:8 **131** 351:23 **132** 354:2 **134** 361:*12* **135** 5:9 363:6 **136** 365:17 **137** 381:*17* 387:2 **138** 78:18 390:12 395:*3* **139** 5:10 79:15 394:20 397:14 **139-236** 5:3 79:18 **13th** 150:17, 23 154:11 172:17 181:18 186:2, 7 187:5, *12*, *21* 188:*13* 189:2 192:20, 22 196:2, *13*, *15* 198:*13* 205:16 206:1 **14** 235:*15* **141** 5:*11* **14th** 181:20 188:13 189:2 **15** 4:11 193:6 207:17 232:9 239:8 240:6 **150** 2:9 5:12 **151** 5:*13* **15219** 2:22 **156** 5:14 **15th** 184:*11* 187:*17* 188:*13* 189:*3* 190:*3* 192:13 194:5 195:12 200:10, 15 202:14 397:16 **16** 332:*14* **167** 5:15 **16th** 316:18

17 399:5 **17108** 1:21 **17701** 2:*17* **18017** 3:2 **183** 5:16 **1835** 2:3 **18360** 2:*14* **18th** 261:21 347:23 348:23 **19** 1:21 8:12 76:2 354:12 **190** 3:2 **19103** 2:4 **199** 5:17 **19th** 76:8 348:24 **1s** 75:20 1's 145:4, 6, 11 146:8, 11 158:22 226:14 229:20 245:14 289:1 291:13 295:8 296:12 298:5 299:1, 12, 24 300:21 312:*18* 315:*9* 319:*3* 320:20 326:2 327:14 329:7 332:*4* 334:*3* 338:8 340:4 345:7, 24 346:16, 21 347:1 352:22 354:15 **1st** 342:5 343:8, 10, 12, 18 345:19, 23 346:13 366:13 368:10 < 2 >50:21 51:5, 21 76:5 79:22 114:5 121:12

<2>
2 14:4, 5 27:8 44:16
50:21 51:5, 21 76:5
79:22 114:5 121:12
122:19 123:12, 15
140:15 142:8 143:8
145:1 146:7, 11
147:2, 13, 22 151:19
152:13 153:8 156:16,
17, 22 157:6, 11, 12,
17, 20 158:15, 22
160:8 161:22 168:14,
22 169:17, 23 170:23
171:4, 12, 22 172:6,
16 176:11 177:23, 24
178:3 187:22 188:4
190:12 196:3, 7, 13,

<i>15</i> 197:6, 8, 9 198: <i>14</i>
208:10 230:21 236:3
200.10 230.21 230.3
237:8 248:20 255:1
261:14 287:8 298:15
321:10 322:4, 5
339:1 348:3 356:3
357:2, 17, 20 358:1,
<i>15, 17</i> 360: <i>19</i> 362: <i>18</i>
363:3, 17 365:15
366: <i>19</i> 390: <i>21</i>
396: <i>16</i> , <i>22</i>
2/16/2021 296:8
2/18 348: <i>16</i>
2/5 296:5
20 49:7 236:11
251: <i>17</i>
20002 2:9
2005-18 65:17 67:7,
18
2013 64:20 66:4
67:11 69:3
2015-18 64: <i>12</i> 65: <i>16</i>
2017 43: <i>13</i>
2020 16:9, <i>13</i> 18: <i>1</i> 2,
16, 19 19:12, 15, 23
22:16, 20 23:17 26:7
28:10 32:23 34:14
37:15 49:9 63:19
152:5 169:9 214: <i>17</i>
224:20 225:3 232:9
233:15 234:3, 9
235:15 236:12 237:7,
19 238:1, 8 239:8
240:7, 14 255:4
256:13, 17 268:10, 12
337:5, 13
2021 14:22 36:24
45:23 53:5 57:11
61:3, 4, 8 62:21
63:12, 14, 19, 20 66:8
67:11, 15, 17 68:1, 4,
5, 16, 19, 24 70:13
74:13 76:2 79:4
86:7 88:11 89:7
91:23 99:20 101:2
104:9 105:19 108:11
113:23 114:4, 9
126:3 134:18 135:2
137:16 139:2 140:23
141: <i>18</i> 146: <i>7</i> , <i>14</i>

```
151:23 152:12, 19, 21,
24 153:6 154:12, 16
156:18, 23 158:20, 21
159:18 162:24
164:20 165:7 169:10,
11 183:3 184:11
192:3 194:5 195:12
199:24 202:4 207:17
208:12 210:22
212:21 213:24 221:7
224:21, 24 226:18
229:13 239:3 246:18
249:5 253:5 254:8
256:13, 20, 22 257:21
258:13 261:17
268:13, 15, 24 269:1
271:24 280:18 281:9
284:16 293:2, 5, 20
295:6 296:6 298:4,
24 299:11, 23 317:7,
13 318:3 322:5
330:10 331:7 334:1
335:1, 11 336:11, 16
337:4 341:5, 21, 23
342:19, 22 343:24
344:4 346:10, 16, 20
348:24 351:17
354:12 356:1, 16
366:13 368:23
371:11 394:17
395:12, 14 397:7, 12
399:5 401:3, 14
2022 1:21 8:12
292:21 334:21 335:2,
21 336:12, 17 337:2,
10, 19 338:7
205-14 205:3
208 5:18
21 105:18 233:15
214 217:5
215 235:12
217 5:19
21st 232:21
22 351:17
223 235:12
224 235:12
225 108:14
226 235:12
228 1:20 8:14
```

22nd 351:9 353:16

```
23 4:12, 14 27:21, 23
63:14 70:13 225:2, 6
386:17
232 83:21
233 322:5
236 79:16
237 66:19
237-243 4:23 66:22
24 4:11 19:11
293:20 341:5
240 235:12
241 66:19
242 68:15
243 66:19
244 86:10
244-250 5:4 86:12
2473 45:13, 18
2481 6:2 317:15, 18
24th 341:15
25 4:13, 16 32:13
261:16
250 86:10
251 100:1
251-257 5:6 100:3
255 235:13
256 235:13
257 100:1
258 111:7
258-260 5:7 111:10
26 151:23 154:12, 16
295:12 318:3 322:5
260 111:7
261 5:20
268 295:12
268-270 5:23 295:14
26th 322:9
27 4:14 208:12
270 295:12
271 338:11, 12
271-273 6:5 338:14
272 5:21 338:11
273 338:12
274 6:4 329:24
330:2
2759 135:17
2759-2760 5:9 135:20
2760 135:18
27th 136:7 215:5
221:14
```

```
28 224:21 225:2
362:10
284 235:13
288 356:6 357:4
288-291 6:10 356:9
289 235:12
28th 254:7
29 4:15 271:24
365:16
290 356:6
291 356:6 357:4
292 365:17 366:2, 6,
13, 16
292-293 6:13 365:19
293 5:22 365:17
366:6, 13, 16
295 5:23
2950 2:3
2's 52:7 145:20
146:21 148:20 151:2
184:1 196:23 360:13
364:8
<3>
```

3 3:6 9:2 14:*13* 52:6 73:5, 18 76:22 77:8, 18 90:23, 24 99:2 103:16 114:5 122:18, 23 124:1, 11 126:18 134:15 156:11, 17, 22 157:10, 22 164:23 168:12 171:3, 6, 13, 24 172:1, *15*, *21* 173:9, *14* 182:20, 23 184:10, 24 185:10 187:2, 9 188:8 190:7, 8 192:*11*, *22* 193:*4*, *13* 194:2, 6, 17 195:11, 17 196:4, 6, 10, 16 197:2, 10 199:19, 23 202:4, 11, 21 204:7 205:18 206:2 207:18, 21, 23 208:11 209:21 210:20 215:10, 14 217:12 219:11 220:14 221:8, 17 223:6, 10, 17 224:19 225:20 226:8, 12, 21 227:2 228:11, 23

229:10, 18 230:24
232:12, 24 234:10, 20
235:16 236:12, 20
237:14, 21, 24 238:7
239:9, 23 240:7
241:17 242:19
245:20 246:1, 12, 20
247:3, 10 249:7, 11
250:3 253:1 257:14,
21 259:14 260:3, 7,
17 261:16 262:4, 11,
23 263:6 264:21
266:11, 16 267:14
280:21 282:11 287:2
288:1, 12, 18, 20, 23
289:20 291:23 298:2,
4, 7, 13, 19, 21 318:24
319:1 322:4, 20
326:1, 18 327:7, 13
331:22 332:1 333:6
334:2 339:2 346:17,
19 347:2, 23 349:10
350:16, 18 351:21
353:14 357:2, 18, 21
358:6, 10 360:12, 17,
18 363:20, 23 364:3,
6, 19 365:2, 8 369:1,
4, 20 370:17, 21
371: <i>13</i> 375: <i>17</i> , <i>24</i>
376:8, <i>10</i> 378:5, <i>22</i>
370.8, 10 378.3, 22 379:12 380:6, 8, 15,
18 387:8, 13, 19
388:4, 5, 24 389:19
390:21 392:4 393:23
394:8 395:7, 21 396:5 397:6 398:9
400:9 403:5
3/1 340: <i>19</i>
3:07 277:1
3:21-CV-00477 1:4
3:34 277:24
30 15:10 310:16, 21,
23
310 3:2
314 5:24
316 6: <i>1</i>
318 6:2 150:5
153:20 318 310 5:12 150:7
318-319 5: <i>12</i> 150: <i>7</i>

Revised
319 150:5 153:20 32 4:16 322 6:3 330 6:4 339 6:5 341 6:6 3410 2:21 347 6:7 35 25:21 352 6:8 35-36 4:13 25:23
354 6:9 356 6:10 36 25:21 27:8 362 6:11 363 6:12 366 6:13 37 29:14
37-38 4:15 29:17 38 4:17 29:14 382 6:14 390 5:21 6:15 271:14, 17 395 6:16 399 208:1
399-402 5:18 208:3 3rd 292:18 363:15, 24 366:20 3's 60:21 75:24 150:18 153:21 171:23 184:2 200:7 224:3 238:15 239:5 240:2, 18 251:23 253:10, 11 259:19 262:12 272:16 291:7 348:4 352:11 376:19 378:11 388:15 391:8
399:4 <4> 4 9:3 14:10, 11 46:4 52:6 73:5 76:22 77:8 114:5 122:19 123:3 124:2, 12 126:18 134:16 164:24 182:20, 24 197:10 202:5, 21 204:7 209:17 210:20 216:22 221:9, 18

223:18 225:20 226:9

```
227:11 228:11, 24
229:10 232:24
235:16 236:23 237:7,
14, 21 264:21 266:17
267:14 286:23 287:2
288:1, 12 289:20
334:2 339:2 348:9
357:2 362:23 369:1,
8, 20 370:8, 12, 23
371:4, 10 378:5, 22
379:17, 20, 23 380:16
390:22 392:3 393:23
396:5 397:15, 18, 20,
22 398:10 400:13, 22
4(via 3:7
4:00 165:16
4:03 304:11
4:07 190:2
4:13 312:4
4:38 387:23
40 38:4
400 208:10
401 208:11
402 208:1
40-42 4:17 38:6
410 50:22 51:10, 24
52:11 120:10, 19, 22
142:9 143:13, 16, 19
144:8, 10, 15, 22
146:8, 11, 21 147:12
152:8, 13 158:23
159:20, 24 160:9, 17
161:1, 10 163:18, 23
226:15 229:17
230:14 231:21
246:10 247:1, 16, 24
297:21 320:11, 16
328:8 329:5, 6 332:3,
5, 24 335:16 348:9
413 216:22
413-415 5:19 216:24
414 217:15 246:6
415 216:22 217:15
257:12
42 4:18, 19 38:4
420 5:24 313:17, 19
426 6:1 316:2, 5
43 45:13
433 2:17
```

```
441 75:9, 23
441-442 5:1 75:12
442 75:9
448 5:1 75:9, 12
45 6:19
451 261:6
451-453 5:20 261:8
452 261:15
453 261:6. 15
454 347:8 348:15, 22
350:17
454-455 6:7 347:11
455 347:8 348:15, 22
350:17
46 4:21 62:23 63:2
460 354:2
460-463 6:9 354:4
463 354:2
47 5:5 86:19, 21
353:5
470 347:8, 18, 22
350:13
470-472 6:7 347:11
471 347:22
472 347:8
473 72:5
473-474 4:24 72:7
474 72:5, 18 74:8
475 351:23
475-477 6:8 352:1
477 351:23
48 4:18 41:24 42:2
49 42:24 269:10
287:8
49-52 4:19 43:2
4and 263:1, 6 391:1
395:18, 21
4did 389:6
4had 387:14
4's 77:18 227:2
232:12 280:21
291:23 388:17
398:19 399:4
4'sattendance 388:7,
4th 157:3 237:13
4was 387:19 388:6
< 5 >
```

5 156:18, 23 221:7 246:18 284:16 339:1, 2 394:17 395:11 397:7
5:00 165: <i>16</i>
5:30 381:24
5:38 382:5
50 64:7 66:1 348:22 511 2:13
515 151: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i>
52 42:24 43:13
269:11
55 78: <i>18</i>
550 321: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>
550-551 6:3 321:22
551 321:20 55-138 5:2 78:20
555 361: <i>12</i>
555-556 6:11 361:14
556 361: <i>12</i>
571 363:6
571-573 6: <i>12</i> 363: <i>8</i>
573 363:6
575 5:13, 15 166:11,
15, 22 167:11 583 5:16 183:17
184:2 <i>4</i>
583-584 183: <i>19</i>
584 183: <i>17</i> 184: <i>10</i>
596 198: <i>18</i>
596-600 5: <i>17</i> 198: <i>21</i>
5th 223:18 224:24
249:2 254:4 258:4
284:19 285:5, 22
333:24 395:13
<6>
6 68: <i>15</i> 6:01 403: <i>19</i>
6:02 403:23
600 198:18 199:2, 4
200:18
601 198: <i>19</i> 199: <i>5</i>
63 4:21
630 155:22

630-632 5:*14* 156:*1*

632 155:22 **65** 4:22

66 4:23

```
67 381:16
673 381:17 387:2
673-674 6:14 381:19
674 381:17 387:3
685 390:12 395:3
685-687 6:15 390:14
687 390:12 395:3
<7>
7 43:12 99:19
104:12
7:23 150:18
70 141:1
701 6:16 394:19, 22
707 2:21 139:7
707-708 5:10 139:10
708 139:7 140:15
709 141:1
709-710 5:11 141:4
71 397:14
710 141:1
712 2:13
715 403:13
72 4:24 347:8
75 5:1
78 5:2
79 5:3
79,000 20:3
< 8 >
8 346:20
8:00 165:16
8:36 150:17
80 29:11
800 340:21
800-801 6:6 340:23
801 340:21
81 263:1, 9 266:17
817 61:17
817-820 4:20 61:19
820 61:17
855 116:18
855-857 5:8 116:20
856 121:13
857 116:18
86 5:4, 5 15:10
87 23:22
88 28:22
886 6:13 365:17, 19
```

```
366:1
89 27:21 29:2, 11
8th 346:1, 5, 16
<9>
9 18:12, 16 19:15, 23
34:14 356:6
9.932 2:9
9/13 67:19
9/17/2020 24:14
9:16 1:22 8:13
90 287:7
92 38:3 64:9, 10
65:14.16 66:1
94 49:6 269:10
95 4:20 61:17
96 62:24 66:12 68:8
97 65:17, 24 66:10,
11
98 66:20 68:16 70:2
99
   72:5
< A >
a.m 1:22 8:13
107:24 108:6 150:18
165:16 192:13 193:6
395:6
abetting 93:12
324:16
ability 13:3 226:11,
16 229:20 230:2
232:12 246:24 247:5.
17, 23 252:16, 20
254:2 274:6 346:4, 7
able 73:23 94:1
136:21 138:9 221:3
240:3 389:9
absence 196:1
absences 193:20
absentee 205:2
absolute 243:18, 22
abundantly 143:17
abusing 117:24
acceptable 148:6
acceptance 28:19
access 48:14 55:7, 11,
20 121:16 144:18
163:3 165:21 191:13
224:22 232:17 236:4
```

```
237:9
accessed 157:7
accessibility 52:11
144:7
accessible 144:11
145:4, 18
accommodated 248:3
accommodation
296:13 297:19 298:9
299:14, 15, 19 300:2,
3 312:10, 19 313:13
314:17 315:9, 14
316:20 319:13, 24
320:2 322:14 325:24
341:20
accommodations
320:10
accompanied 163:23
190:22
accord 261:20
accrued 193:19
194:15 197:3
accurate 43:22 45:9
46:7 48:2 82:16, 24
83:6, 11 84:7, 13, 19
98:15 125:18 243:4
303:2 404:6
accurately 44:5
accused 324:15, 21
325:7, 14
accuser 93:15
acknowledged 43:18
105:17
acknowledging 42:13
106:3
acknowledgment
41:15 42:21 63:8
70:17 82:2 104:23
105:7, 16 106:10
Act 47:23 59:9
179:5, 22 180:4
301:21 302:13 303:6
306:8, 9 334:4
action 15:18 31:9,
16, 24 32:6, 11
154:16, 20 160:7, 12
179:4, 17 224:16
233:11, 13 301:1
302:24 304:20
```

305:15 309:5, 8
336:3 388:15
actions 60:3, 4, 21
303:3 304:21, 22
315:7 335:20 336: <i>10</i> ,
12 376:19 399:13
acts 93:13 303:9
306:2
actual 18:7 38:1
69:8 99:24 227:5
282:7 376:3
ADA 297:2 364:13
add 91:13 133:13
added 91:21 128:11
131:20 132:3 166:14 adding 157:17
adding 157:17
addition 124:21
132:4 193:23 203:10
247:11 259:18 327:5
additional 3: <i>3</i> 91: <i>21</i>
128: <i>10</i> 131: <i>13</i>
148:18 210:10
230:22 237:22
240:18 246:13 249:8,
10 250:8 264:2
275:21, 24 337:23
341:20 342:20, 21
344:22 353:15.21
344:22 353:15, 21 354:21 355:2, 10, 20
358:19 359:11
364:16, 22 365:8
366: <i>18</i>
address 56:2 104:2
119: <i>13</i> 258:5 267: <i>12</i>
addressed 153:10
154: <i>13</i> 196: <i>9</i> 396: <i>4</i> ,
134.13 190.9 390.4,
9
addresses 156:18
157:7
adjustment 362:23
administrate 184:13
administration 102:3
321:1, 2, 5 323:4, 9,
10 390:24
administrative 25:19
330:20, 22 administrator 16:7
26:11 98:21 101:12
102:20 103:3, 6
107:4, 15 131:16

133:3 257:16 258:15 324:17 administrators 94:24 107:18 administrator's 102:22 107:12 **adopted** 100:12 103:20 advice 277:11, 17 279:2, 3 281:13 284:2 300:24 301:7, 10, 13, 15, 16, 22, 23 302:8, 11, 19 303:1, 8 304:15, 23 305:3, 18 306:16 307:4 308:6 382:14, 18, 22 383:1, 2, 17 384:3, 7, 13 385:1, 18, 23 390:7 393:13 advise 57:1 95:8 274:11 300:18 348:7 402:13 **advised** 163:21 308:3 334:15 360:21 394:*4* advises 96:18 **Advising** 101:*16* 301:5 **affidavit** 396:15 affirmative 383:15 aforementioned 404:7 **agenda** 18:15 19:8 67:20 115:11, 15 131:20 133:8 216:18 238:24 251:8 398:7 **ago** 309:23 agree 12:8 34:21 36:10 78:11 92:10 104:7 133:3 153:*11* 156:24 190:8 262:5, 9, 20 264:17 307:23 319:17 325:23 364:9 372:4 agreed 9:23 10:2 91:17 106:21 agreement 27:13 139:19 164:12, 15 235:23 279:23 283:5 334:22 336:6 347:3 348:14 350:18 351:5

352:19 353:13, 14 354:16 355:4 agreements 139:23 287:23 **ahead** 28:12 59:14 199:*16* 204:*1* 211:*24* 214:6 239:17 243:16 244:11 265:8 266:6, 20 272:1 318:7, 8 319:22 334:12 368:5 376:14 377:2, 12 378:1, 16 379:8, 15 380:24 392:9 aiding 93:12 324:16 **al** 1:2, 4 8:16, 17 96:10 97:16 **alcohol** 13:2 145:9, *13* 158:6 **Alecia** 8:11 **alert** 21:6 ALLAN 2:8 allan.townsend@usdoj .gov 2:10 allegations 49:18 52:22 93:10 184:1, 2 226:10 329:3 **alleged** 332:18 allegedly 146:20 187:6 **Allen** 9:9 **alleviate** 148:10, 12 alleviated 122:6 **allow** 101:14 135:11 189:16 195:4, 13 309:17 331:14 336:6 393:15 394:5 **allowed** 195:21 200:8 214:15 225:21 277:13 335:21 allowing 197:3 267:17 277:14 350:4 **altered** 359:17, 23 alternative 97:23 98:1. 10. 12 122:3 235:18 329:15 alternatively 237:15 alternatives 101:21 102:13 **Alu** 215:21 238:19

273:3, 8, 13 274:1 276:3, 6 278:5 279:1, 14, 17, 18 281:13, 14 282:5 291:6 292:2, 3 370:9, 19 375:21, 23 377:17 **Alu's** 280:15 281:12 **ALYSSA** 3:5 9:5 **AMBER** 2:8 9:7 amber.fox@usdoj.gov 2:10 ambiguous 12:4 87:14 **amount** 20:13 analysis 287:9 299:5 **analyst** 123:9, 10 213:2, 4, 15 214:2 244:7 252:11 286:15 288:14 289:1 **analyze** 44:17 and/or 95:21 97:17, 24 210:20 288:1 306:*4* 316:*13* 392:*3* **Ann** 25:19 **annual** 240:*3* annually 128:22 **anonymous** 121:*16*, 19 122:3, 5, 8, 13 anonymously 118:10 **ANSWER** 7:1 11:24 12:13, 15, 19 13:12 25:7 28:12 33:13 34:23 39:22 41:11 46:18 48:5 50:13 57:1 78:3 83:24 84:2, 13 85:7, 8, 9 93:18 94:14 98:3 105:4 126:15 130:7 133:6 176:14 177:11 179:19 194:20 198:8 201:11 214:6 229:4 239:17 240:22 268:20 269:24 270:5, 9 277:14, 18 282:21 289:10, 13 300:13 302:24 304:3 308:4 325:12 328:2 329:20 332:10 383:6, 13, 14 386:8 392:21 394:3

272:13, 17, 19, 20, 21

answered 129:9 228:14, 15 answering 36:3 58:22 303:21 answers 82:16, 19, 21, 23 83:2, 6, 11 84:19, 23 85:2, 3 87:6, 7 386:13 anticipate 36:6 antidiscrimination 81:12 anti-harassment 63:10 64:2 66:8, 13 67:7 81:13 86:5 101:1 105:13 108:12 116:10 117:12 118:5, 7 anxietv 334:5, 9 anybody 323:16 381:4 403:3 **anymore** 116:*14* anyway 307:24 **apologize** 35:24 36:4 65:2 209:7 238:20 269:8 293:8 310:6 338:12 395:2 Apparently 106:4 204:18 230:10 340:18 361:23 **appeal** 237:20 **appear** 184:17 appeared 81:6 169:*16* **Appearing** 9:3 **appears** 88:6 150:16 156:10 356:22 357:7 applicants 372:2 **application** 23:13, 19 24:14 30:3, 5, 14 118:21 applied 16:12 30:11, *15* 41:*17* 49:9 118:2 **applies** 117:18 372:6, 19 **apply** 40:14, 16 98:5 322:24 **appoint** 97:23 appointed 98:11 293:1

appointment 18:7 19:18 **appraiser** 123:*13* 125:13, 20 128:1 131:4 209:12 210:3, 6, 13 242:20 243:8 244:23 252:13 258:6 288:6, 7 333:9 401:8, 10 appraisers 124:8 126:20, 22 127:20 129:5, 6, 10 141:20 208:19 213:19 222:2 238:3 241:3 242:18, 23 243:13 appraiser's 128:20 **appraises** 213:21 appreciate 218:7 310:4 **apprized** 50:18 appropriate 85:11 91:13 94:16 106:24 117:*17* 119:*6*, *13* 127:4 131:14 133:12 183:*13* 194:*1* 195:*24* 201:17 203:12 204:15, 20 212:9 220:6 265:2 289:5 291:19 324:13, 19 325:3, 5, 16 333:21 362:20 375:15 388:8, *13* 389:2, *4* 391:*16*, 22 392:1 393:21 appropriately 59:10 287:19 approval 117:8 130:19 136:14 137:17 201:7 238:17 239:1 **approve** 108:18 109:8, 18 238:20 323:24 324:5 346:7 372:21 373:6 **approved** 17:9 18:3, 4 67:17, 21 68:6, 20 117:9 130:15 138:16 140:17 141:12 238:10, 14, 18 251:18 263:3, 5 264:1 323:5, 22 360:15 368:14

approves 131:*1* 132:9, 18 **approving** 264:*13* approximately 8:13 **April** 146:*17* 149:22 255:4, 17 263:15 280:18 366:13 368:10 **Area** 30:22 31:1, 7 145:24 158:5 299:17, 20 320:1, 3 327:3 329:18 areas 149:15 309:13 argumentative 270:1. 6, 8, 11 **arose** 58:7 arrangements 148:23 **arrived** 147:10 **articles** 49:15 ASCII 8:7 **aside** 27:20 49:6 88:1 asked 11:22 40:2 41:14 70:17 113:10, *20* 173:*11* 174:*21* 176:20, 24 178:14, 22 206:10 227:4 230:22 258:5 261:24 269:20 270:24 303:16, 20 304:13, 19 305:12 306:7 307:2 325:8 350:7, 11 364:6 395:10 **asking** 10:20 50:15 57:3 95:24 97:9, 10 99:13 113:15 162:12 178:12, 13, 15, 16 179:2, 24 180:2, 3, 20 219:19 235:4 270:10 286:19 287:1 301:12, 14 302:8, 10, 12, 14, *15, 18, 20* 303:*13* 304:18, 19 305:10 306:15 307:5, 6, 14 308:21, 22 309:3, 9, *12* 310:2 315:*16* 324:24 325:2 327:5, 7 332:21 342:18 353:14 357:1 368:7 369:12 377:9 383:16,

22 385:12 391:19 402:24 **asks** 98:8 154:5, 8 322:5, 6 aspects 394:14 **assault** 59:10 assaulted 59:2 assert 382:22 383:2 385:24 **asserting** 383:23 asses 212:15 assessed 128:7. 16 373:24 374:10, 13, 18 assessment 123:16 124:5, 6 125:11 127:15 128:14, 19 129:3, 4, 11 185:16 186:18 189:4, 5, 19 190:24 206:2 209:4, 6, 23 210:9, 21 211:3 215:7 216:1 218:15, 20 219:9 221:10, 24 222:5, 11 223:8 226:14 229:19 232:11 234:22 237:4, 20 238:3, 23 240:2 246:22 249:9, 14 253:12, 17, 22, 24 258:16 265:18 269:15 272:4, 13 273:4, 10, 17 274:6, 16 275:14 278:12 279:5 281:15, 23 283:10 284:5, 22 285:6, 23 286:10 288:2, 22 289:18 294:14, 18 295:1 306:14 369:21, 22 370:7, 13, 14, 22, 23 371:7 372:6 375:12 376:20, 21 380:9, 21 381:7, 14 382:10 384:10 391:12 394:12, 15 396:10 397:11 400:16, 20, 22 401:2, 23, 24 403:7 assessments 374:5 **assessor** 122:23 123:6 127:23 219:17 227:15 238:15

252:13 273:17, 20
274:14 281:2 282:12
290:9, 11 292:6, 23 293:2, 23 294:1
369:5, <i>10</i> 370:6
371:6 372:12, 15, 19,
24 374:22 375:7, 9,
<i>18</i> 376:22 378: <i>13</i>
380:16, 19 400:9, 24
401:7, <i>11</i>
assessor/director 290:8
assessors 241:3
assessor's 274:21
assign 160:24 161:10
assigned 129:19
145:2 160:9 161:22
182:20 264:24 287:19 323:6, 23
337:15, 19, 21
assignments 158:24
159:2 161: <i>12</i>
assist 250:4 380:2
assistance 125:3
249:8, 10, 14 259:6
283:9
assistant 25: <i>19</i> 90: <i>7</i> 123: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> 135: <i>7</i> , <i>8</i>
290:12 330:21, 22
369:8, 9 371:5
380:17, 19 400:13, 24
assisted 25:9
assume 12:9 94:22
120:12
assuming 161:17
204:17 240:15
248:11 296:6 314:5
assumptions 366:11 attached 184:12
348: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>20</i>
attachment 72:16, 19
184:18 217:11, 16
348:14 366:5, 6
attempt 152:12
331:24 344:20
357:24
attempted 168:14
171:3, 10, 11 172:5
296:24 314:11, 13

357:17 358:16 attempting 360:18 attempts 315:22 331:19 **attend** 70:9 71:16 73:4, 21, 24 74:2, 19 76:12 77:7 80:9 114:6, 22 188:23 389:7, 8 391:16 attendance 71:19 82:*1* 113:2 196:*11* attended 74:12 75:4 78:12 80:12, 22 81:4 85:5, 14 187:16 273:5 395:7 attendee 74:22 attendees 72:22 attending 76:12 77:6, attention 321:1 347:16 395:19, 22 attesting 109:1 attorney 10:15, 22 114:12 180:18 228:13 297:16 300:5 306:5, 8, 16, 20 307:2, *3*, *8* 308:*1* 315:*12* 318:13, 14, 21 323:19 328:4, 5 336:5 349:17 360:23 367:6, *10, 14* 370:*3* 383:*23* 385:6, 9 391:4, 9, 16, 21 392:1, 6, 19 393:1, 3, 14, 15, 22 394:6 attorney-client 178:11 277:9 300:23 305:18 306:10 382:20 attorneys 8:19 95:3, 5 99:11 291:18 386:11 Attorney's 1:19 **audible** 11:19 **August** 135:2 136:7 137:16 234:9 235:15 236:11 **authority** 135:10 160:24 161:8, 10 177:8 193:23 194:9 200:24 203:11

204:10, 14, 18 230:5 290:4 322:21 323:1 authorized 130:9 202:6 available 25:11 45:1 131:*18* 193:*19* 290:*3* 387:15 availed 249:7 avoid 205:20 206:3 **aware** 40:13 49:15 52:8, 24 59:3 73:23 75:2, 4 115:22 125:10 139:2 144:10 146:19, 24 151:3, 9 153:1, 4 154:22, 24 155:6, 9, 13 163:17 167:20 169:2*1* 170:*1* 171:15 176:8, 9 181:*3* 182:*15*, *17* 187:2 191:4, 6 195:*11*, *15* 199:*11* 202:3, 9 203:18 206:9 207:4 211:15 214:15 222:18 228:1, 8, 10, 23 231:16, 20 232:9, 15, 16, 21 233:2, 7, 9, 14, 23 234:2, 9, 19 235:4, 15, 20, 23 236:2, 11, 20 237:7, 13, 19, 24 238:7, 13, 16, 17, 18 239:8, 18, 21, 23 240:6 250:7 253:4, 7 254:18, 21, 23, 24 255:7, 13 256:2, 5, 11, 18, 23 257:20, 23 260:15 265:16, 19, 22 273:24 274:3, 20, 24 280:14 281:13 283:4 291:21 371:4, 12, 16 374:23 381:15 403:8

back 21:19 26:21, 22 27:6 33:10 34:13, 15 46:2, 3 49:5, 7 50:21 51:6, 19 58:3 61:13 63:24 64:9 65:14 68:8 82:1 86:16 88:2 89:5 100:7

106:7, 20 108:6 113:7 143:12 147:16 152:18 153:19, 20 163:5, 10 166:8 179:*1* 190:23 205:*16* 216:1 227:19 233:21 249:13, 21 256:3, 9, 14 263:24 269:7 272:6 276:15 277:17 278:1 286:18, 20 289:12 295:5 296:5 307:10 308:15 309:1 312:5 335:15 336:4, 6, 21 353:5 358:6 370:21 378:4 382:6 386:16 395:1, 2 397:14 398:12, 20 400:15 **backed** 234:17 background 32:22 33:1, 9, 23 34:1, 6, 20, 24 36:11, 20 51:9 54:23 56:17 backgrounds 36:15 backwards 397:19 **bad** 299:8 **badge** 189:7 **bag** 233:19 **Banking** 32:10 bargaining 287:22 **base** 105:5 365:7 **based** 30:6 31:5 33:22 48:22 49:14 60:2, 20, 22, 24 70:12, *15* 79:*12* 90:*1* 98:*24* 101:4 105:14 106:14 112:15 113:14 128:20 129:2 133:15 138:5, 7 142:21 155:7 160:14 168:12 173:15 174:7 182:9 187:12 188:6 189:7 190:3 192:20 193:9, *16* 194:22 195:20 197:16, 17 203:3, 6 204:17 213:16 219:23 220:3, 20, 23 225:23 228:13 231:8 233:2 241:2, 8, 13 242:14, 23, 24 244:5,

6 245:13 246:18 247:21 251:8 260:2 262:22 287:20 289:3, 7, 22 290:2, 19 297:17, 20 299:18 300:4 312:24 323:6, 23 325:15 326:24 327:10 336:3 341:20 342:21 343:22 350:2 356:2*1* 361:2*3* 370:*3* 374:*4* 377:*3* 384:*13* 398:7 399:17 400:4, basically 264:7 278:17 332:22 340:1 400:17 **basis** 47:18 125:2 140:4, 12 195:14 212:2 213:9 214:11 219:4 225:10, 11, 13 241:12 250:9 265:15 266:15, 23, 24 300:23 323:6, 22 324:1 336:8 355:13 **Bates** 167:9 199:2 235:6, 10 338:20 356:21 357:3 366:1 **began** 14:22 122:17 207:7 335:15 **beginning** 10:13 begins 156:12 309:12 begs 267:16 **behalf** 8:23 9:4 176:4 182:19 184:12 345:7 364:15 **behavior** 390:23 **belief** 155:5 342:3 360:7 370:4 believe 21:14 22:5, 19 23:17, 18 33:15 37:9, 14 39:19 43:20, 21 44:5 45:7 49:10 61:10 62:13 65:21 66:13 67:16, 17 69:17, 18 70:2 71:4 72:20 74:14 75:5, 6 79:12 81:2 85:14, 22 88:21 93:8, 14, 24 94:9, 15, 23 97:16 98:4, 5, 20 99:1

101:8 106:7, 12 109:1, 10, 15 110:17 119:5 120:*1* 125:22 126:17 132:9 133:12 134:1, 8 137:15, 16, 18 138:11, 12 141:14 144:13 146:10 147:1, 8, 19 155:2 159:17 160:1 161:6, 12, 24 162:5, 14 164:22 165:*1*, *2* 168:*11* 169:14 170:19, 20 171:12 172:4, 13, 18 173:11 174:24 181:*12*, *13* 184:*20* 186:8, 23 187:8 188:6, 16 192:19 193:16 196:9 199:22 200:23 203:5 205:3, 4 206:5, 12, 13, 16 207:12 215:19 220:18 223:11, 20 228:3 230:2, 9 239:4 240:23 242:22 243:7 245:20 249:17, 24 251:7, 14 252:12 255:7 258:9, 22 260:5, 17 272:22 274:4, 13 276:7 278:22 279:13 281:3, 18 282:6 289:4 291:8, 18 292:8 297:3, 8, 10, 14, 18 298:10, 11 300:17 316:24 318:23 320:15, 19 324:13 325:2, 4, 13, 19 327:8, 9, 19 332:2, 6 334:7 339:8 341:8 342:7 345:2, 6, 12, 22 346:23 347:5 349:10 351:2 352:24 353:18 355:12 357:10, 18 358:3, 5, 8, 11, 16, 18, 19 359:22 360:8, 21 363:4 364:4 365:5 366:7 367:1, 9 370:2 373:12 375:8 376:16, 17 379:16 383:12

389:21 397:24 402:12 **believed** 40:6 101:5 181:5 196:*17* 198:*1*, 2 222:15 237:16 312:21 325:16 333:21 370:16 401:17 believing 343:9 **Bend** 236:15 361:6 Bender 2:23 9:12 16:6 21:14 22:3 23:6, 9 26:10, 14 33:16 56:9 60:9, 19 76:11 77:6 91:9 92:17 95:14 112:17 115:18 117:7 136:4 137:2 147:6, 22 149:20 154:19 158:4, 10 160:15, 19, 23 161:*17* 162:*4* 163:*21* 183:5 184:13 185:7 188:21, 22 190:22 191:23 192:3 202:3, 11, 16, 19, 20 204:6, 19 211:1 216:15 224:10 235:17, 21, 22 236:6, 14, 16, 21, 24 238:5, 10, 13 257:17, 22, 24 258:10, 15 259:12, 18 260:6, 11 262:1, 2 269:3, 22 270:3, 14, 20 276:5, 7 283:1 285:23 290:20 294:13 314:2 321:4 323:11 324:3, 7, 14 349:16, 22, 24 350:23 351:4 353:16 354:12 355:1, 8, 13 360:21 361:2, 6 367:8, 12, 15, 18 369:24 370:3 379:3, 4, 10 399:1, 16, 23 400:19 401:18, 21 **Bender's** 76:19 91:10 325:19 beneficial 246:21 benefit 308:12 **benefits** 37:11 47:5 bereavement 387:20

397:22 398:3 best 206:19 Bethlehem 3:2 **better** 31:20 53:18 200:4 315:22 329:17 371:24 376:24 377:14 **beyond** 380:14 **billing** 260:1, 12, 16 **bills** 128:17 **bit** 128:18 142:6, 19 261:15 315:20 363:24 **black** 88:6 148:15 **blast** 120:6 blatantly 94:6 **blindsided** 396:*14* **board** 18:4 19:22 31:22 32:3 41:2 50:17 85:19, 21, 24 115:15 130:23, 24 131:5, 20, 21 132:1, 4, 7, 9, 11, 17 133:11, 21 134:6, 11 211:18 223:8 238:24 251:2 258:24 291:6 375:22 377:17 388:16, 18 398:7 **boards** 120:2 **bodies** 374:13 **bottom** 15:13 17:5 136:7 188:18 189:11, 12 338:21 342:16 347:22 395:5 **bound** 194:12 **BOX** 2:13 56:1, 3 73:13, 17 **boxes** 148:19 **Bradley's** 366:4, 17 **brand** 116:13 break 13:10, 13 107:21 165:23 276:13 301:20, 24 **breaked** 308:18 **brief** 108:2 166:4 177:14 277:3 305:21 309:17, 20 310:12, 13 311:5, 6, 7, 13 382:2 383:7

briefing 310: <i>11</i>
311: <i>17</i>
briefly 108:14 368:24
bring 124:24 215:13,
22 359:16, 22 370:9
375:21 377:17
379:11 383:17
384: <i>16</i> 385: <i>4</i> 386: <i>15</i>
393:3 394:6
bringing 215:11, 18
272:5 291:6 403:3
Brodhead 3:2
brother 387:20
brought 51:19 93:11
133:8 149:3 211:5, 9,
11 246:15 247:13
249:2 <i>1</i> 256: <i>3</i> 272:9
278:5 320:24 375:23
385:16 395:18, 21
budget 131:18
Building 50:22
51:10, 24 52:11 86:2
120:11, 19, 22 142:10,
<i>14</i> 143:5, <i>13</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>19</i>
144:3, 8, 10, 15, 23
145:2 146:8, 12
147: <i>1</i> 2 149: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>
152:8, 14 158:23, 24
159:2, 5, 20, 24
160.10 17 161.1 2
160:10, 17 161:1, 2,
11, 16, 23 163:19, 23
187: <i>3</i> 189: <i>14</i> 205: <i>20</i>
206:15 226:15
229:17 230:17
231:22 246:10 247:1,
<i>16</i> , <i>24</i> 297:21 320:11,
16 328:8, 12, 19
329:5, 6, 11 332:3, 5,
24 334:4 335:16
348:9
buildings 120:4, 13
bullet 121:15 200:4
bulletin 120:2
bureau 123:20
144:16, 19 145:8
234:5 265:23 281:23
371:8 379:24 380: <i>3</i>
Burke 136:8, 14, 23
140: <i>9</i> , <i>10</i>

Burke's 136:19 business 25:12 busy 225:17 BV 99:2

< C > calendar 224:20 225:4 254:8 call 71:9 73:9 79:22 113:20 200:3 276:15 282:23 296:12 302:4, 7 303:22 304:5 344:17 358:3 396:21 **called** 15:18 16:15 66:11 81:12 86:5 112:22 123:5 169:3 213:11 255:22 273:17 309:24 310:10 374:8 394:12 **calling** 314:18 **calls** 56:23 117:3 **cameras** 190:18 191:*1*, 2 canceled 76:2, 10, 15 **candidly** 240:13 **Cap** 117:5 capacity 90:4, 6 98:17 107:10 249:15 375:22 **caption** 8:15 car 233:20 card 165:21 care 116:2, 7, 11 180:19 careful 97:6 **carrier** 302:16 case 8:15 67:24 96:24 174:13 309:18 390:8 case-by-case 323:6, 22, 24 catalyst 227:1, 3, 6 CATHERINE 2:2 9:1 10:11 234:24 349:3 383:19 catherine@dereksmith .com 2:4

cause 33:11 375:11

caused 218:23 222:10 237:2 240:8 334:5 causing 225:17 234:17 244:2 **CC** 318:24 **CC'ed** 76:1 77:2 150:14 156:8 167:19 363:21 **ceiling** 148:15, 18 153:14 154:6 **certain** 19:20 41:3 94:16 96:24 104:24 105:*1* 127:2*1* 129:*13* 146:*1* 149:*6*, *10* 155:3 169:5 205:6 243:14 251:8 255:13 298:14 323:15 326:19, 20 336:20 337:24 345:8, 13 373:10 374:24 397:24 certainly 39:24 248:2 311:1, 18 **certainty** 243:11, 18, 22 certification 240:4 372:6 **certified** 273:21 374:3 **certify** 404:5 **chain** 16:4 75:16 77:2 102:15, 18, 23 116:24 136:3 150:12 156:5, 9, 17 195:7 208:7 261:12 322:2 341:4 352:5 354:10 363:12 370:15 387:5 390:18 challenging 403:1 **chance** 311:19 **change** 53:10, 13 66:15 88:22 91:3, 14 99:16 103:15, 20 104:11 202:12, 24 209:24 240:17 257:5 274:5 393:11 changed 53:11 255:11 275:5 288:4

changes 47:2 69:15, *17* 99:2 115:*13*, *19* 130:17 132:10 155:13 232:17 236:24 275:3 287:9, 11 **changing** 132:18 289:19 channels 315:24 **charge** 77:23 192:4, 8 201:18 396:16 charges 392:13, 17, 24 **chart** 44:24 45:6, 21 **chatted** 61:15 **check** 32:23 33:2, 9, 23 34:1, 2, 21 35:1, 16 36:11 61:14 113:1, 6, 7 276:14, 21, 22 398:2 **checked** 35:2 36:9 213:15 **checklist** 24:11 25:1 checklists 25:13 checks 27:11 36:15, 20 chief 108:15, 18, 24 122:23 123:6 127:23 219:17 227:15 238:15 273:17, 20 274:10, 14 281:1 282:11 290:8, 9, 11 292:6, 23 293:1, 23 294:1 369:4, 10 370:6 371:5 372:12, *15, 19, 23* 375:7, 8, *18* 376:21 378:12 380:16, 19 400:9, 24 401:7 children 86:2 **Chinese** 340:1 **chosen** 288:17, 19 **Chris** 88:22 89:7, 22 Christine 139:3 401:*1* Christopher 92:1 **circled** 17:9, 21 87:6 circumstances 31:5 136:20 322:24 **CIVIL** 1:3 2:7

292:11 335:7

claim 102: <i>17</i> 103:8
117:22 122:23 123:4,
20 125:24 134:22
135.2 7 216.2
227:16 232:10 234:5
227:16 232:10 234:5 265:23 281:23
288:22 289:17 290:8,
10, 12 291:14, 17
369:5, 9, 20 370:17,
22 371:6, 8 379:24
380:3, 17 382:10
385:11, 19 391:12
394:11 400:10, 16
claiming 268:11
claims 49:12 50:1, 6
51:16 52:18 56:9, 21
57:19, 24 58:9, 12, 16,
20 59:7, 16 60:1, 7
102:13 114:23 115:2
119:2 142:21 234:21
289:5 291: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i>
Claire 170:19
171:14 176:1, 5
clarification 58:20
350:7, 12, 15, 19, 24
352:13 358:20
359: <i>15</i> 364: <i>16</i> , <i>23</i>
366:19
clarify 60:14 174:3
228:22
class 375:1
classes 240:10 375:3
classification 43:16
131:14 287:10, 19, 20
classifications 288:3
classified 214:8
clean 115:14 127:1
146:2 <i>1</i> 148:2 <i>4</i> 149: <i>4</i>
cleaned 147:7 148:7
151:8
Cleaning 146:20
149: <i>3</i> , <i>14</i>
cleans 149:15
clear 53:21 110:6
143:17 165:6 268:20
309: <i>1</i>
clearer 307:15
clerk 108:15, 18
125:21, 22 234:13, 14
,

243:2 244:23 clerk's 108:24 **client** 177:1 306:5, 15 **climbed** 207:2 232:23 **close** 97:5 **closed** 121:9 **closely** 333:23 **closer** 31:6 **Coleen** 1:22 8:21 404:4, 22 **collect** 128:22 collective 52:14 287:22 369:18 collectively 85:1 347:9 collectors 128:21 Columbia 2:9 **combined** 266:17 267:6 290:11 **combining** 123:*10* 400:18 come 21:7, 19 29:6, 8 37:9 41:2 49:5 74:18 84:8 86:16 89:11 102:5 113:7 125:7 131:24 135:14 136:17, 18 152:11 155:6, 9 159:4 163:12 183:9 189:10, 13, 16 207:1, 11 212:12 215:21 219:7, 8, 20, 21 220:8, 9 231:11 236:5 241:16 249:13 250:6 253:9, 10 256:9 259:11 273:14 281:18 282:14 306:2 329:10 336:6 351:*1* comes 33:9 359:3 366:2 386:5 comfortable 101:7 232:18 coming 35:18 39:15 113:15 143:12 188:18 218:5 234:16 334:3, 4 370:19 comma 94:19

command 16:4 102:16, 18, 23 195:8 370:16 **comment** 403:10 **comments** 60:10, 20 242:2 **commiss** 130:22 commission 19:14 Commissioner 73:11, *12* 74:6 109:20 110:14 132:18 164:20 165:8, 14 182:2*1* 187:6, *15*, 23 189:10 236:13 238:8. 9 402:24 403:4 commissioners 18:3, 8, 24 21:20 22:1, 3, 4, *18* 34:*18* 36:*10*, *23* 68:21 69:12 100:18 101:13 102:23 107:4 109:5, 7, 21 110:8 111:24 115:10 117:8, 9 130:20, 21 132:5, 6, 12, 19 133:10, 22, 24 134:2, 7 183:3 190:23 216:6, 8, 10, 19 250:24 369:14 399:10 402:9, 13, 18 commissioner's 19:3, 9 85:19, 21, 24 111:2 165:9 183:*14* 399:*3*, 8, 21 **common** 212:7 215:1 **commonly** 15:21 Commonwealth 224:21 communicate 60:23, 24 106:22 235:17 236:16 257:15 297:8 341:7 355:19 358:12 communicated 119:17 298:18 341:12 355:7 358:11 communication 106:23 121:16, 19 176:21, 22 177:3 182:13 212:17 260:12 283:11 291:8 297:7 298:13, 17 301:11, 21 315:4, 6

316:24 317:10 332:20 349:19 358:18 364:2 385:8 communications 77:1 119:16 176:14 212:16 306:5 309:10 360:12 364:7 386:9 company 49:2 146:20 149:3, 4, 14 comparison 82:24 **compile** 25:12 compiling 25:18 **complaint** 53:2 93:4, 20 94:2, 8 107:1, 2 121:23 122:7, 8 148:11 174:4 complaints 93:15 94:1, 11 147:23 148:13, 14 150:3 175:*13* complete 11:22 15:23 24:8 37:1, 12 129:4 213:6 226:16 229:20 230:23 237:22 240:3 244:3 246:23 247:17, 23 252:16, 21 254:2 256:19 257:4 262:20 263:16, 22 264:6 266:18 287:3 320:15, 16 321:16 333:8, 20 335:3 completed 15:24 16:3, 5, 6 34:1, 6 35:6 37:10, 17, 24 38:2 63:22 105:*1* 139:24 171:16 226:13 229:19 234:3 238:1 240:19 241:8, 12 242:14 243:14 244:16 246:15 247:13 257:10 263:4, 14, 18 264:2, 3, 5 265:3 267:5 322:23 356:2 completely 11:24 94:8 96:18 125:18 336:2 **completes** 17:*3* 213:*3*

completing 16:23 17:4 264:12, 13, 22, 23 321:8 completion 208:20 242:9 253:2 258:21 267:11 289:22 291:4 299:4 320:21 compliance 275:4 323:4, 13 348:6 complied 385:5 compliment 129:14, 17, 18 complimented 129:14 **con** 307:3 **concern** 33:11 119:13 122:1, 6, 12 174:6, 22 202:1 222:6, 10, 12 236:21 320:20, 23, 24 concerns 50:19 148:11, 13 153:9 157:7, 11, 21 168:18 190:7 197:7 215:6 231:17 233:10, 23 242:2 268:21 333:18 335:8 350:3 395:17, 20 396:5 **concise** 229:4 concluded 403:22 **conclusion** 219:7, 8, 13, 15, 22, 23 220:2, 8, 10, 18, 21, 24 221:1, 3 224:5 241:17 246:17 250:6 328:23 conclusions 273:14 condensed 75:21 condition 138:10 147:23 conditions 28:18 147:4 148:5 150:24 151:1 279:24 **conduct** 160:7 190:11, 17 205:5 237:3 conducted 33:24 36:21 71:2, 3, 6 393:12 conference 11:6 186:24 187:10, 16, 24

188:24 196:12 confident 34:19 CONFIDENTIAL 1:10 9:22 confidentiality 27:13 **confirm** 28:18 136:8 175:18, 23 250:10 confirmation 29:12 251:23 confirmed 42:15 confirming 205:19 **conform** 110:19 **confused** 341:24 360:3 **confusing** 87:14, 16 conjunction 313:4 338:18 connection 110:11 242:11 373:21 consecutive 338:20 356:20 357:5 366:*1* consent 32:22 33:1 34:10 **consented** 89:12, 23 consequences 254:12 consider 194:2 226:18 247:2, 18 254:15 311:3, 19 332:23 333:10 342:18 343:7 344:3 346:11 367:23 368:16 391:2 consideration 194:8 198:14 200:7, 14 207:16 229:16 259:21 329:14, 22 398:19 considered 196:8 260:2 320:11 368:2, 19 consistent 323:4, 12, 13 **constitute** 303:10 304:22 constructed 356:24 consult 274:6 297:15 315:11 367:8 381:6, 13 389:22 consultant 215:12, 14, 15, 18, 21 272:5, 9, 12,

15 279:21 280:1 281:19 283:17 284:22, 24 379:11 380:20 consultants 280:8 consultation 280:15 283:5 300:4 306:23 307:3 318:20 336:5 362:2 367:15 consultations 349:18 386:11 **consulted** 160:21 328:3 349:16 367:6, 10.11 384:17 386:5 389:18 consumed 13:1 contact 142:23, 24 151:7 176:*11* 205:*21* 206:3 235:19 236:5 284:11, 17, 18 314:15 364:17, 19 **contacted** 148:16, 17 176:11, 24 297:3 314:21 **contacting** 90:23, 24 91:1 contained 185:20 **containing** 153:*15* **content** 148:2 contents 10:20 57:3 78:16 96:1, 16, 17, 21 97:9 162:12 167:21 177:2, 17 178:13, 16, 18 179:2, 7, 22, 23 182:9, 15 231:11 301:3 302:18 contest 151:7 395:19 context 50:15 305:11 307:16 308:12 309:10, 11 311:3 **contingent** 27:9, 18 continually 269:3 348:5 continuation 352:8 354:9 **continue** 33:*17* 93:15 136:10 143:15 236:4 237:1 239:13 contract 53:16 54:11, 12, 13 208:17 210:7

279:19 280:8, 14, 16 281:12 283:5 287:22 contracted 376:6 contractor 53:6 279:21, 23 280:1 282:11 283:17 284:22, 24 376:4 **contractors** 124:18, 23 contracts 280:11 contractual 210:5 contradiction 203:22 204:12 contradictory 203:17 contributed 228:9 321:12 control 40:19 229:22 230:3 329:1 controller 112:16 133:24 134:3, 8 **controllers** 47:10, 12 48:12, 19, 21 62:5 112:11 113:1, 10 controller's 46:21 controls 48:10 convenient 393:22 conversation 10:21 50:15 52:16 57:8 74:20 89:21 96:16, 17 97:10 103:5 137:3 138:18 142:17 144:6 147:2*1* 148:*3* 149:18 150:1 160:4 171:24 172:15 177:18 178:13, 18, 19 179:2, 3, 6, 13 180:3, *17* 181:*15*, *22* 185:*15* 186:5, 12 187:22 188:*3*, *4*, *6* 192:*19* 205:17, 24 206:8, 9, 17 219:11 224:13 248:18, 19 260:5, 13 261:17 283:22 287:24 288:5, 8 301:3 302:18 306:6 307:18 308:13, 21 309:6 314:19 317:9 350:22 355:10 conversations 50:13 51:3 52:10 56:8, 24 57:4, 6 58:8 96:17,

21 99:10 117:4 131:11 143:4, 7, 21 152:16 154:19 155:7 162:8 202:10 210:20 211:2, 11 225:19 227:8 228:13 230:20 231:14 277:15 300:11, 14 303:5, 7 360:11, 16 361:2 392:3 402:11 403:9 conviction 33:10 coordinator 210:3 234:15 coordinator/field 209:12 **copies** 15:4 80:19 81:2, 5, 6 121:3, 8 166:*13* **copy** 8:2 15:3 23:18 63:9, 18 70:1, 16 76:24 80:22 81:11, 14 105:12 106:10 112:3 115:12, 13 176:6 318:2*1* 319:2, **correct** 10:1 12:15 14:23 15:20 16:7, 10 17:*17* 18:*9*, *13* 19:*1*, 15, 24 26:15, 19 29:3 30:2, 19, 23 31:13 32:4, 23 33:21, 24 34:11, 14 36:12 37:1 38:14, 18 39:1, 16 42:10 47:8 59:2 62:5 63:12, 15 64:3, 4, 7, 13, 16, 21 66:1, 4 67:8 68:17, 21 70:6 72:14 73:7, 12 76:16 77:3, 16, 19 78:13 81:13 83:1 84:9 86:7 87:7 89:9, 16 92:1, 6 99:3, 21 100:13, 22 101:18 102:20, 24 103:4, 20, 23 104:2, 14 105:20, *22* 107:8 109:5, *17* 110:9, 10 111:18 117:13 118:8, 22 120:16 121:23 122:15, 20, 24 123:6,

17 128:23 129:5 130:5, 17 134:16, 23 136:4 137:*14* 138:*1* 141:9 142:10, 15 144:16 145:5, 14, 18, *21* 147:*14* 151:2*1*, *24* 153:17, 22 154:9 156:13, 20 157:4, 8, 9, *14*, *18* 158:7 159:*15*, 21 160:5 161:2, 5 165:11 172:6 182:7 185:*1* 186:*3*, *15* 187:7, 14, 24 189:23 190:5 191:24 192:24 196:*18*, *24* 197:*7* 198:16 199:20, 24 200:20 203:22 204:22 206:4 207:21 208:12, 20 209:15, 18 215:7, 10 217:9 218:9, 22 219:6, 14 221:15 222:13 223:4 224:6, 24 225:4 227:7 239:6 242:15 244:9, 19 245:15 248:8 254:5, 12, 13 255:19, 24 258:17 259:16 261:23 262:6 263:7, 10, 11, 18, 22 264:4, 15 266:3, 13 267:5 269:1, 22 270:3 271:8 273:4, 10, 18, 22 275:17, 18 280:2, 5, 7, 9, 10, 18, 22 281:2, 5, 8, 24 282:1 287:13 290:15 292:2, 7, 15, 16, 24 293:18, 19, 21 294:1, *4*, *7* 295:*3*, 9 297:24 298:5 301:18 313:5 314:14 315:17 318:1, 22 319:19 320:6 322:11, 18 326:18 327:15 328:10, 13, 16, 20 329:8, 12 332:7, 20 333:2 335:3, 13, 18, 22 336:13 339:24 341:5, 9, 12 344:11, 18, 22 346:17 347:24 350:20 351:19 352:9

353:23 354:10, 14, 20 356:3, 14, 17, 23 358:24 359:4 362:7, 24 363:18, 21, 24 366:6, 14, 20, 24 368:14 369:2, 6, 10, 14 370:10 372:13, 16 375:13 379:22, 24 380:4, 10 383:10 385:14 387:15, 24 388:18 389:9 390:19 395:12 396:22 397:16, 20, 21 399:5, 11 401:4, 12, 13, 24 **corrected** 154:*17* **Corrections** 31:*12*, *19* correctly 58:23 343:16 370:11 correspondence 121:22 184:12 336:18 361:19 362:17, 20 363:2, 16 Counsel 2:5, 11, 15, 18, 23 3:3 9:20 50:13 56:24 95:9, 17, 19, 21 96:3, 5 97:9, 11, 14 166:18 176:15, 21, 22 270:12 277:16 301:8 302:9, 11 303:3, 18 305:12, 17 307:18, 20 308:5, 16, *17* 309:*11*, *12* 382:*23* 383:3, 17 384:3, 13, 17 385:1, 19, 23 386:9 390:1 count 123:22 **counties** 300:16 372:7 **COUNTY** 1:3 8:4, *16* 9:*12* 14:*17*, *18*, 22 15:19 16:7, 12 17:19 21:4 24:18, 20, 23 26:10 28:14 30:1, 14, 22 31:3 33:3 39:1, 10, 13 40:14, 21 41:3, 22 42:18 43:24 44:23 45:1, 8, 22 47:7 48:9 49:3, 12, 20 50:1, 9, 10, 20 54:12, 14 55:7, 11, 20

56:5, 10 57:10, 15, 21, 24 58:17 59:1, 5, 9 61:6, 8 62:13 63:9 65:5 73:23 76:1, 10 87:20 88:15, 24 89:8 92:14 93:13 94:19, 21, 23 95:2, 21 97:22 98:11, 18 100:11 101:11 102:2, 13, 19, 22 103:3, 6 104:8, 23 105:12 106:14 107:3, 4, 5, 11, 15, 17 112:21, 22, 23 113:2, 3, 4, 8, 13 115:21 116:9 118:3, 16 119:15 120:2 121:2, 5 122:18 128:16 129:2*1* 131:*16* 133:*3* 134:6 137:19 140:2 142:7 144:2 148:8 150:24 151:20 152:6, 12 160:24 163:1 173:3 174:7, 20 176:*4* 182:*19* 184:*13* 194:*13* 195:2 201:*4* 204:21, 23 211:4, 6, 10 212:8, 16, 24 213:6 214:23 215:17 218:9, 17 220:5 226:10, 17 227:9 229:14 230:4, 6 233:4 239:14 240:1, 10 241:12 249:9, 11, 21 254:12, 21 255:5, 9 257:16 258:15 260:16 262:17 268:18 272:23 274:1, 13, 15, 21 279:8 280:15 281:14 283:4 287:14 290:4 292:14 295:22 296:2 320:13 321:1, 2, 5 324:17, 18 326:15 330:12 333:22 334:4, 14, 21 335:7, 16, 21 337:6 341:18 348:5 360:3 362:2 372:3, 8 373:6 376:3 389:11, 14 390:23 393:2, 21

394:11 397:3 400:23 county-issued 144:11 county-owned 161:2, county's 18:23 86:4 92:9 100:24 107:13 111:17 113:8 142:9 201:18 224:22 239:10, 11 296:7 **couple** 38:16 100:16 224:18 350:18 couple-minute 107:21 **course** 25:12 **COURT** 1:2 8:1, 11, 21 11:8, 11, 16 95:1 113:12 289:12 307:9, 12 308:7, 11, 23 309:7, 19, 22 310:3, 8, 18, 24 311:11 312:2 COURTHOUSE 1:4 8:17 22:24 85:16 120:3, 7 141:16 142:9 159:15 163:3, 10, 13 164:3, 9 165:21 183:10 186:20 188:15, 22 189:4, 6, 11, 13, 14 190:15, 19 197:12, 13 198:3 205:14, 15 206:21 230:14 232:18 233:11, 17, 19, 20 236:5, 23 237:9 247:20 248:2, 20 286:19, 20 329:16 **Courtroom** 187:1, 16 188:23 cover 193:19 coverage 233:3 coverages 47:2 **covered** 83:3 302:9 309:13 **COVID** 137:15, 17, 19 138:11, 12, 20 140:2 214:16 225:16, 22 240:9 253:6 254:16, 22 255:19 **CPE** 126:4, 22 127:11, 14, 19 208:20 240:10 274:2, 7 275:1, 3 371:11, 14,

17 372:3, 9, 13, 16, 22 373:17 375:1, 9, 11, *15* 376:23 378:*13* 379:20 399:1 CPE-licensed 127:18 Craft 25:19 37:20 **created** 16:9 39:6 130:23 **creation** 131:*1* 132:18 133:3 **credentials** 278:9, *18* criminal 34:4 critiques 69:16 **current** 253:17 348:12 curve 255:18 **cut** 113:1 **cutting** 113:4

< D >

daily 157:17 269:15 324:2 355:13 **Dana** 234:4 dangerous 207:2 232:23 **Dash** 134:22 135:5 136:4, 18 137:4 140:8 **Dash's** 136:6 data 243:12, 14 244:24 245:6 date 8:12 12:22 18:20 19:13, 22 23:16 33:24 35:6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14 36:24 37:14 38:14 39:19 41:15 43:12 50:4 61:11 66:3 90:22 104:13, 22 105:6, 15 106:1, 13, 15, 16 113:23, 24 156:20 159:9 246:16 247:13 254:10, 11 280:19 293:3, 20 317:12 318:3 348:16 356:4 377:6 404:21 dated 38:23 42:8 151:23 199:8 296:5 341:21 342:4, 19, 22 343:8, 10, 23 344:4

dates 35:8 38:12 154:15 348:12 day 37:24 50:19, 20 51:7 69:20 70:18 76:19 78:1, 8, 12 137:6 142:13 147:16, 18 152:22 168:1 171:22 172:12, 13, 14, *16* 181:*12*, *19* 187:*5*, 8, 10 190:3 205:8 232:15 233:15 236:2 257:9 262:5 292:18 333:7 350:8 355:18 363:24 398:7 400:20. 21 davs 187:22 205:12 225:3, 6 237:16 255:15 262:5 264:11, *17* 288:*13* 310:*17*, *21*, 23 311:9 350:18 **deadline** 254:7 263:2 deadlines 348:6 **dealing** 56:14 57:23 181:14 **dealt** 20:11 54:20 58:7 306:15 death 387:14, 20 388:1 398:10, 19 **Deb** 134:21 249:17, 20 252:7, 15 **DEBISE** 3:5 9:6 **December** 18:12. 16 19:15, 23 28:6 32:23 34:14 37:15 152:5 **decide** 244:1 **decided** 89:18 90:5, 9 92:15 117:2 204:16 216:12 268:21 290:5 368:13 369:18 370:7 378:18 379:1 400:18 decides 97:22 decision 52:14 78:11 102:16 103:7 107:16 112:19 138:2 142:8 158:10 164:11 210:15 216:5, 10 220:6 227:13, 18 290:1, 15, 18 325:20

341:18 346:12 353:22 365:4, 12 369:16, 18 370:12 377:10, 21 379:17 384:13, 18, 20 385:2, 7 386:6, 17 389:6 390:8 401:18, 22 decision-making 103:7 **decisions** 97:1 290:5 302:20 377:4 **deductions** 46:8 47:3 deeds 213:12 242:24 **deeper** 311:3 **defendant** 9:13, 16, 19 14:18 16:5 19:14 23:3 26:10 56:9, 20 57:18 58:11 72:14, 23 74:11 75:24 76:19 77:6 96:7 149:19, 20 163:2, 17 164:2 171:4 172:24 175:17 178:6 180:24 184:*3* 188:*14* 191:*23* 196:17 202:3 206:10 229:17 230:13, 16 231:3 232:10, 17, 22 233:7, 11, 16 234:10, 20 235:17 236:6, 14, 16, 21, 24 237:3 238:5, 9, 13 239:9 248:7 269:22 270:3 285:22 367:8, 11, 15 399:16 **Defendants** 1:4 8:5 58:6 306:1 defense 382:22, 23 383:3, 9, 12, 14, 16, 17 384:3 385:19 **defer** 326:7 deferred 326:9 definitely 292:4 349:4 delay 245:10 delayed 225:18 226:1 delegate 265:5 delinquency 227:21 244:2 259:1, 7 334:16 402:17

327:21 331:14

delinquent 214:12
223:9, <i>14</i> 225:7, <i>12</i>
241:10 265:14
delivered 107:1
delving 220:13
demographic 37:5
demote 288:17, 19
demoted 134:16, 20
227:11 228:11, 24
260:17 294:9 369:1
375:17, 24 376:8, 11,
12 398:4
demotion 224:12
227:2 229:9 239:5
272: <i>16</i> 280: <i>22</i> 281: <i>1</i>
288:23 291:23
369:17 378:12
388:17 398:20 399:4
demotions 401:3
denial 239:10, 12
322:7, 17 335:10
336:11 346:6 354:15
367:23 368:17
denied 170:20 324:9
368·1 389·1 18
368:1 389:1, 18 denies 319:18
Denise 136:8, 14
deny 324:8 350:5
351:4, 10 353:16
390:8
denying 350:9
389:2 <i>3</i>
DEPARTMENT 2:7
9:8, 10 31:12, 18
32:10 47:7 62:8, 9
71:8 130:16 131:3,
22 148:17, 24 152:8
154:22 171: <i>15</i>
175:12 176:5 183:11
195: <i>4</i> 205: <i>9</i> 273: <i>16</i>
274:10 364:17
departments 62:3, 11,
<i>18</i> 255: <i>13</i>
depend 312:13
depending 87:16
depends 312: <i>12</i>
deponent 306:13
308:16
DEPOSITION 1:18
8:14 10:17 11:13

12:13 13:22 15:2
45:17 305:8 306:1
308:13 311:15
385:22 403:22
depositions 383:6
deputy 123:6 127:24
290:8, 11 369:10
371:5 372: <i>15</i> 380: <i>19</i>
401:7, 11
DEREK 2:2 9:1
Derrick 9:5
described 308:14
describing 36:14
149: <i>19</i>
DESCRIPTION 4:10
41:21 42:9, 18 43:17
44:8 269:8, <i>14</i>
270:2 <i>1</i> 271: <i>3</i> 287: <i>7</i> ,
12 300:21 301:2
302:21 304:1, 14, 19
308:10 326:2
descriptions 287:10,
16
designate 182:21
designated 183:2
Desiree 366:12
desk 145:24
despite 225:16
335:20 336:9
destroyed 226:9
247:4, 15
detailed 395:10
detector 233:18, 21
determination 98:18,
22 106: <i>17</i> 160: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>
161:18 216:17
299: <i>13</i> 300: <i>1</i> 312:23
313:2, 12 334:17
391:24
determine 33:17
37:8 96:22 98: <i>12</i>
128:6 131:12 178:9
190:18 211:7 212:3,
7 245:8 283:14
284:7, <i>13</i> 312:9
determined 20:2
107:9 245: <i>13</i> 284:23
315:13 370:21
determining 278:19
2/0.17

```
329:15
detrimental 376:20
Detweiler 249:18, 20
252:15
Detweiler's 252:7
Diane 252:18
DICKIE 3:1
die 398:13
diem 16:20 250:21,
23 251:16, 22 252:9
279:19
diems 124:18, 23
250:18
difference 126:10
401:14
different 30:3, 9
31:4 32:4 45:17
48:11 62:3, 14 78:8
110:13 117:19 118:2,
4 120:2, 3 128:9
141:23 143:2 187:3
230:17 241:17 285:1
287:22 307:22
337:12 371:23 389:9
difficult 226:13
371:22
difficulty 371:16, 20
direct 26:14 107:3,
19 177:10 193:23
194:11 200:8 203:11
204:11, 14 257:16
322:21 323:2 364:8
directed 171:12
193:8 195:22 216:14
290:21 378:18 379:1
directing 200:4
DIRECTION 7:1
194:10 202:15 211:7
295:2 331:4 399:22
directive 271:4, 5
directly 30:12, 16
47:12 55:24 106:22
122:8 160:16 169:7
281:18 291:18
300:16 315:4 359:17
360:1, 4 364:18
director 17:17 19:19
21:4 26:6 28:6 39:9,
15 41:6 42:9 43:18,
23 53:9, 14 77:13
```

80:10 92:6, 22 93:2, 10, 11, 20 94:10 98:9, *16* 101:8 102:*12*, *19* 103:4, 9 107:18, 19 122:2, 4, 23 123:4 130:15 134:22 135:2, 6, 7 186:13, 14 227:15 290:10, 12 330:23 362:6 369:5, 9 370:17 380:3, 17 400:10 **disagree** 306:13 315:3 disagreement 235:22 disapproved 17:20 disbanded 403:6 **disciplinary** 31:8, 15, 24 32:6, 11 335:20 336:10, 12 disciplined 226:22 229:14 335:12 disciplining 226:18 disclosing 177:17 **discovery** 310:15 **discretion** 92:9, 14, 21 94:20 100:11 103:12 107:12, 13 202:6, 22 203:16 204:8 205:9 discretionary 195:14 discuss 52:2 56:15 58:18 59:16, 24 91:5 160:15 168:14 208:16, 18 209:2, 3, 23 210:2, 9 215:22 221:9, 23 273:3 278:6 288:2 297:1 315:1, 2 364:18, 20 387:9 388:14 391:11, 14, 23 394:13 397:6 400:14, 15 **discussed** 33:16 60:3 76:21 88:21 91:12, 18 96:9, 10 97:5 148:9 168:2 188:10 195:7 222:23 226:5 240:7 269:2 275:11 279:7 282:2, 3 334:7 350:1 367:19 392:11 402:7

discussing 88:*3* 91:*4* 272:3 278:7 382:8 395:9 discussion 51:15 83:17 84:3, 14, 15 85:9, 10 88:24 89:2, *3* 91:20 107:15 115:9 136:23 158:3 163:20, 22 171:7 222:9, 16, 19, 21 239:20 248:1 272:5 278:14 286:14, 17, 22 289:3 291:5, 17 308:17 336:24 350:23 354:23 355:3 358:13 376:1 378:4 381:10 396:20 397:8 discussions 20:5, 12 82:15 83:3 84:24 95:16 99:7 112:15 147:6 159:19 162:4 168:3, 6, 10 170:10 182:10 189:8 210:8 211:4 215:23, 24 216:10 219:10 222:4 229:24 232:5 272:17, 19, 24 273:9 275:12, 20 279:13, 15 282:7, 24 291:1, 3, 7, 10 350:3 370:2, 19 377:17 378:8, 18 382:9, 12 389:24 391:2 398:18 disingenuous 202:20 displayed 84:20 **dispute** 307:13 disrupted 334:6 disruption 237:2 disruptions 375:11 dissatisfaction 151:1, dissented 109:24 110:7, 8 **dissenting** 110:14, 15 **dissents** 109:20 distancing 81:1 distinction 130:12 303:7

DISTRICT 1:2, 19 2:9 30:23 31:2, 8 35:17 **DIVISION** 2:7 56:5 384:10 387:9 **divulge** 291:19 doc 402:15 **DOCKET** 1:3 34:5 36:*17* 310:20 311:*13*, 14 **doctor** 315:11 327:1, *11* 333:*3* 340:2, *5*, *10* 343:17 346:11 360:1, 8 **doctors** 359:24 doctor's 299:18 344:24 345:8, 24 **document** 15:16 24:6 26:3 28:3 29:11, 22 30:18 32:20 38:10 42:6 43:7, 9, 12, 19 44:22 65:11 66:10 67:3, 24 68:9, 10 72:19 74:7, 8 78:24 88:5 106:*1*, 6 115:7, 12, 14, 18 121:*13* 129:2*1* 130:*3*, 8 136:1 139:14 140:15 150:12, 19 166:10 167:7 184:21 199:3, 8 276:17 277:7, 8 278:22 295:18, 20 296:4 301:22 302:13, 17 306:3, 19 307:1, 7, 8, 24 308:5, 8 309:4 319:15 330:7 339:11, *16* 342:*4* 343:*13* 345:*4* 346:*14* 348:*23* 359:17 364:12 367:2, 4 390:21 402:16 documentation 169:5 174:11 297:1, 15, 16, 18 299:18 314:23 326:9 327:1, 11 330:18 341:17 344:22 347:2 353:1 documenting 260:12 **DOCUMENTS** 7:11 25:18 29:5 46:6

78:15 81:16 86:17 153:17 169:11 205:24 278:20 330:11 359:23 **DOE** 1:2 3:6, 7 5:15, 16, 17 8:16, 24 9:2, 3, 4 13:23 14:1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14 50:21 51:4, 21 52:6, 7 59:2 60:21 73:5 75:24 76:22 77:8, 18 79:22 90:23, 24 94:5, 8 114:5 122:18, 19, 22 123:3, 8, 12, 15 124:1, 2, 11, 12 126:18 134:15, 16 142:8 143:8, 9 145:*1*, *4*, *6*, *11*, *20* 146:7, *8*, 10, 11, 21 147:2, 13, 22, 23 148:20 150:18 151:2, *19* 152:*13* 153:8, 21 156:11, 16, 22 157:6, 10, 11, 12, *17*, *20*, *22* 158:*15*, *22* 160:8, 9 161:22, 23 164:23, 24 166:11, 22 167:10 168:12, 14, 22 169:17, 23 170:22 171:3, 4, 6, 12, 13, 21, 23, 24 172:1, 5, 15, 16, *21* 173:9, *14* 176:*11* 177:23, 24 178:3 182:19, 20, 23, 24 183:16, 19 184:1, 2, 10, 23, 24 185:10 187:2, 9 188:8 190:6, 8 192:11, 22 193:13 194:2, *6*, *17* 195:*11*, *17* 196:3, 6, 7, *10*, *13*, 15, 16, 23 197:2, 6, 8, 9, 10 198:14, 18, 21 199:4, 19, 23 200:7, 18 202:4, 5, 11, 21 204:7 205:18 206:2 207:18, 21, 22 208:11 209:17, 21 210:20 215:10, 14 217:12 219:11 220:14 221:8, 9, 17, 18 223:6, 10, 17, 18 224:3, 19 225:20

226:8, 9, 12, 14, 19, 21 227:2, 11 228:11, 23, 24 229:10, 14, 18, 20 230:14, 23, 24 232:12, 24 234:10, 20 235:11, *16* 236:2, *3*, *12*, *20*, *23* 237:8, 14, 21, 24 238:7, 15 239:5, 9, 23 240:2, 7, 18, 24 241:17 242:19 245:1, 9, 14, 16, 20 246:1, 11, 12, 13, 20, 22 247:3, 4, 10, 15, 19, 22 248:1, 19, 20 249:7, 11 250:3 251:23 253:1, 10, 11 254:15, 19, 24 255:1 256:2, 18, 23 257:3, 14, 21 259:13, 19 260:3, 7, 17 261:16 262:4, 11, 14, 16, 20, 23 263:1, 6 264:21, 24 265:2, 5 266:11, 16, 17 267:4, 7, 9, 13, 14, 15 268:22 269:5 272:16 280:21 282:11 286:18, 23 287:1, 2 288:1, 11, 12, *18*, *20*, *23*, *24* 289:20 291:7, 13, 22, 23 292:1 294:3 295:8 296:12, 24 297:20, 23 298:2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21 299:1, 12, 24 300:21 312:18 314:6, 9, 18 315:9, 17, 24 316:12, 18, 21 317:24 318:22, 24 319:1, 2, 18 320:20 321:10 322:4, 15, 20, 24 324:10, 11 325:7, *14*, *20* 326:*1*, *2*, *17*, *18* 327:7, 13, 14 328:15 329:2, 7 331:14, 17, 22, 24 332:4, 24 333:15 334:2, 3, 23 335:2 336:1, 12, 19 338:8 340:4 341:5, 7 344:16 345:7, 14, 24 346:16, 17, 19, 21 347:1, 2, 3, 23 348:4,

7 349:10 350:4, 16, 18 351:18, 21 352:11, 22 353:14 354:15 356:3 357:17, 18, 20, 21, 24 358:6, 10, 15, *17* 360:*12*, *13*, *17*, *18*, 19 361:1 362:18 363:3, 17, 20, 23 364:3, 6, 8, 19 365:2, 8, 15 366:19 369:1, 4, 8, 19, 20 370:8, 12, 16, 21, 23 371:4, 10, 13 375:17, 24 376:8, 10, 19 378:5, 11, 22 379:11, 17, 20, 23 380:6, 8, 15, 16, 18 387:8, 13, 14, 19 388:4, 5, 6, 11, 15, 17, 24 389:6, 19 390:21, 22 391:1, 8 392:3, 4 393:23 394:8 395:7, 18, 21 396:5, 16, 22 397:6, 15, 18, 20, 22 398:9, 10, 19 399:4 400:9, 13, 22 403:5 doing 25:4, 9 54:8, 14 55:3, 6, 10 57:14 61:5 138:14 179:5 234:12 241:4 245:1 267:7, 10, 18 268:22 301:4, 6, 9 316:15 325:14 379:13 door 144:14 145:5, 7, *16*, *21*, *22* 146:*1* 187:4 188:18 189:22 205:19 206:3, 6, 11, 19 **Doreen** 17:15 20:10, 23 21:13 22:2 26:6 28:6 52:20 71:3, 13, 14 74:20 85:12 87:20 88:14 154:4 171:7, 11 183:4 186:*14* 188:7 191:*17* 196:6 209:14, 20 210:17 283:1 362:16 **double** 65:3 **Dr** 331:6 366:4, 16 draft 174:7, 9 185:13 271:23 272:2

275:7, 16 276:4 286:13 323:16 363:16 **drafted** 68:8, 13 185:5 231:4 318:5, 8, 17, 18 **drafting** 185:3, 6 198:15 231:9 282:18 **drafts** 287:15 draw 347:16 **drop-dead** 254:6, 11 drug 27:10 29:7, 10 145:9, 12 158:6 319:11 **due** 76:15 81:1 125:11 225:8 237:2 254:22 255:19 310:16 duly 10:4 **dumb** 128:18 dumbed-down 129:2 duties 42:14 43:22 44:12, 17 46:3, 5 54:1, 2 129:4 200:19 201:1 214:3 232:13 237:11 246:12, 14 255:23 256:19 264:22, 24 265:17, 20, 22 286:23 287:3, 18 299:2, 12 300:1 312:15 313:7, 8, 12 322:7, 16, 18 326:2, 12, 16 327:14 329:7 337:22 **duty** 112:22, 23 113:2, 6 116:11 265:3 288:13 312:16, 18, 22 313:4 325:3 duty/subpoenas 111:17 **DWK** 17:10 <E> earlier 12:13 69:19 81:10 90:21 95:13 108:14 142:7 154:18 242:12 328:9 364:3 372:12 382:8 early 52:18 53:3 193:9, 10 195:22

207:20, 23 246:20 295:5 **EEO** 88:23 89:8 90:13 91:1, 19 92:23 93:3 97:23 98:1, 10, 13 100:10 103:22 104:4, 8 107:5, 8, 10 118:1 122:4 **EEOC** 77:22 114:7 192:4 392:13, 17, 23 396:16 EEO-related 95:22 **effect** 11:7 252:14 277:10 359:15 **effective** 293:20 320:12 efficient 222:10 efficiently 380:9 **effort** 142:23 **efforts** 152:23, 24 153:5 eight 124:9, 10, 16 either 49:22 80:20 84:24 89:5 94:22 95:20 98:5 99:2 103:17 133:7 140:24 145:*16* 146:*7* 157:*21* 172:22 223:18 246:2 247:19 307:10 319:24 327:2 332:16 373:13 387:15 389:15 391:3, 5 **Elaine** 21:15 37:22 158:5 **elected** 33:5 40:16, 22 41:2, 7 72:2 73:20, 24 74:1 131:23 134:4 229:22 230:3 **election** 144:16, 19 145:8 **elevator** 189:*13* eligible 317:4 eliminate 289:6 eliminating 288:24 elimination 290:7 else's 257:6 371:22 **e-mail** 56:1, 2 72:11, 13 74:9 75:24 76:7 81:6 114:2 119:19

120:6 121:22 136:3, 6 150:12, 15, 16, 17, 18 151:17, 19 153:21 154:4 156:5, 9, 11, 12, 16 157:6, 10 167:21 168:12 173:16 174:14, 15, 17 175:4 181:17 182:5, 7, 8, 16 184:11, 19 187:12 190:1 192:12, 15 193:5, 6, 7, 17 195:21 196:10 197:9, 17 198:15 202:16 203:4, 5, 6 208:11, 15 209:21 217:4, 8, 19 219:5 221:14 234:20 236:20 242:19 246:19 247:21 259:13 261:12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 22 262:12 263:14 267:8 271:21 275:15 298:13, 17 304:*1* 307:*7* 313:2*3* 316:9 322:2, 9, 10 323:16 341:3, 4, 15 343:2 347:23 348:4, 14, 17, 20 350:15, 19 351:10, 18 352:9 355:8, 11, 19 358:1, 4, 6, 11 361:18 363:12, *16* 365:*14* 366:*3*, *24* 368:12 387:5, 7, 18 394:8 395:5, 6 397:15 398:8 e-mailed 81:2 156:22 302:15 **e-mails** 55:8, 12, 13, 15, 21 75:17 77:3 116:24 208:7 235:3 240:14, 17 297:9 352:6 354:10 390:19 embankment 207:3 232:24 **employed** 40:20 88:14 122:20, 22 124:10 207:14 274:22 397:4 **employee** 28:*15* 42:17 50:20 62:15 79:7 80:23 97:24

00.0 15 101.4
98:8, <i>15</i> 101:4
102:11 105:6 106:2,
9, 13, 24 113:13
117:22, 23 121:18
122:1, 12, 15 138:6, 9
140: <i>3</i> 173:2 <i>1</i> , 22
174:4, 12, 19 175:3, 7,
<i>14</i> 194: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i> 224: <i>12</i>
230:4, 8 251:16
254:1 279:22 358:23
359:10, 16 364:14
365:7 375:20 376:2,
3, 6 389:5, 12 393:3
396:10
employees 40:14
47:3, 8, 16 48:18
62:2 71:10, 19, 23
80:8, 14 86:1 101:16
104:24 112:22, 24
119: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> 123:20
125: <i>1</i> , <i>8</i> 126:2
127:14, 18 135:11
148:8 163:11 173:6
175:1, 13 185:17
186: <i>18</i> 189: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>16</i> ,
19 190:13 191:1
192:17, 23 193:8, 18,
24 194:10, 12 195:4,
<i>13</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>23</i> 200:5, <i>9</i> , <i>13</i> ,
20 201:7, 14, 15, 16
202:7, 23 203:11
204:9, 11, 14, 16
205:10 206:3 240:10
249:13 250:8, 23
251:22 252:4 253:17,
23 254:22 255:1, 5, 9,
11, 23 263:7 322:22
324:18 359:9 391:14
employee's 48:3
202:1 312:8
employer 358:23
364:13, 15
employers 48:2
359:8
employment 14:21
16:13 20:6 23:12
24:13, 22 25:17
27:12 28:18 30:4, 6
33:15 37:24 40:10,
20 52:18, 20 53:4, 16

Kevised
55.14 10 57.14 50.2
55:14, 19 57:14 58:2
115:21 120:21
122:18 125:6, 7, 9
142:7, 13 143:18
159:9 192:6 199:8
206:24 207:6, 9, 13,
20, 23 218:9, 11, 21
231:16, 19, 24 233:4
234:3 235:20 242:3
272:23 274:1 281:7
285:21 292:14 295:6
334:20 372:3 381:4
enacted 116:11
encountered 190:13
197:11
ended 54:12, 13
55: <i>10</i> 180: <i>13</i>
ends 219:21
engage 314:5, 9
engaged 365:6
engaging 93:12
364:14
ensure 46:7 106:9
287:18 314:7
ensuring 266:12
enter 165:9 188:15
189:4, 9, 12, 14, 22
205:20 285:6, 23
286:9 328:12, 19
336:6
entered 187:3 189:6
206:19 213:13
233:17, 20 285:18
334:22
entering 163:18 186:19 190:14
entire 24:22 190:22
entirety 9:21
entities 330:12
entities 330: <i>12</i> entrance 163: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i>
entities 330: <i>12</i> entrance 163: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i> 165: <i>9</i> 185: <i>17</i> 186: <i>19</i>
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2 entrances 188:22
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2 entrances 188:22 environment 77:19
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2 entrances 188:22 environment 77:19 231:19 232:6, 19
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2 entrances 188:22 environment 77:19 231:19 232:6, 19 240:8 328:23, 24
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2 entrances 188:22 environment 77:19 231:19 232:6, 19 240:8 328:23, 24 333:12
entities 330:12 entrance 163:10, 11 165:9 185:17 186:19 189:9, 11, 12, 16 190:15 193:2 entrances 188:22 environment 77:19 231:19 232:6, 19 240:8 328:23, 24

```
equipment 148:22
236:7 237:10 256:18
337:6, 12
error 223:4
escorted 164:2, 10
188:19 191:23
206:14, 21
ESQUIRE 2:2, 8, 12,
16, 20 3:1 169:22
170:2 283:6
essential 42:13
44:12 46:3 320:12,
14 321:16 333:8
essentially 113:3
130:3 290:13 352:8
established 190:4
328:8 372:11
estate 123:9 213:2, 4,
15 214:2 225:16
244:7 252:11 286:15
288:14 289:1 320:17
et 1:2, 4 8:16, 17
evaluate 291:6
312:19 370:20
Evaluators 273:21
event 92:21 102:1
348:22 374:21
eventually 164:6
238:20 351:6 387:9
Everest 8:11
everybody 75:6
107:22 120:6 277:23
evidence 191:5
384:12, 17 385:4, 16
386:12
exact 18:20 19:13
23:16 37:14 51:15
61:11 90:22 159:8
187:9 279:19 280:19
293:3
exactly 19:20 20:21,
22 22:19 37:13 41:3
56:13 61:2 88:16
113:24 120:9, 14
123:21 124:7 126:5
142:4, 19 146:12
155:3 164:14 169:5,
12 187:18 191:10
192:7 211:7, 10
213:20, 22 252:14
```

```
256:6 260:22 278:7
295:23 302:12
358:13 388:2 395:23
396:7 402:21
exam 27:11 371:21
Examination 10:7
examined 10:5
example 117:21, 24
Excellent 312:2
exchange 307:16
308:15
exclude 365:1
excluded 365:6
excuse 95:1 268:13
370:22
execution 27:12
executive 18:24
111:2 199:18, 19
200:8 402:12, 20
exempt 16:24 26:18
27:3, 4 194:3, 6
199:23 212:5 263:6
exemption 199:19
200:8
exemptions 243:7
EXHIBIT 4:10 15:6,
10 23:22 27:21
34:15 38:3 45:14
66:19 104:14 108:11
111:8, 14 150:5
151:11 153:19
166:20 184:24
198:21 261:6 271:15
353:7
Exhibit-100 5:1
75:12
Exhibit-101 5:2
78:20
Exhibit-102 5:3
79:18
Exhibit-103 5:4
86:12
Exhibit-104 5:5
86:21
Exhibit-105 5:6
100:3
Exhibit-106 5:7
111:10
Exhibit-107 5:8
116:20
```

Exhibit-108 5:9	Exhibit-132 6:9	228:10	fair 43:21 47:22
135:20	354:4	existed 25:14 45:8	130:12 133:16
Exhibit-109 5:10	Exhibit-133 6:10	existing 35:16 110:20	144:23 150:19, 22
139:8, 10	356:7, 9	exists 179:23 280:15	219:9 281:9 313:14
Exhibit-110 5:11	Exhibit-134 6:11	exited 233:19	358:14 374:21
141:4	361:14	exiting 186:19	falling 153:15
Exhibit-111 5:12	Exhibit-135 6:12	expecting 344:14	familiar 47:22
150:7	363:8	experience 274:12	139:18 141:23
Exhibit-112 5:13	Exhibit-136 6:13	362:11	144:22 182:9 283:7
151: <i>13</i>	365:19	experiencing 157:18	293:5 370:5 371:18
Exhibit-113 5:14	Exhibit-137 6:14	expertise 274:15	380:12
155:23 156: <i>1</i>	381:19	explain 51:23 52:2	family 31:5 212:5
Exhibit-114 5:15	Exhibit-138 6:15	136:18 217:22	243:6 387:14 388:1
166:22 167: <i>13</i>	390: <i>14</i>	explained 225:15	398:11, 12, 20
Exhibit-115 5:16	Exhibit-139 6:16	extended 51:18	far 91:19 173:23
183: <i>19</i> 202: <i>14</i>	394:22	extended 31.76	188:17 205:11 214:9
Exhibit-116 5:17	Exhibit-15 203:1	extensively 300:17	278:11, 14 291:10
198: <i>19</i>	Exhibit-43 6:19	extensively 500.17 extent 50:12 56:23	295:2 351:7 372:4
Exhibit-117 5:18	45:18	99:10 176:13 277:15	fax 295:21 296:6, 7
208:3	Exhibit-86 4:11	300:11, 24 308:4	332:14 338:21
Exhibit-118 5:19	Exhibit-87 4:12	external 233:3	344:10 356:21 357:6
216:22, 24 242:5	23:24	external 255.5 extra 362:19	faxed 345:4
Exhibit-119 5:20	Exhibit-88 4:13	extreme 334:5	faxes 359:24
261:8	25:23 28:17	eyes 362:19	feasible 312:10
Exhibit-120 5:21	Exhibit-89 4:14	eyes 302.19	February 63:14
271:17	27:23	< F >	67:11, 21 68:6, 19
Exhibit-121 5:22	Exhibit-90 4:15	facets 118:3	70:13 74:13 76:2, 8
293:11	29:15, 17	fact 38:12 63:17	88:3 89:7 91:23
Exhibit-122 5:23	Exhibit-91 4:16	76:22 77:6 100:12,	146:16 151:23
295:14 342:13	32:14, 16	21 104:8 105:18	154:12, 16 192:12
343:12 344:1, 13	Exhibit-92 4:17 38:6	109:1 126:16 143:8	212:19 213:24 221:7
Exhibit-123 5:24	63:24	154:4 175:18 200:6,	223:18 224:21, 24
313: <i>19</i>	Exhibit-93 4:18	7 202:20 220:3	226:18 229:13
Exhibit-124 6:1	41:24 42:2	247:2 254:4 260:15	246:18 249:2 254:4,
316:3, 5	Exhibit-94 4:19	266:21 267:4, 7	7 257:21 258:4, 13
Exhibit-125 6:2	42:24 43:2 46:3	294:6 307:5 309:2	261:16, 21 263:15, 19
317: <i>18</i>	Exhibit-95 4:20	314:9 332:6, 19	264:6, 8 268:12, 13,
Exhibit-126 6:3	61: <i>19</i>	333:1, 5 335:24	15, 24 284:16, 19
321:22	Exhibit-96 4:21 63:2	344:9 345:5, 10, 20	285:5, 22 295:6
Exhibit-127 6:4	70:12, 18	346:2 360:5 362:5	298:4, 24 299:11, 23
329:24 330:2 342:15	Exhibit-97 4:22 65:4,	363:15 364:6 367:9	316:18 317:7, 13
343:5, 14	EXHIBIT-97 4.22 03.4,	369:1 371:14 396:14	318:3 322:5, 9
Exhibit-128 6:5	Exhibit-98 4:23	403:4	332:14 333:24 335:1
338: <i>14</i>	66:22 68: <i>13</i>		347:23 348:23 351:9,
		facts 58:9 87:16, 17	· /
Exhibit-129 6:6 340:23	Exhibit-99 4:24 72:7 EXHIBITS 4:8 6:18	factual 311:3 failed 59:9 250:3	17 352:17 353:16 354:12 355:8 378:5
540:25 Exhibit-130 6:7			
	15:2 26:23 166:10	253:1 257:14 262:13 292:1 294:3 335:2	394:17 395:11, 13
347:12 349:5 350:14	169:2		397:7
Exhibit-131 6:8	exist 129:24 210:7	failure 60:23 219:3	February/March 79:4
352:1	I	251:24 257:6	

fed 213:10 **Federooff** 155:12, 17 **feel** 58:14 220:1 221:1 232:18 236:22 271:11 299:11, 22 309:20 310:12 311:5, 16 312:17 362:16 389:2, 4 feeling 138:14 398:19 **feelings** 59:16 60:6 **felt** 58:16 60:10, 16 female 249:23, 24 **field** 123:8, 13 124:7 125:13, 20 126:20, 22 127:20 128:1, 20 129:5, 6, 10 131:4 141:17, 19, 21 208:19 210:2, 6, 13 213:19, 21 222:2 234:12, 17 238:3 241:3 242:18, 20, 23 243:8, 13 244:22 252:13 258:6 288:6, 7 299:6 322:16 329:8 333:8, *16* 348:*11* 401:*8*, *10* field/home 139:4 **fifth** 131:5 figure 79:11 96:1 99:13 158:18 160:8 file 23:19 122:7 171:13 224:3, 15, 17 319:1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 339:16 **filed** 115:3 142:22 168:24 169:11 212:18 215:1 223:7 316:13 392:14 **files** 25:13 **filings** 169:15 259:8 fill 23:12 56:16 140:13 **filled** 30:14 125:23 129:23 134:21 **fills** 132:19 **finally** 32:9 **finance** 131:17 **find** 87:13 106:4 197:15, 19 219:7 245:5 316:12, 16

381:12 **finding** 386:8 **fine** 310:19 311:1, 9 **finish** 36:2 141:22 244:4, 9 259:3 310:3 **first** 10:4 21:21 22:13 27:8 37:24 43:8 44:11 49:10, 23 50:8, 19, 20 51:7 53:15, 22 54:16 55:5 56:13 57:7 67:18 72:13 86:19 100:10 111:21 124:8 140:2 142:13 146:6, 9, 13 147:15 150:15 152:4, 22 153:3, 22 156:9, 11, 12, 17 159:23 160:1 164:6 169:6, 14, 16 171:6 180:23 184:24 190:4 192:6 200:17 211:11, 13 215:19 217:5 221:6 223:1, 2 246:5 261:14 263:12 272:22 277:6, 7 281:7 287:8 296:11 341:4 356:13 365:23 **five** 123:22 124:9 190:5, 11 197:2 262:19 **flip** 199:2 **flushed** 87:21 **fly** 166:*14* flyers 120:4 **FMLA** 316:13, 22 317:1, 5 319:10 **focus** 53:20 199:1 267:11 380:12 focused 219:3 242:6, 9 253:21 **folks** 311:*14* **follow** 121:17 178:8 181:2 194:*13* 261:24 351:14 **followed** 184:*3* 196:18, 22 198:2 262:16 271:5 328:5 **following** 27:10 84:3 94:4 181:24 182:1

196:22 225:9 278:13 368:6 398:7 **follows** 10:5 **follow-up** 306:18 **force** 11:7 foregoing 404:5 **forgive** 168:23 308:7 **forgot** 26:22 **form** 9:24 15:19 16:23 17:4 18:2 24:18, 21 25:5 30:9 34:8, 22 37:6, 8 39:6, 8, 12 41:15 42:21 59:13 63:6 70:17 81:18, 20, 24 82:2, 3, 10 98:2 104:23 105:3, 7, 17 131:9, 10 133:5 136:9 139:17, 18 140:7, 13 141:8 194:19 198:6 199:9, 11, 18 201:10 203:24 211:23 214:5 239:16 240:21 241:20 243:15 244:10 248:9 265:7 266:5, 19 269:23 287:12 295:8 308:1 316:20 319:21 325:11 328:1 329:19 332:9, 15 334:11 357:13 359:18 360:20 368:4 376:13 377:1, 11, 24 379:7, *14* 380:23 381:8 392:20 394:2 398:14. 22 formal 279:14 Formalized 174:23 **formally** 281:22 format 8:8 **formatted** 150:15 **former** 125:1 249:12 250:8 253:17 forms 139:22 359:24 **forth** 277:17 308:15 forward 55:15, 18 82:7 115:10 273:2 278:19 279:4 282:4, *16* 283:2 311:*1*, *18* forwarded 55:13, 24

56:3 156:11 196:3, 4 **forwards** 157:10 found 45:22 317:4 393:21 402:14 Four 8:24 14:6, 11 53:22 54:2, 3, 10 55:5 123:22 124:9 131:4 357:8 **fourish** 53:15 **FOX** 2:8 8:9 9:7 167:8, *12* frame 55:9 126:4 146:18 212:19, 20 269:4 280:23 353:19 371:2 372:9 **fraud** 118:4 free 309:20 310:12 311:5 fresh 61:24 Friday 76:2 136:7, 9, *15* 139:4 140:23 141:18 156:17 165:15 181:24 395:5 **friendly** 157:12 front 27:7 45:16 88:5 100:8 108:10 353:6 Fucci 37:22 **fulfill** 236:8 **full** 16:19 80:12 125:24 256:7 258:8 308:12 full-sized 338:1 **full-time** 26:18, 23 234:12 fully 217:24 220:1, 19 237:5 263:3 264:1, 3 353:22 377:15 **function** 132:11 functions 320:12, 14 321:16 333:8 **funeral** 170:19 171:1 175:19 187:7, furlough 256:4 262:16 furloughed 254:21 255:5, 8, 11, 12 256:6 262:14

further 78:6 107:15 226:12 229:24 230:24 246:6, 10 257:13, 14 278:14 279:13 306:17 311:2 314:8 350:7, 11, 19, 24 352:12 355:6 359:14 390:18 **future** 93:16 94:11

 $\langle G \rangle$ **Garrity** 316:12 317:2, 11 Gary 2:23 9:12 16:6 21:*14* 22:*2* 23:5, 8 26:10 33:16 56:9 76:11 78:5 112:16 117:7 155:2 184:*13* 188:*21*, *22* 257:16 269:22 270:3, *14* 283:*1* 323:*11* gathered 58:7 gathering 307:17 **GEIGER** 2:12 8:7 9:15 304:12 305:1 384:19, 24 general 128:5 331:4 generalize 12:20 generally 43:9 128:13 209:4 359:6, **general's** 114:*13*

general s 114.13 generates 267:9 George 2:15 3:7 23:8 59:1, 5 74:23 207:1 GERARD 2:12 Gerry 9:15 getting 97:4 113:1

getting 97:4 113:1 157:3 165:2 211:3 227:9 278:18 301:15 334:13 371:17 392:23

ggeiger@newmanwilli ams.com 2:14 Gilbert 21:15 Gilbert's 158:5 give 12:21 77:21 79:14 235:6, 9

258:19 273:14 279:2

307:7, 24 370:1 382:14

given 12:13 28:14 29:3 30:10 34:10 80:9, 19 84:2 130:10 138:10 150:15 176:16, 23 204:19 233:11 241:9 271:4 277:11 289:5 307:4 308:13 320:12 337:23 338:1 374:4 390:7 391:15

390:7 391:15 gives 348:11 giving 301:22 304:15 305:2 310:10 Glen 9:19 56:20

57:6 162:3 **Glenn** 2:18 277:16

282:24 go 10:12 28:12, 15

29:6, 10 31:19 34:3 37:20 43:6 47:12 49:7 56:2 58:3 59:14 61:13 78:15 80:13 83:20 98:17, 21 102:15 106:20 128:6, 8, 10 131:5 143:15 148:24

165:23 170:23

171:13 183:10 189:17 197:3, 4 198:4, 12 199:16 204:1, 16 206:20 211:24 214:6 230:12 232:8 239:17 243:16 244:11 253:22 265:8 266:6, 20 269:7 272:1 288:24 291:12

272:1 288:24 291:12 297:1 299:6 304:8 318:7, 8 319:22 329:5, 11 334:12 359:10 362:24 363:2 368:5 369:21 376:14

377:2, 12, 18 378:1, 16 379:8, 15 380:24 386:16 392:9 395:1,

2

goes 153:8 219:2 224:18 230:21 249:6 262:23 357:4 396:9 **going** 8:6 10:12 11:12 15:9, 15 23:21 29:7, 15 36:6 42:23 43:6, 8 50:23 53:17 56:24 57:1 59:12 60:22, 24 61:13, 16 62:23 64:9 65:4 66:18, 19 67:20, 23 72:4 73:3 75:8, 10 76:9 78:15, 17 86:9, 15, 18 88:2 95:8 99:9, *23* 100:*1* 107:21, 24 111:7 113:19 116:17 126:18, 20 128:20 135:17 139:8 141:2 142:24 151:10 154:1, 20 155:21, 22 159:17 162:6 163:22 164:8 166:2, 19 167:6, 17 170:*18*, *21* 171:*1* 177:10, 12 178:10 182:4 186:24 198:5, 17, 19 199:1 203:23 205:16 206:20 207:24 210:11 211:7, 22 216:2*1* 232:8 233:21 239:15

22 216:21 232:8 233:21 239:15 240:20 241:19 260:4 261:5 268:10 269:10

272:3 276:11 277:1 282:23 283:2 293:8 300:22 302:3 304:11

313:*16* 315:*1* 322:*4*, 5 327:24 329:8, 23 334:*19* 342:*3* 347:7,

15 349:4 351:22 353:5 354:1 356:5, 7 359:8 361:11 362:17 365:16 368:23

369:19, 20, 21 371:1 375:21 376:2 378:20 381:17, 24 382:19

383:6 384:11 386:14 387:2 388:14, 15, 18, 21, 22 390:11 392:22

396:*11* 397:*14* 403:*12*, *20*

Good 10:10 17:24 165:23 214:1, 8 362:24 396:12 gotten 84:23 Govern 213:11 234:11 242:15, 17, 18 grace 214:16, 22 225:21 226:2 254:16 372:16, 18 grammatically 275:18 Grant 2:21 320:5, 7 346:3

granted 320:2 great 222:10, 12 297:5 333:18 350:3 grievance 173:21, 22, 23

Groody 230:10, 12

GROUP 2:2 9:1, 5 **guess** 12:22 30:8 34:19 58:20, 21 63:20 71:5 73:9 78:10 97:24 130:24 133:15 171:14 204:3 228:17 277:20 278:6 280:4 299:10 322:8 342:15 386:13 400:5 402:4

guidance 259:6, 14 324:5, 7 328:5, 6 355:6 361:9 **Gulf** 2:21

guys 173:24

<H> **Halcovage** 2:15 3:7 9:16 19:14 23:3, 8 51:17, 22 52:23 57:18 59:2, 5 72:14, 24 74:6, 12 142:24 163:8, 17, 22 164:2, 20 165:3, 8, 15 170:13 171:4, 21 172:11, 24 175:17 178:6 180:24 181:*11*, *19* 184:*3* 185:*18* 186:24 187:6, 15, 23 188:14 189:10 190:19 191:22 192:3 196:6, 18 197:11

205:21 206:10, 18 207:2 229:17 230:13, *16*, *20* 232:23 233:12, 17 235:18 236:6 248:7, 22 328:12 329:1, 4, 18 Halcovage's 163:3 196:11 230:1 232:17 237:3 HALL 2:16 **hallway** 145:8 **hallways** 190:21 **hampered** 226:15 229:20 247:16 **hand** 85:7, 8 198:4 302:21 **handed** 82:18, 19, 20 105:10 302:15 **handle** 24:19 77:12 **handled** 24:23 317:2 handwritten 223:22, 24 hang 120:15 **happen** 105:22 133:2 149:20 272:3 happened 22:15 33:14 149:23 155:9 163:7 193:15 272:18 307:6 309:22 397:3 **happens** 33:8 109:13 251:19 329:1 374:11 **happy** 147:3 harassed 59:6 **harassment** 59:11, 17 61:9 62:22 64:5, 11 65:24 66:12 69:4 77:24 79:3 81:11 119:1, 6, 9, 10 197:24 226:10 240:8 247:5 291:16 324:16 hard 80:22 81:5 Harrisburg 1:20 8:15 **hated** 60:17 Hatter 238:19 292:4, *6*, *22* 293:*1*, *17*, *23* 294:6, 9, 15, 19 295:1 373:13 **head** 11:18 40:7 116:15 130:16 131:3, 23 195:4 273:16 364:17 **heads** 71:8 205:9 **health** 116:2, 7, 11 145:9, 12 158:6 hear 239:19 381:12 398:18 **heard** 240:15 403:10 **hearing** 159:15 160:15 **hearings** 237:20 **Heather** 316:12 396:17, 21 **HEIDI** 1:18 2:23 4:5 8:18 9:12 10:4 26:6 94:5 306:12 **Heinbach** 169:21 170:2 176:11, 16, 23 177:19 178:8 179:12 180:8, 23 181:2, 4, 15, 23 196:23 275:22 277:16 297:17 300:6. 7, 8, 16, 20 303:5, 6 304:2, 13, 19 305:2 323:19 349:17 351:14 355:5 360:23 361:3, 9 382:11, 14 390:1 **Heinbach's** 286:*13* **held** 30:21 31:11 52:10 70:6 80:24 82:15 84:24 85:21, 24 86:1, 3 95:16 108:2 126:4 127:14 166:4 210:8 221:7, 22 275:13 277:3 279:12 282:24 305:21 309:6 382:2 396:13 Helene 239:10, 12 250:12 help 323:16 **Hess** 22:5 73:11, 17 236:13 238:9 402:24 Hetherington 73:12, *13, 14* 236:*13* 238:9 hey 77:22 174:8 230:17 288:1, 11 340:7, 10 345:4

379:4, 10 381:5 398:11, 17, 18 Highbock 97:17 highway 170:23, 24 hire 24:10, 19, 23 25:3, 9, 18 29:5 33:2 35:2, 5, 8 37:1, 11 38:1 41:1 69:21 281:19 **hired** 49:19 64:23 123:13, 19 126:3, 5, 14, 22 146:20 164:19 165:19 249:13 250:8 252:8 279:18, 20, 21 373:2, 13 hires 24:18 25:4 39:13 hiring 132:12 286:15 372:7 historical 195:16 **historically** 127:11, 13 **history** 218:11 320:20 368:20 **hit** 56:3 140:2 **hitting** 398:6 Hobbs 88:22 89:7, 22 90:17, 23, 24 92:1 **hold** 210:*15* 273:21 274:1, 7, 9 371:11, 14 372:12 373:3, 5, 11 379:20 399:1 400:9, 13 **holding** 342:12 home 31:6 51:17 135:12 136:9, 10, 14, 21, 24 137:9, 11, 17 138:1, 9 140:4 141:17 142:2 143:9, *12, 15* 144:2 152:*18* 171:1 194:18 195:5, *13* 197:*3*, *4* 201:*8*, *14*, 15, 17, 22 204:16 230:18 236:4, 9 237:11, 15, 17 247:20 254:17 255:14, 19, 24 256:7, 19 257:5 296:15 299:14, 17 319:19 320:1, 5, 8, 15, 21 321:13 322:8, 18, 22 323:3, 8, 21 324:9,

12, 20 325:6 327:2 329:16 331:15 332:17 333:15, 20 334:9, 14, 19, 22 335:11, 22, 24 336:7, *13*, 22 337:3, 5, 7, *11*, *16* 346:4, 6, 8 348:*10* 350:4, 9 354:15 355:4 367:20 368:13. 18, 20 **Homeowner** 128:*19* honestly 69:1 267:19 Honor 305:24 306:11 308:20 309:16 310:7, 14 311:10, 23 312:1 **horseback** 310:11 **host** 107:1 hour 381:13 hourly 208:19 239:11, 12, 20 251:9 hours 48:3, 17 190:5, 6, 11 197:2 205:12, *14*, *15* 251:4, 5, 8, *17*, 20 252:4 263:1, 9 266:17 267:24 268:4 317:5 403:15 house 180:14 houses 144:15 **HR** 16:3 25:10, 20 29:8 37:17 47:11, 15 48:11, 14 53:14, 19 77:13 82:7 93:20 98:16 101:24 102:5, 9 103:4, 9 107:18, 19 110:11 118:22 131:12 173:4, 20 287:17 389:12 396:10 **Hubert** 71:5, 14 85:12 87:20 280:4 **human** 17:6, 16 19:18 21:4 39:9, 16 41:6 42:9 43:17, 23 46:12 53:8 54:11 80:10 92:6, 21 93:1, 9, 11 94:9 95:2 98:8 101:8 102:12, 19 122:2, 4 140:16 145:2*3* 146:*1* 161:*14*

186:13 221:8 312:11 330:10, 13, 23 356:1, 16 359:21 362:2, 5, 11 hybrid 255:16 256:7 332:16 333:16 hypothetical 203:20 204:18 hypothetically 131:2

< I > **I-9** 37:6 idea 149:16 285:18 304:14 339:13, 20 340:3, 15 ideally 278:10 identification 15:7, 14 24:1 25:24 27:24 29:18 32:17 38:7 42:3 43:3 61:20 63:3 65:8 66:23 72:8 75:13 78:21 79:19 86:13, 22 100:4 111:11 116:21 135:21 139:11 141:5 150:8 151:14 156:2 166:23 183:20 198:22 208:*4* 217:*1* 261:9 271:18 293:12 295:15 313:20 316:6 317:19 321:23 330:3 338:15 340:24 347:12 352:2 354:5 356:10 361:15 363:9 365:20 381:20 390:15 394:23 identify 8:19 immediately 53:11, 13 165:18 375:24 **impact** 220:4 241:11 243:8 333:11 388:21 impacted 215:2 242:7 253:2 **impacting** 232:12 **impairs** 13:*3* impartial 325:20 implement 110:2 117:2 implementation

259:22

implementations 110:24 implemented 99:24 108:20 109:9, 17, 19 110:9, 13 111:24 implementing 260:21 **imply** 103:22 **implying** 385:5 important 77:21 101:18 106:4 244:3 245:3 **improved** 264:*3* improvements 253:11 **inable** 13:7 inaccurate 12:14 259:15 inappropriate 96:14 383:20, 21 **inaudible** 11:17 in-box 56:4 incident 168:20, 22 169:18 170:14 172:17 174:5 177:19 180:9 181:*1* 196:2 198:13 207:1 396:9 inclement 76:16 include 29:9 33:5 106:8 124:1 125:4 200:19 included 21:20 44:3, 7 89:24 90:1 92:14 101:19 106:15 107:5 117:11 188:7 224:14 258:1 329:7 344:9, 11 364:7 365:10 **includes** 73:11 111:14 119:8 124:17 132:17 including 87:20 119:12 124:11 136:4 150:17 151:20 153:16 213:19 277:16 323:10 inclusive 54:4 119:7 incoming 331:2 incomplete 12:14 incorrect 85:3 87:11 343:3 increase 239:10, 12

independent 58:8 202:6, 22 203:14, 15 204:8 219:22 **INDEX** 4:2 **indicate** 12:9 82:1 90:8, 12, 16 91:1 106:21 143:14 153:9 201:13 223:13 248:21 314:22 319:1, 9 324:11 344:20 388:5 **indicated** 53:1 90:1 136:20 154:18 157:19 170:18 188:20 201:14 219:15 223:6 224:19 226:12 229:18 242:20 246:21 247:3 264:5 272:4 297:5 299:16 315:10 343:6 344:13 346:3 348:16 365:7 391:10 392:11 393:14 indicates 24:13 26:9, 17, 23 43:12 44:16 46:4 64:11 65:23, 24 67:10 72:16 76:1 104:1 105:11 130:9 140:16 153:14 157:2, 16 181:17 200:3 219:6 390:22 indicating 104:4 242:13 327:2 358:7 396:11 402:16 indication 345:23 indications 157:15 individual 40:22 41:7 77:23 92:5, 8, *13* 102:*1* 103:2 118:21 137:22, 24 163:24 164:3 197:24 198:1 252:18 304:24 306:3 324:15, 21 364:13 366:23 376:23 389:12 individually 85:1 individuals 13:22 21:22 73:6 91:24 102:3 151:20 161:9 174:21 197:3, 23

250:12, 16 251:13 256:3 279:3 304:22 individual's 103:12 107:2 **inform** 51:9 52:9 113:12 133:10 143:21 informal 11:6 information 12:19 37:5, 6 58:7 85:2 118:15, 17 119:14, 17 152:*17* 162:*1* 174:*13*, 16 175:5 178:9 194:22 195:20 196:*19* 197:*8*, *17* 203:7 212:13 213:5, 10, 13, 16, 21 216:16, *18* 219:24 220:20, 23 221:2 227:4 228:18, 21 231:1, 11 241:2, 6, *15* 242:1, *14*, *17*, *23* 243:3 244:5, 19 250:5 258:20 259:2, 11 260:2 262:22 273:12 275:22, 24 283:8, 9 290:2, 3 291:20 295:21, 22 296:7 299:24 300:9 306:18 312:14, 24 313:10 315:8, 10 319:11 325:17 331:13 334:18 338:22 343:3 345:20 348:12 350:24 352:12, 17, 18, 22 353:15, 20, 21 354:22 355:2, 11, 21 356:22 357:7 358:7 359:2, 9, 11, 13 360:6 364:16, 23 365:9 366:18 370:20 398:6 400:4 402:14 informational 283:23 informed 50:22 51:13 76:11 87:7 143:11 144:5 152:6 168:16 197:12 201:16 202:4, 21 215:6 220:2, 19 234:10, 20 236:12

238:8 239:9, 23 263:13 311:2 334:3 353:22 357:18 397:1 inhibited 247:5 **inhibits** 226:11 initial 20:15, 19 21:18 29:4 37:21 69:20 89:2 143:20 231:4 278:8, 17 318:17, 18 initially 19:8 37:20 179:12 350:8 351:6 initials 17:10, 14 **initiated** 112:19 298:18, 20 **injury** 117:23 **in-person** 70:6, 18 **input** 77:22 95:5 161:21, 24 162:15 185:3 242:18 243:13 298:8 318:*10* 326:*23* 327:17, 19 **inputted** 242:18 inputter 245:9 **inputting** 244:24 245:6 inquire 394:14 ins 80:16 inside 145:20 **instance** 121:21 130:14 306:6 instances 94:16 175:11 **instruct** 158:14 210:19 350:5 365:1 instructed 96:20 97:8 192:23 193:15 194:17 216:12 219:7 220:9 270:16 341:8 399:17 **instruction** 192:*17* 331:1 386:7 399:16 instructions 10:13 13:16 **insurance** 46:6 47:2 intended 68:5 interaction 47:4 51:20 229:1 283:16 interactions 171:21

interactive 297:2 314:6 358:22 359:3 364:12, 14 365:2 **interim** 17:16 26:6 28:5 53:8 134:22 135:1, 5, 6, 7, 8 186:13 208:19 210:6 221:23 260:1, 12, 16 288:7 394:13 395:9 INTERROGATION 4:4 intervener 9:8 interview 21:9, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21 22:7, 17 272:22 393:19 **interviewed** 49:10, 22 interviewing 23:2, 5 interviews 114:6 396:20 **introduce** 384:*12* introduced 386:12 introduction 278:18 **investigate** 94:1, 10, 11 102:17 103:8 216:11 investigating 102:12 investigation 34:6 93:22 107:7 114:13, *16* 160:8, *12* 173:4 183:24 190:12, 17 393:12 396:20 investigations 96:24 investigator 114:7 investigators 118:14 **involve** 50:12 involved 47:19 51:16 52:23 69:5, 8 88:10 93:21 96:15, *18* 97:*11* 112:*7*, *10* 131:12, 17 133:1 162:3 164:13, 16 168:6, 10 169:13 188:5 228:4, 5 229:23 281:5 282:5, 6, 18 290:17 291:1 338:3, 6 380:14 382:11 392:4, 23 396:19 402:10

involvement 71:18 76:18 88:20 149:11, 13 229:5 **involves** 132:24 involving 273:9 **iPads** 142:3 **IPPOLITO** 2:16 8:3 9:18 162:6 228:12 234:24 235:5, 9 276:11 349:3 ironed 376:7, 12 irrelevant 96:19 issue 33:10 50:23 77:10 88:21 95:23 110:16 112:21 117:20 118:*1* 155:*14* 161:18 179:14 183:5 187:23 209:4 216:15 220:13 222:9, 15, 21 224:8 228:5 236:1 245:15 257:17 258:1 265:5, 10, 12 266:10, 18, 21 267:3 269:19 270:17 271:12 294:14 305:12 306:14, 16 309:17, 18, 20 310:13 311:2, 8, *17*, *20* 318:*3* 320:22, 23 321:18 333:5 386:24 391:8 393:4 399:17 **issued** 113:13 128:10 183:12 197:5 202:13, 15, 17 203:1, 3 258:23 317:24 issues 50:10 52:21 54:19 55:2 56:15 57:23 58:1, 4, 6 102:4 119:9 128:17 148:8 153:16, 21 154:12, 17 156:18 174:21 181:14 209:2, 8 210:4 231:17, 20 232:3 234:11 236:17 242:2 274:6, 20 291:21 294:19, 21 314:20 362:3 376:5, 7, 11 380:13, 20 390:2 391:14, 24

392:5 395:17, 20 396:4 items 40:14 its 9:21 61:9 62:9 94:20 118:13 129:4 182:9

< J > Jan 264:8 271:24 336:16 **JANE** 1:2 3:6, 7 8:16, 24 9:2, 3, 4 13:23 14:1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14 50:21 51:4, 21 52:5, 6, 7 59:2 60:21 73:5 75:24 76:22 77:8, 18 79:22 90:23, 24 94:5 114:5 122:18, 19, 22 123:3, 8, 12, 15 124:1, 2, 11, 12 126:17, 18 134:15, 16 142:8 143:8, 9 145:1, 4, 6, 11, 20 146:7, 10, 11, 21 147:2, 13, 22 148:20 150:18 151:2, 19 152:13 153:8, 21 156:11, 16, 22 157:6, 10, 11, 12, 17, 20, 22 158:15, 22 160:8 161:22, 23 164:23, 24 168:12, 14, 22 169:17, *23* 170:22 171:3, 6, 12, 13, 21, 23, 24 172:1, 5, 15, 16, 21 173:9, 14 176:11 177:23, 24 178:3 182:19, 20, 23, 24 184:1, 2, 10, 24 185:10 187:2, 9 188:8 190:6, 8 192:*11*, *22* 193:*13* 194:2, 6, 17 195:11, *17* 196:3, 6, 7, *10*, *13*, 15, 16, 23 197:2, 6, 8, 9, 10 198:13 199:19, 23 200:7 202:4, 5, 11, 21 204:7 205:18 206:2 207:18, 21, 22 208:11 209:17, 21

210:20 215:10, 14 217:11 219:11 220:13 221:8, 9, 17, 18 223:6, 10, 17, 18 224:3, 19 225:20 226:8, 9, 12, 14, 18, 21 227:2, 11 228:11, 23, 24 229:10, 13, 18, 20 230:14, 23, 24 232:12, 24 234:10, 20 235:16 236:2, 3, 12, 20, 23 237:8, 14, 21, 24 238:7, 15 239:5, 9, 23 240:2, 7, 18, 24 241:17 242:19 244:24 245:9, 14, 16, 20 246:1, 11, 12, 13, 20, 22 247:3, 4, 10, 15, 19, 22 248:1, 19, 20 249:7, 11 250:3 251:23 252:24 253:10, 11 254:15, 19, 24 256:2, 18, 23 257:3, 14, 21 259:13, 19 260:3, 6, 17 261:16 262:4, 11, 14, *16*, *20*, *23*, *24* 263:6 264:21, 24 265:2, 5 266:11, 16 267:4, 7, 9, 13, 14, 15 268:22 269:5 272:16 280:21 282:11 286:18, 23 287:1, 2, 24 288:1, 11, 12, 17, 20, 23, 24 289:20 291:7, 13, 22, 23 292:1 294:3 295:8 296:12, 24 297:20, 23 298:2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 15, 18, 21 299:1, 12, 24 300:21 312:18 314:6, 9, 18 315:9, 17, 24 316:12, *18*, *21* 317:24 318:22, 24 319:1, 2, 18 320:20 321:10 322:4, *15*, *20*, *24* 324:9, *11* 325:7, 14, 20, 24 326:1, 2, 17, 18 327:7, 13, 14 328:15 329:2, 7 331:14, 17, 22, 24

332:4, 24 333:15 334:1, 2, 3, 22 335:2 336:1, 12, 19 338:8 340:4 341:5, 7 344:16 345:7, 14, 24 346:16, 17, 19, 21 347:1, 2, 3, 23 348:4, 7 349:10 350:4, 16, *18* 351:*18*, 21 352:*11*, 22 353:14 354:15 356:3 357:17, 18, 20, 21, 24 358:6, 10, 15, *17* 360:*12*, *13*, *17*, *18*, 19 361:1 362:18 363:3, 17, 20, 23 364:3, 6, 7, 8, 19 365:1, 8, 15 366:19 369:1, 4, 8, 19, 20 370:8, 12, 16, 21, 23 371:4, 10, 13 375:17, 24 376:8, 10, 19 378:5, 11, 22 379:11, 17, 20, 23 380:6, 8, 15, 16, 18 387:8, 13, 14, 19 388:4, 5, 6, 11, 14, 17, 24 389:6, 19 390:21, 22 391:1, 8 392:*3*, *4* 393:*23* 394:8 395:7, 18, 21 396:5, 16, 22 397:6, 15, 18, 20, 22 398:9, 10, 19 399:4 400:9, *13*, *21* 403:5 **January** 14:22 36:24 38:1 45:23 50:18 51:7 53:5 61:8, 11 62:21 63:11, 19, 20 66:8 67:15, 17, 20 68:1, 4, 5, 16, 24 99:20 105:18, 19 126:3 146:6, 14 147:10, 11 150:17, 18, 23 152:12, 19, 21, 23 153:6 154:11, 15 158:20, 21 162:24 163:5 164:20 165:7 172:17 181:18, 20 183:3 184:11 186:2, 7 187:5, 17, 21 188:*13* 189:2 190:*3*

192:2, 13, 20, 22 193:6 194:5 195:12 196:2 198:*13* 199:*24* 200:9, 10, 15 202:4, 14 205:16 206:1 207:17 208:12 210:22 212:19 213:24 215:5 221:14 246:20 256:13, 17, 20, 22 257:20 263:14, 18, 21 264:5 268:12, 13, 15, 24 271:24 281:9 285:5, 21 334:21 335:2, 21 336:12, 17, 21 337:1, 2, 4, 10 **Joan** 272:11 283:5 381:5 **job** 27:9, 17 31:11 41:21 42:9, 14, 17 43:16, 22 44:17 46:5 50:3 213:5 214:2 232:13 236:8 237:11 245:2 256:19 264:22, 23 265:3, 16, 19, 22 269:7, 14, 16 270:21 271:2 287:7, 9, 11, 16, 18 288:3, 13 299:2, 12, 24 300:21 301:2 302:21 304:1, 13, 19 308:10 312:15, 16, 18, 22 313:4, 7, 8, 12 320:12, 14 321:16 325:3 326:2, 12, 16 329:7, 12 333:8 371:5 **jobs** 134:21 **John** 94:8 **joined** 79:22 **JONES** 2:20 iudge 11:8 177:*14* 179:21 203:14 302:5, 7 303:22 304:6 judgment 202:7, 23 203:16 204:8 310:16 326:7, 9 **July** 232:9, 21 233:14, 15 255:4, 17 June 292:18 **June-ish** 293:2

jury 11:9 111:*17* 112:22, 23 113:2, 6 116:10 **JUSTICE** 2:7 9:8, 10 iustification 132:1 justify 219:20 jut 272:21 < K > **Katz** 8:11 **Keel** 126:19 127:7 140:22 **Keel's** 127:1 keep 48:2, 23 61:24 91:13 104:24 319:7, **kept** 319:12, 14, 16 400:22 key 145:21 kevboard 338:1 keycard 144:12 keyed 145:22 keys 147:14 kind 50:23 53:12, 18, 24 54:22, 24 55:1, 3 56:16 75:19 85:6 113:8 117:20 132:24 143:20 161:15 163:15 189:15 218:3, 23 250:1, 9, 21 255:16, 18 257:8 272:6, 21 276:8, 9 278:10 283:1, 15 287:20 332:16 354:9 369:18 375:16 399:10 kinds 118:2 **knew** 23:7 49:13, 17 106:2 126:12 159:23 164:13 192:7, 9 244:12 388:1 **know** 10:10 12:4, 14, 18, 20, 22 13:11 17:14, 19 19:17 20:2 23:2, 5, 8, 11 25:14 27:1, 2 28:8 33:5, 7, 8 34:3 35:15 36:17,

20 39:6 40:16, 24

52:5 54:13 56:14

48:5, 23 49:11 51:16

60:2, 20, 22 67:14 74:5, 11 79:2, 6 81:19 83:2 85:5, 6, 20 91:14, 20 94:6, 22 99:5 104:19, 24 105:9 107:14 108:23 109:13 111:23 113:5, 12 114:15 116:6 117:15, 16 120:7, 9, 10 121:2, 4, 8, 9 123:19, 21 124:7 125:12, 15, 17, 19 126:1, 6, 8, 15 127:3, 5, 11, 13 128:1, 8 129:9, 13, 15, 23 131:13 132:1 133:9 138:6 140:21 141:11, *19* 142:*17* 145:*22* 146:3, 14 148:10, 21 149:13 154:11 155:2, 3, 16, 19 158:12 160:21, 22 161:8, 13 163:6, 13, 15, 21 164:1, 5, 11, 14, 16 165:17, 19 170:6 171:5, 18 172:23 173:2, 13 176:10 177:8 181:22 182:12 183:2 184:5 188:8 191:10, 20, 21 192:2, 7, 8, 9 199:13, 17 203:19 205:5 211:17, *19* 212:*3* 213:20, 22 214:7, 20, 22, 23 215:16, 22 216:15 218:1 227:3, 5 228:12 233:6 238:19 239:2 241:22 244:3, *18* 245:*3* 248:*10* 249:1, 20 252:7, 10, 14, 15, 17, 22, 24 253:3 256:5, 6, 8, 12, 14, 15 257:1, 7 258:14 260:20, 22, 23 261:1, 2, 4 267:8 268:4, 6 272:24 273:12 274:17 275:3 276:14, 15 277:10, 11 278:15 279:14, 17, 19 280:19 281:4 283:14

284:23 285:3, 7, 8, 12, *14, 15* 286:9 289:*4* 292:3, 11 293:3 294:11 295:23 296:2, 4, 11 297:2 298:12 300:8, 15 308:8 312:17 316:11, 15, 23 317:11, 13 318:24 321:6, 15 326:21 330:17, 18 332:21 336:20, 24 337:4, 8, 10, 14, 16, 17 338:2, 6 340:14 345:6, 10, 15, 18, 24 348:19, 20 351:7 356:4, 20 358:5 359:13 362:9 369:13, 17 370:18 371:10, 13, 20, 21 372:17, 20 373:4, 12 374:1, 15, 19 375:3, 4, 5 376:9 377:16 385:4 387:19, 21 390:3 395:23 396:4 397:9, 10 399:7 knowledge 34:7 36:22 54:23 74:18 76:17 108:21 117:10 120:18 133:19 138:24 151:6 155:5 158:24 159:2 170:8 176:3 182:18 218:19 221:2 229:6, 7, 9 252:16, 21 258:12 275:9 283:19 292:8 294:17, 24 296:16, 20 299:12, 24 300:9, 12, 18 326:1, 16, 21, 22 327:14 328:17 335:14 364:21, 24 370:13 371:1 379:23 380:8 399:2 400:21 401:23 402:8 known 120:13 152:21 277:8 **Kutzler** 9:14 20:10, 24 21:13 22:2 26:7 28:6 35:16 50:22 51:4, 8, 21, 23 52:9 53:6, 24 55:6 58:11, 15 59:15, 24 60:5

61:5 68:13, 24 71:3, 13, 14 75:24 78:4 85:12 87:20 88:14 89:18, 22 91:5 117:6 142:18, 19 143:21 144:4, 7 147:5, 8, 12, 22 149:20 150:16 154:18 168:1, 8, 11, *13* 170:6 172:1, 8, 11, 22 183:24 185:5 186:*14* 188:*7* 191:*17* 205:18 206:2 209:14, *20* 217:8 221:*17* 223:19 231:3, 5, 12 239:9, 24 258:5, 11 276:5 280:2, 5 283:1 321:6 361:22 362:1, 17 363:17 **Kutzler's** 17:15 54:19 57:14 78:11 154:*4* 185:*13* < L > **Labor** 47:22 lack 53:18 200:4 **ladies** 77:22 lady 121:14 language 91:21 95:2 97:21 205:3 lapse 275:5 **laptop** 337:14, 17, 19, 21 large 78:15 larger 145:21 290:15, 17 **late** 57:9, 10 190:3 254:5, 6 335:6, 9, 12, 17 **LAW** 2:2 9:1, 5 11:8 309:18 310:11 385:5 laws 96:24 lawyer 168:23 386:5 **layman's** 46:11 lead 85:4 227:2 **leading** 146:1 learn 21:3 89:11

125:8 126:16 152:11,

23 159:*4* 163:*1*

207:1, 11 212:12

218:5 253:9, 10 387:23 **learned** 50:8 158:21 198:1 207:12 390:22 **learning** 234:15 255:18 283:24 lease 238:14 leases 238:22 leasing 238:2, 11 **leave** 31:1, 18 86:17 112:23 117:24 192:17, 23 193:4, 8, *24* 194:*1*, *15* 195:2*1*, 24 201:17 203:11, 13 204:11, 14, 15, 20, 23 205:4, 6, 8 316:13 336:2 370:8, 23 379:17 387:20 397:23 398:3 **leaving** 190:14 376:21 **led** 276:8 309:8 335:23 **LEES** 3:1 8:6 9:13 381:2*1* **left** 11:12 55:14 73:17 125:1 148:19 193:10 274:17 292:14, 22 380:15 400:23 legal 277:11, 17 284:2 300:24 301:7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 22, 23 302:8, 11 303:1, 8 304:15, 23 305:17 306:16 307:4 308:6 323:5, 13, 14 382:14, 18 384:6 385:1 **legally** 327:21 legitimate 360:9 **letter** 26:5, 9, 17 28:5, 8, 9, 14, 17 35:18 36:9 185:4, 21 191:5 192:21 194:24 195:18, 23 196:8, 9 197:5 200:10, 15 202:13, 14, 15, 17 203:1, 2, 3 204:7, 10, 13 207:17 214:24

317:22, 24 318:5, 18

319:18 322:9 331:5,
11, 16 332:22 338:23
342:23 346:1, 5, 20
367:23, 24 368:7, <i>10</i> ,
17
level 212:7 215: <i>1</i>
258: <i>12</i> 298: <i>24</i>
LexisNexis 393:17
license 126:6, 17, 18,
23 127:20, 22 273:21
274:2, 7, 10 275:1, 5
371:11, 14, 17 372:10,
<i>13, 16, 24</i> 373:7, <i>17</i>
375:10, 11, 16 376:23
378:13 379:21 399:1
licensed 372:23
licenses 126:4
127:12, 14 372:3
licensing 275:4 375:1
light 391:1
liked 60:17
limit 142:22, 23
185: <i>18</i> 307: <i>23</i>
limited 136:24 140:4
142:23 277:12
Line 6:19 7:2, 12
96:13 97:1, 2 103:16
104:13 106:6 108:15,
23 109:4 203:9
288:24 314:4 379:5
383:21
lines 17:11 88:10
89:6 92:4
lingo 212: <i>3</i>
Lisa 21: <i>14</i>
list 64:3 72:22 116:4
listed 18:23 40:13
73:6, <i>13</i> 74:6 91: <i>16</i>
153:2 <i>1</i>
listing 74:21
little 49:8 87:22
121:14 128:18 142:6,
19 261:15 315:20
363:24 377:14
live 37:8
local 37:7
locate 152:24
located 8:14 104:2
145:7, 11, 20

```
location 22:22 36:14
141:23 143:2 189:6
230:1 320:11 328:19
329:15 332:4, 7, 17,
19, 20, 24 333:1, 22
locations 120:9
141:16 192:18, 24
193:9
locked 145:17 146:2
log 121:7
long 116:8 145:8
214:20
longer 54:14 275:1
282:11
Look 25:21 26:21
27:6, 7 30:21 34:13,
15 40:2 42:23 43:11
44:16 46:2 63:24
66:18 68:8, 15 75:23
79:9 83:12, 20 84:5
89:5, 6 99:24 100:7
103:15 108:13 111:6,
21 116:4 121:7
129:14 151:10
153:19, 22 155:22
167:4, 6 182:5 183:4,
23 184:9 190:1
200:2, 12 203:8
208:10, 14 216:14, 21
217:19 221:6 246:4
261:5 262:11 263:12
266:10 267:21
268:16 270:17, 24
271:12 287:6 288:2
294:13 296:5 301:19,
20 302:1, 3 311:1, 18
321:11, 18 338:18, 24
347:15, 21 354:8
357:6 364:11 375:21
394:19
looked 43:19 80:1
100:12 103:16
104:14 105:16
108:14 196:5 205:23
221:14 268:24
322:11 332:15
339:19 363:17
365:24
looking 31:6 65:14
```

87:*1* 100:*17* 104:*11*

```
106:7 212:14 257:12
263:24 268:6 269:18
271:14 278:10 279:8,
9 287:8, 13 342:7, 15,
16
looks 17:10 285:12
295:21 331:5
lost 369:4, 8
lot 80:23 95:22
119:8 132:23, 24
161:4, 5 164:21, 24
165:4, 8, 10 170:22
207:3 210:4 218:4
274:15 378:4
Love 161:15
loved 60:17
lower 164:21 165:4,
8, 10 207:3
Lubinsky 331:6
L-U-B-I-N-S-K-Y
331:6
lured 396:10
Luzern 274:21
Luzerne 274:13, 18
< M >
Mahall 21:14
mail 302:16, 22
304:1
main 144:14 145:7
maintain 121:2
323:4, 13
maintained 23:19
48:10, 12, 18, 21
121:8 224:1, 14
maintains 121:5
129:22
maintenance 148:17
154:21, 24 155:6, 8,
12 183:11
majority 62:17
109:11, 14, 18 141:20
264:18
maker 384:21
making 175:13
346:11 349:4 384:18
385:6 386:6, 17
managed 161:13, 15
```

```
management 200:2,
19, 24 202:7, 23
204:9 391:13
manager 72:23
74:12, 22 85:23
155:12
managerial 71:14, 17
80:11, 12, 20
managers 71:8 80:3,
mandatory 71:23
72:2
manner 157:13
March 88:4 113:23
114:4, 8, 10, 19
134:18 139:2 140:23
141:18 146:17
149:22 156:18, 23
157:3 168:24 169:8,
9, 10, 11 229:13
239:2 263:15 264:6
330:10 331:7 335:1,
11 336:11, 16 341:5,
15, 21, 23 342:5, 19,
22 343:8, 10, 12, 18,
24 344:4 345:19, 23
346:1, 5, 10, 13, 16, 20
356:1, 16 363:15, 24
366:20 368:23
371:10 387:7, 18, 23
394:8 395:6 397:11,
16 399:5 401:3, 14
Marchalk 260:6
MARIA 2:20 9:11
306:12 308:2
marijuana 116:13
mark 23:21 38:3
61:16 62:23 65:4
72:4 75:8, 10 78:18
79:15 86:9, 18
116:17 135:17 150:4
198:18 207:24
216:22 235:8 293:8
295:11 313:16
329:23 338:10
340:20 347:8 351:22
354:2 361:11 363:5
365:16 381:16, 17
387:2 390:11 394:20
403:12
```

MARKED 1:10 6:18
9:22 15:6, 14 23:24
25:23 27:23 29:11,
15, 17 32:13, 16 38:6
42:2 43:2 45:13, 14,
18 61:19 63:2 65:7
66:22 72:7 75:12
78:20 79:18 86:12,
18, 21 100:3 111:10
116:20 135:20
139: <i>10</i> 141: <i>4</i> 150: <i>7</i>
151: <i>13</i> 155:2 <i>3</i> 156: <i>1</i>
166:22 183:19
198:2 <i>1</i> 208: <i>3</i> 216:2 <i>4</i>
261:8 271:17 293:7,
11 295:14 313:19
316:2, 5 317:18
321:19, 22 330:2
338:14, 19 340:23
347:11 352:1 354:4
356:9 361: <i>14</i> 363:8
365:19 381:19
390:14 394:22
Market 2:3, 17
123:8, 9, 10 213:2, 4,
15 214:2 225:16
244:7 252:11 286:15
288:14 289:1 299:5
200.14
marking 15:2 65:3
166:18
married 127:3
Marshall 96:11
97:17
mass 119:19
materials 70:22
math 190:5 225:3
Matt 166:9 347:17
395:2
matter 10:12 77:19
315:12 324:14
384:19 388:6 397:6
404:7
matters 77:16 94:12
114:22 235:19
Matukewicz 396:17,
21
M-A-T-U-K-E-W-I-C-
Z 396:18
max 251:5

```
Mayer 127:2 140:21
141:9, 15
Mayers 142:1
MCCAMEY 3:1
MCNERNEY 2:16
mean 15:10 46:11,
23 53:14 60:14 95:1
116:6, 8, 9 117:17
119:14 121:18
126:16 129:13 138:3
140:9, 11 141:24
174:24 214:8 216:14
228:9 241:1, 4
263:18 275:17, 19
276:18 285:12
287:11 291:3 305:1
319:6 320:19 322:21
329:10 337:19
355:18 359:23
362:13 377:13 378:6
385:11 386:17, 19
400:3, 16
meaning 114:21
141:24 173:23
282:10 320:10
332:24 357:21
means 219:20 223:1
meant 217:22 372:2
mediate 307:14
medical 116:13
295:7, 8 314:20
316:19 319:5, 6, 7
332:5, 15 339:10, 12
340:3 341:21 343:11
344:5, 14 346:21
347:1 352:22 353:1,
2 356:2 357:12
359:9 360:4, 5, 14, 20
medication 13:2
meet 173:6 207:21,
22 209:21 263:2
314:24 315:13 348:5
355:17 387:8 388:22
402:12
meeting 19:9 111:5
146:10 192:20
208:24 209:1, 15, 18
210:1, 2, 8, 12, 16
215:4, 20 221:7, 13,
22 222:24 223:17
```

```
224:23 225:20 226:5
231:8 246:18 258:2,
3, 4, 8, 10 273:5, 6, 8,
13, 14 276:4, 9 278:5,
8, 13, 17 279:1, 3, 11
281:4 297:4 334:1
388:7, 12 389:7, 8
391:5, 11, 17, 21
394:10, 17 395:8, 12,
17, 20 396:6, 11
397:7 399:3, 8
402:22
meetings 18:24 19:3
111:2 279:15 391:13
meets 389:12
member 398:11, 13
members 25:10
212:5
memo 217:21 218:24
219:14, 15 220:17
221:6 224:5 227:1
230:21 231:4 243:21
254:14 258:23
266:10 290:24 291:4.
8 378:7 400:6
mental 145:9, 12
158:6
mentally 226:9
247:4, 15
MENTIONED 6:18
met 37:20, 21 147:15
159:12 163:9 165:12
188:21 262:12, 13
263:19 264:8 272:21
273:3 299:18 324:2
355:13 378:4
metal 233:18, 21
Michael 366:4, 16
mid 57:11
MIDDLE 1:2, 19
16:17 73:17 246:4
Middletown 30:22
31:1, 7, 19
midway 341:16
million 178:19
mind 61:24 93:1
230:17
minute 237:20
276:23 381:22
```

minutes 190:6 309:23 386:17 **MIS** 175:12 misrepresent 99:19 missed 254:11 384:4 mistakes 105:22 misuse 223:5 **modified** 130:17 **mold** 153:16 moment 385:21 **Monday** 139:4 140:23 141:17 165:15 money 113:8 381:5 monitor 337:23 **monitored** 333:23 monitoring 320:18 **Monroe** 2:13 month 12:21 55:5 90:20 218:8, 21 225:8, 9 231:16 263:17, 21 285:4 286:6, 7, 10 311:20 336:10 monthly 212:2 213:9, 14 214:11 225:10, 11, 13 265:15 266:23 months 53:15, 22 54:2, 3, 10 163:16 212:18 214:16 225:18, 23 226:2 254:15 262:15, 19 263:3, 4 264:1, 2, 7, 14 317:6 336:16 362:6 **morning** 10:10 **morphed** 163:15 222:3 258:7 **motion** 399:10 motions 310:15 move 96:12 158:2, 10 230:13 278:19 279:4 282:4, 16 283:2 286:19 376:24 380:16 moved 51:10, 20 143:1 144:1 158:7, 9 165:2 253:6

moving 55:15, 18
158:4 218:4 273:1
286:17 388:20
mpipak@jonespassode
lis.com 2:22
multiple 118:2 161:9
333:7
municipal 34:3 36:16
Murray's 234:4
mutualization 258:24

< N >name 8:11, 18 10:11 34:4 66:15 67:8 71:5 74:8, 10 92:5 127:2, 4 161:14 249:19 283:7 named 21:22 88:23 89:1, 8, 23 91:24 92:13 192:4 306:1 366:12 names 13:23 91:13 97:11, 15 nature 306:4 308:15 **NE** 2:9 near 208:16 necessarily 284:10 288:19 necessary 12:19 106:13 236:8 237:10 238:1 257:4 271:9 300:18 353:22 **need** 13:10 34:15 48:15 78:7 97:1 100:7 113:11 116:4 128:11 129:6 131:3, *4*, *5* 140:*3* 153:*10* 155:13 194:14 195:7 257:8 263:4 267:19 275:16, 24 276:14, 16, *21, 22* 288:*4* 310:*13* 314:5 327:21 343:19 362:16 **needed** 47:3 73:1 81:24 82:7 130:16 135:12 174:16 175:5 206:14 227:16 237:10 257:9 258:10 264:12, 18 275:21 304:14 312:14, 17

321:16 322:16 327:8, 9, 19 348:11 352:16 359:2 361:8 365:8 370:4 400:19 401:23 **needing** 264:*3* needs 139:23 144:18 201:3 312:15 320:13 372:9 negative 254:12 negotiate 20:16 negotiated 20:17 negotiations 20:23 53:17 208:17 never 24:17, 23 55:19 58:19 76:21 126:12 158:7 168:18, *19* 169:6 170:*11*, *12* 171:19 181:3, 5, 7 199:10, 11 218:17 236:21 238:14 281:22 285:19 297:23 307:2 335:11 340:2 358:*14* 371:2*1* 381:9 394:10 403:10 new 24:10, 18, 19, 23 25:3, 4, 9, 18 28:16 29:4 33:2 37:1, 11 38:1 39:13 40:22 41:1, 7 42:17 116:14 118:20 131:1, 3, 9, 24 132:*10* 133:*4* 186:*13* 234:13, 15 240:9 255:22 380:2 newly 182:21 374:18 **NEWMAN** 2:12 news 396:12 newspaper 49:14, 17 207:10, 13 NICOLE 2:16 9:18 **night** 180:15 nine 264:2 nippolito@mpvhlaw.co **m** 2:18 **nod** 11:18 non-approval 368:17 non-approved 336:2 non-complete 27:12 non-discrimination 63:11 64:3 66:9, 14

67:8 86:6 101:*1*

105:14 108:12 116:10 117:12 **non-exempt** 16:24 26:24 27:3 non-managerial 71:10, 12 80:6, 20 **non-red-line** 111:*16*, 22 non-symptomatic 137:24 non-vaccinated 137:23 normal 255:22 north 186:18 189:9. 16 193:2 205:19 206:3, 11 **Notary** 1:22 404:4 **notation** 311:*13* **notations** 244:14 note 208:15 **noted** 257:14 notes 172:22 173:1, *4*, *5* 223:19 224:13 404:6 **notice** 234:7 notification 121:6 **notified** 150:23, 24 232:10, 22 233:16 **notify** 46:24 **notifying** 398:10 **November** 16:9, 13 18:19 26:7 28:10 35:15 43:12 49:7 **NUMBER** 4:10 15:13 52:21, 22 64:12 65:15, 16, 17 67:6 107:2 117:19 127:17, 21 129:16, 18 212:18 225:12 251:4, 5, 8 254:15 269:9 274:14 344:18 362:15 371:7 numbering 357:5 **numbers** 124:15, 22 125:3 130:4 167:9 338:20 numerous 73:6 151:20 240:10 274:12

< 0 > oath 11:2 object 59:13 99:9 105:3 133:5 162:6 178:10 198:5 201:10 203:23 211:22 214:4 228:15 239:15 240:20 241:19 243:15 266:4, 19 276:11 300:10, 22 319:20 325:10 327:24 329:19 332:8 334:11 368:3 376:13 377:1, 11, 24 379:7, 14 380:22 381:8 382:19 394:1 398:14, 22 **Objection** 10:18 17:23 25:6 28:11 33:12 34:22 39:21 41:10 46:13 48:4 50:11 56:22 59:21 78:2 93:17 94:13 95:7 98:2, 16 130:6 162:16 176:13 179:15, 18 194:19 244:10 248:9 265:7 269:23 282:20 308:19 309:15 359:18 378:15 386:7 392:8, 20 Objections 9:24 obligation 11:2 observe 285:6, 22 **obtain** 127:21 176:5 213:5 359:11 372:16 Obviously 39:15 54:23 83:13 100:8 116:9 134:20 144:22 262:8 357:5 366:1 occasion 175:1 335:6 occupied 148:8 occur 22:21 121:24 137:6 178:19 occurred 41:20 56:15, 18 58:2, 4 74:4 171:5 174:14 178:18 181:23

185:16 186:6, 23

187:18, 22 190:7 206:1, 16 207:8 242:3 340:18 351:8 390:2 occurring 60:3 179:6 188:5 O'Connor 239:13, 24 250:13 **O'Connor's** 239:10, 12, 20 **October** 1:21 8:12 19:11 22:20 152:5 239:8 240:6 **offended** 106:22 **offer** 20:6 27:9, 17 28:9, 19 33:17 35:3, 10, 14, 18 36:9 69:15 247:11 396:11 offered 20:16, 20 21:10 26:18 50:3 230:24 246:14 247:12 375:2, 4, 5 **Office** 1:19 25:20 29:8 37:17 46:21 47:1, 11, 13 48:11, 13, 19, 21 51:19 62:5 82:7 90:2, 4, 5, 9 98:16 102:6, 9 112:11 113:2, 10 120:3 123:17 124:5, 7 125:11, 24 127:15 128:14, 15, 22 129:4, 11, 19 130:4, 10, 14 134:5 135:11 138:15 145:6, 11, 13, 14, 15, 21, 23 146:2, 8, 11, 21 147:7 148:6, 20 149:21 153:10, 17 156:19 157:8, 13 158:5, 7, 11 161:14 163:9 171:18 190:20, 23 191:10, 12, 15 204:9 209:6, 23 210:10, 21 211:3 212:15 213:18 218:16 221:8, 10 222:5, 11 223:8 226:14, 24 227:12 229:19 234:4, 6, 21 236:18 238:3, 23

240:2 243:2 245:23 246:10, 23 247:1, 24 249:9, 14 250:4 253:12 255:15 256:9 258:8, 16 265:18 269:15 272:4, 6, 13 273:4, 10, 17 274:21 275:14, 21 276:10 278:12 279:5 281:1, 16 282:15 283:10 284:5, 22 285:6, 18, 23 286:10 287:17 288:2, 4, 10, 21 291:6 295:1 297:8, 20 314:22 317:2 322:16, 23 329:4, 12 333:22 344:17, 21 345:1, 8 370:7, 14, 18, 20, 22, 24 371:2 375:12 376:20, 21 378:6, 23 379:18 380:9, 21 381:7, 14 384:10 388:20, 21 391:3, 5 392:12 395:11 397:11 398:8 399:20, 21 400:22 401:3, 18, 19, 24 office/work 152:6 **officer** 88:23 89:8 90:14 91:2, 19 92:23 93:3 95:2 97:23 98:1. 10. 13 103:23 104:5, 8 107:8, 10 122:4 **officers** 104:1 107:5 **offices** 104:1 125:8 143:*1* 145:2 147:2, *3*, 4, 10, 18, 24 148:7 149:4 151:2, 7 158:2 189:17 202:8 216:1, 2, 4, 12 218:1, 6, 20 220:15, 16 227:13, 14, 16, 21 232:11 234:11 236:15 237:1 240:2 246:1 266:3 282:4 286:14 288:22 289:8, 18, 21 290:5, 22 372:7 382:10 387:10 391:12 399:19 400:2, 18 403:5

official 41:2 48:20 53:13 131:23 216:9 229:23 230:3 359:24 officials 33:6 40:17 72:2 73:20, 24 74:2 official's 134:4 **Oh** 159:8 193:*1* 212:1 285:11 286:7 **Okay** 10:24 14:3, 9, *16* 18:2*1* 19:6 20:*18* 21:2 22:6, 9 23:10 25:2, 16 26:22 27:5 28:21 29:1, 13 34:12, 17 36:5, 13 40:4 41:5 45:2 46:1, 16 47:6 48:8 51:2, 12 54:6 55:23 56:7 59:7, 19 62:12, 19 63:23 64:18 65:2, 13, 22 66:6, 17 67:5, 22 68:7, 14 70:8, 14, 21 72:21 75:1 76:9 78:14 79:8 80:5, 15 81:8, 23 82:9, 22 83:19 84:4, 11, 18, 22 85:9 88:13, 18 89:4 90:11 92:12, 19 93:6 94:18 95:10, 12 96:6, 11 97:4, 20 98:19 99:17 100:15, 20 102:7 103:10 104:18, 21 106:19 107:20 108:22 109:3, 12, 16, 23 110:4, 22 112:2, 6, *12, 18* 114:*11* 115:*16* 116:16 117:11 118:6, 19, 24 119:21 121:10 122:10 123:2 124:4, *14*, *20* 125:5 126:24 127:6 128:3 129:1, 8 130:2, 12 131:13 132:8, 14, 22 133:20 134:9, 12, 14 135:4, 9 138:*17* 139:*1*, *20* 140:6, 14, 20 142:5 143:3 144:21 146:4 147:20 149:2, 7, 17, *24* 150:*4*, *21* 151:*5* 153:7, 24 155:4, 15 156:15 159:3, 11

160:3 161:7, 20 162:2, 10, 20 167:17, 23 168:4, 9 169:19, 20 171:9 172:19 173:18 175:6, 10 177:12, 15, 21 179:8 181:8, 16 182:3, 14 183:1 184:16, 22 185:12, 19 186:1, 11 187:13 188:2, 11 189:*1*, *18*, *24* 190:*10* 197:22 198:17 199:14 204:4 207:15, 24 208:22 210:14 211:14 212:11, 23 213:23 214:14, 19 215:3 217:7, 18 218:18 221:5 222:7 223:12, 21 226:6 227:10 228:6 229:12 231:6 232:2, 7 233:5 235:24 240:12 241:24 242:10 243:20, 24 244:21 245:12, 18 250:15 251:11 252:23 253:14 256:16 257:2, 11 260:10, 19, 24 261:3 262:3 264:20 265:21 266:1 267:2 269:6 270:19 271:10 272:14 273:7 275:10, 23 277:19 278:16, 24 279:10 280:13, 20 281:4, 20 282:9, 17 283:20 284:12, 15, 20 285:11, 16, 20 288:9, 16 291:11 292:10, 20 293:15 295:11, 24 296:3, 10, 19, 21 297:11, 22 298:16, 23 299:21 302:4, 6 304:7 308:11 309:21 310:4, 8, 9, 18 311:11 313:9, 16 314:12 315:15 318:16, 19 321:19 322:13 323:20 326:6 333:4 337:9 338:10 339:5, 14 340:13, 20 341:11

oversee 265:1

342:6, 14 343:15 344:2, 8 346:15 347:7, 20 348:2, 21 349:2, 11, 23 350:10 351:*3*, *16* 352:*15* 353:3 354:1 356:19 357:11, 23 360:10 361:5, 24 362:13, 22 363:14 364:5 366:8 367:17 368:11, 22 373:8, 15 374:16, 20 375:6 377:8 378:10 382:17 383:8 385:15 386:14 387:12, 22 388:3, 4 389:16 390:6, 11 394:19 396:2, 24 397:5 399:24 402:6, 23 403:12, 16 **older** 362:14 once 69:7 121:9 255:21 282:11 345:16 ones 40:8 55:16 115:6 116:*I* 157:2*I*, 22 231:23 361:2 365:24 402:15 ongoing 50:10, 19 378:8 **online** 34:2 open 129:23 145:5, *17* 180:*13* 205:*15* 328:9 operated 125:8 **operation** 221:9, 23 222:2, 4, 11 245:23 250:4 265:17 282:14 288:20 289:8 294:18, 24 operational 320:13 391:24 392:4 393:4 394:10 **operations** 209:*3*, *23* 210:9, 21 211:2 212:15 215:7 226:24 258:8 269:15 272:6 273:4, 9 275:13 276:9 278:11 279:4 281:15 289:17 294:14 370:6 375:12

380:12 391:11 392:11 395:11 opinion 77:5 202:12, 24 209:24 240:18 257:5 274:5 327:4, 22 400:1, 4, 6, 8, 12 opinions 273:14 opportunity 31:20 84:2, 8 **opposite** 145:12 386:2 **optimal** 127:17 129:16 130:4 **optimally** 129:10 **option** 91:18 158:15 320:6, 7 381:2 **options** 148:12 oral 106:24 **order** 8:6 75:9, 19, 21 86:17 145:17 155:19 159:13 171:5 194:16 195:24 227:13 244:1 310:19 312:18 313:12 336:4 356:21 357:5 372:19 374:3 375:10 organization 270:24 organizational 44:17, 21, 24 45:6, 7, 9, 19, 21 392:12 orientation 29:4 original 91:22 187:12 261:21 originally 68:4 **outcome** 307:14 outdated 39:24 40:6 outlined 209:2 287:21 outlines 174:14 188:9 outlining 278:10 **outright** 163:18 outside 36:20 95:21 97:14 145:11 160:17 189:15 226:13 229:19 330:12 331:2 outstanding 264:7 overall 222:4 288:20 289:8, 17 overlapping 75:21

overseeing 376:23 oversight 47:15 overtime 263:1, 9 266:17 owner 128:23 < P > **P.C** 2:12 **p.m** 165:16 166:2, 8 190:2 277:*1* 278:*1* 304:11 312:5 381:24 382:5 403:20, 23 **P.O** 2:13 **PAGE** 2:16 4:4, 10 6:19 7:2, 12 27:8 43:11 44:11 68:15, 16 72:13, 18 74:8 75:20, 23 76:5 84:6 99:2, 19, 20 100:10 103:16 104:12 108:13 111:21 121:*12* 136:*7* 140:*15* 156:10, 12, 17 184:9, 24 190:2 199:2 200:17 208:10 217:5 246:5, 6 257:12 261:14 262:12 287:8 322:4, 5 339:1 347:21, 22 348:3 356:14 357:2 364:11 390:21 pages 75:21 84:5, 12 100:16 111:15 217:15 338:24 339:1 paid 112:24 250:11 263:10 267:17 268:3, 5 269:3 381:13 panel 21:15 paper 30:14 166:12 paperwork 24:20, 23 25:3, 10 28:16 29:9 37:1, 3, 10, 11, 12, 21 38:2 138:19, 24 141:22 169:2 238:2, 10 296:12, 22 319:10, 14 328:4 331:2 **PAR** 15:21, 23 16:5 17:21 26:23 34:13 35:6 131:8, 9, 10, 19

234:2, 3 251:17 290:24 293:17 399:13, 15, 19 para 342:16 Paragraph 46:4 153:8 182:5, 16 185:20 187:22 188:4 190:4, 12 193:4 203:10 208:14 226:7 230:21 246:5 247:3, 14 249:6 250:2 257:13 262:11, 23 263:12 264:1 320:10 333:6 342:17 348:4 PARALEGAL 3:5 9:5 parcels 320:17, 19 parenthesis 64:15 park 163:11 165:8 183:*13* **parked** 165:1 **parking** 158:24 159:2, 5, 20, 23 160:16 161:4, 5, 10, 12, 15, 18, 22 164:21, 24 165:3, 4 170:22 182:2*1* 183:6 328:*15* **Parole** 31:22 32:3 **PARs** 15:24 280:9 290:22 378:19 379:2 399:17 part 16:19 17:9 21:15 25:11 66:15 68:12 83:16, 18 84:15, 16 89:2 108:7 119:18 132:6 134:10 169:*4* 185:*15* 186:*6* 187:18 210:7, 17 211:*1* 215:23 222:*1*, 23 258:10 259:15 265:9, 12 270:20 271:2 273:5 278:8, 14 279:12, 16 282:7, 12, 13 286:21 290:14 291:8 304:15 326:13 349:4 359:4 participate 168:3 particular 130:10 138:6 139:5 140:19

- F
143:5 169:18 192:9
195:19 308:19
parties 3:3 8:20
9:23 10:2 386:23
parts 218:4
party 49:4 180:14
passing 27:10
PASSODELIS 2:20
PAUL 3:1 9:13
155:11, 16
pause 35:20
paused 83:23
pay 47:21 48:22
131:18 194:15 263:2
267:21
paying 113:3 210:5
payment 113:13
151:8
payroll 46:6, 12, 20
47: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> 49: <i>1</i> 62: <i>1</i>
250:10 252:2
PENNSYLVANIA
1:2, 20, 21 2:4, 14, 17,
22 3:2 8:15 31:12,
22 32:3, 10 36:18, 21
273:21
people 84:1 91:14
107:2 118:3 132:12
133: <i>1</i> 191: <i>12</i> , <i>15</i>
240:9 329:3 377:4
percent 179:22
per-diem 125:2
250:9
Perfect 62:19 64:1
167:17
perform 42:15
226:11 232:13
237:10 246:12, 14
247:5 255:23 287:9
322:7, 15, 18
performance 217:12
performed 323:7, 23
-
period 47:21 51:18
53:4, 18 54:17, 22
55:6 136:24 209:22
214:16, 22 225:21
226:3 253:5 254:16
255:3, 8, 10, 17 263:2
268:3, 5, 7, 8, 9
280:24 285:4 286:6,

```
7, 10 336:15 368:2
372:16, 18 376:22
periodic 80:16
periodically 13:21
15:1
permissible 96:19
194:22 195:3
permit 136:22, 23
255:14 393:2
permits 128:9
234:16 348:8
permitted 93:14
97:2 139:3 140:22
141:15 163:12
195:13, 17 236:3
247:19 334:19
336:13 348:7 367:21
385:23 392:6 393:2,
permitting 267:16
person 20:10 80:23
81:4 82:5 83:23
90:6 91:16 93:5
106:21, 23 114:1, 3
127:10 148:21 172:3
213:9 221:3 234:12
326:15 355:11, 13
372:10 374:2 402:2
personal 15:18 37:5
120:18 136:19 205:8
personally 23:11
personnel 23:19
25:12 54:19 77:15,
19 224:3, 8, 14, 17
319:3, 8
perspective 47:5
113:9 201:2 210:5
265:1 393:1
Philadelphia 2:4
phone 22:5, 10
118:21 172:4, 6, 7
179:21 285:10 297:3,
4 344:18 358:1, 3
photo 234:11
phrase 253:11
physical 27:11 29:7,
10 302:13 319:10
physically 22:8
138:5, 15 142:14
358:17
```

```
physician 313:1, 4, 11
341:17 342:19, 21
343:11, 24 344:4
345:19 358:21 359:3
360:20
physicians 359:8
physician's 295:7
341:20 343:7, 23
344:5, 10, 13, 17, 21
346:10 356:2 357:12
pick 403:17
picked 128:11
piece 290:14 366:10
pieces 153:14 218:3
pigeon 302:16
piling 237:4
PIPAK 2:20 8:4
9:11 10:18 17:23
25:6 28:11 33:12
34:22 39:21 41:10
46:13, 18 48:4 50:11
56:22 59:12, 21 78:2
93:17 94:13 95:7
96:12 97:4 98:2
99:9 105:3 130:6
133:5 162:8, 16
166:15 176:13, 19
177:4, 7, 15 178:10,
14, 21 179:8, 15, 18,
24 180:5, 10, 16
194:19 198:5 201:10
203:23 211:22 214:4
239:15 240:20
241:19 243:15
244:10 248:9 265:7
266:4, 19 269:23
270:4, 10 272:1
276:13, 20 277:6, 22
282:20 300:10, 22
301:4, 12, 17 302:2, 6,
23 303:11, 15, 21
304:3, 7 305:10, 16
306:11, 12, 23 307:9
308:2, 3 311:9 312:1
319:20 325:10
327:24 329:19 332:8
334:11 353:7 359:18
368:3 376:13 377:1,
11, 24 378:15 379:7,
14 380:22 381:8
```

382:19, 24 383:4, 11, 19 384:1, 14, 22 385:10, 17 386:1, 14 392:8, 20 394:1 398:14, 22 403:14, 17 Pittsburgh 2:22 place 22:14, 18 110:21 140:3 163:14 164:5, 8 165:18 273:1 309:9 375:15, *19* 377:*15* 378:*14* **placed** 11:2 18:15 19:8 115:*14* 143:*1* 163:2 165:20 224:3. *17* 297:20 319:2, 5 330:11 378:21 380:4 **placement** 226:15 247:16 **places** 333:9 **Plaintiff** 1:2 2:5 9:3, *4*, *7* 14:*1* 231:*17* **Plaintiffs** 2:11 8:24 9:2 10:12 52:3 57:22 58:13, 16 59:1 60:11, 12, 15 114:21 115:4 192:5 231:18 232:16, 22 233:9, 15 236:22 **plaintiff's** 49:12, 24 50:5, 9 52:17 56:9, 21 58:9, 12 60:1 **plan** 271:23 272:2 273:1 275:7, 16 276:4 278:19 279:3 281:21, 22 282:3, 7, 13, 19, 22, 23 286:13, 21 287:21 291:5 375:14, 19, 20 377:15 **planned** 377:19 planning 370:8 390:24 **plans** 136:10 platform 117:3 118:14, 17 **played** 83:15 137:24 138:4 326:13 please 12:4, 14, 19, 22 13:10 184:11 269:13 301:20 303:19 311:5

347:21 **pleased** 311:12 plees@dmclaw.com 3:3 plenty 310:15 386:24 plethora 96:24 **PLLC** 2:2 **plus** 290:2 **point** 40:9 51:8 52:5 54:15 88:15 89:11 121:15 134:15, 21 137:20 152:22 154:1 159:1, 4 188:16 190:24 191:5. 6 192:9 200:17 206:12, 23, 24 214:11 226:17, 20 253:8, 9 263:3 276:19 279:6, *18* 314:24 362:7 376:17 377:16 385:20 393:9, 10 394:5 401:17 **pointed** 35:19 350:12 **pointing** 350:13 points 200:4 235:18 police 171:13, 14 176:5.6 **policies** 37:7 38:24 39:18, 24 40:11, 23 41:9, 19 69:20 99:24 105:2 106:14 108:19 111:*I* 115:22 119:7 **policy** 17:19 33:9 41:16 54:8 61:9, 12 62:22 63:11, 19 64:3, 5, 10, 11, 12, 20, 24 65:15, 16, 17, 24 66:9 67:6, 8, 14, 18 68:12 69:3, 4, 7, 9, 11 70:2, 5 81:13, 14, 21 84:16 86:6 88:11 89:1, 6, 8 90:20 91:3, 23 92:3, 4, 16 101:1, 4, 6, 15, *17* 103:*12* 104:*12*, *22* 105:9, 14, 18, 20 106:2, 11, 18 107:6 108:11, 12 109:8, 14, 19, 21, 22 110:8, 12, 20 111:7, 18 112:8, 20 113:11, 21 114:24

115:1 116:3, 7, 11, 12, 14 117:12, 14, 18 119:12, 18 133:17 173:3 195:2, 9 201:4, 5, 6, 19 204:22, 23 205:1, 3, 5, 7, 8 389:11, 14 **pop** 80:16 **portion** 17:3, 21 27:8 54:16 92:24 348:8, 9, 10 **posed** 13:13 186:17, 21 187:2 188:9 306:15 **position** 18:8 19:18 21:3, 10 26:17, 18, 24 30:16, 21 31:3, 4, 6 32:4, 7 34:20 39:9 40:23 41:8 43:17 44:6 49:11 50:3 52:24 91:10, 15, 16 92:5 94:24 107:18 125:14, 20, 21 127:23 131:3, 9, 15, 18, 24 132:3, 20 133:4 134:6 209:13 210:3, 13 213:3 215:10, 15 219:4, 12, 17 221:23 224:6 234:16 241:3, 18 244:1, 7 245:16, 21 252:8 253:16 258:6 267:19 274:10 287:20 288:6 289:1, 6 290:8, 10, 11 291:13 323:7, 24 370:6 375:18 386:2, 4 396:12 400:10, 13 401:16 **positions** 91:*14* 123:16 129:15, 19, 22 130:9, *15*, *23* 131:*1* 132:10, 13, 19 141:19 210:6 213:6, 17 222:3 227:14, 18 236:15 245:24 246:3 287:13 288:7, 10 289:20 321:17 378:19, 21 394:13 395:9

possible 154:5, 9 252:3 **possibly** 374:17 post 236:14 291:22 367:23 **posted** 401:16 poster 120:8, 19 **posters** 119:16, 22 120:15 posting 21:5 potential 77:7 185:18 241:10 242:7 Potentially 41:16 57:16 67:19 85:23 86:1 98:5 102:10 119:3 131:22 148:23 158:*4* 174:*18* 204:*3* 255:15 257:7 259:17 276:8 328:14 371:23 396:1 400:15 **PowerPoint** 79:2, 5 80:2 83:4, 7 84:7 **PowerPoints** 80:19 **practice** 208:16, 18 **precedent** 195:16 preceding 317:6 precluded 92:22 93:2 98:9 386:6 preemployment 319:10 pre-orientation 28:16 prep 396:19 preparation 225:17 **prepare** 173:13 175:1 287:9, 11 **prepared** 88:12 112:14 115:7 173:14 280:16 378:6 386:10 400:7 **prepares** 399:20 **PRESENT** 3:5 9:2, 4, 17 22:7, 8, 18 160:*5* 172:*1* 175:*23* 191:16 194:14 209:14, 17 221:18 259:24 287:16 367:14 389:1, 13 391:4, 9 392:2, 6, 19 393:14, 15, 22, 24 402:18, 20

presentation 81:3 84:17 115:11 presented 79:3 115:*17* 117:*5* 259:2*1* 278:21 396:15 preservation 191:4 **preserved** 191:20 press 186:24 187:10, 16. 24 188:23 196:11 233:3 **pressing** 376:11, 16 **pretty** 53:15 56:17 57:9 93:8 205:13, 14 207:2, 20, 23 264:16 291:9 300:16 prevent 385:7 previous 94:2 104:13 363:16 368:20 390:7 PREVIOUSLY 6:18 45:*13*, *14*, *17* 202:*21* 203:17 247:22 396:13 previously-held 275:1 **Price** 272:11 283:6 285:6 381:6, 13 **Price's** 285:3 primary 54:18, 21 210:12 267:10 **Prior** 18:11, 16 20:6 21:9 23:2, 5 29:6 31:21 35:2, 17 36:9, 10 49:19 58:4 87:6 110:21 113:22, 23 125:6, 9 131:10 136:13 137:12 142:7 143:8, 12 148:22 152:11, 23 153:5 159:19 163:7 165:2 187:23 189:8 195:*11* 202:4 204:6 207:13 211:3 215:4, 16 218:11 220:12 222:16, 18 227:9 231:9, 19 234:2 240:6 242:3 247:3, 14 253:5, 15 256:17, 22 257:20 268:17 272:18 273:13, 24 281:19 284:16

-
334:13 335:5, 10
336:23 337:20 371:4
389:23 397:3 398:6
400:17
prison 62:14, 17 86:3
privacy 145:17 priveledged 301:16
privilege 10: <i>1</i>
176:18, 19 177:2
178:11 179:23 277:9
300:23 301:20 302:9
303:10 304:16
305:19 308:18
309:14 382:20
privileged 96:23
179: <i>4</i> 301: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>21</i> ,
24 303:9 305:9
306:5, 10
privy 152:15 227:24
probably 50:18 54:5
57:9, 11, 13 123:21
146:17 159:22
165:2 <i>3</i> 181: <i>13</i> 182: <i>1</i>
203:8 206:18 211:13
212:19 223:15 262:8
280:7 298:11 307:15
339:19 377:14 Probation 21:22 22:2
Probation 31:22 32:3 procedure 17:20
133: <i>17</i> 195: <i>3</i>
procedures 10:16
37:7 38:24
proceed 8:22 259:19
260:4 361: <i>10</i>
proceeded 394:14
process 33:9 46:5
47:4 103:7 126:19,
21 132:24 133:9, 18
140.1 140.4 160.14
140:1 142:4 169:14
173:7 210: <i>18</i> 244: <i>4</i> ,
8 259:3 260:4
283:14 297:2 314:6
358:23 359:3, 12
364: <i>12</i> , <i>15</i> 365: <i>2</i> , <i>6</i>
367:7 371:18 377:18
367:7 371:18 377:18 processed 46:21 processor 47:1
367:7 371:18 377:18 processed 46:21
367:7 371:18 377:18 processed 46:21 processor 47:1
367:7 371:18 377:18 processed 46:21 processor 47:1 produce 235:7

```
product 305:5
320:21 321:8, 12
333:19 334:15 335:8
368:21
PRODUCTION 7:11
67:24 235:3
productive 237:16
334:10
professionally 147:7
program 117:5
121:20 209:12 210:3
234:14
progressed 52:19
projects 259:20, 23
prolonged 236:17
promoted 401:11, 15
proper 46:7 212:3
311:4
properties 128:6, 11,
16 241:5 372:22
373:19, 24 374:4, 9,
10, 13
property 128:23
200:13, 20 212:6
374:7
proposed 104:12
protection 159:13
protocol 164:7
protocols 194:13
provide 15:3 77:5
101:23 102:13
115:12 121:21 125:2
132:1 161:21 162:15
171:17 174:9, 12, 17
175:15 249:11, 14
260:11 262:17 265:2
300:20 306:17, 20
324:4, 7 345:3
359:12 384:6
provided 29:12 41:4,
8, 18, 19 70:16, 22
80:22 81:16 95:3
101:20 112:23 120:5
124:16 160:18
161:24 162:1 170:1,
4 171:19 173:17
175:3 185:7 197:9
202:17 203:7 216:16
230:23 235:18 236:7
```

```
237:8 246:11, 20
247:22 249:8 256:18,
24 257:3 258:22
290:20 297:19
305:17 307:1 308:4
315:11 322:15 327:1,
3 339:13 341:22
342:1, 22 358:20
380:18
provider 295:9
316:19 326:10 332:6,
15 339:12 346:22
353:1, 2 360:5
providers 46:6 360:4
provider's 347:1
352:23 360:14
provides 344:18
360:6
providing 168:17
175:8 200:12, 19
362:1
prudent 90:13
105:24 106:8
Public 1:23 144:8,
11, 18 145:5, 18
328:9 404:4
publicized 207:9
publicly 111:4
pull 244:13 245:1
pulled 241:7 242:14
243:3
puller 245:10
pulls 213:14
purely 304:20
purpose 199:12
209:10, 11 210:12
301:6 303:1 305:17
308:5 383:5 384:14
purposes 15:14
277:12 301:9 338:11
340:21 394:20
purview 269:16
push 398:12, 20
pushed 377:21 378:3,
put 27:20 34:4 41:1
49:6 88:1 90:13
92:16 105:24 119:14,
16, 22, 24 120:1, 10
128:7 131:19 133:8
```

```
140:2 155:19 183:12,
15, 16 213:16 216:17
238:24 241:6 242:23,
24 243:7 251:7
273:1 275:8 277:13
288:13 290:22
303:24 304:12 307:5
310:19 311:14
339:16 347:17
355:15 370:21
375:14 376:5 378:19
399:19 401:18
puts 213:20
putting 217:21
218:3, 24 220:17
400:15
puzzle 290:14
< Q >
```

qualifications 34:19 138:*3* qualified 42:15 146:20 271:11 **quality** 304:16 quarantine 137:20 question 11:22, 24 12:3, 5, 10, 19 13:12 30:8 36:2 58:22 62:20 66:11 78:10 83:24 85:6 87:18 110:5, 23 127:16 129:10 158:19 170:11 171:20 178:21, 22 179:1, 8 180:5, 6, 13, 17 186:*17*, *21* 187:*1*, *21* 188:8 194:4 200:*16* 205:22 228:7 247:7 267:9, 16 268:19 270:9, 11 277:20, 21 289:10 299:9, 10, 22 302:7 303:4, 12, 15, *17*, *22* 304:*4* 305:*11* 306:9, 15, 18, 24 307:2, 13, 18, 19, 22 308:4 322:6 332:12 336:9 359:14 364:10

365:23 372:1 383:7

384:5 385:13 386:13

questioned 73:1, 2 294:18, 24 **questioning** 96:13, 19 97:1, 3 383:21 385:7 questions 10:14 13:18 36:6 43:7 47:19 87:13, 21 132:2 177:13 228:15, 16 270:11 277:14, 18 305:8 321:7 322:20 385:24 387:1 395:10 403:1 quick 61:14 287:6 353:5 **quickly** 65:14 183:10 377:18, 22 378:*3* quite 266:2 267:18 378:7, 8 quiz 81:18 82:10 83:2, 21 84:9, 24 85:6 87:1 **quoting** 342:23

< R > raise 85:7, 8 raised 98:15 157:21, 22 231:18, 21 233:10, *23* 333:5, *6* 383:14 396:5 raises 157:11 190:7 ramifications 374:12. 14 ran 370:18 **raped** 94:7 rate 239:20 251:9 rates 208:19 ratio 212:8 215:1 **reach** 168:14 171:3, 10, 11 172:5, 16 176:4 178:23 179:12 180:4, 8 196:7 221:3 296:24 340:4 344:21, 24 345:12 355:5 360:4 **reached** 171:16 176:17 178:2 236:22, 23 305:11 316:11

345:11, 15 358:5

366:12 **reaches** 363:23 **reaching** 176:20 359:8 361:21 **read** 39:4, 18 49:16 63:9, 17, 18 69:6 105:*12* 167:8 179:*1* 289:10, 12 307:10 399:12, 15 **reading** 106:20 153:23 187:14 235:1, 2 245:14 332:12 reads 92:21 103:12 226:8 **real** 61:13 123:9 213:2, 4, 15 214:2 225:16 244:6 252:11 286:15 287:6 288:14 289:1 320:17 353:5 **realize** 12:*12* really 49:16 118:20 129:2 177:8 217:21 219:2 275:17 316:17 339:22 400:3 reason 13:6 35:19 68:2*3* 119:4 141:*14* 179:23 185:14 208:23 219:8 227:20 229:9 315:17, 19 360:8 370:1 373:16 391:20 392:5 399:15 reasonable 296:13 297:19 298:8 299:13, *15*, *19* 300:2 312:9, *19* 313:*13* 315:9, *14* 316:20 319:13 325:24 341:19 reasoning 327:6 333:17 reasons 210:1 227:23 228:2, 4, 8, 10, 23 252:5 reassigned 157:14 reassigning 286:23 recall 19:10, 13 20:21, 22 22:12, 19 23:15, 16 30:5, 13, 15 37:3, 13, 16, 18 40:7 51:15 55:22 56:12, 13, 18 60:8 61:1, 2,

10, 11 69:12, 23 70:11 74:16 80:2 81:22 84:1, 13 87:10, 12 88:16 90:10, 22 96:2, 4, 7 97:18 99:6, 15, 16 104:20 114:4, 8, 10, 14, 17, 18 115:17, 20 116:1 120:5 125:16, 23 126:9, 13 134:13, 18 135:*1* 136:*13* 137:*7* 139:5, 17 140:11, 17, 18, 24 141:13 143:6, 23, 24 144:4, 6, 9 146:5, 12 150:1 157:23 159:7, 8, 12, 18 162:18, 21 163:4, 6 164:23 165:14 167:24 169:13 170:16, 20 171:2 172:2*3* 173:7 175:*11* 181:9 182:8 183:8 187:9, 11 188:9 191:3 192:14 201:23 205:18 206:17 223:16 224:2 225:19 226:4 232:4 238:22, 23 249:18 260:9 268:2 276:2 279:15 281:17 284:18 286:3 291:10 298:12, 18 309:23 314:18 315:3 316:14, 17 317:12, 14 333:24 336:17, 23 338:3, 6, 9, 22 345:17 346:24 358:13 360:16 361:21 367:16 387:17 395:23 396:7 397:8 402:19, 24 403:2, 3 recalled 205:24 recalling 205:17 receipt 42:8 43:19 105:17 106:3 367:24 receive 26:5 28:5 40:23 41:21 42:17 44:21 63:18 76:24 170:5 197:5 283:8 311:6 314:1 331:5 337:12 343:19

344:15 346:13 349:9 366:24 367:1 received 24:14 28:8, 9 39:3, 18 43:18 55:21 63:9 64:11 69:20 81:5, 6, 10, 14 105:1, 12, 19 168:18, 19 170:7, 12, 14 174:11 178:9 181:3 182:2, 6 197:18 212:16 214:23 219:24 260:3 277:11 295:7, 22 296:1, 8, 17, 22 297:7, 18 313:1, 3 314:23 315:5 319:2 324:2 326:10 327:11 328:4, 5 330:10, 11, *13* 337:*13* 338:*23* 339:6, 17, 18 341:17, 22 342:24 343:1, 10 345:18, 23 347:1 348:23 349:8 353:19 356:2, 16, 23 357:9, 15 360:22 368:7 402:15 receiving 182:8 311:19 331:16 336:17 346:20 350:17 353:10, 12 receptionist 145:23 recess 108:2 166:4 277:3 305:21 382:2 recognize 15:15 24:5 26:3 28:3 29:21 32:20 38:10 42:6 43:9 63:6 65:11 67:2 72:11 75:16 78:24 116:24 135:24 139:14 150:11 151:*17* 156:*5* 199:*3*, 4, 7, 9 208:7 217:4 261:12 271:21 295:18 313:23 316:9 317:22 322:2 330:6 352:5 361:18 363:12 Recognizing 308:11 recollection 70:16 101:22 188:20 201:13 293:17 348:18 362:10

recommend 16:16 228:14 399:9 recommendation 17:6 92:16 135:14 224:9 241:13 289:22, 24 290:19 369:23 399:18 402:5 recommendations 259:20, 23 278:11, 15 281:14 282:15 recommended 16:16 117:7 219:16 245:24 388:15, 18 recommending 224:11 253:15 reconsider 343:21 reconsideration 346:1, 21 reconsidered 332:5 346:2 **record** 8:11 48:17, 20, 21 79:22 99:19 107:24 108:3, 6 110:6 127:1 165:24 166:2, 5, 8 268:20 277:1, 4, 13 278:1 292:13 304:9, 11 305:22 307:5 312:5 342:10 381:21, 24 382:3, 6 386:16 403:20 recordkeeping 46:7 records 48:2, 10, 14, 23 104:24 250:11 252:1 recovered 374:18 rectify 380:20 red 88:10 89:6 92:4 103:16 104:13 106:6 **red-line** 88:12 99:1 100:9 111:15 115:5, 7, 12, 18 redlined 88:7 reevaluate 341:18 **refer** 14:1, 17 26:22 127:7 reference 15:12 27:11 32:23 33:2 35:16 288:5 321:6 322:8

referenced 193:7 207:14 343:13 346:14 **references** 35:2 36:8 322:10 386:10 394:9 **referred** 15:21 **referring** 13:22, 24 14:5, 11, 14, 18 35:9 45:20 58:21 59:8 169:8, 9 193:6 268:8 291:15 321:3 364:3 **reflect** 113:11 reflection 311:16 reflects 44:5 176:14 refresh 362:9 refreshes 293:16 **refused** 74:4 306:17 **regard** 198:15 regarding 10:16 20:6, 13 50:23 52:17 58:1 59:10, 16 60:6 83:17 85:2 87:17 88:22 92:20 95:17, 22 100:11 107:16 121:22 133:11, 17 136:19 153:9 157:7 168:17, 20, 22 170:13 171:2*1* 177:19 181:1 182:20 184:*1* 185:*16* 189:20 190:7 192:11, *14*, *16* 194:9 196:*10* 204:23 205:4 215:6 222:4, 10 224:13 229:24 231:18 242:1 257:17, 22 258:20, 24 261:16 268:22 275:13, 20 276:9 278:11 281:15, 22 283:9 284:5 296:12 297:16 298:8, 14 300:1 306:9 307:19 308:17 309:18 313:13 314:6, 10, 20 315:8, 12 316:21 325:20 326:8, 16 328:4 336:18 341:19 355:3, 10 357:19 360:20, 23 361:22 362:2 367:6 368:20

390:1 391:3, 5 396:21 regardless 241:4 322:23 regards 361:7 regular 25:11 47:18 71:9 140:12 304:1 rehired 125:2 reissue 113:7 **related** 47:2 114:22 137:15, 17 138:11, 12, 20 196:12 228:18 283:12 306:18 392:16, 17 relates 99:10 359:7 relavance 184:2 releases 29:9 relevant 195:18 242:4, 8 305:2, 6 327:18 **relied** 327:4 **relocated** 142:9, 20 152:7 relocating 229:17 relocation 158:22 rely 384:24 remain 110:21 122:3, 5, 13 263:4 348:6 370:13 401:23 403:6 remarks 58:19 60:6 **remedies** 148:10 **remedy** 259:7 **remember** 12:*18* 18:18, 20, 22 19:7, 11 35:11, 22 36:7 40:5 51:13 57:7 61:7 85:18 88:19 99:7 113:19, 24 173:2 186:9 248:23 269:8 293:7 336:24 345:9 362:14 387:5 400:14 remind 262:14 removal 219:4 220:13, 15 **removed** 89:15 104:13 219:16 224:6 237:20 241:18 245:20 246:2 253:16 375:18

removing 215:9 219:11 **render** 93:1 **rendered** 301:23 302:19 303:8 304:24 307:4 renders 13:7 repeat 156:21 294:20 309:1 332:11 repeated 205:22 **rephrase** 12:5 59:22 126:*11* **replace** 148:18 375:19 replaced 157:3 replacement 378:12 379:5 **replies** 387:13 report 26:10 62:14 101:5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 23, 24 102:4 117:20 118:3, 22 147:5 171:13 173:15 176:6 184:*1* 211:20 213:7. 8, 14 217:11 222:12 228:5 231:2, 10, 12 241:8 242:4, 6, 22 244:2, 13, 18 245:1, 9, 14 253:2 259:8 265:20 290:20 377:5 399:13, 15, 20 reported 102:2 103:3 118:7, 10 119:2 147:3 161:16 196:3, 13, 15, 16, 17, 20 197:23 198:14 259:19 400:4 **REPORTER** 8:1, 21 11:11, 17 118:16 289:12 307:10 Reporting 8:12 101:7 205:5 232:19 336:15 **reports** 34:5 121:17 174:5, 8 176:24 211:15 212:2, 17 213:1, 3 214:2, 10, 24 218:13, 19 219:3 220:4 222:6 223:6 224:19 225:4, 18

226.13 16 227.22
226:13, 16 227:22
228:19 229:2, 5, 18,
21 230:23 240:19, 24
241:9, 11 242:7, 8, 9,
<i>13</i> 243: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i> 245: <i>17</i>
246:15, 23 247:12, 17,
23 252:16, 21 253:21
254:2, 7 257:4, 9, 22
258:13, 20 259:8
262:1, 21 265:13
,
266:12 267:11, 18
268:11, 14, 23 269:14
283:12, 13, 15, 24
284: <i>6</i> , <i>8</i> 289:2 <i>3</i>
290:14 291:4, 22
294: <i>4</i> 299: <i>4</i> 334: <i>16</i>
335:3, 8, 13, 18
373:22 374:11
380:10, 13 402:17
represent 8:20 10:11
67:23 159:17 240:16
306:12
representation 43:22
45:9 150: <i>19</i>
REQUEST 7:11
13:12 15:19 28:23
74:1 98:17, 21
114:18, 21, 22 131:7,
19 133:11 138:7, 13
140:5 160:14, 16
215:4 238:16, 21
240:18 246:13
247:11 275:15
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14,
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7 341:19 344:21 346:1, 8 350:9 352:12
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7 341:19 344:21 346:1, 8 350:9 352:12 353:17 354:21 355:2,
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7 341:19 344:21 346:1, 8 350:9 352:12 353:17 354:21 355:2, 4 357:20 360:13, 14,
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7 341:19 344:21 346:1, 8 350:9 352:12 353:17 354:21 355:2, 4 357:20 360:13, 14, 22, 24 366:17 367:19,
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7 341:19 344:21 346:1, 8 350:9 352:12 353:17 354:21 355:2, 4 357:20 360:13, 14, 22, 24 366:17 367:19, 20 368:1, 13, 18
247:11 275:15 296:13 298:9 299:14, 16 300:2, 3 310:16 312:10, 19 313:13 314:7, 10, 18 315:9 316:16, 20 317:1 319:13 322:7, 18 324:9, 20 325:6, 24 326:8 332:3, 16 335:11 336:21 338:7 341:19 344:21 346:1, 8 350:9 352:12 353:17 354:21 355:2, 4 357:20 360:13, 14, 22, 24 366:17 367:19,

requested 28:17
114:6, <i>17</i> 115: <i>3</i>
136:2 <i>1</i> 143:9 162: <i>1</i>
169:22 174:2 <i>1</i>
207:21 208:24 209:1
221:13 232:16
235:16 236:3 237:14
254:16 260:1 261:19
316: <i>13</i> 319: <i>24</i>
341:18 346:11 355:5
391:4 394:11
requesting 114:18
131:9 209:21 236:14
343:7 350:19, 24
requests 98:1 110:19
154:22 155: <i>1</i> 242:2
315:13 323:3, 8, 22
324:2, 4, 6 366:18
require 73:24
243: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> 299:6
364:16, 22
required 42:14, 20
44:2 46:5 73:20
127:20 233:18 244:6,
13 257:21 265:17
requirement 13:11
127:22 189:20
324:20
requirements 236:9
275:4 323:5, 14
requires 48:1
requisite 317:5
rescheduled 77:7
78:5, 7
rescheduling 76:19
reserve 177:13
384:12 386:22, 23
reserved 183:6
reserving 382:21
383:2 384:16
resignations 125:13
resolve 155:14
resource 221:8
resources 17:6, 16
19:18 21:4 39:9, 16
41:7 42:9 43:17, 23
46:12 53:9 54:11
80:10 92:6, 22 93:2,
9, 11 94:10 95:2
98: <i>9</i> 101: <i>8</i> 102: <i>12</i> ,

```
19 122:2, 4 186:13,
14 230:22 240:19
246:13 247:11 280:4
312:11 330:10, 13, 23
356:1, 16 359:21
362:3, 6, 11
resource's 140:16
respond 311:7
322:14 323:1 396:8
responded 121:19
203:6
respondents 192:4
396:16
responding 11:23
responds 262:4
344:16, 17
response 202:16
203:4, 5 314:1 322:8,
17 350:16 352:11, 23
358:6 366:4, 5, 17
388:4 391:10
responses 11:17, 19
84:7 353:1
responsibilities 42:14
44:6, 13 46:4 53:19
214:9 299:2 326:12,
17
responsibility 43:23
54:18 241:1
responsible 40:18
44:3, 9 46:12 77:11,
15 212:24 226:23
245:10, 17, 22
restate 198:9
restricted 163:18
165:15 188:17
restriction 163:14
165:20
restrictions 163:2, 5
restructure 399:18
restructured 400:2
restructuring 216:1
382:9 385:13 402:10
result 88:22 89:17
90:23 160:4 176:24
206:7 214:16 233:24
237:21 239:11, 24
289:21 290:6 303:4
331:11 349:14
378:21
```

resulted 220:15 227:6 288:23 290:7 resulting 91:3 224:16 results 138:21 289:19 resume 29:24 30:7, 9, *13*, *17* 218:*12* 278:*23* 362:10 retain 215:14 retaliating 93:13 retaliation 59:20 60:1 77:24 119:2 197:24 324:16 385:11, 19 retaliatory 390:23 **retired** 274:17 retiree 116:2, 7, 11 retirees 249:12, 16 250:8 retirement 37:10 retirements 125:12 **retrieved** 233:19 **return** 51:6 190:23 336:4 337:2, 6 returned 147:1 152:18 216:4, 12 256:8 337:5 returning 248:20 396:12 **REV9-13** 64:15 revealed 222:9, 16, 22 223:1 review 47:17, 20 63:17, 18 93:15 98:12 115:11 128:6, 8 131:21 133:9 135:15 138:7 185:6, 7, 9 197:16 213:9 227:4 239:1 244:13 252:1 257:8 282:14 283:9 287:17 295:7 297:14 315:10 318:18 324:19 325:16 331:9, 13 339:11 341:21 342:19, 21 343:8, 11, 23 344:1, 5, 10, 14 345:19 346:10 349:12 356:2 357:12, 13 358:7 360:20

361:8 362:17 367:2
370:20
reviewed 63:9 69:6,
11 82:23 95:3
105:11, 12 112:16
115:8 117:6 131:16
135:15 167:15
185:13, 14 190:18
216:16 231:5 273:13
278:20 297:15
302:17 305:2 317:3
323:3, 9, 11 324:3, 23,
24 325:5 334:18
357: <i>15</i>
reviewing 70:1
200:10, 15 207:17
209:12 349:14 367:4
reviews 213:9 299:5
374:7
revise 40:10 112:19
113: <i>11</i> , <i>21</i>
revised 61:8, 12
63:11, 18 64:16
67:10 68:3, 5 69:7, 9
70:1, 5, 7 81:11 86:6
99:20 105:2 106:10
108:11 115:23 116:2
341:23 342:4
revision 62:22 64:19,
24 66:3, 9, 15 67:15,
18 68:19 88:4, 12
98:8 99:1, 5 100:9
104:12, 19 105:15
106:1, 2, 15 109:8
112:15 113:23
114:2 <i>3</i> 115: <i>1</i>
revisions 40:10 45:3,
5 69:2, 22 87:22
88:11, 20 89:19
00.11, 20 07.19
90:19 91:5 96:2
100:9 108:19 110:12,
18, 24 112:7 113:14,
15 115:5
revisit 310:17 386:23
rid 288:14
right 13:20 14:7
18:2 24:15 30:9, 22
32:9 34:9 38:13
63:23 68:2 73:13
77:13 79:24 83:21

```
85:9 87:4 103:14
107:20 108:9 110:16
111:20 127:2 145:10
150:2 155:21 163:14
165:18, 22 180:16
189:17 196:14
220:22 248:5 261:17
264:10 269:6 270:8
278:3 280:11 302:2
304:5 309:19 310:24
319:18 326:11, 13
327:12 330:9 338:21
339:17, 19 340:16
341:14 347:5, 18
353:3, 21 363:5
366:11, 22 368:22
373:1, 3 374:1
382:22 383:2, 16, 24
384:2, 12, 16 385:18
386:22, 23 389:1
391:13 393:16
401:20
RIGHTS 2:7 101:6
316:22
ripped 81:21
rising 278:7
risk 333:9
Road 3:2 291:13
role 54:1 91:17
215:12 220:5 285:3
324:17 375:16 401:9
roles 128:7, 12 218:2
Room 2:9 9:2 11:6
85:18, 19, 21, 24
152:7
Roth 2:18 9:19
56:20 96:8 160:5
162:3, 9 211:1
232:10, 22 233:7, 16
234:10, 21 235:17
236:6 276:5, 7, 8
277:16 283:1
Roth's 293:7
round 21:21
RPR 1:22 404:4, 22
run 213:8 237:1
273:6
running 185:18
211:5 255:21 380:9
```

Ruscavage 252:18, 20 253:4
< S >
sabbatical 336:1, 7
safe 236:22 237:9
299:17, 20 320:1, 2
328:23 332:7, 17, 18,
19, 23 333:1
safer 329:17
safety 174:5, 22 197:6 200:13, 19
197.0 200.13, 19
202:1 233:10, 24
333:11
salary 18:4 19:22,
23 20:2, 7, 13, 15, 19
29:12 130:23, 24
131:5, <i>20</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>24</i>
132:4, 6, 9, 11, 17
133:10, 21 134:6, 11
251:2 287:2 <i>1</i>
sale 212:4, 6
sales 212:4 243:4, 5
264:6
sat 171:8 371:21
393:17
satisfactory 27:11
save 75:21
saw 45:8 100:16
181:7 380:20
saying 24:24 82:24 119:4 168:19 176:21
202:19 204:10
220:24 265:4 266:9
268:7 290:13 291:12
303:19, 20 307:23
332:23 342:23 343:4
17 359:13 392:24
says 76:6 94:5
128:19 129:22 130:4
131: <i>3</i> 142: <i>1</i> 154: <i>8</i>
184:11 186:12
187: <i>17</i> 193: <i>5</i> 195: <i>3</i>
200:18 203:10
204:13, 22 208:15
217:20 221:7 222:8
226:1 247:14 259:5
262:13 263:13, 14

```
330:9 339:1 340:16
341:16 342:1, 18
344:10 362:24
389:11, 14 395:7, 16
396:3
SC1254 65:5
SC1254-1259 4:22
65:7
SC633 5:22 293:8, 11
scenario 391:15
scenarios 83:17
schedule 73:2. 9
74:23 78:1 251:12
255:16 348:8
scheduled 75:2 76:6,
12, 23 209:10, 11
schedules 205:10
scheduling 71:19
77:11, 12
School 30:23 31:2, 7
35:17
SCHUYLKILL 1:3
8:16 14:18, 22 65:5
Schuylkill's 63:10
105:13
scope 218:1 279:7
screen 84:20 167:4
183:17 347:18
screening 29:7
search 36:16
searches 34:4
second 21:24 22:17
49:23 72:18 74:7
75:23 86:16 92:8, 13
98:14 121:15 156:10,
12 182:16 184:9
185:20 190:2 208:14
211:12 216:20
217:19, 20 246:6
257:13 262:24
272:23 286:15 320:9
342:16, 17 370:15
395:6
second-round 21:19
section 16:15 17:5
44:11 154:2 200:2
security 200:13, 20
see 16:17 17:1, 7, 12
18:5 27:14 43:14
44:14, 19 46:9 65:18
```

67:12 69:1 73:15
74:10 76:3, 13 77:10
84:6, 12 88:8 100:17
103:18 108:16
115:13 128:10 136:6
150.14 150.0 156.9
150: <i>14</i> 152: <i>9</i> 156: <i>8</i> 167: <i>19</i> 170: <i>22</i>
167:19 170:22
184: <i>12</i> , <i>14</i> 193: <i>11</i>
217:13 221:11 246:8
257:18 259:9 285:17
339: <i>4</i> 343: <i>24</i> 348: <i>13</i>
357: <i>3</i> 388: <i>9</i> 391: <i>6</i> ,
18
seeing 139:17 251:21
seek 259:6 358:19
seeking 259: <i>14</i> 300: <i>24</i> 301: <i>6</i> , <i>9</i>
300:24 301:6, 9
303:1 306:16 308:5
315:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>3</i>
seen 24:10 139:16
168: <i>21</i> 169: <i>17</i> 181: <i>6</i>
277:7 285:19 286:9
selected 51:24 143:5
selection 16:22 17:20
send 184:10, 23
185:10 297:9 303:24
306:7 307:7, 23
350:16, 18 358:1
362:18 363:15
sending 72:22 76:7
306:3, 8
sense 90:3 91:15
187:20 307:15
310:11
sent 28:22 55:16, 21
56: <i>1</i> 119: <i>19</i> 120: <i>6</i> ,
<i>13</i> 150: <i>16</i> 168: <i>13</i>
190:2 191:5 193: <i>17</i>
196:10 201:15, 17 209:20 280:5 302:16
209:20 280:5 302:16
304:20 306:19
307:19 309:4, 12
318:21, 22 343:18
351:9, <i>17</i> 358:4
365: <i>14</i> 366: <i>13</i> , <i>19</i>
387: <i>18</i> 397:20 398: <i>8</i>
sentence 76:5 92:20,
24 152:4 187:17
193:22 203:2 <i>1</i>
217:20 219:5 221:6

CVISCU
222.9 225.15 226.9
222:8 225:15 226:8 246:8 249:6 250:2
259:5 262:24 275:19
320:9 342:17, 20, 24
343:6 395:7, 16
sentences 224:18
343:17
separate 81:20 216:2
227:13, 14, 18 279:23
290:22 303:6 319:8
329:17 349:19, 20
361:2 378:19, 20
separated 227:16, 17
246: <i>1</i> 290: <i>6</i> 299: <i>17</i> ,
20 320:1, 3 327:3
328:24 329:17 332:7,
<i>17</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>23</i> 333: <i>1</i>
400:7
separately 349:21
388:23
separation 220:14
227:20 288:21
289:21 333:11
September 22: <i>16</i>
23: <i>17</i> 49: <i>9</i> 64: <i>20</i>
66:4 67:11 69:3
237:7, 13, 19 238:1, 8
serious 270:7
serve 90:4, 6 91:17
98:1, 13 107:10
375:16, 22
served 370:17 371:2,
7
services 37:7 145:23
146:2 161:14
serving 92:23 93:3
98:9, 16
SERVPRO 147:7
149:4 151:7
session 19:9 73:7
74:13 76:6, 12, 23
77:7 85: <i>13</i> 111: <i>3</i>
402:13, 20
sessions 18:24 19:4
71:7 72:23 73:3, 4
80:13, 24 85:20, 23
86: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i>
set 128:15 205:10,
13 215:20 251:4

```
297:4, 6 314:23
348:6 362:19
sets 251:12
setting 322:23
Seven 123:22, 23
124:9, 16 403:15
severally 223:8
241:10
severe 259:7
severely 223:14
225:7, 12 265:13
sexual 59:10, 11, 17
61:9 62:22 64:5, 11
65:24 66:12 69:4
77:23 79:3 81:11
119:1, 6, 9 159:12
197:24 240:8 291:16
324:15
sexually 59:2, 5
shape 308:1
share 167:18
shared 49:24
Sharon 161:15
Sharyn 113:18, 20
she'd 398:3
sheet 37:5 47:20
62:10, 16, 18 75:5
81:20
sheets 36:17 47:8, 16
48:23 62:2, 7 267:22
268:16
sheriff 164:13
165:10, 12 230:11, 12
sheriff's 163:9
190:20 191:10, 12, 14
shifted 53:20
shortly 181:10 295:6
short-term 139:22
347:3 348:13 351:5
352:18 353:13
shut 145:16 224:22
shy 218:8, 20 362:6
sick 205:8
side 145:12 170:14,
16 208:15 396:15
sign 29:8 37:6
41:14 42:20 70:17
75:5 109:15, 20, 21
173:15 174:7 175:2
183:12, 15 185:9
```

354:13, 17, 19 373:6, *16, 18* 374:*3* signature 38:13 70:12 108:13, 15, 24 109:4 140:16 185:8 **signed** 32:22 33:22 34:8 38:23 42:8 47:13 63:8, 14, 21 64:10 68:20 87:5 100:17, 21 105:6 106:14, 16, 17 109:2 111:2*3* 112:*3* 360:*1*, 8 374:10, 13 **significant** 222:9, 21 324:22 significantly 214:12 signing 42:12 66:12 106:9 **sign-off** 81:20 signs 33:3 62:4 similar 141:8 342:4 361:1 389:23 similarly 11:23 **simple** 270:9 **simply** 96:22 97:10 179:3 286:19 307:1, 6 308:21 383:22 single 47:20, 21 singular 145:14, 15 sit 149:10 228:7 243:10 391:19 site 193:24 203:12 204:11, 15 sits 133:21 **sitting** 109:5 **situation** 98:*14* 136:19 137:14 138:6 139:6 140:19 159:23 161:*16* 168:2, *15* 175:12 186:23 193:9 195:19 196:12 206:16 207:5, 8 239:19 257:8 312:12 situations 87:15 six 54:5 123:22, 23 124:9, 16 190:5 **slowly** 218:6 **smart** 377:10 **SMITH** 2:2 4:6 8:23 9:1, 5, 20, 24

100 11 10 150 11
10:9, 11, 19 15:9, 11
18: <i>1</i> 23:21 24:3, 4
25:15, 21 26:2 27:21
28:2, 20 29:14, 20
32:13, 19 33:19 35:4
38: <i>3</i> , 9 40: <i>3</i> 41: <i>13</i> ,
24 42:5, 23 43:5
45:12, 15 46:15, 19,
22 48:7 50: <i>14</i> 51: <i>1</i>
57:2 59:18, 23 61:16,
22 62:23 63:5 65:2,
<i>10</i> 66: <i>18</i> 67: <i>1</i> 72: <i>4</i> ,
10 75:8, 15 78:9, 14,
23 79:15, 21, 23 86:9,
<i>15</i> , <i>24</i> 93:23 94:17
95:11 96:15 97:7, 12
98:6 99:12, 14, 23
100:6 105:8 107:20
108:8 111: <i>6</i> , <i>13</i>
116: <i>17</i> , <i>23</i> 130: <i>11</i>
133:14 135:17, 23
139:7, <i>13</i> 141: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i>
150:4, 10 151:10, 16
155:21 156:4 162:11,
<i>19</i> 165:22 166:9, <i>16</i> ,
19 167:1, 3, 5, 10, 13, 14 176:16, 23 177:6,
<i>14</i> 176: <i>16</i> , <i>23</i> 177: <i>6</i> ,
12, 16 178:12, 17, 24
179: <i>10</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i> 180:2,
7, 12, 19, 21 183:16,
22 184:7, 8 194:23
198:10, 17, 24 201:20
204:5 207:24 208:6
212:10 214:13
216:21 217:3 228:20
235:2, <i>6</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> 239:22 241: <i>14</i> , <i>23</i>
239:22 241: <i>14</i> , <i>23</i>
243:19 244:17 247:9
248:13 261:5, 11
265:11 266:8 267:1
269:10, 12 270:2, 7,
12, 13 271:14, 20
272:8 276:18, 23
277:19 278:2 283:3
289:9, 15 293:6, 14
295:11, 17 300:19
301:1, 8, 14, 19 302:4,
10 303:2, 13, 18, 23
304:5, 8, 17 305:4, 14,
<i>24</i> 306:21, 24 307:21

308:10, 20 309:5, 16, 21 310:1, 5, 6, 14, 22 311:6, 23 312:7 313:16, 22 316:2, 8 317:15, 21 320:4 321:19 322:1 325:18 328:7 329:23 330:5 332:13 338:10, 17 340:20 341:2 347:7, *14*, *17*, *19* 349:6, *7* 351:22 352:4 353:9 354:1, 7 356:5, 12 359:20 361:11, 17 363:5, 11 365:16, 22 368:8 376:18 377:7, 20 378:9, 24 379:9, 19 381:3, 11, 16 382:7, 21 383:1, 8, 15, 22 384:6, 9 385:14, 21 386:21 387:4 390:11, 17 392:15 393:5 394:7, 19 395:1, 4 398:16, 24 403:12, 16 **Smith's** 306:14 **snow** 164:14 **social** 81:1 **sole** 92:9, 20 94:20 100:11 103:12 107:12, 13 **solicitor** 90:7, 13 97:13 solicitors 95:20 **solicitor's** 90:2, 4, 5, 8 **solution** 117:20 118:2 121:3, 7 122:14 **Solutions** 117:*3*, *11* 121:11 **somebody** 206:21 287:2 325:8 370:24 371:22 372:20 373:5 375:15 400:19, 20 **someone's** 255:19 somewhat 97:22 **soon** 312:*3* **sorry** 14:6 26:6, 21 27:6 35:11, 14, 21 38:17 46:2, 19 61:13 62:19 64:8, 9 72:5

76:7 78:14 86:10, 15 99:18 104:17 105:1 110:2, 3, 23 121:15 123:9 135:5 140:10 154:7 156:21 166:12, 15 167:17 168:9 173:24 178:*1* 182:2*1* 192:12 193:1, 3 196:4 198:19 199:3, *16* 209:6 212:*1* 225:2 233:15 234:22 236:12 246:6 247:8 249:4 256:21 264:13 266:10, 11 290:9 294:20 296:18 299:8 318:7, 8 333:14 342:11, 13 343:5 351:20 353:4, 10 355:8 362:13 365:17 366:9 369:19 371:6 378:2 381:17 382:24 392:10 397:18 400:16 **sort** 169:11 194:15 307:17 311:13 **sorts** 118:4 sought 302:8, 11 **sound** 24:15 68:2 293:5 296:8 sounds 58:6 89:17 **source** 331:2 **Sp** 289:16 **space** 81:*1* 145:21 146:22 152:7 160:9, 16, 18 328:15 **spaces** 146:8 149:21 161:10, 22 **speak** 57:19, 22 58:3 114:*1* 157:20 158:*14*, 15, 17 168:15 170:13 177:24 179:4 182:19, 23 192:11, 16 193:13 197:14, 19 231:3, 12 250:16 253:16, 22, 24 257:22 285:10 297:12 298:2, 7 315:6, 17 316:21 331:17, 19, 22, 24 341:10 345:7 346:19

357:16, 17, 19, 24 358:10 360:19 **speaker** 314:19 speakerphone 314:22 **speaking** 157:23 179:11 181:4 192:14 278:9 312:8 315:24 317:10 specialized 372:5 **specific** 12:22 43:7 45:5 87:18 93:19, 21 99:16 104:2 118:5 163:5 178:*15* 183:8 220:8, 10 230:19 232:5 239:19 251:9 306:19 308:19 312:16 338:7 360:16 375:20 389:20 391:2*1* specifically 40:5 56:18 60:21 69:3 91:24 92:1 96:4 113:17 125:13 144:4 157:23 158:21 194:6 222:5 223:9 226:8 233:6 238:3 279:2 297:17 298:1 303:16 329:22 392:12 specifics 239:21 specified 377:5 **speed** 284:4 379:12 **spend** 381:5 spends 266:16 **spent** 348:9 **spin** 304:12 **spoke** 58:1, 11 89:18 168:12 171:3, 4 172:10, 21, 24 175:17 177:22 178:5 180:23 181:11, 19 187:8 196:5 285:9 297:23 298:10 305:12 316:24 358:14, 17 360:22 385:6 **spoken** 10:15, 21 90:16 257:24 302:15 **spores** 153:16 **spot** 182:22 183:2, 6 spots 148:16 165:2

St 2:9 170:19 171:14 176:1, 5 **staff** 25:10 53:20 54:9 71:10, 12 80:7, 20 81:4, 5 101:20 129:18 240:9 255:14 323:2, 3, 9 **staffed** 127:14 237:5 staffing 236:17 242:2 stairwell 145:10 **stamp** 18:12 19:21 330:9, 11, 15, 17, 18 356:13, 21 357:4 **stamped** 199:2 331:3 stamps 18:3 235:7, 10 330:19 366:1 **standard** 28:13 Standards 47:23 standpoint 338:8 stands 211:17 start 22:13 28:15 35:7 36:2, 24 38:14 42:18 50:4 90:21 92:23 158:20 161:1 168:6 171:23 227:7 229:7 244:4, 9 259:2 268:18 286:2 359:7 374:23 started 41:22 53:5, 10 54:8 57:21 64:19 123:1, 3 124:8, 10 142:13, 14 163:1, 15 164:1, 6, 8 211:5, 10 212:14 215:19 227:9 235:21 283:18 295:7 337:11 368:24 397:2 starting 163:8 215:17 starts 246:5 257:13 262:12 **State** 36:18, 21 211:18 214:12, 15, 21 223:7 224:18 225:21 230:22 244:15 247:7 249:7 258:24 262:24 284:11, 17, 19 323:21 396:9 402:16 stated 193:5 199:22 201:6 226:8 395:8 **statement** 100:10 168:17, 18, 20, 21

169:1, 4, 7, 15, 17, 22 170:2, 7, 12 171:17, 19, 20 173:10, 12, 14, 20 174:7, 9 175:2, 8, *15* 176:*12*, *17* 177:*1* 181:3, 6, 7 196:23 197:6 259:*15* 341:*21*, 23 342:19, 22 343:1, 8, 9, 11, 23 344:1, 6, 11, 14 345:5, 19, 21 346:10 358:20 statements 174:20, 23 **STATES** 1:2 9:8 27:9 152:4 190:13 193:22 195:9 200:21, 22 225:15 250:2 269:14 320:10 348:4 394:9 stating 136:7 214:24 333:3 **statue** 375:7 status 16:16 144:2 255:12 256:4 **statute** 273:20 372:12 stav 80:14 369:21 **STEB** 211:15, 17, 20, *21* 212:2, *17* 213:1, *3*, *6* 214:*1*, *10* 218:*13*, 19 219:3 220:3 222:6, 12 225:4, 18 227:21 228:5, 18 229:2, 5 240:19, 24 241:8, 9, 11 242:6, 7, 9, 13, 22 243:11, 12 244:2 245:17 246:23 247:23 252:16, 21 253:2, 21 254:2 257:4, 9, 22 258:12, 20 259:8 262:21 265:13, 20 266:12 267:11, 18 269:14 270:17 283:12, 13, 24 284:5, 8 286:23 287:3 288:12 289:23 290:14 291:4, 22 294:4 299:4 300:8, 12 334:16 335:3, 8, 12, 17 348:6, 12

373:21 374:11 380:10, 13 402:15, 17 **STEBs** 263:15 **steep** 207:2 232:23 stenographic 404:6 step 169:14 stepped 55:1 steps 189:13, 17 367:7 stint 337:5, 11 stipulation 9:21 **stood** 331:*15* **Stop** 117:2, 11 118:13 121:3, 11, 20 122:8, 13 386:15 **stopped** 55:6, 10 57:14 240:13 story 170:15, 16 Stottlemyer 403:4 **Street** 1:20 2:3, 13, 17, 21 8:14 328:18 329:2 stress 157:17 strike 52:4 58:14 69:10, 18 126:10 127:12 160:24 162:22 164:18 198:11 206:23 216:20 250:20 254:20 279:17 298:3 317:8 335:9 355:9 374:22 380:7 387:17 Stroudsburg 2:14 structure 44:18, 22 45:8, 10, 19 392:13 **struggle** 218:3, 24 **struggled** 217:21, 24 220:17 struggling 237:2 stubs 267:21 **stuff** 149:1 **subject** 31:8, 15 305:18 submission 30:17 214:10 262:1 283:12 290:24 333:19 submissions 121:3 submit 62:3, 15 121:18 131:7, 9 142:3 219:3 225:3

292:1, 5 294:4 311:5 334:15 **submits** 47:8 62:4 399:20 **submitted** 30:1, 4, 12 47:10 131:10 138:19, 24 169:2, 4, 6 212:2, 6 220:4 225:10, 11, 13 238:4 254:8 265:14 266:22 283:15 284:8 291:22 316:19 347:2 374:12 **submitting** 245:17 268:10, 23 269:4 subsequent 94:7 297:6 314:17 subsequently 317:1 substance 13:2 substantial 309:18 successful 106:23 **sufficient** 39:20 70:3 246:11 **suggest** 40:10 45:3 87:19 **suggested** 91:5 99:5 104:19 115:6 189:8 220:11 suggestion 122:14 206:5, 7 216:3 247:18 suggestions 69:15 87:24 230:24 246:14 247:12 281:13 suggests 154:5 **suing** 59:1, 5 **suitable** 262:18 **Suite** 2:3, 21 3:2 summary 306:14 310:16 340:17 344:4 superceded 67:19 supercedes 67:11 supersede 67:15 supervised 135:11 supervision 259:14 265:2 supervisor 16:1 26:15 33:16 35:17 47:14, 19 62:4 71:4 79:6 101:11, 24 102:2 107:3, 19

tardy 205:2

112:16 115:8, 9
122:11, 15 131:22
122.11, 13 131.22 125.16 128.8 224.10
135:16 138:8 224:10 251:15 253:18
257:16 258:16 259:7
269:21 270:14 271:5,
7 287:15 290:20
291:9 298:5 312:9
324:3 334:18 346:17
355:14 364:8 378:13
supervisors 48:23
52:7 94:24 95:1
267:15 371:3
supervisory 401:9
supplement 12:15
183:17 184:10
198:18 199:4 200:18
supplemental 166:11
167:10 184:23
235:12
supplements 169:3
supplies 153:16
237:10 254:17
256:23 257:4 321:15
336:18, 22 337:1
338:4, 7, 8
support 58:13
supported 240:17
suppose 101:13
supposed 183:6
219:20, 21 235:23
265:14 266:23
268:10 381:6
sure 33:20 62:16
78: <i>17</i> 88: <i>17</i> 103: <i>5</i>
121:4 127:4, 9, 16
131:17 132:15 134:1
142:4 164:14 182:24
194: <i>4</i> 200: <i>16</i> 204: <i>3</i>
207:22 227:23 228:18 268:19 277:9
285:2 309:19 310:6
314:3 320:18 343:22
351: <i>13</i> 360: <i>5</i> 366: <i>11</i>
370:11 372:17, 18
388:2
suspect 391: <i>3</i>
suspected 101:5
suspended 294:7

335:17 sustain 308:18 sustaining 309:14 swear 8:22 switch 154:6, 9 sworn 10:4 symptomatic 137:23 synopsis 246:17 system 34:3 121:9 213:11, 13, 17 224:22 241:7 243:1, 3 systems 284:9, 10

<T> table 215:23 take 13:13 22:13, 18 31:3 35:22 79:9 84:8 107:17, 21 154:16 160:7, 13 165:23 167:6 172:22 173:*1*, *5* 179:*17* 193:5 194:8 200:6. 14 207:16 223:19 227:19 275:18 276:13, 23 277:20 301:20, 24 315:8 317:1, 4 329:14 336:*3* 354:*8* 394:*16* 398:18 taken 1:18 82:11 99:20 106:5 173:4 198:14 309:9 404:6 talk 53:3 54:16 56:21 86:19 95:8 155:11 188:12 226:2 258:6 287:1, 5 312:3 349:21 368:24 378:5 **talked** 19:21 95:9 132:23 142:6 222:2 276:6, 7 345:13 349:24 talking 53:21 61:23 62:1, 21 65:15 87:2 126:3 201:5 258:7 272:15 278:4 283:22 337:18 373:23 talks 186:2 205:5 215:9, 11, 13, 18

220:12 226:7 366:3

tasked 25:17 tasks 40:1 tax 37:8 122:23 123:4, 16, 20 125:11, 24 128:7, 12, 17, 19, 21 129:11 134:22 135:2, 6 185:16 186:*17* 189:*3*, *5*, *17*, 19 190:24 206:2 209:4, 6, 23 210:9, 21 211:2, 18 212:15 215:6 216:1, 2 220:5 221:9, 24 222:5, 11 223:7, 8 226:14 227:15 229:19 232:10 234:5, 21, 22 238:23 246:22 249:9, 14 253:11, 17, 22 258:16, 23, 24 265:18, 23 269:15 272:4, 12 273:4, 10, 16 274:15 275:13 278:12 279:4 281:15, 23 283:10 286:14 288:22 289:17 290:8, 10, 12 294:14, 18, 24 369:5, 9, 20, 21 370:7, 17, 22, 23 371:6, 8 372:6 375:12 379:24 380:2, *3*, *17* 381:7 382:9, *10* 384:9 391:11, 12 394:11, 14 397:11 400:9, 16, 20, 22 403:6 taxes 37:9 128:22 374:17 taxing 374:12 tech 45:12 56:5 **TECHNICIAN** 166:17 technology 117:3 telecommunicating 139:23 telecommuting 139:19 347:3 348:13 350:17 351:5 352:19 353:13, 14 tell 35:1 50:5 58:15 68:2*3* 110:*15* 122:*15*

142:18 144:1 204:16 211:19 243:10 269:13 270:20 271:7 278:6 345:3 351:4, 10, 12 353:16 354:21 361:6 367:18 telling 220:7 302:23 354:19 396:14 398:3 temporary 16:20 ten 121:1 190:6 251:18, 20 263:3 264:1 286:5 311:9 tenure 57:9 58:2 116:9 159:10 174:10 term 53:19 212:9 279:20 372:21 **termed** 124:17 335:24 336:1 terminating 291:13 terminology 280:7 374:1 terms 46:11 128:6 279:24 terrible 225:3 test 27:10 29:7, 10 138:21 200:8 319:11 375:5 **testified** 10:5 69:19 81:9 165:5 196:2*1* 242:12 250:1 281:6 289:7 353:11 **testify** 11:3 13:3, 7 **testifying** 11:8 69:23 186:6 testimony 11:7, 14 29:3 70:15 114:20 133:16 198:13 289:16 304:18 328:9 370:11 386:5 399:14 401:21 tests 375:1 text 358:2 **Thank** 130:13 311:23 312:1, 2 **Thanks** 167:12 theirs 393:24 **therefrom** 301:23 thing 49:13, 15 178:15 343:18

things 27:17 29:9 34:5 53:1 54:24 55:3 56:15 118:4 128:9 178:20 198:4 204:12 210:10 240:15 241:5 255:19 290:23 319:9 371:23 think 12:4 13:6 28:14 37:23 38:17 50:19 52:17 53:11, *12* 54:20, 21 55:13 67:19 68:3 74:20, 22 77:21 78:6 80:23 81:9, 12 90:24 91:12 96:13 98:14 107:21, 22 114:9, 19 116:14, *15* 117:5, *17* 123:5 124:10, 17, 21, 24 125:2*3* 126:20, 2*1* 127:7 132:15 133:23 134:2, 10 137:13, 14 141:24 142:12 145:9 146:15 148:14, 19, 20, 22 161:14 163:7, 9 164:7 165:5 168:24 169:4, 10 170:9, 21 171:6, 7, 14 174:24 185:5 191:6, 22 195:*16* 196:*4*, *21* 203:3 207:9 210:12, *17* 212:8 213:*11* 224:12 238:24 242:1. *19* 248:*17*, *18* 255:*3* 257:15 263:13 267:8 276:8 277:19 279:6 281:5 284:19 287:6 291:17 292:18 294:7, 21 301:17 303:18, 19 304:16 305:5, 6 308:23 310:22 325:8 327:17 329:21 334:8 342:2 358:4 372:11 376:10, 19 377:13, 23 378:3 383:12, 13, 14, 19, 20 385:3 388:11 392:18 398:2 403:14 **thinking** 134:*3* 137:14 thinks 130:16

third 49:4 121:15 156:10 200:3 203:9 246:4, 7 263:24 314:4 third-party 49:1 **Thomas** 169:21 170:1 177:19 180:8 thorough 311:4 thoroughly 380:11 thought 69:21 90:12 115:19 181:19 182:6 183:12 193:1 221:22 240:14 253:18 284:23 285:9 288:11. *15* 327:20, 21 355:21 360:13 362:20 377:9 thoughts 362:21 **Three** 14:5, 14 22:4 27:17 35:8 59:4 109:24 110:7 123:22 124:9 125:17 216:7 240:9 262:15 402:18 **Thursday** 136:9, *15* 181:20, 21, 23 **Tiffany** 126:19 140:21 141:9 tile 153:15 tiles 148:15, 18 153:*14* 154:*6* 157:*3* time 8:13, 19 12:12 13:10 16:6, 19 17:17 31:7 33:15 47:8, 15, 20 48:23 50:8 51:18, 23 53:3 54:22 55:9 56:14 57:7 62:1, 2, 7, 10, 15, 16, 18 70:5 80:14, 17 108:5 112:24 113:4, 9 114:6, 16, 18 116:8 122:17, 22 123:3, 12 126:2, 3, 5, 14 127:21 136:24 146:2, 6, 9, 13, *18* 150:*3* 152:20 153:3 159:1, 23 160:*1* 164:*19* 165:*23* 166:*1*, 7 168:21 169:6, 16 174:19, 20 180:23 186:9 188:*16* 190:22, 24 192:2, 10 193:19 194:3 197:4

206:13, 20 209:22 212:19, 20 223:1, 2 226:18 233:1, 18 238:15 240:4 243:21 255:3, 8, 10 256:7 267:22 268:3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16, 23 269:4 276:24 277:6, 7, 24 280:23, 24 286:7, 11 294:3 297:6 304:10 310:13, 15 311:7 312:4, 22 314:24 317:3 325:23 336:5 338:23 339:7 346:4, 24 347:6 348:8, 10, 11 353:19 354:18 368:2, 24 371:2 372:9 373:2, 4 375:17 376:22 377:6, *16* 378:7, 8 381:23 382:5 393:10 394:5 397:23 401:3, 7, 8, 17 403:19 timely 215:1 236:16 241:12 266:15, 22 291:22 292:2, 5 294:4 335:2, 5 times 56:16 57:5 120:24 141:21 286:1, 3 299:6 306:2 333:7 335:4 374:24 **timetable** 311:*15* timing 33:20 351:7 **title** 53:10 161:13 369:4, 8 **titled** 199:18 **today** 8:12 13:4, 8 76:6 149:11 152:22 187:*19* 190:*15* 228:*8* 243:10 277:12 306:13 391:19 today's 338:11 340:20 394:20 told 142:19, 21 152:17 155:16 163:6 169:*1* 171:*17* 193:*18* 202:11 203:15, 17, 18, 21 209:20 220:8 260:3 314:15 315:1

329:4 351:14 354:12 355:1 397:2 403:4 **Tom** 71:4, 14 85:12 96:11 97:17 176:10 304:13, 18 305:2 314:8 349:17, 21 351:14 355:5 360:23 361:3 tomorrow 386:16, 22, 24 387:14 403:18 tonight 386:15 387:1 Tony 272:13 278:5 tools 236:7 top 18:2 27:8 40:7 73:13 116:14 295:20 338:22 356:22 357:6 394:9 topic 111:3 317:9 **total** 263:1 touch 365:7 **Tower** 2:21 town 170:24 TOWNSEND 2:8 9:9 384:4, 8, 11, 15 385:3, 12, 15 386:3, 19 track 272:7 tracked 82:8 190:21 317:3 training 53:20 54:1, 3, 9 63:21 70:6, 19, 24 71:7, 20, 22 72:23 73:2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 21, 24 74:2, 12, 15, 16, 19, 22, 24 76:2, 15, 19 79:4 80:11, 12 81:10, 15, *17* 82:3, 6, *11*, *12*, *13* 83:3, 16, 18 85:4, 13, 20, 22, 23 86:4 88:3 101:19, 22 119:15 125:3 234:13 242:21 339:10 340:3 trainings 71:2, 4, 6, 13 80:9 transcribe 11:17 transcribed 11:14 TRANSCRIPT 1:10 8:2 9:21 404:6 transfer 234:4 transferring 255:18

transition 53:16, 17 54:5, 17 377:5
34:5, 17 377:5 379:13
transitioned 53:23,
24 54:3 55:3
transitioning 54:1
translate 340:7, 11
transmitted 118:15,
18
transpired 91:2
358:9
travel 164:9
traveling 190:14
tread 309:13
treasurer 134:1, 2, 10
260:6
treasurer's 128:22 234:4, 6
treated 157:12
trial 382:23 383:3,
18 384:17 385:16
386:4, 12
trickle 102:9 103:4
tried 196:7
Trifun 1:22 8:21
404:4, 22
true 30:18 240:16
404:5
truly 40:20 49:16
truthfully 11:3 13:4,
8
try 36:7 277:22
307: <i>14</i> 315:8 334: <i>10</i> trying 79: <i>10</i> 96: <i>1</i>
99: <i>13</i> 174: <i>23</i> 228: <i>22</i>
229:3 284:7 362:14
366:10
turn 75:6 82:2
100:16 113:7 121:12
170:23 348:3
turned 81:19 82:1, 3,
4, 6, 13
turnover 124:6
Twenty 362:12, 13
Two 14:7 18:3 71:7
75:20 77:22 79:10
91:23 109:21, 24
110:7 111: <i>14</i> 123: <i>10</i> , 22 124:9 125: <i>17</i>
187:22 198: <i>4</i> 216:2,
101.22 170.4 210.2,

```
4, 12 217:15 225:18,
23 226:2 234:6, 13
250:16, 17 255:1
262:15 263:4 264:3,
14 266:3 290:23
335:20 336:9, 11
343:17 357:8 362:6
371:23 378:19, 20
two-way 121:16
type 24:10, 17
110:13 118:20 212:5
typed 223:22
types 117:19 118:4
typical 173:3, 7, 20
174:6
typically 15:24 16:4
95:20 102:5 115:9
131:8, 10, 12, 15
138:20 173:5 175:2
189:10 251:14
287:15 312:11
349:21 351:13
359:10, 12, 23 361:4
372:23 402:19
typist 125:21, 22
213:22 234:13, 14
243:2 244:23
typo 65:20
```

< U > **U.S** 1:19 **Uh-huh** 103:*19* 104:*15* 105:*21* 152:*1* 166:16 185:22 186:16 246:9 **ultimate** 227:12 289:19, 24 ultimately 103:6 226:23 245:22 288:23 290:21 292:14 324:4 369:13 376:5 402:14 **unable** 13:7 92:22 93:2 unaccompanied 198:3 unclear 97:22 uncomfortable 102:11 271:6 understaffed 232:11 234:22, 23

understand 11:1, 5, 11, 16, 21 12:3, 6, 16, 23 13:3, 14, 24 14:19 27:16 29:2 42:12 48:1 58:22, 24 78:17 87:8 126:9 127:16 132:16 148:5 152:20 186:7 194:4 198:7 200:16 202:18 218:5. 6 219:18 229:4 244:8 276:16, 20 277:20 280:17 283:21 309:2, 3, 8 310:2 312:14 315:20. *23* 325:*15* 339:*22* 340:15 343:16 358:22 385:17 394:16 399:8 understanding 26:14 57:23 66:7 71:22 72:1 80:21 98:7 127:19 128:5, 13 139:21 140:1 143:10 147:12, 17 154:21, 23 158:23 160:23 162:22 164:17 165:7 188:*14* 189:*3* 204:*4* 214:*1* 217:24 218:*1* 242:16 243:1 254:19 283:13 299:1, 3, 7 312:22 313:11 329:9 348:15 350:2 359:6 372:14 373:20 374:2 391:20 understood 12:10 13:16 22:11 39:4 42:13 127:9 174:3 199:23 214:8 308:24 310:1 370:11 **Unfortunately** 377:18 **union** 174:1 union-represented 389:5 **unique** 145:2 **UNITED** 1:2 9:8 **unlawful** 93:13 94:7 unpack 242:11

unrelated 94:9, 12

392:13

unsigned 111:15, 22 unwillingness 259:19 **upcoming** 208:17 **updated** 40:1 68:24 106:14 updating 54:8 **upload** 142:3 244:14 264:4 348:12 **uploaded** 166:9 224:20 254:9 263:5, 15 **uploading** 264:12, 13 **upper** 164:24 165:4 207:3 **upstairs** 187:1 188:23 **up-to-date** 106:10 **usage** 194:1 203:12 204:15, 24 **use** 25:1 40:1 49:3 69:22 117:20 193:19 202:6, 22 206:10 223:15 284:9, 10 288:6 390:24 useful 242:4 utilize 24:21 39:8 62:18 174:10, 13 175:4 195:24 204:20 206:6 250:3 251:24 253:1 337:15, 22 utilized 24:17 25:4 62:8, 10 119:10 140:7 173:16 183:7 186:18 199:12 212:7 249:15 250:10 313:2 **utilizing** 137:19 185:17 205:6 337:7

< V > vacancies 125:11, 15, 19, 20 129:23 397:10 vacant 152:7 236:14 vacation 205:7 vaccinated 137:22 vague 174:15 **valid** 126:4 212:4 243:5, 6 274:2 275:1 375:9 379:20 validate 243:4

valuations 372:21 373:7, 18, 22 value 372:20 374:18 values 128:7, 16 373:24 374:3, 9, 10 VANDERLIN 2:16 vast 62:17 141:20 264:18 **vehicle** 141:17 142:1, 2 182:22 183:3, 14 333:7 vehicle/home 140:22 vehicles 238:2, 11, 14 vendor 183:8, 9 **verbal** 137:3 138:18 version 111:15, 16, 22 129:3 318:22 versus 8:16 137:23 245:9 330:15 371:22 376:3 **viable** 122:14 **video** 83:9, 10, 13, 15, *18* 191:8, *11*, 20, 23 381:24 **VIDEOGRAPHER** 8:10 107:23 108:5 166:1, 7 276:24 277:24 304:10 312:4 381:23 382:5 403:19 **videos** 191:13 **VIDEOTAPE** 1:*18* **view** 147:9 191:2, 8 **viewed** 146:7 147:17 149:21 191:1, 11 violated 101:7 violations 101:17 **violence** 159:13 virtual 80:24 82:6 virtually 81:6 visit 340:18 **visited** 120:22 **Visiting** 320:17, 19 voiced 236:21 VOLUME 1:8 volumes 234:16 **vote** 18:15 19:20, 22 36:10 109:11, 14 132:5, 19 134:4, 5 216:8, 9 399:3, 11

voted 18:8 19:17 34:14, 18 35:6 36:24 69:13 111:1, 4 216:18 250:23 280:22 369:12, 13 votes 109:18 < W > W-4 37:6 wage 239:11, 12

wait 11:21, 23 247:7 370:10 375:10 waited 262:16 waiting 375:10 **waived** 176:18 waiver 177:2, 5, 9 waiving 382:23 383:3, 5, 9, 11, 16, 23 384:1 385:20 walk 131:2 144:13 250:21 328:18 **walked** 145:7 188:23 walking 198:3 333:6 Walmart 170:21, 22 **Walnut** 1:20 8:14 **wanded** 163:8, 11 165:10 want 31:4 61:14, 24 71:9 73:4 78:16 86:16 89:23 103:15 111:6 122:13 143:18 158:18 212:18 213:10 248:3, 6, 11, 12, 21 251:18 252:4 271:7 276:14 277:13 310:19, 20 311:7 338:18 370:10 386:3 396:21 wanted 89:15 101:5 122:2 127:9 143:14

154:20 158:1 166:12

314:7 315:16 388:24

water-stained 153:13

208:18 271:8 273:1

wanting 88:23

wasting 113:9

wave 118:20

Washington 2:9

398:5

wav 41:1 44:12 119:*13* 178:21 308:*1* 311:4 358:8 399:7 wealth 379:23 **weather** 76:16 website 18:23 36:15 45:1, 22 Wednesday 1:21 136:9, 15 181:18 week 69:21 136:10, *11, 15* 137:8, *12, 13* 181:24 182:*1* 192:6 196:22 211:12, 13 215:20 251:17 272:23 398:12 weekend 262:8 weekly 236:4 237:9 weeks 54:5 181:*13* 234:6 281:7 weigh 324:14 **welcome** 310:*11* well 15:3 23:7 29:12 33:22 41:6 49:7 50:14 57:10 58:24 69:6, 10 71:6 77:13 79:12 81:11, 19 83:16 92:3 97:16 98:24 101:14 102:18 105:16 107:13 111:21 112:14 115:13 117:6 121:12 125:7, 24 126:14 127:23 130:22 131:7, 16 134:5 135:16 136:11 139:16 147:9 148:4, 17 150:23 154:6 155:11 157:11 160:14 164:3 170:14 171:7 187:5 189:5 192:22 193:10 194:*17* 195:2 197:*14* 200:18 201:5 203:8, 9 209:9 210:11 211:8 212:15 220:14 223:2, 5 224:23 226:1 227:7 230:10 231:23 253:8 254:20 258:7 264:23 265:6 267:6, 12 268:12 280:4 284:7 287:5

298:11 299:8, 15 306:6 307:12 308:20, 23 310:14 313:3 317:3, 7 319:23 320:18 325:13 327:23 335:23 339:17 340:14 341:3 342:2, 16 345:10 349:16 350:2, 7 351:6, 13 352:17 355:17, 19 357:3 358:4 359:22 360:7, 22 365:5 370:3, 15, 18 374:22 378:11 379:20 380:7 383:4 385:21 386:19 388:17, 24 389:4, 8 391:18 393:9 400:5 went 58:5 85:5 103:17 147:12 218:12 231:23 241:16 we're 40:18 59:7 66:18 86:15 107:21, 24 110:14 155:21 166:2, 8 167:17 216:21 261:5 277:1 278:1 282:23 287:12 304:11 309:1 312:5 382:6 386:14 we've 15:14 170:9 255:22 whereabouts 190:20 **white** 88:6 WILLIAM 2:12 Williamsport 2:17 willing 239:13 wish 12:15 106:22 wishes 348:5 withs 144:7 **WITNESS** 4:4 7:1 8:18, 22 9:12 25:8 28:13 33:14 34:24 39:23 41:12 46:14, 20 48:6 50:17 59:15 78:4 93:19 94:15 95:10 98:4 105:5 130:8 133:7 162:10, 17 194:21 198:9 201:12 204:2 212:1

214:7 228:17 239:18 240:23 241:21 243:17 244:12 248:10 265:9 266:7, 21 272:2 282:22 300:15 319:23 325:13 328:3 329:21 332:11 334:13 353:8 368:6 376:15 377:3, 13 378:2, 17 379:16 381:1, 9 384:22 386:8 389:13 392:10, 22 394:4 398:15, 23 word 200:4 223:5 words 223:9, 15 286:13 302:14 work 19:3, 8 50:21 51:7, 19 54:14 55:6, 11 57:14 61:6 77:18 78:4 116:8 128:21 129:7 131:13 135:12 136:20, 23 137:17 138:4, 5, 8, 9, 13, 14 139:3 140:3, 22 141:16, 20 142:3, 4 143:9, 12, 15 144:2 152:13, 18 155:19 192:17, 23 193:9, 10, 24 194:11, 14, 18 195:4, *13*, 22 196:1 197:4 200:5, 9 201:8, 14 203:12 204:11.15 205:6 215:12 217:12 218:13, 15 226:11 230:1, 5, 14 231:18 232:5, 19 234:12, 17 236:4 237:4, 14, 15, 22 239:14 240:1, 7 243:9 244:6 246:22 247:6, 19, 20 248:1, 6, 11, 12 251:6, 16, 18, 20, 22 252:4 253:5 254:17 255:5, 9, 14 269:5 277:22 279:7 296:15 297:5 299:14, 19 305:5 317:5 319:18, 24 320:1, 2, 5, 7, 11, 20 321:8, 12 322:22 323:2, 6, 8, 21, 23 324:8, 9, 12, 20

325:5 327:2, 3, 14 328:23, 24 331:15 332:4, 16 333:12, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23 334:6, 9, 14, 15, 19, 22 335:8, 10, 22 336:4, 7, 13, 15, 18, 22 337:11, 15, 22 346:4, 6, 8 348:7 350:4, 9 353:12 354:16 355:4 367:19 368:13, 18 376:4 392:16, 24 393:4 **workday** 267:10 worked 24:20 31:21 44:22 48:18 52:20 54:22 55:2 74:23 95:22 136:8 137:9, 11 138:1 205:12, 15 218:17 255:15 256:7 263:1 268:11 320:21 333:20 337:20 376:4 377:15 work-from-home 255:12 256:4 working 51:17 54:8 136:10, 14 143:12 152:18 201:21 230:17, 18 231:21 237:17 268:1 271:23 299:16, 17 321:12 329:16 335:15, 23 337:2, 5 348:10 368:20 400:21 work-related 235:19 391:14, 23 392:4 works 55:1 62:16 250:22 300:16 399:8 workspace 262:17 worth 311:*17* write 173:9, 11, 20, 22 175:15 176:12, 17 177:1, 13 183:24 222:20 355:20 364:12 writing 69:9 175:3, 7, 16 195:18 196:8 200:9 231:2, 10 242:4 275:8 355:16 written 49:14 106:24 133:17 201:6 281:22

294:6 335:12, 17 358:18 wrong 29:3 84:23 85:2, 3 138:12 187:15 219:6 281:6 307:11 372:21 wrote 243:21 254:14 391:18 < Y > Yackenchick 113:18 **Yeah** 41:16 68:3 76:9 83:*15* 115:*1* 119:3, 8 127:3 146:*17* 153:*4*, *23* 155:11 165:12 170:12 173:24 174:2, 24 181:21 207:22 225:23 235:9 256:21 284:1 285:14 286:8 335:4 339:20 341:10 343:22 365:14 373:19 386:21, 22 393:10 396:1 **year** 12:21 57:11 114:9, 12, 19 224:20 225:4 254:8 292:17 293:24 374:24 375:1 years 274:12, 14 362:10 371:8 yesterday 76:11 **vouth** 86:2 **Yup** 35:21 68:11 167:13 368:9 <Z>

<**Z**> **Zimmerman** 139:3
141:15 401:1, 2, 6
402:3 **Zimmerman's** 139:18 **Zoom** 3:7 9:3 79:22 **ZULA** 1:18 2:23
4:5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24 5:1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24 6:1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 8:19 9:12

10:4, 10 13:21 14:21 15:12 24:3, 5 25:21, 23 26:6 27:8, 21, 23 29:14, 17, 21 32:13 38:4, 6 41:24 42:2, 23 43:2, 13 45:12, 16, 18 61:16, 19 62:23 63:2 66:19, 22 68:15 72:5, 7, 18 75:8, 12, 16 78:18, 20 79:15, 18 83:20 86:10, 12, 19, 21 94:5 100:1, 3 108:10, 14 111:7, 10, 14 116:17, 20 121:13 135:20, 24 139:7, 10, 15 140:15 141:1, 4 150:5, 7, 11 151:10, 13 153:20 155:22 156:*1*, *6* 167:2 208:*1*, 3, 8, 10 216:22, 24 217:4, 5 261:6, 8, 15 269:10, 13 271:14, 17, 21 278:4 295:12, 14 303:24 306:12 312:8 313:17, 19 316:2, 5 317:15, 18 321:20, 22 329:23 330:2, 6 334:20 338:11, 14 340:21, 23 347:11, 15, 21 351:23 352:1,5 354:4 356:5, 9 361:12, 14 363:6, 8 365:17, 19 381:19 382:8 387:2 390:12, 14 393:8 394:22 397:14 **Zula-13** 23:24 **Zula-24** 15:10

Zula-25 32:16

WORD LIST	128 (4)	20 (3)	260 (1)
WORD EIST	129 (<i>l</i>)	20002 (1)	261 (<i>I</i>)
<\$>	12th (3)	2005-18 (3)	268 (1)
\$250 (1)	13 (11)	2013 (4)	268-270 (2)
\$5 (1)	130 (2)	2015 (4) 2015-18 (2)	26th (1)
\$9 (1)	130 (2) 131 (1)	2013-16 (2)	27 (2)
	131 (1) 132 (1)	2017 (1) 2020 (45)	270 (1)
<1>	134 (<i>l</i>)	2020 (43) 2021 (154)	270 (1) 271 (2)
1 (139)	134 (1) 135 (2)	2021 (134) 2022 (12)	271 (2) 271-273 (2)
1/11/2020 (2)	136 (1)	2022 (12) 205-14 (1)	271-273 (2) 272 (2)
1/11/2020 (2) 1/11/2021 (2)	130 (1) 137 (2)	203-14 (1)	272 (2) 273 (1)
1/11/2021 (2) 1/13/20 (1)	137 (2) 138 (3)	21 (2)	274 (3)
1/13/2021 (1)	139 (4)	21 (2) 214 (1)	2759 (1)
1:09 (1)	139-236 (2)	214 (1) 215 (1)	2759-2760 (2)
10 (2)		213 (1) 217 (1)	2760 (1)
10 (2) 10:51 (2)	13th (20) 14 (1)	217 (1) 21st (1)	27th (3)
10.31 (2) 100 (3)	14 (<i>l</i>) 141 (<i>l</i>)	21st (1) 22 (1)	27th (3) 28 (3)
100 (3) 101 (1)	141 (1) 14th (3)	223 (1)	284 (1)
101 (1) 102 (7)	15 (6)	223 (1) 224 (1)	288 (2)
102 (/) 103 (5)	150 (2)	225 (<i>l</i>)	288-291 (2)
103 (3) 104 (2)	150 (2) 151 (1)	226 (1)	289 (1)
104 (2) 105 (2)	151 (1) 15219 (1)	228 (2)	28th (1)
106 (<i>l</i>)	156 (<i>l</i>)	22nd (2)	29 (3)
100 (1) 107 (2)	15th (12)	23 (9)	290 (1)
107 (2) 108 (1)	16 (<i>l</i>)	232 (1)	290 (1) 291 (2)
11 (9)	167 (1)	232 (1) 233 (1)	291 (2) 292 (5)
11:02 (<i>I</i>)	16th (1)	236 (1)	292-293 (2)
11:13 (<i>l</i>)	17 (1)	237 (1)	293 (5)
110 (I)	17108 (1)	237-243 (2)	295 (1)
111 (3)	17701 (<i>I</i>)	24 (4)	2950 (1)
112 (<i>l</i>)	18017 (<i>I</i>)	240 (1)	2's (10)
114 (<i>l</i>)	183 (1)	241 (<i>I</i>)	
115 (2)	1835 (<i>I</i>)	242 (1)	<3>
116 (2)	18360 (<i>1</i>)	243 (1)	3 (230)
117 (<i>l</i>)	18th (3)	244 (2)	3/1 (<i>1</i>)
119 (<i>I</i>)	19 (4)	244-250 (2)	3:07 (1)
11th (11)	190 (<i>1</i>)	2473 (2)	3:21-CV-00477 (1)
12 (7)	19103 (<i>1</i>)	2481 (3)	3:34 (1)
12/11/22 (<i>1</i>)	199 (1)	24th (1)	30 (4)
12:20 (<i>1</i>)	19th (2)	25 (4)	310 (<i>l</i>)
12:52 (<i>1</i>)	1s (1)	250 (1)	314 (<i>1</i>)
120 (<i>1</i>)	1's (36)	251 (1)	316 (<i>l</i>)
121 (<i>1</i>)	1st (10)	251-257 (2)	318 (3)
122 (2)		255 (1)	318-319 (2)
123 (<i>1</i>)	< 2 >	256 (1)	319 (2)
125 (<i>I</i>)	2 (94)	257 (1)	32 (1)
1259 (1)	2/16/2021 (<i>1</i>)	258 (1)	322 (1)
126 (<i>l</i>)	2/18 (1)	258-260 (2)	330 (1)
127 (3)	2/5 (1)	26 (6)	339 (1)

341 (<i>1</i>)	448 (3)	555 (1)	75 (1)
3410 (<i>1</i>)	45 (<i>l</i>)	555-556 (2)	78 (1)
347 (1)	451 (<i>l</i>)	556 (1)	79 (1)
	` '		
35 (1)	451-453 (2)	571 (<i>l</i>)	79,000 (1)
352 (<i>1</i>)	452 (1)	571-573 (2)	
35-36 (2)	453 (2)	573 (1)	< 8 >
354 (1)	454 (4)	575 (6)	8 (1)
356 (1)	454-455 (2)	583 (3)	8:00 (1)
36 (2)	455 (4)	583-584 (<i>1</i>)	8:36 (1)
362 (1)	46 (3)	584 (2)	80 (1)
363 (1)	460 (1)	596 (1)	800 (1)
366 (1)	460-463 (2)	596-600 (2)	800-801 (2)
37 (<i>1</i>)	463 (1)	5th (10)	801 (1)
37-38 (2)	47 (4)		81 (3)
38 (2)	470 (5)	<6>	817 (1)
382 (1)	470-472 (2)	6 (1)	817-820 (2)
390 (4)	471 (<i>l</i>)	6:01 (1)	820 (1)
395 (1)	472 (1)	6:02 (1)	855 (1)
399 (1)	473 (1)	600 (4)	855-857 (2)
	` '	` ′	` ′
399-402 (2)	473-474 (2)	601 (2)	856 (1)
3rd (4)	474 (3)	63 (1)	857 (1)
3's (26)	475 (2)	630 (1)	86 (3)
	475-477 (2)	630-632 (2)	87 (1)
<4>	477 (2)	632 (1)	88 (1)
4 (79)	48 (3)	65 (1)	886 (4)
4(via (1)	49 (3)	66 (1)	89 (3)
4:00 (1)	49-52 (2)	67 (1)	8th (3)
4:03 (<i>1</i>)	4and (5)	673 (2)	, ,
4:07 (1)	4did (1)	673-674 (2)	<9>
4:13 (<i>I</i>)	4had (1)	674 (2)	9 (6)
4:38 (1)	4's (8)	685 (2)	9.932 (1)
	4'sattendance (2)		9/13 (1)
40 (1)	1	685-687 (2)	
400 (1)	4th (2)	687 (2)	9/17/2020 (1)
401 (<i>I</i>)	4was (2)	_	9:16 (2)
402 (1)	_	<7>	90 (1)
40-42 (2)	< 5 >	7 (3)	92 (6)
410 (49)	5 (11)	7:23 (1)	94 (2)
413 (1)	5:00 (1)	70 (1)	95 (2)
413-415 (2)	5:30 (1)	701 (3)	96 (3)
414 (2)	5:38 (1)	707 (2)	97 (4)
415 (3)	50 (3)	707-708 (2)	98 (3)
42 (3)	511 (1)	708 (2)	99 (1)
420 (3)	515 (2)	709 (1)	
• •	1 1		
426 (3)	52 (3)	709-710 (2)	< A >
43 (<i>l</i>)	55 (<i>I</i>)	71 (1)	a.m (9)
433 (1)	550 (2)	710 (<i>l</i>)	abetting (2)
441 (2)	550-551 (2)	712 (1)	ability (16)
441-442 (2)	551 (1)	715 (<i>1</i>)	able (7)
442 (<i>1</i>)	55-138 (2)	72 (2)	absence (1)

- ·F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
absences (1)
absentee (1)
absolute (2)
abundantly (1)
abusing (I)
acceptable (1)
acceptance (1)
access (14)
accessed (1)
accessibility (2)
accessible (3)
accommodated (1)
accommodation (21)
accommodations (1)
accompanied (2)
accord (1)
accrued (3)
accurate (16)
accurately (1)
accused (5)
accuser (1)
acknowledged (2)
acknowledging (2)
acknowledgment (9)
Act (11)
action (23)
actions (12)
acts (4)
actual (7)
ADA (2)
add (2)
added (5)
adding (1)
addition (7)
additional (33)
address (5)
addressed (5)
addresses (2)
adjustment (1)
administrate (1)
administration (8)
administrative (3)
administrator (14)
administrators (2)
administrator's (2)
adopted (2)
advice (42)
advise (6) advised (5)
auviscu (3)

Ceviseu
. 1 * (1)
advises (1)
Advising (2)
affidavit (1)
affirmative (1)
aforementioned (1)
agenda (11)
\mathbf{ago} (1)
agree (19)
agreed (4)
agreement (18)
agreements (2)
ahead (27)
aiding (2)
al (6)
alcohol (4)
Alecia (I)
alert (1)
ALLAN (1)
allan.townsend@usdoj
gov(1)
allegations (7)
alleged (1)
allegedly (2)
Allen (1)
alleviate (2)
alleviated (1)
allow (11)
allowed (6)
allowing (4)
altered (3)
alternative (7)
alternatively (1)
alternatives (2)
Alu (29)
Alu's (2)
$\mathbf{ALYSSA} (2)$
$\mathbf{AMBER} (2)$
amber.fox@usdoj.gov
(1)
ambiguous (2)
amount (1)
analysis (2)
analyst (11)
analyze (1)
and/or (8)
Ann (1)
annual (1)
annually (1)
anonymous (6)
(0)

anonymously (1)
ANSWER (64)
answered (3)
answering (3)
answers (15)
anticipate (1)
${\bf antidiscrimination} (1)$
anti-harassment (14)
anxiety (2)
anybody (3)
anymore (1)
anyway (1)
apologize (10)
Apparently (5)
appeal (1)
appear (1)
appeared (2)
Appearing (1)
appears (5)
applicants (1)
application (7)
applied (7)
applies (3)
apply (4)
appoint (1)
appointed (2)
appointment (2)
appraiser (19)
appraisers (16)
appraiser's (1)
appraises (1)
appreciate (2)
apprized (1)
appropriate (38)
appropriate (38)
appropriately (2)
approve (9)
approved (23)
approves (3)
approving (1)
approximately (1)
April (10)
Area (11)
areas (2)
argumentative (5)
arose (1)
arrangements (1)
arrived (1)
articles (1)

ACCIT (1)
ASCII (1)
aside (3)
asked (31)
asking (64)
asks (5)
aspects (1)
assault (1)
assaulted (1)
assert (3)
asserting (1)
asses (1)
assessed (6)
assessment (107)
assessments (1)
assessor (40)
assessor/director (1)
assessors (1)
assessor's (1)
assign (2)
assigned (12)
assignments (3)
assist (2)
assistance (6)
assistant (16)
assisted (1)
assume (3)
assuming (6)
assumptions (1)
attached (4)
attachment (9)
attempt (4)
attempted (10)
attempting (1)
attempting (1)
attend (16)
attendance (4)
attended (11)
attendee (1)
attendees (1)
attending (3) attention (4)
attesting (1)
attorney (45)
attorney-client (6)
attorneys (6)
A 44 0 mars 0 mal (/)
Attorney's (1)
audible (1)
_

authorized (2)	blindsided (1)	case (6)	clarification (12)
available (6)	board (36)	case-by-case (3)	clarify (3)
availed (1)	boards (1)	catalyst (3)	class (1)
avoid (2)	bodies (1)	CATHERINE (6)	classes (2)
aware (121)	bottom (10)	catherine@dereksmith	classification (5)
uware (121)	bound (I)	.com (1)	classification (3)
< B >	\mathbf{BOX} (5)	cause (2)	classified (1)
back (80)	boxes (1)	caused (5)	clean (5)
backed (1)	Bradley's (2)	causing (3)	cleaned (3)
background (13)	brand (1)	CC(I)	Cleaning (3)
backgrounds (1)	break (7)	CC'ed (6)	cleans (1)
backwards (1)	breaked (1)	ceiling (4)	clear (7)
bad (1)	brief (15)	certain (28)	clearer (1)
badge (1)	briefing (2)	certainly (4)	clerk (8)
bag (1)	briefly (2)	certainty (3)	clerk's (1)
Banking (1)	bring (16)	certification (2)	client (3)
bargaining (1)	bring (10) bringing (5)	certified (2)	climbed (2)
base (2)	Brodhead (1)	certify (1)	close (1)
	\	` ` '	` ′
based (100)	brother (1)	chain (23)	closed (1)
basically (5)	brought (18)	challenging (1)	closely (1)
basis (24)	budget (1)	chance (1)	closer (1)
Bates (8)	Building (70)	change (17)	Coleen (4)
began (4)	buildings (2)	changed (6)	collect (1)
beginning (1)	bullet (2)	changes (14)	collective (3)
begins (2)	bulletin (1)	changing (2)	collectively (2)
begs (1)	bureau (10)	channels (1)	collectors (1)
behalf (7)	Burke (5)	charge (5)	Columbia (1)
behavior (1)	Burke's (1)	charges (3)	combined (3)
belief (4)	business (1)	chart (3)	combining (2)
believe (215)	busy (1)	chatted (1)	come (53)
believed (13)	\mathbf{BV} (1)	check (20)	comes (4)
believing (1)		checked (3)	comfortable (2)
Bend (2)	< C >	checklist (2)	coming (10)
Bender (120)	calendar (3)	checklists (1)	comma (2)
Bender's (3)	call (15)	checks (3)	command (6)
beneficial (1)	called (15)	chief (42)	comment (1)
benefit (1)	calling (1)	children (1)	comments (3)
benefits (2)	calls (2)	Chinese (1)	commiss (1)
bereavement (3)	cameras (3)	chosen (2)	commission (1)
best (1)	canceled (3)	Chris (3)	Commissioner (19)
Bethlehem (1)	candidly (I)	Christine (2)	commissioners (48)
better (8)	Cap (\tilde{l})	Christopher (1)	commissioner's (11)
beyond (1)	capacity (6)	circled (3)	common (2)
billing (3)	caption (1)	circumstances (3)	commonly (1)
bills (1)	$\operatorname{car}(1)$	CIVIL (2)	Commonwealth (1)
bit (6)	$\operatorname{card}^{(1)}$	claim (41)	communicate (10)
black (2)	care (4)	claiming (1)	communicated (5)
blast (1)	careful (1)	claims (27)	communication (25)
blatantly (1)	carrier (1)	Claire (4)	communications (9)
(*)	(*)		()

company (5)	constitute (2)	Counsel (49)
comparison (1)	constructed (1)	count (1)
compile (1)	consult (7)	counties (2)
compiling (1)	consultant (17)	COUNTY (225)
complaint (12)	consultants (1)	county-issued (1)
complaints (8)	consultation (9)	county-owned (2)
complete (33)	consultation (2)	county's (13)
completed (38)	consulted (9)	couple (4)
completely (5)	` ´	_ ` ` ′
	consumed (1)	couple-minute (1)
completes (2)	contact (14)	course (1)
completing (7)	contacted (6)	COURT (24)
completion (9)	contacting (3)	COURTHOUSE (47)
compliance (4)	contained (1)	Courtroom (3)
complied (1)	containing (1)	cover (1)
compliment (3)	content (1)	coverage (1)
complimented (1)	contents (24)	coverages (1)
$\mathbf{con} (1)$	contest (2)	covered (3)
concern (15)	context (7)	COVID (15)
concerns (22)	contingent (2)	CPE (28)
concise (1)	continually (2)	CPE-licensed (1)
concluded (1)	continuation (2)	Craft (2)
conclusion (19)	continue (7)	created (3)
conclusions (1)	contract (13)	creation (3)
condensed (1)	contracted (1)	credentials (2)
condition (2)	contractor (9)	criminal (1)
conditions (6)	contractors (2)	critiques (1)
conduct (6)	contracts (1)	current (2)
conducted (6)	contractual (1)	curve (1)
conference (7)	contradiction (2)	cut (1)
confident (1)	contradictory (1)	cutting (1)
CONFIDENTIAL (2)	contributed (2)	cutting (1)
confidentiality (1)	control (4)	< D >
confirm (5)	control (4)	daily (4)
confirmation (2)	controllers (9)	Dana (1)
confirmed (1)	controller's (1)	dangerous (2)
confirming (1)	controls (1)	
O , ,	` '	Dash (6)
conform (1)	convenient (1)	Dash's (1)
confused (2)	conversation (69)	data (4)
confusing (2)	conversations (40)	date (50)
conjunction (2)	conviction (1)	dated (13)
connection (3)	coordinator (2)	dates (4)
consecutive (4)	coordinator/field (1)	day (41)
consent (3)	copies (9)	days (15)
consented (2)	copy (21)	deadline (2)
consequences (1)	correct (326)	deadlines (1)
consider (16)	corrected (1)	dealing (3)
consideration (10)	Corrections (2)	dealt (4)
considered (5)	correctly (3)	death (5)
consistent (3)	correspondence (8)	Deb (5)

DEBISE (2)**December** (9) decide (1) decided (15) decides (1) decision (45) decision-making (1)decisions (4) deductions (2) deeds (2) deeper (1)defendant (69) **Defendants** (4) defense (10) defer (1)**deferred** (1) definitely (2) delay (1)delayed (2) delegate (1) delinquency (6) delinquent (7) delivered (1) delving (1)demographic (1) demote (2) demoted (14) demotion (14)demotions (1) **denial** (10) denied (5)denies (1)Denise (2) **deny** (6) denying (2) **DEPARTMENT** (27) departments (4) depend (1)depending (1)depends (1)deponent (2) **DEPOSITION** (14) depositions (1) **deputy** (10) **DEREK** (2)**Derrick** (1)described (1)describing (2) **DESCRIPTION** (20)

descriptions (2)	displayed (1)	EEOC (7)	equipment (6)
designate (1)	dispute (1)	EEO-related (1)	error (1)
designated (1)	disrupted (1)	effect (4)	escorted (6)
Desiree (1)	disruption (1)	effective (2)	ESQUIRE (10)
desk (1)	disruptions (1)	efficient (1)	essential (7)
despite (3)	dissatisfaction (2)	efficiently (1)	essentially (4)
destroyed (3)	dissented (3)	effort (1)	established (3)
detailed (1)	dissenting (2)	efforts (3)	estate (12)
detector (2)	dissents (1)	eight (3)	et (4)
determination (14)	distancing (1)	either (27)	evaluate (3)
determine (16)	distinction (2)	Elaine (3)	Evaluators (1)
• •	` '	` ´	` ′
determined (6)	DISTRICT (8)	elected (13)	event (4)
determining (2)	DIVISION (4)	election (3)	eventually (4)
detrimental (1)	divulge (1)	elevator (1)	Everest (1)
Detweiler (3)	doc (1)	eligible (1)	everybody (4)
Detweiler's (1)	DOCKET (6)	eliminate (1)	evidence (6)
Diane (1)	doctor (11)	eliminating (1)	exact (12)
DICKIE (1)	doctors (1)	elimination (1)	exactly (42)
die (1)	doctor's (4)	else's (2)	exam (2)
diem (7)	document (83)	e-mail (133)	Examination (1)
diems (3)	documentation (16)	e-mailed (3)	examined (1)
difference (2)	documenting (1)	e-mails (16)	example (2)
different (27)	DOCUMENTS (13)	embankment (2)	Excellent (1)
difficult (3)	DOE (607)	employed (8)	exchange (2)
difficulty (2)	doing (24)	employee (56)	exclude (1)
direct (14)	door (17)	employees (86)	excluded (1)
directed (7)	Doreen (29)	employee's (3)	excuse (3)
directing (I)	double (1)	employer (3)	execution (1)
DIRECTION (7)	Dr (3)	employers (2)	executive (7)
directive (2)	draft (11)	employment (60)	exempt (9)
directly (16)	drafted (8)	enacted (1)	exemption (3)
director (54)	drafting (5)	encountered (2)	exemptions (1)
disagree (2)	drafts (1)	ended (4)	EXHIBIT (22)
disagreement (1)	draw (I)	ends (I)	Exhibit-100 (2)
disapproved (1)	drop-dead (2)	engage (2)	Exhibit-101 (2)
disbanded (1)	drug (7)	engaged (1)	Exhibit-102 (2)
disciplinary (8)	due (8)	engaging (2)	Exhibit-103 (2)
disciplined (3)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ensure (4)	Exhibit-104 (2)
disciplining (1)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ensuring (1)	Exhibit-105 (2)
disclosing (1)	dumbed-down (1)	enter (14)	Exhibit-106 (2)
discovery (1)	duties (42)	entered (8)	Exhibit-107 (2)
discretion (14)	duty (12)	entering (3)	Exhibit-108 (2)
discretionary (1)	duty/subpoenas (1)	entire (2)	Exhibit-109 (3)
discuss (35)	DWK (1)	entire (2) entirety (1)	Exhibit-110 (2)
discussed (26)		entities (2)	\ ′
` /	< E >	` ′	` ′
discussing (6)		entrance (11)	` ′
discussion (43)	earlier (13)	entrances (1)	Exhibit-113 (3)
discussions (51)	early (9)	environment (8)	Exhibit-114 (3)
disingenuous (1)	EEO (22)	Equalization (2)	Exhibit-115 (3)

Exhibit-116 (2)	experiencing (1)	finally (1)	fulfill (1)
Exhibit-117 (2)	expertise (I)	finance (1)	full (6)
Exhibit-118 (4)	explain (4)	find (9)	full-sized (1)
Exhibit-119 (2)	explained (1)	finding(1)	full-time (3)
Exhibit-120 (2)	extended (I)	fine (3)	fully (9)
Exhibit-121 (2)	extending (1)	finish (6)	function (1)
Exhibit-122 (6)	extensively (1)	first (72)	functions (4)
Exhibit-123 (2)	extent (8)	five (6)	funeral (6)
Exhibit-124 (3)	external (1)	flip (1)	furlough (2)
Exhibit-125 (2)	extra (1)	flushed (1)	furloughed (7)
Exhibit-126 (2)	extreme (1)	fly (1)	further (22)
Exhibit-127 (6)	eyes (1)	flyers (1)	future (2)
Exhibit-128 (2)	cycs (1)	FMLA (5)	iuture (2)
Exhibit-129 (2)	< F >	focus (4)	<g></g>
Exhibit-130 (4)	facets (1)	focused (4)	Garrity (3)
Exhibit-131 (2)	fact (46)	folks (1)	Garry (24)
` '	` '	` ′	` ` ′
Exhibit-132 (2)	facts (3)	follow (6)	gathered (1)
Exhibit-133 (3)	factual (1)	followed (7)	gathering (1)
Exhibit-134 (2)	failed (8)	following (10)	GEIGER (8)
Exhibit-135 (2)	failure (4)	follows (1)	general (2)
Exhibit-136 (2)	fair (12)	follow-up (1)	generalize (1)
Exhibit-137 (2)	falling (2)	force (1)	generally (5)
Exhibit-138 (2)	familiar (10)	foregoing (1)	general's (1)
Exhibit-139 (2)	family (9)	forgive (2)	generates (1)
Exhibit-15 (1)	far (11)	forgot (1)	George (7)
Exhibit-43 (2)	fax (9)	form (89)	$\mathbf{GERARD} (1)$
Exhibit-86 (1)	faxed (1)	formal (1)	Gerry (1)
Exhibit-87 (2)	faxes (1)	Formalized (1)	getting (11)
Exhibit-88 (3)	feasible (1)	formally (1)	ggeiger@newmanwilli
Exhibit-89 (2)	February (73)	format (1)	ams.com (1)
Exhibit-90 (<i>3</i>)	February/March (1)	formatted (1)	Gilbert (1)
Exhibit-91 (<i>3</i>)	fed (1)	former (4)	Gilbert's (1)
Exhibit-92 (<i>3</i>)	Federooff (2)	forms (2)	give (12)
Exhibit-93 (<i>3</i>)	feel (16)	forth (2)	given (27)
Exhibit-94 (<i>4</i>)	feeling (2)	forward (12)	gives (1)
Exhibit-95 (2)	feelings (2)	forwarded (6)	giving (4)
Exhibit-96 (4)	felt (3)	forwards (1)	Glen (4)
Exhibit-97 (<i>3</i>)	female (2)	found (4)	Glenn (3)
Exhibit-98 (<i>3</i>)	field (47)	Four (13)	go (83)
Exhibit-99 (2)	field/home (1)	fourish (1)	goes (9)
EXHIBITS (6)	fifth (1)	FOX (6)	going (153)
exist (3)	figure (5)	frame (10)	Good (7)
existed (2)	file (13)	fraud (1)	gotten (1)
existing (2)	filed (9)	free (3)	Govern (5)
exists (2)	files (1)	fresh (1)	grace (7)
exited (1)	filings (2)	Friday (11)	grammatically (1)
exiting (2)	fill (3)	friendly (1)	Grant (4)
expecting (1)	filled (4)	front (6)	granted (1)
experience (2)	fills (1)	Fucci (1)	great (5)
•	,	` '	
			•

grievance (3)	highway (2)	implying (1)	inquire (1)
Groody (2)	hire (18)	important (5)	ins (1)
GROUP (3)	hired (20)	improved (1)	inside (1)
guess (25)	hires (3)	improvements (1)	instance (3)
guidance (8)	hiring (3)	inable (1)	instances (2)
Gulf (1)	historical (1)	inaccurate (2)	instruct (4)
guys (l)	historically (2)	inappropriate (3)	instructed (12)
guys (1)	history (3)	inaudible (1)	instruction (4)
<h>></h>	hit (2)	in-box (1)	instructions (2)
Halcovage (69)	hitting (2)	incident (13)	insurance (2)
Halcovage's (5)	Hobbs (7)	inclement (1)	intended (1)
HALL (1)	hold (15)	include (6)	interaction (4)
hallway (1)	holding (1)	included (18)	interactions (1)
hallways (1)	home (104)	includes (5)	interactive (7)
hampered (3)	Homeowner (1)	` ′	\ /
• ` ′	` ′	including (10)	interim (20)
hand (5)	honestly (2)	inclusive (2)	INTERROGATION
handed (5)	Honor (9)	incoming (1)	(1)
handle (2)	horseback (1)	incomplete (1)	intervener (1)
handled (2)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{host} & (I) \\ \hline \end{array}$	incorrect (3)	interview (11)
handwritten (2)	hour (1)	increase (2)	interviewed (2)
hang (1)	hourly (5)	independent (7)	interviewing (2)
happen (4)	hours (22)	INDEX (1)	interviews (2)
happened (11)	house (1)	indicate (18)	introduce (1)
happens (5)	houses (1)	indicated (29)	introduced (1)
happy (1)	HR (30)	indicates (24)	introduction (1)
harassed (1)	Hubert (5)	indicating (6)	investigate (6)
harassment (22)	human (44)	indication (1)	investigating (1)
hard (2)	hybrid (4)	indications (1)	investigation (13)
Harrisburg (2)	hypothetical (2)	individual (24)	investigations (1)
hated (1)	hypothetically (1)	individually (1)	investigator (1)
Hatter (13)		individuals (16)	investigators (1)
head (9)	< I >	individual's (2)	involve (1)
heads (2)	I-9 (1)	inform (5)	involved (40)
health (6)	idea (7)	informal (1)	involvement (6)
hear (3)	ideally (1)	information (110)	involves (1)
heard (2)	identification (55)	informational (1)	involving (1)
hearing (2)	identify (1)	informed (27)	iPads (1)
hearings (1)	immediately (4)	inhibited (1)	IPPOLITO (11)
Heather (3)	impact (5)	inhibits (1)	ironed (2)
HEIDI (9)	impacted (3)	initial (13)	irrelevant (1)
Heinbach (39)	impacting (1)	initially (5)	issue (60)
Heinbach's (1)	impairs (1)	initials (2)	issued (11)
held (28)	impartial (I)	initiated (3)	issues (48)
Helene (3)	implement (2)	injury (1)	items (1)
help (1)	implementation (1)	in-person (2)	its (7)
Hess (6)	implementations (1)	input (13)	, ,
Hetherington (5)	implemented (8)	inputted (1)	< J >
hey (14)	implementing (1)	inputter (1)	Jan (3)
Highbock (1)	imply (1)	inputting (2)	JANE (592)
5 (/			` ′
	1	1	1

T (110)	TATT (7)	L	
January (112)	LAW (7)	Look (85)	M-A-T-U-K-E-W-I-C-
$\mathbf{Joan} (3)$	laws (1)	looked (16)	\mathbf{Z} (1)
job (68)	lawyer (2)	looking (20)	$\max_{l} (l)$
jobs (1)	layman's (1)	looks (4)	Mayer (4)
John (1)	lead (2)	lost (2)	Mayers (1)
joined (1)	leading (1)	lot (20)	$\mathbf{MCCAMEY}$ (1)
JONES (1)	learn (15)	Love (1)	MCNERNEY (1)
judge (8)	learned (5)	loved (1)	mean (47)
judgment (7)	learning (3)	lower (5)	meaning (7)
July (6)	lease (1)	Lubinsky (1)	means (2)
June (1)	leases (1)	L-U-B-I-N-S-K-Y (1)	meant (2)
June-ish (1)	leasing (2)	lured (1)	mediate (1)
jury (7)	leave (34)	Luzern (1)	medical (31)
JUSTICE (3)	leaving (2)	Luzerne (2)	medication (1)
justification (1)	led (3)		meet (12)
justify (1)	LEES (5)	< M >	meeting (69)
jut (1)	left (11)	Mahall (1)	meetings (5)
, ,	legal (30)	mail (4)	meets (1)
< K >	legally (1)	main (2)	member (2)
Katz (1)	legitimate (1)	maintain (3)	members (2)
Keel (3)	letter (55)	maintained (8)	memo (19)
Keel's (1)	level (4)	maintains (2)	mental (3)
keep (7)	LexisNexis (1)	maintenance (7)	mentally (3)
kept (4)	license (28)	majority (6)	MENTIONED (1)
$\mathbf{key} (I)$	licensed (1)	maker (1)	met (17)
keyboard (1)	licenses (4)	making (7)	metal (2)
keycard (1)	licensing (2)	managed (2)	Michael (2)
keyed (1)	light (1)	management (7)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{mid} & (1) \end{array}$
keys (1)	liked (1)	management (7)	MIDDLE (5)
kind (39)	limit (4)	managerial (5)	Middletown (4)
kinds (1)	limited (4)	managers (3)	midway (1)
knew (11)	Line (21)	mandatory (2)	million (1)
know (315)	lines (4)	manner (1)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{minon} & (1) \\ \mathbf{mind} & (3) \end{array}$
know (515) knowledge (55)	lingo (1)	March (70)	minute (3)
known (3)	Lisa (1)	Marchalk (1)	minute (3)
Known (3) Kutzler (87)	$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{Lisa} & (1) \\ \mathbf{list} & (3) \end{array}$	MARIA (4)	MIS (1)
• • •	listed (7)	` ′	` ′
Kutzler's (6)	` '	marijuana (1)	misrepresent (1)
~T >	listing (1)	mark (37)	missed (2)
<l></l>	little (10)	MARKED (70)	mistakes (1)
Labor (1)	live (1)	Market (16)	misuse (1)
lack (2)	local (1)	marking (3)	modified (1)
ladies (1)	locate (1)	married (1)	$\mod(1)$
lady (1)	located (5)	Marshall (2)	moment (1)
language (4)	location (18)	$\max_{l} (l)$	Monday (4)
lapse (1)	locations (5)	materials (1)	money (2)
laptop (5)	locked (2)	math (2)	monitor (1)
large (1)	$\log (1)$	Matt (3)	monitored (1)
larger (3)	long (3)	matter (8)	monitoring (1)
late (9)	longer (3)	matters (4)	Monroe (1)
		Matukewicz (2)	

month (16)	non-discrimination	officers (2)	P.C (1)
monthly (9)	(11)	offices (53)	p.m (12)
months (24)	non-exempt (3)	official (9)	P.O (1)
morning (1)	non-managerial (4)	officials (6)	PAGE (63)
morphed (3)	non-red-line (2)	official's (1)	pages (8)
motion (1)	non-symptomatic (1)	Oh (5)	paid (8)
motions (1)	non-vaccinated (1)	Okay (386)	panel (1)
move (12)	normal (1)	older (1)	paper (2)
$\mathbf{moved} (8)$	north (7)	once (5)	paper (2) paperwork (25)
moving (7)	Notary (2)	ones (10)	PAR (19)
mpipak@jonespassode	notation (1)	ongoing (3)	para (1)
lis.com (1)	notations (1)	online (1)	Paragraph (28)
multiple (3)	note (1)	open (6)	PARALEGAL (2)
municipal (2)	noted (1)	operated (1)	parcels (2)
Murray's (1)	notes (7)	operation (13)	parenthesis (1)
mutualization (1)	notice (1)	operational (5)	park (3)
(-)	notification (1)	operations (26)	parked (1)
< N >	notified (5)	opinion (14)	parking (21)
name (15)	$ \begin{array}{c c} \textbf{notify} & (1) \end{array} $	opinions (1)	Parole (2)
named (10)	notifying (1)	opportunity (3)	PARs (6)
names (4)	November (8)	opposite (2)	part (46)
nature (2)	NUMBER (26)	optimal (3)	participate (1)
NE (1)	numbering (1)	optimally (1)	particular (9)
near (1)	numbers (6)	option (5)	parties (5)
necessarily (2)	numerous (4)	options (1)	parts (1)
necessary (9)		oral (1)	party (2)
need (33)	<0>	order (22)	passing (1)
needed (33)	oath (1)	organization (1)	PASSODELIS (1)
needing (1)	object (40)	organizational (9)	PAUL (4)
needs (6)	Objection (37)	orientation (1)	pause (1)
negative (1)	Objections (1)	original (3)	paused (1)
negotiate (1)	obligation (1)	originally (1)	pay (6)
negotiated (1)	observe (2)	outcome (1)	paying (2)
negotiations (3)	obtain (5)	outdated (2)	payment (2)
never (32)	Obviously (10)	outlined (2)	payroll (10)
new (33)	occasion (2)	outlines (2)	PENNSYLVANIA
newly (2)	occupied (I)	outlining (I)	(16)
NEWMAN (1)	occur (4)	outright (I)	people (11)
news (1)	occurred (23)	outside (11)	percent(1)
newspaper (4)	occurring (3)	outstanding (1)	per-diem (2)
NICOLE (2)	O'Connor (3)	overall (4)	Perfect (3)
night (1)	O'Connor's (3)	overlapping (1)	perform (12)
nine (I)	October (7)	oversee (1)	performance (1)
nippolito@mpvhlaw.co	offended (I)	overseeing (1)	performed (2)
$\mathbf{m}^{(l)}$	offer (14)	oversight (1)	period (35)
$\mathbf{nod}(I)$	offered (11)	overtime (3)	periodic (1)
non-approval (1)	Office (198)	owner (1)	periodically (2)
non-approved (I)	office/work (1)		permissible (3)
non-complete (1)	officer (19)	< P >	permit (4)
- ` ` `	, ,		_ ` ` ` `

permits (3)	points (2)	priveledged (1)	purview (1)
permitted (18)	police (5)	privilege (16)	push (2)
permitting (1)	policies (17)	privileged (11)	pushed (3)
person (24)	policy (111)	privy (2)	put (50)
personal (5)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	probably (22)	puts (1)
personally (1)	portion (8)	Probation (2)	putting (5)
personnel (11)	posed (6)	procedure (3)	puzzle (1)
perspective (6)	position (84)	procedures (3)	, ,
Philadelphia (1)	positions (32)	proceed (4)	< Q >
phone (13)	possible (3)	proceeded (1)	qualifications (2)
photo (1)	possibly (1)	process (31)	qualified (3)
phrase (1)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	processed (1)	quality (1)
physical (5)	posted (1)	processor (1)	quarantine (1)
physically (5)	poster (2)	produce (1)	question (82)
physician (13)	posters (3)	produced (4)	questioned (4)
physicians (1)	\bigcap posting (I)	product (8)	questioning (6)
physician's (12)	potential (4)	PRODUCTION (3)	questions (21)
pick (1)	Potentially (21)	productive (2)	quick (3)
picked (1)	PowerPoint (6)	professionally (2)	quickly (5)
piece (2)	PowerPoints (1)	program (6)	quite (5)
pieces (2)	practice (2)	progressed (1)	quiz (9)
pigeon (1)	precedent (1)	projects (2)	quoting (1)
piling (1)	preceding (1)	prolonged (1)	1 1 2 3
PIPAK (132)	precluded (4)	promoted (2)	< R >
Pittsburgh (1)	preemployment (1)	proper (3)	raise (2)
place (14)	pre-orientation (1)	properties (11)	raised (11)
placed (16)	$ \mathbf{prep} (1) $	property (5)	raises (2)
placement (2)	preparation (1)	proposed (1)	ramifications (2)
places (1)	prepare (4)	protection (1)	ran (1)
Plaintiff (7)	prepared (8)	protocol (1)	raped (1)
Plaintiffs (21)	prepares (1)	protocols (1)	rate (2)
plaintiff's (10)	PRESENT (31)	provide (28)	rates (1)
plan (25)	presentation (3)	provided (54)	ratio (2)
planned (1)	presented (6)	provider (10)	reach (20)
planning (2)	preservation (1)	providers (2)	reached (11)
plans (I)	preserved (1)	provider's (3)	reaches (1)
platform (3)	press (7)	provides (2)	reaching (3)
played (4)	pressing (2)	providing (5)	read (16)
please (11)	pretty (12)	prudent (3)	reading (7)
pleased (1)	prevent (1)	Public (8)	reads (3)
plees@dmclaw.com	previous (5)	publicized (1)	real (15)
(I)	PREVIOUSLY (9)	publicly (1)	realize (1)
plenty (2)	previously-held (1)	pull (2)	really (10)
plethora (1)	Price (5)	pulled (3)	reason (19)
PLLC (1)	Price's (1)	puller (1)	reasonable (16)
plus (1)	primary (4)	pulls (1)	reasoning (2)
point (41)	Prior (77)	purely (1)	reasons (8)
pointed (2)	prison (3)	purpose (10)	reassigned (1)
pointing (1)	privacy (1)	purposes (6)	reassigning (1)

•
recall (159)
recalled (1)
recalling (1)
recaining (1)
receipt (5) receive (21)
received (79)
receiving (8)
receptionist (1)
recess (5)
recognize (39)
Recognizing (1)
recollection (7)
recommend (3)
recommendation (11)
recommendations (6)
recommended (6)
recommending (2)
reconsider (1)
reconsideration (2)
reconsidered (2)
record (33)
recordkeeping (1)
records (7)
recovered (1)
rectify (1)
red (6)
red-line (9)
redlined (1)
reevaluate (1)
refer (4)
reference (8)
referenced (4)
references (5)
referred (1)
referring (17)
reflect (1)
reflection (1)
reflects (2)
refresh (1)
refreshes (1)
refused (2)
regard (1)
regarding (100)
regardless (2)
regards (1)
regular (5)
rehired (1)
reissue (1)
related (13)

e viscu
relates (2)
relayance (1)
releases (1)
relevant (7)
relied (1)
relocated (3)
relocating (1)
relocating (1)
rely (1)
remain (9)
remarks (2)
remedies (1)
remedy (1)
remember (28)
remind (1)
removal (3)
removed (10)
removing (2)
render (1)
rendered (5)
renders (1)
repeat (4)
repeated (1)
rephrase (3)
replace (2)
replaced (1)
replacement (2)
replies (1)
report (49)
reported (17)
REPORTER (7)
Reporting (5)
reports (91)
represent (6)
representation (3) REQUEST (82) requested (28)
REQUEST (82)
• /
requesting (7)
requests (13)
require (6)
required (11)
requirement (4) requirements (5)
requires (1)
requisite (1)
rescheduled (3)
rescheduling (1)
reserve (4)
reserved (1)

```
reserving (3)
resignations (1)
resolve (1)
resource (1)
resources (44)
resource's (1)
respond (4)
responded (2)
respondents (2)
responding (1)
responds (3)
response (15)
responses (4)
responsibilities (9)
responsibility (3)
responsible (11)
restate (1)
restricted (3)
restriction (2)
restrictions (2)
restructure (1)
restructured (1)
restructuring (4)
result (17)
resulted (4)
resulting (2)
results (2)
resume (8)
retain (1)
retaliating (1)
retaliation (8)
retaliatory (1)
retired (1)
retiree (3)
retirees (3)
retirement (1)
retirements (1)
retrieved (1)
return (6)
returned (6)
returning (2)
REV9-13 (1)
revealed (4)
review (60)
reviewed (33)
reviewing (7)
reviews (3)
revise (4)
revised (23)
```

revision (26) revisions (21)revisit (2) **rid** (1) **right** (80) RIGHTS (3) ripped (1) rising (1)risk (1) Road (2)**role** (8) roles (3)**Room** (8)**Roth** (21) Roth's (1)round (1)**RPR** (3) run (3) running (4) Ruscavage (3) < S >

sabbatical (2) **safe** (13) safer (1)safety (11)**salary** (26) sale (2)sales (4)**sat** (3) satisfactory (1) save (1)saw (4) saying (23)says (47) SC1254 (1) SC1254-1259 (2) **SC633** (3) scenario (1) scenarios (1) schedule (7) scheduled (6) schedules (1) scheduling (3) School (4)**SCHUYLKILL** (5) Schuylkill's (2)

scope (2)

screen (4)

	1	1	ı
screening (1)	sheets (9)	sorts (1)	statue (1)
search (1)	sheriff (5)	sought (2)	status (5)
searches (1)	sheriff's (5)	sound (4)	statute (2)
second (32)	shifted (1)	sounds (2)	stay (2)
second-round (1)	shortly (2)	source (1)	STEB (89)
section (5)	short-term (6)	$ \mathbf{Sp}(1) $	STEBs (1)
security (2)	shut (2)	space (8)	steep (2)
see (48)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	spaces (4)	stenographic (1)
seeing (2)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	speak (48)	stenographic (I)
	. ,	` ′	_ ` ` ′
seek (2)	side (5)	speaker (1)	stepped (1)
seeking (9)	sign (23)	speakerphone (1)	steps (3)
seen (9)	signature (8)	speaking (8)	stint (2)
selected (2)	signed (25)	specialized (1)	stipulation (1)
selection (2)	significant (3)	specific (26)	stood (1)
send (13)	significantly (1)	specifically (24)	Stop (9)
sending (4)	signing (3)	specifics (1)	stopped (4)
sense (5)	$\mathbf{sign-off} (1)$	specified (1)	story (2)
sent (38)	signs (2)	speed (2)	Stottlemyer (1)
sentence (26)	similar (4)	spend (1)	Street (8)
sentences (2)	similarly (1)	spends (1)	stress (1)
separate (15)	simple (1)	spent(1)	strike (22)
separated (19)	simply (8)	spin (I)	Stroudsburg (1)
separately (2)	$\sin \beta e^{i(2)}$	spoke (26)	structure (6)
separation (5)	singular (2)	spoken (5)	struggle (2)
September (12)	sit (4)	spores (1)	struggled (3)
serious (1)	site (4)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	struggling (1)
serve (8)	\mathbf{sits} (1)	spots (2)	stubs (1)
served (3)	sitting (1)	St (5)	stuff (1)
services (4)	situation (21)	staff (16)	subject (3)
serving (4)	situation (21)	staffed (2)	submission (6)
SERVPRO (3)	\mathbf{six} (6)	staffing (2)	submission (1)
session (11)		stairwell (1)	` '
	slowly (1)	` '	submit (13)
sessions (13)	smart (1)	stamp (10)	submits (3)
set (10)	SMITH (289)	stamped (2)	submitted (27)
sets (1)	Smith's (1)	stamps (5)	submitting (4)
setting (1)	snow (1)	standard (1)	subsequent (3)
Seven (8)	social (1)	Standards (1)	subsequently (1)
severally (2)	sole (7)	standpoint (1)	substance (1)
severe (1)	solicitor (3)	stands (1)	substantial (1)
severely (4)	solicitors (1)	start (23)	successful (1)
sexual (21)	solicitor's (4)	started (28)	sufficient (3)
sexually (2)	solution (5)	starting (2)	suggest (3)
shape (1)	Solutions (3)	starts (3)	suggested (6)
share (1)	somebody (10)	State (21)	suggestion (5)
shared (1)	someone's (1)	stated (5)	suggestions (6)
Sharon (1)	somewhat (1)	statement (55)	suggests (1)
Sharyn (3)	soon (1)	statements (2)	suing (2)
she'd (1)	sorry (84)	STATES (15)	suitable (1)
sheet (7)	sort(4)	stating (3)	Suite (3)
` '			
	1	1	1

summary (4)	(1)	today's (3)	two-way (1)
superceded (1)	telecommuting (8)	told (25)	type (5)
supercedes (1)	tell (23)	Tom (16)	typed (1)
supersede (1)	telling (5)	tomorrow (5)	types (2)
supervised (1)	temporary (1)	tonight (2)	typical (4)
supervision (2)	ten (8)	Tony (2)	typically (24)
supervisor (44)	tenure (5)	tools (1)	typist (7)
supervisors (6)	term (4)	top (10)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
supervisory (1)	termed (3)	topic (2)	ij po (1)
supplement (6)	terminating (1)	total (1)	<u></u>
supplemental (4)	terminology (2)	touch (1)	$\mathbf{U.S}^{(1)}$
supplements (1)	terms (3)	Tower (1)	Uh-huh (8)
supplies (12)	terrible (1)	town (1)	ultimate (3)
support (1)	test (7)	TOWNSEND (12)	ultimately (10)
supported (1)	testified (10)	$\operatorname{track}(1)$	unable (3)
suppose (1)	testify (3)	tracked (3)	unaccompanied (1)
supposed (8)	testifying (3)	training (59)	unclear (1)
sure (36)	testimony (14)	trainings (5)	uncomfortable (2)
suspect (1)	tests (1)	transcribe (1)	understaffed (3)
suspected (1)	text (1)	transcribed (1)	understand (57)
suspended (2)	Thank (4)	TRANSCRIPT (4)	understanding (45)
sustain (1)	Thanks (1)	transfer (1)	understood (12)
sustaining (1)	theirs (1)	transferring (1)	Unfortunately (1)
swear (1)	therefrom (1)	transition (6)	union (1)
switch (2)	thing (4)	transitioned (4)	union-represented (1)
sworn (1)	things (20)	transitioning (1)	unique (1)
symptomatic (1)	think (135)	translate (2)	UNITED (2)
synopsis (1)	thinking (2)	transmitted (2)	unlawful (2)
system (10)	thinks (1)	transpired (2)	unpack (1)
systems (2)	third (10)	travel (1)	unrelated (4)
2,7200000	third-party (1)	traveling (1)	unsigned (2)
< T >	Thomas (4)	tread (1)	unwillingness (1)
table (1)	thorough (1)	treasurer (4)	upcoming (1)
take (40)	thoroughly (1)	treasurer's (3)	updated (3)
taken (8)	thought (20)	treated (1)	$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} updating (I)$
talk (15)	thoughts (1)	trial (7)	upload (4)
talked (9)	Three (16)	trickle (2)	uploaded (5)
talking (14)	Thursday (5)	tried (1)	uploading (2)
talks (10)	Tiffany (4)	Trifun (4)	upper (3)
tardy (1)	tile (I)	true (3)	upstairs (2)
tasked (1)	tiles (5)	truly (2)	up-to-date (1)
tasks (1)	time (163)	truthfully (3)	usage (4)
tax (121)	timely (11)	try (5)	use (14)
taxes (3)	times (11)	trying (9)	useful (1)
taxing (1)	timetable (1)	turn (7)	utilize (14)
tech (2)	timing (2)	turned (6)	utilized (14)
TECHNICIAN (1)	title (4)	turnover (1)	utilizing (4)
technology (1)	titled (1)	Twenty (2)	
telecommunicating	today (13)	Two (46)	< V >
		1	1

vacancies (6)	waiver (3)	worth (1)
vacant (2)	waiving (10)	write (13)
vacation (1)	walk (4)	writing (12)
vaccinated (1)	walked (2)	written (9)
vague (1)	walking (2)	wrong (10)
valid (8)	Walmart (2)	wrote (3)
validate (1)	Walnut (2)	wrote (3)
valuations (4)	wanded (3)	< Y >
value (2)	` ´	
` /	want (32)	Yackenchick (1)
values (6)	wanted (16)	Yeah (37)
VANDERLIN (1)	wanting (1)	year (12)
vast (3)	Washington (1)	years (4)
vehicle (7)	wasting (1)	yesterday (1)
vehicle/home (1)	water-stained (1)	youth (1)
vehicles (3)	wave (1)	Yup (4)
vendor (3)	way (8)	
verbal (2)	wealth (1)	<z></z>
version (5)	weather (1)	Zimmerman (6)
versus (6)	website (4)	Zimmerman's (1)
viable (1)	Wednesday (4)	Zoom (3)
video (10)	week (19)	ZULA (193)
VIDEOGRAPHER	weekend (1)	Zula-13 (1)
(12)	weekly (2)	Zula-24 (2)
videos (1)	weeks (4)	Zula-25 (1)
$\mathbf{VIDEOTAPE} (1)$	weigh (1)	
view (4)	welcome (1)	
viewed (5)	well (140)	
violated (1)	went (7)	
violations (1)	we're (22)	
violence (1)	we've (3)	
virtual (2)	whereabouts (1)	
virtually (1)	white (1)	
visit (1)	WILLIAM (1)	
visited (1)	Williamsport (1)	
Visiting (2)	willing (1)	
voiced (1)	wish (2)	
VOLUME (1)	wishes (1)	
volumes (1)	withs (I)	
vote (14)	WITNESS (69)	
voted (14)	word (2)	
votes (1)	words (5)	
	work (186)	
< W >	workday (1)	
W-4 (1)	worked (25)	
wage (2)	work-from-home (2)	
wait (5)	working (24)	
waited (1)	work-related (4)	
waiting (1)	works (5)	
waived (1)	workspace (1)	
(1)	" ormspace (1)	